

### Minnesota Family Investment Program Caseload and Participant Characteristics

# Characteristics of MFIP Participants and Cases in December 1999

Data for the month of **December 1999** 

Published May 2000
Minnesota Department of Human Services
Program Assessment & Integrity Division, 651-296-3198
444 Lafayette Road North
St. Paul, MN 55155
http://edocs.dhs.state.mn.us/live/DM-0060-Eng.pdf

This information is available in other forms to people with disabilities by contacting us at 651-296-4410 (voice) or through the Minnesota Relay Service at 711 or 1-800-627-3529 (TDD), or 1-877-627-3848 (speech to speech relay service).

# Characteristics of Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP) Participants and Cases in December 1999

This is the third in a series of annual reports, providing a snapshot of the universe of participants in Minnesota's public assistance program for families. The first report described eligible recipients and paid cases in December 1997, the month before the start of the program change from Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) to the statewide Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP). The last report described MFIP participants and cases in December 1998. This report describes characteristics of eligible individuals and cases receiving public assistance in the state of Minnesota in December 1999. There is also information on family structure including other adult caregivers and children in the MFIP family unit. Extensive footnotes accompany the tables and are necessary for their interpretation.

#### **State Results**

There were 41,534 cases receiving MFIP cash payments in December 1999. This was a caseload reduction of 6.6% over the one-year period. Cases were divided according to how many adults eligible for payment were included on the case: child-only cases with no eligible adult, cases with one eligible adult, and cases with two eligible adults. (These are the traditional public assistance federal reporting categories.) Table 1 gives the number of cases in each of these three groups, as well as the numbers of eligible adults and eligible children. The number of child-only cases increased by 2.1% over one year previous, while cases with one eligible adult decreased by 8.7%, and cases with two eligible adults decreased by 7.0%.

Table 1. Minnesota MFIP Paid Cases in December 1999

		Type of	Type of MFIP Paid Cases				
			One	Two			
		Child	Eligible	Eligible	All		
		Only	Adult	Adults	Cases		
Cases	Count	7,777	29,114	4,643	41,534		
	Percent of All Cases	18.7%	70.1%	11.2%	100%		
Eligible	Count	0	29,114	9,286	38,400		
Adults	Percent of Eligible Adults	0.0%	75.8%	24.2%	100%		
Eligible	Count	14,119	58,747	13,705	86,571		
Children	Percent of Eligible Children	16.3%	67.9%	15.8%	100%		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Data for this report were extracted in February 2000 after the January 2000 data (including December 1999 cases added retroactively during January 2000) had been uploaded to the MAXIS data warehouse. The December 1998 report was based on a January 1999 extraction. Therefore, the caseload size comparisons are made here using numbers from the December 1998 MFIP monthly report whose data were extracted in February 1999 after waiting an extra month as is now standard administrative practice.

#### **Demographic Characteristics**

Table 2 gives demographic characteristics of all eligible adults and also groups these adults according to whether there were one or two adults receiving the payment. The characteristics reported include age, gender, education, marital status, ethnicity, and citizenship. This table shows the diversity of the caseload. The largest group by age was people in their twenties, with thirties the next larger group. But nearly a tenth were teens and nearly 200 were over 60. Most eligible adults were women, but about a sixth were men. Lack of education was a barrier to employment, with 44% lacking that credential. But some participants were illiterate and some had college degrees. More than half of all eligible adults had never been married, but 61% of the adults on cases with two eligible adults were married and living with a spouse. The absolute number of eligible adults in every ethnic category except blacks declined over the previous twelve months. While 16% of all eligible adults were not U.S. citizens, 79% of Asian participants and 4% of white participants were non-citizens. Among eligible adults, there were nearly as many black non-citizens as there were Asian non-citizens.

#### **Household Characteristics**

Table 3 reports statistics on household characteristics for the MFIP families. Cases were divided into family types according to whether adults cared only for their own children (including natural, adopted, and step-children), relative children, both, or there were no children in the household (typically a pregnant woman). Ninety percent of cases included parents and their own children, 8% were relative caregiver cases, and 2% were pregnancy-only cases. Thirty-nine percent of child-only cases were headed by relative caregivers; the adult relatives were included on the grant in only 11% of relative care cases (392 of 3,441).

A second caregiver is an adult who lives in the household and is the spouse of the caregiver who applied for assistance and/or has a child in common with the applicant caregiver. Two-caregiver families accounted for 17% of child-only families and 6% of families with one eligible adult, as well as all families with two eligible adults. Two-caregiver family rates differed by the ethnicity and citizenship of eligible members. Among all eligible adults, 85% of white non-citizens were in two-caregiver families, as were 72% of Asian non-citizens, 57% of Asian citizens, 43% of Hispanic non-citizens, 36% of Hispanic citizens, 32% of black non-citizens, 26% of American Indians, 25% of white citizens, and 14% of black citizens.

Table 3 also gives the number of adult caregivers who were not eligible for MFIP in these households. This included parents receiving disability payments (Supplemental Security Income, or SSI) who were 52% of all ineligible caregivers, parents who were undocumented non-citizens (9%), parents who had been removed from the case for committing welfare fraud (3%), and relative caregivers not included on the case (36%). The total number of ineligible caregivers for families with child-only cases was the total of the 7,777 applicants plus the 1,351 second caregivers in these families. Each ineligible caregiver on a case with one eligible adult formed a two-parent family with the eligible adult on this case.

\_

ii "Relative care" included care by non-relatives for 26 children and foster-care placements for 20 children.

Relative caregivers often choose not to be included on the grant. Six percent of the relative caregivers on child-only cases were SSI recipients, as were all 18 relative caregivers on cases with one eligible adult.

Number of children in the household is given for eligible children (as traditionally reported), ineligible children (not eligible for MFIP because they were receiving SSI payments or were in a foster care placement), and for the total of all children, eligible and not. MFIP families tended to be small, with two children on the average. Nearly 70% of families had one or two children, but there were some very large families. Families with two eligible adults tended to be larger, on the average, than the other categories of families.

The age of the youngest child is also given for eligible children and for all children in the family. MFIP families tended to have young children. More than half of all MFIP families (60%) included a child under the age of six. The average age of the youngest child was five years. Families with two eligible adults tended to have younger children, on the average, and child-only cases had older children.

Finally, Table 3 gives the distribution of cases across regions: Hennepin County, Ramsey County, metro suburban counties (Anoka, Carver, Chisago, Dakota, Isanti, Scott, Sherburne, Washington, and Wright), and outstate (the remaining 76 counties). Families in approximately one-third of cases lived in Hennepin County, one-third outstate, one-fifth in Ramsey County, and one-tenth in metro suburban counties; this was also true of the subgroups except for families with two eligible adults of whom relatively more lived outstate and relatively fewer in Hennepin County.

#### **Economic Characteristics**

Table 4 reports the following economic characteristics of MFIP paid cases in December 1999:

- the number of months the case was active in Minnesota on either AFDC, FGA (Family General Assistance), or MFIP between January 1991 and December 1999,
- the number of counted TANF months from July 1997 to December 1999 for the eligible adult on the case who had the most counted months (i.e., months of TANF assistance which counted toward the 60-month lifetime limit),
- the number of new welfare cases in 1999 and how many applicants had moved to Minnesota during 1999,
- the budgeted earnings counted in determining the MFIP grant for the month,
- the gross household income earned by adults financially responsible for the children,
- the number of hours worked by adults financially responsible for the children,
- Employment Services exemptions,
- sanctions, and
- child support disbursements.

Welfare use. Twenty-six percent of cases with two eligible adults, 36% of cases with one eligible adult, and 45% of child-only cases had been active cases in Minnesota for more than 60 months total in the previous nine years. Thirty percent of cases with one eligible adult and 24% of cases with two eligible adults had already used up more than two years of TANF grants. Nineteen percent of all cases were new cases in 1999 (i.e., cases which were not active at any time from 1991 through 1998); 32% of these new MFIP cases had moved into the state during 1999.

**Working.** Budgetable counted earnings exclude certain kinds and percentages of earnings and are used, along with family size, to determine the size of the MFIP grant; 29% of cases had counted earnings in December 1999. Two measures of working – reporting any income and reporting any work hours – showed that 39% of cases with one eligible adult and 63% of cases with two eligible adults had an adult working in December 1999. Thus 43% of all cases had an eligible adult working (14,299 and 14,376 out of 33,757 cases with eligible adults, respectively, for the two measures).

**Exemptions.** Nearly a quarter of eligible adults (23%) had an exemption from Employment Services activities. Eleven percent were exempt because they were already working the required number of hours; 12% were exempt with a non-employment related exemption, most frequently to care for a child under the age of one (5%) or because they themselves were ill or incapacitated (3%) or because they cared for an ill or incapacitated family member (2%).

**Sanctions.** Eight percent of all cases were in sanction status in December 1999; this was 10% of cases which did not have an Employment Services exemption. This represented 25% of non-exempt cases with two eligible adults, but only 12% of all cases with one eligible adult (and none of the child-only cases which were not subject to sanction).

**Child support.** In December 1999, 1.81 million dollars collected as child support were disbursed on behalf of MFIP families. Seventy-six percent of these dollars were payments for current child support and the rest were payments for arrears. Child support was paid on behalf of one or more children in 17% of MFIP families. Current child support amounts were \$100 or less for 21% of the cases with payments, between \$100 and \$500 for 76%, and over \$500 for 3% of these cases.

## **County and Regional Results**

Table 5 gives the total number of MFIP paid cases and numbers of each type of case by county and economic region. For example, Beltrami County had 1,327 cases. Twenty percent were child-only cases, 65% had one eligible adult, and 15% had two eligible adults. These cases represented 3% of the MFIP cases in the state, as shown in the last column of the table.

Tables 6 through 10 contain selected summary data for cases with one eligible adult (a group including 70% of all cases). The reader should refer to footnotes in the related state table for information needed to interpret findings. Percentages and means will be less stable from year to year for counties with smaller caseloads, and extreme values are often found for these counties. There is less variability for regional findings.

**Demographics.** Table 6 gives data on age, gender, education, and marital status of MFIP adult participants for all counties and economic regions. The percentages of teens varied across counties from a low of none to a high of 24% (vs. 10% statewide for cases with one eligible adult), while percentages of people 30 or older ranged from 31% to 75% (47% statewide). Most caregivers were mothers (or grandmothers, aunts, older sisters, etc.) (93%), but the range for percentage of females was between 69% and 100%. While 42% of the eligible adults in this group did not have high school credentials, counties had caseloads with between 0% and 58%

4

While the state results include all MFIP cases, county and regional results in Tables 5 through 10 do not include Mille Lacs tribal cases. Means for groups of five or fewer are not reported.

without a high school diploma or GED. Statewide, nearly two thirds had never been married (62%); this figure was as low as 28% and as high as 75% in the counties. Table 7 gives ethnic distributions for the seven largest counties and regions only because of the small numbers and identifiability of people of color in many counties. Percentages of people of color in the counties ranged from none to 79% compared to the statewide 54%. Percentages of non-citizens in the counties ranged from none to 36% compared to the statewide 12%.

**Family types.** Table 8 shows the distribution of types of families. Most families were caring for their own children. Cases with a pregnant woman and no other children, which comprised 3% of cases with one eligible adult statewide, ranged from none to 11% in the counties. The relative-care cases represented between none and 7% in the counties, compared to 1% statewide. Two-caregiver MFIP families were 6% of cases with only one adult eligible statewide, and between none and 25% in the separate counties.

**Children.** Table 9 gives statistics on children in MFIP families headed by one eligible adult and including children, both eligible and ineligible. Percentages of families with one child, two children, three children, and four or more children are given in the left section of the table. The largest families represented between 0% and 25% of county cases (14% statewide). The right section of the table gives data on the age of the youngest child in families, with between 0% and 38% of families in counties having a child under one year of age (18% statewide).

**Economics.** Table 10 gives the following economic measures for welfare use, work and income, exemptions, sanctions, and child support:

- the average number of months of family assistance in 1991 through 1999 and the number and percentage of cases with more than 60 months during that time,
- the average number of TANF counted months and the number and percentage of cases with more than 24 months accumulated as of December 1999.
- the number and percentage of all cases which were new in 1999 and the number and percentage of all cases which were both new to Minnesota family assistance and had moved to Minnesota during 1999,
- the number and percentage of cases with earnings counted toward determining the size of the MFIP grant and the average of these counted earnings,
- the number and percentage of cases with reported income and the average amount of gross income for these cases,
- the number and percentage of cases with reported work hours and the average number of hours worked by the eligible employed adult,
- the number and percentage of cases with Employment Services exemptions (employment-related, non-employment-related, and total),
- the number of cases with sanctions and the percentage of all cases and the percentage of cases without exemptions that these cases represented, and
- the number and percentage of cases with current child support disbursements and the median payment.

As for the demographic and household measures, there was considerable variability in these measures across counties and, to a lesser extent, across regions. For example, the percentage of cases with at least two years of TANF eligibility used was 30% statewide and ranged between 0% and 53% for the counties and between 20% and 35% for the economic regions. Also, while 39% of eligible adults on cases with one eligible adult were working statewide using either

income or hours as the indicator, the counties varied between 31% and 78% of these cases reporting income and between 31% and 83% of these cases reporting work hours. Regions varied between 33% and 54% of these cases reporting income and between 33% and 55% of these cases reporting work hours.

The next report in this series will describe the MFIP caseload in December 2000. Input from readers is invited, both reactions to this report and suggestions for indicators in future reports.

Table 2. Demographic Characteristics of Eligible Adults on Minnesota MFIP Paid Cases in December 1999

	II WIIIIIESOLA WII IF FAIG	Type of MFI	Paid Cases	
		One	Two	
Ad	ult Characteristics	Eligible	Eligible	All
		Adult	Adults	Cases
Eligible	Number	29,114	9,286	38,400
Adults	Percent of Total	75.8%	24.2%	100.0%
Age of Adults		30.1	31.0	30.3
	Count	29,114	9,286	38,400
	Median	29	30	29
	Minimum	13	15	13
	Maximum	82	75	82
Frequency	< 18	630	65	695
		2.2%	0.7%	1.8%
	18 - 19	2,275	459	2,734
	00 00	7.8%	4.9%	7.1%
	20 - 29	12,639	4,010	16,649
	20 20	43.4%	43.2%	43.4%
	30 - 39	8,838	3,236	12,074
	40 - 49	30.4%	34.8%	31.4%
	40 - 49	3,786 13.0%	1,206 13.0%	4,992 13.0%
	50 - 59	806	254	1,060
	30 - 39	2.8%	2.7%	2.8%
	60 - 69	134	55	189
	00 - 03	0.5%	0.6%	0.5%
	> 70	6	1	7
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Gender	Female	27,022	4,643	31,665
		92.8%	50.0%	82.5%
	Male	2,092	4,643	6,735
		7.2%	50.0%	17.5%
Education	None or Pre-1st Grade	1,594	1,092	2,686
	or Unknown	5.5%	11.8%	7.0%
	Grade School	1,755	780	2,535
		6.0%	8.4%	6.6%
	Some High School	8,931	2,559	11,490
		30.7%	27.6%	29.9%
	High School Graduate	13,842	4088	17,930
		47.5%	44.0%	46.7%
	Some Post-Secondary	2,534	604	3,138
		8.7%	6.5%	8.2%
	College Graduate	279	80	359
		1.0%	0.9%	0.9%
	Graduate Degree	179	83	262
	High Cohool Creditate an	0.6%	0.9%	0.7%
	High School Graduate or	16,834	4,855	21,689
	Higher	57.8%	52.3%	56.5%

		Type of MFIF	Paid Cases	
		One	Two	
Adı	ult Characteristics	Eligible	Eligible	All
		Adult	Adults	Cases
Marital	Divorced	3,456	224	3,680
Status		11.9%	2.4%	9.6%
	Legally Separated	94	11	105
		0.3%	0.1%	0.3%
	Married, Living with	1,515	5,643	7,158
	Spouse	5.2%	60.8%	18.6%
	Never Married	18,096	2,914	21,010
		62.2%	31.4%	54.7%
	Married, Separated	5,578	482	6,060
		19.2%	5.2%	15.8%
	Widowed	375	12	387
		1.3%	0.1%	1.0%
Ethnicity	Asian	1,930	1,534	3,464
		6.6%	16.5%	9.0%
	Black	9,686	1,850	11,536
		33.3%	19.9%	30.0%
	Hispanic	1,366	595	1,961
		4.7%	6.4%	5.1%
	American Indian	2,768	899	3,667
		9.5%	9.7%	9.5%
	Pacific Islander	2	8	10
		0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
	White	13,331	4,390	17,721
		45.8%	47.3%	46.1%
Citizenship	Non-U.S.	3,450	2,668	6,118
		11.8%	28.7%	15.9%
	U.S.	25,664	6,618	32,282
		88.2%	71.3%	84.1%
Non-citizens	Asian	1,445	1,307	2,752
	Percent of All Asians	74.9%	85.2%	79.4%
	Black	1,575	678	2,253
	Percent of All Blacks	16.3%	36.6%	19.5%
	Hispanic	293	118	411
	Percent of All Hispanics	21.4%	19.8%	21.0%
	White	124	551	675
	Percent of All Whites	0.9%	12.6%	3.8%

#### Notes:

**Education:** This information may not be reliably entered or updated on MAXIS. Some persons with a code for no education/pre-first grade later have that code changed. Post-secondary includes either some college, or some vocational/technical/business training, or both.

Marital status: This information may not be reliably updated on MAXIS after application.

**Ethnicity**: Pacific Islander is a new administrative category formerly included in the Asian ethnic group. Because ongoing recipients may not be reclassified, some people of Pacific Islander origins may be included in the Asian group.

**Non-citizens:** Percent of all people in ethnic group for the number of eligible adults category. Fewer than 1% of American Indians were non-citizens; fewer than 1% of total group were classified as Pacific Islanders.

Missing data: The only missing data were ethnicity for 41 adults.

Table 3. Household Composition of Minnesota MFIP Paid Cases in December 1999

		Type of	MFIP Paid	Cases	
			One	Two	
Househ	old Composition	Child	Eligible	Eligible	All
		Only	Adult	Adults	Cases
Cases	Count	7,777	29,114	4,643	41,534
	Percent of All Cases	18.7%	70.1%	11.2%	100.0%
Family Type	Natural/Adopted/	4,625	27,477	4,518	36,620
	Step Children Only	59.6%	94.7%	97.4%	88.4%
	Both Own and	80	398	79	557
	Relative Children	1.0%	1.4%	1.7%	1.3%
	Pregnant Only	0	754	30	784
		0.0%	2.6%	0.6%	1.9%
	Relative Care Only	3,049	379	13	3,441
		39.3%	1.3%	0.3%	8.3%
Two-caregiver	Count	1,351	1,742	4,643	7,736
Families	Percent of Cases	17.4%	6.0%	100.0%	18.6%
Ineligible	SSI	4,090	1,561		5,651
Caregivers*		44.8%	89.6%		52.0%
	Non-citizens	885	120		1,005
		9.7%	6.9%		9.2%
	Fraud	253	43		296
		2.8%	2.5%		2.7%
	Relative Caregivers	3,854	18		3,872
	Otherma	42.2%	1.0%		35.6%
	Others	46	0		46
	Tatal	0.5%	0.0%		0.4%
	Total	9,128 84.0%	1,742 16.0%		10,870 100.0%
Novele en ef	Percent of Total			2.0	
Number of	Mean	1.8	2.1	3.0	2.2
Eligible Children in	Count of Cases	7,754	27,911	4,591	40,256
Household	Median Minimum	1	2	4	4
nousellolu	Maximum	13	13	13	13
Frequency	1	4,224	11,947	1,075	17,246
li requericy	'	54.5%	42.8%	23.4%	42.8%
	2	1,989	8,052	1,241	11,282
	-	25.6%	28.8%	27.0%	28.0%
	3	873	4,295	912	6,080
	•	11.3%	15.4%	19.9%	15.1%
	4 - 6	588	3,168	1,068	4,824
	. •	7.6%	11.3%	23.3%	12.0%
	7 - 9	73	418	262	753
	-	0.9%	1.5%	5.7%	1.9%
	10 or more	7	31	33	71
		0.1%	0.1%	0.7%	0.2%

Table 4. Economic Characteristics of Minnesota MFIP Paid Cases in December 1999

		Type	of MFIP Paid	Cases	
		71.	One	Two	
Economic Charact	teristics of Cases	Child	Eligible	Eligible	All
		Only	Adult	Adults	Cases
Cases	Count	7,777	29,114	4,643	41,534
	Percent of Total	18.7%	70.1%	11.2%	100.0%
Welfare in Minnesota (Months):	Mean	54.7	46.2	38.1	46.9
1991 - 1999	Median	54	39	28	41
Frequency	1 - 12 Months	1,277	6,537	1,339	9,153
		16.4%	22.5%	28.8%	22.0%
	13 - 24 Months	983	4,521	802	6,306
		12.6%	15.5%	17.3%	15.2%
	25 - 36 Months	666	2,842	486	3,994
		8.6%	9.8%	10.5%	9.6%
	37- 48 Months	647	2,489	440	3,576
		8.3%	8.5%	9.5%	8.6%
	49 - 60 Months	672	2,345	378	3,395
		8.6%	8.1%	8.1%	8.2%
	Over 60 Months	3,532	10,380	1,198	15,110
		45.4%	35.7%	25.8%	36.4%
TANF Time (Months):	Mean		16.4	15.1	16.2
Maximum for Eligible Adult	Median		17	14	17
July 1997 to December 1999			29,114	4,643	33,757
Frequency	No TANF Months		1,983	94	2,077
. ,			6.8%	2.0%	6.2%
	1 - 6 Months		5,061	1,129	6,190
			17.4%	24.3%	18.3%
	7 - 12 Months		4,126	838	4,964
			14.2%	18.0%	14.7%
	13 - 18 Months		4,672	834	5,506
			16.0%	18.0%	16.3%
	19 - 24 Months		4,470	652	5,122
			15.4%	14.0%	15.2%
	25 - 30 Months		8,802	1,096	9,898
			30.2%	23.6%	29.3%
New Welfare Cases in 1999	Minnesota Residents	883	3,971	638	5,492
	Percent of New Cases	76.8%	68.9%	54.0%	67.8%
	Moved into State	267	1,796	543	2,606
	Percent of New Cases	23.2%	31.1%	46.0%	32.2%
	Total of New Cases	1,150	5,767	1,181	8,098
	Percent of All Cases	14.8%	19.8%	25.4%	19.5%
December Counted	Mean	\$151	\$405	\$557	\$437
(Budgeted) Earnings	Median	\$78	\$375	\$520	\$402
Frequency	Counted Earnings	11	9,547	2,609	12,167
	Percent of Cases	0.1%	32.8%	56.2%	29.3%
December Gross Income	Mean	\$587	\$797	\$1,149	\$866
	Median	\$449	\$721	\$1,054	\$769
Frequency	Income / Working	163	11,384	2,915	14,462
	Percent of Cases	2.1%	39.1%	62.8%	34.8%

		М	FIP Paid Cas	es	
			One	Two	
Economic Characte	eristics of Cases	Child Only	Eligible Adult	Eligible Adults	All Cases
<b>December Monthly Work Hours</b>	Mean	85	101	140	109
	Median	80	100	142	105
Frequency	Work Hours / Working	161	11,439	2,937	14,537
	Percent of Cases	2.1%	39.3%	63.3%	35.0%
	1 - 79 Hours	78	4,287	681	5,046
		1.0%	14.7%	14.7%	12.1%
	80 - 119 Hours	34	2,562	488	3,084
		0.4%	8.8%	10.5%	7.4%
	120 - 159 Hours	23	2,297	499	2,819
		0.3%	7.9%	10.7%	6.8%
	160 Hours or More	26	2,293	1,269	3,588
		0.3%	7.9%	27.3%	8.6%
Employment Services	All Exemptions		6,293	2,442	8,735
Exemptions	Percent of Eligible Adults		21.6%	26.3%	22.7%
	Employment Related		2,811	1,340	4,151
	Zimproyimonic reduction		9.7%	14.4%	10.8%
	40 Hr/Wk or More		2,810	1,243	4,053
	40 millione		9.7%	13.4%	10.6%
	2nd Parent 20 Hr/Wk or		1	97	98
	More		0.0%	1.0%	0.3%
	Non-employment Related		3,482	1,102	4,584
	Non-employment Kelated		12.0%	11.9%	11.9%
	Age 60 or Older		138	55	193
	Age 60 01 Older		0.5%	0.6%	0.5%
	Drognonov/Inconceitated		64	20	84
	Pregnancy/Incapacitated		0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
	III/Imaanaaitatad Mara		709		
	III/Incapacitated More		4	318	1,027
	than 30 Days		2.4%	3.4%	2.7%
	Care of III/Incapacitated		690	164	854
	Family Member		2.4%	1.8%	2.2%
	Personal/Family Crisis		323	74	397
			1.1%	0.8%	1.0%
	Care of Child under		1,440	471	1,911
	One Year Old		4.9%	5.1%	5.0%
	Domestic Violence Safety		118	0.00/	118
	Plan		0.4%	0.0%	0.3%
Sanctions	Cases with Sanctions		2,695	542	3,237
	Percent of All Cases		9.3%	11.7%	7.8%
Pe	rcent of Non-exempt Cases		11.8%	24.6%	9.9%
	10%		864	119	983
			3.0%	2.6%	2.4%
	25%		15	0	15
			0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
	30%		1,816	423	2,239
			6.2%	9.1%	5.4%

		М	FIP Paid Case	es	
Economic Charact	eristics of Cases		One	Two	
		Child	Eligible	Eligible	All
		Only	Adult	Adults	Cases
Child Support Disbursements	Current Mean	\$188	\$215	\$175	\$209
	Median	\$160	\$186	\$156	\$178
	Count	1,205	5,132	268	6,605
	Percent of Cases	15.5%	17.6%	5.8%	15.9%
	Sum	\$226,886	\$1,103,749	\$46,943	\$1,377,578
	Arrears Mean	\$106	\$108	\$95	\$107
	Median	\$61	\$66	\$66	\$65
	Count	755	3,202	164	4,121
	Percent of Cases	9.7%	11.0%	3.5%	9.9%
	Sum	\$80,030	\$346,709	\$15,515	\$442,254
	Total Mean	\$236	\$263	\$211	\$256
	Median	\$193	\$220	\$183	\$213
	Count	1,288	5,485	296	7,069
	Percent of Cases	16.6%	18.8%	6.4%	17.0%
	Sum	\$304,309	\$1,443,891	\$62,458	\$1,810,657

#### Notes:

**Welfare:** The total length of time on MFIP, AFDC, and FGA in Minnesota from the start of record-keeping on the MAXIS system. This was the number of months the case was active in a family cash assistance program (FGA, AFDC, or MFIP) from January 1991 to December 1999. (Welfare length in the December 1997 and December 1998 reports was based on case span data.)

**TANF time:** Maximum number of months of counted eligibility for TANF of any adult eligible on the case between July 1997 and December 1999; the lifetime limit of TANF eligibility as of December 1999 was 60 months. Minnesota started counting TANF time in July 1997; several other states started counting sooner.

New welfare cases: New welfare cases for 1999 were cases active in a family cash assistance program in Minnesota for one or more months in 1999 but active for zero months in 1991 through 1998. Cases new to the state had a former state with a 1999 entry date in MAXIS; Minnesota residents were people who did not move into the state during 1999. (This definition is different from the one used in the previous two reports.) The 2,606 persons on new welfare cases who were also new to Minnesota came from 46 states, two territories, and the District of Columbia; 487 came directly from a foreign country. States with the most such in-migrants on MFIP in December 1999 included Illinois (380), California (335), Texas (198), and Wisconsin (189).

Counted earnings: The amount on the December budget for the case, whether retrospective (reported income earned in October) or prospective (projected income for December for cases in the first two months on MFIP) was used for earnings in this report. Counted earnings - actual or projected earnings less child support payments and other allocations, less expenses for self-employment income, and then less the 38% disregard - are budgeted. Work-study earnings and the earnings of students under age 20 attending school at least half-time are not included. Mean earnings were computed for cases which had earnings greater than zero. Earnings for the child-only cases came from deemed income - income earned by adults who were not eligible for MFIP but were responsible for the children.

Gross income: Total income, either actual income of eligible adults and other adults whose earnings were deemed for the case in December 1999 (reported as retrospective earnings in February 2000 for adults eligible or deemed on February active cases or as prospective December 1999 gross income for adults no longer eligible or deemed in February). Mean gross income excluded cases with no income from eligible or deeming adults in December 1999.

**Work hours:** The hours for eligible and other deemed adults corresponding to the gross income, February 2000 retrospective hours or December 1999 prospective hours, as for gross income. There was a small discrepancy between the percent working from gross income and work hours because some cases had one and not the other reported. Mean hours are for cases with any hours reported for eligible or deemed adults. The hours are totals for the case.

Employment Services (ES) exemptions: A person with an ES exemption in a month is not required to work or do other work-related activities during that month; the ES exemption does not stop the month counting toward use of TANF time. For this variable, the percentages are of eligible adults rather than cases because exemptions are granted to individuals, not cases (the same thing for cases with one eligible adult). The exemptions are separated into two categories: employment-related and non-employment related. Employment-related exemptions excuse the person from other work-related activities because they are employed the specified number of hours. Child-only cases do not have ES exemptions because only eligible adults need or receive them. In 459 of the two-caregiver cases, both eligible adults had an ES exemption.

**Sanctions:** For one eligible adult not complying, the first ES or Financial Orientation sanction is for 10%, while the first sanction for not cooperating with Child Support is 25%. If not fixed, the sanction is increased to the maximum of 30%. The initial sanction for cases with two eligible adults both not complying is 30%.

**Child Support:** Disbursements made in December 1999 from payments made to Child Support Enforcement Division on behalf of children in MFIP families. Includes both current payments and payments made for arrears on past months.

Table 5. December 1999 MFIP Paid Cases by County and Region

l able 5. Decen		Percent			
		pes of MFI One	Two		of
County/Region	Child	Eligible	Eligible	AII	State
	Only	Adult	Adults	Cases	Cases
Aitkin	23	52	23	98	
	23.5%	53.1%	23.5%	100.0%	0.2%
Anoka	279	1,254	197	1,730	
	16.1%	72.5%	11.4%	100.0%	4.2%
Becker	65	241	67	373	
	17.4%	64.6%	18.0%	100.0%	0.9%
Beltrami	271	861	195	1,327	
	20.4%	64.9%	14.7%	100.0%	3.2%
Benton	30	133	13	176	
	17.0%	75.6%	7.4%	100.0%	0.4%
Big Stone	4	19	9	32	
	12.5%	59.4%	28.1%	100.0%	0.1%
Blue Earth	61	226	57	344	
	17.7%	65.7%	16.6%	100.0%	0.8%
Brown	22	63	13	98	
	22.4%	64.3%	13.3%	100.0%	0.2%
Carlton	65	174	43	282	
	23.0%	61.7%	15.2%	100.0%	0.7%
Carver	32	79	15	126	
	25.4%	62.7%	11.9%	100.0%	0.3%
Cass	93	301	61	455	
	20.4%	66.2%	13.4%	100.0%	1.1%
Chippewa	6	37	11	54	
	11.1%	68.5%	20.4%	100.0%	0.1%
Chisago	26	156	24	206	
	12.6%	75.7%	11.7%	100.0%	0.5%
Clay	65	371	98	534	
	12.2%	69.5%	18.4%	100.0%	1.3%
Clearwater	28	74	19	121	
	23.1%	61.2%	15.7%	100.0%	0.3%
Cook	4	4	0	8	
	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Cottonwood	14	37	8	59	
	23.7%	62.7%	13.6%	100.0%	0.1%
Crow Wing	91	268	54	413	
	22.0%	64.9%	13.1%	100.0%	1.0%
Dakota	234	932	98	1,264	
	18.5%	73.7%	7.8%	100.0%	3.0%
Dodge	9	33	8	50	
	18.0%	66.0%	16.0%	100.0%	0.1%
Douglas	21	112	18	151	
	13.9%	74.2%	11.9%	100.0%	0.4%
Faribault	13	56	7	76	
	17.1%	73.7%	9.2%	100.0%	0.2%
Fillmore	10	36	10	56	
	17.9%	64.3%	17.9%	100.0%	0.1%
Freeborn	39	174	31	244	
	16.0%	71.3%	12.7%	100.0%	0.6%

	т	Types of MFIP Paid Cases						
County/Region	Child Only	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All Cases	of State Cases			
Goodhue	25	110	17	152				
Goodiiao	16.4%	72.4%	11.2%	100.0%	0.4%			
Grant	3	18	2	23	0.170			
	13.0%	78.3%	8.7%	100.0%	0.1%			
Hennepin	2,654	9,760	1220	13,634	0.170			
· · · · ·	19.5%	71.6%	8.9%	100.0%	32.8%			
Houston	14	52	3	69				
	20.3%	75.4%	4.3%	100.0%	0.2%			
Hubbard	40	87	24	151				
	26.5%	57.6%	15.9%	100.0%	0.4%			
Isanti	34	128	24	186				
	18.3%	68.8%	12.9%	100.0%	0.4%			
Itasca	83	217	66	366				
	22.7%	59.3%	18.0%	100.0%	0.9%			
Jackson	7	34	7	48				
	14.6%	70.8%	14.6%	100.0%	0.1%			
Kanabec	25	62	10	97				
	25.8%	63.9%	10.3%	100.0%	0.2%			
Kandiyohi	57	229	60	346				
	16.5%	66.2%	17.3%	100.0%	0.8%			
Kittson	1	13	3	17				
	5.9%	76.5%	17.6%	100.0%	0.0%			
Koochiching	17	85	21	123				
	13.8%	69.1%	17.1%	100.0%	0.3%			
Lac Qui Prarie	3	16	5	24				
	12.5%	66.7%	20.8%	100.0%	0.1%			
Lake	7	32	7	46				
	15.2%	69.6%	15.2%	100.0%	0.1%			
Lake of Woods	0	10	4	14				
	0.0%	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%	0.0%			
Le Sueur	25	63	7	95				
	26.3%	66.3%	7.4%	100.0%	0.2%			
Lincoln	3	12	0	15				
	20.0%	80.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%			
Lyon	17	93	16	126				
	13.5%	73.8%	12.7%	100.0%	0.3%			
McLeod	15	88	19	122				
	12.3%	72.1%	15.6%	100.0%	0.3%			
Mahnomen	39	94	19	152				
	25.7%	61.8%	12.5%	100.0%	0.4%			
Marshall	6	22	5	33				
	18.2%	66.7%	15.2%	100.0%	0.1%			
Martin	30	95	18	143				
	21.0%	66.4%	12.6%	100.0%	0.3%			
Meeker	24	66	9	99				
	24.2%	66.7%	9.1%	100.0%	0.2%			
Mille Lacs	50	125	22	197				
	25.4%	63.5%	11.2%	100.0%	0.5%			
Morrison	31	114	19	164				
	18.9%	69.5%	11.6%	100.0%	0.4%			

	Ty	pes of MFI	P Paid Cas	ses	Percent
		One	Two		of
County/Region	Child	Eligible	Eligible	All	State
	Only	Adult	Adults	Cases	Cases
Mower	37	173	25	235	
	15.7%	73.6%	10.6%	100.0%	0.6%
Murray	5	8	3	16	
	31.3%	50.0%	18.8%	100.0%	0.0%
Nicollet	20	101	16	137	
	14.6%	73.7%	11.7%	100.0%	0.3%
Nobles	25	108	21	154	
	16.2%	70.1%	13.6%	100.0%	0.4%
Norman	9	32	7	48	
	18.8%	66.7%	14.6%	100.0%	0.1%
Olmsted	119	570	94	783	
	15.2%	72.8%	12.0%	100.0%	1.9%
Otter Tail	49	181	48	278	
	17.6%	65.1%	17.3%	100.0%	0.7%
Pennington	15	79	6	100	
	15.0%	79.0%	6.0%	100.0%	0.2%
Pine	33	167	36	236	
	14.0%	70.8%	15.3%	100.0%	0.6%
Pipestone	12	32	4	48	
·	25.0%	66.7%	8.3%	100.0%	0.1%
Polk	35	264	74	373	
	9.4%	70.8%	19.8%	100.0%	0.9%
Pope	7	20	6	33	
•	21.2%	60.6%	18.2%	100.0%	0.1%
Ramsey	1,605	5,811	839	8,255	
,	19.4%	70.4%	10.2%	100.0%	19.9%
Red Lake	1	14	4	19	
	5.3%	73.7%	21.1%	100.0%	0.0%
Redwood	13	50	14	77	0.070
	16.9%	64.9%	18.2%	100.0%	0.2%
Renville	14	46	18	78	0.270
	17.9%	59.0%	23.1%	100.0%	0.2%
Rice	62	199	29	290	0.270
	21.4%	68.6%	10.0%	100.0%	0.7%
Rock	12	22	3	37	<b>311</b> / 6
rio o n	32.4%	59.5%	8.1%	100.0%	0.1%
Roseau	7	35	3	45	3.170
	15.6%	77.8%	6.7%	100.0%	0.1%
St. Louis	407	1,524	304	2,235	3.170
Ot. Louis	18.2%	68.2%	13.6%	100.0%	5.4%
Scott	40	170	25	235	3.470
ocon	17.0%	72.3%	10.6%	100.0%	0.6%
Sherburne	32	146	21	199	3.070
O.IOI DUI IIG	16.1%	73.4%	10.6%	100.0%	0.5%
Sibley	15.176	33	10.0%	62	0.570
Oibiey	24.2%	53.2%	22.6%	100.0%	0.1%
Stearns	108	421	59	588	0.170
oteanis	18.4%	71.6%	10.0%	100.0%	1.4%
Steele				100.0%	1.47/0
Sidele	25 13.0%	142 74.0%	25 13.0%		0.5%
	13.0%	74.0%	13.0%	100.0%	0.5%

County/Region		Types of MFIP Paid Cases						
	Child Only	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All Cases	of State Cases			
Stevens	5	24	7	36				
	13.9%	66.7%	19.4%	100.0%	0.1%			
Swift	10	26	3	39				
	25.6%	66.7%	7.7%	100.0%	0.1%			
Todd	38	122	28	188				
	20.2%	64.9%	14.9%	100.0%	0.5%			
Traverse	5	18	1	24				
	20.8%	75.0%	4.2%	100.0%	0.1%			
Wabasha	18	36	8	62				
	29.0%	58.1%	12.9%	100.0%	0.1%			
Wadena	23	83	18	124				
	18.5%	66.9%	14.5%	100.0%	0.3%			
Waseca	17	79	18	114				
	14.9%	69.3%	15.8%	100.0%	0.3%			
Washington	93	498	67	658				
	14.1%	75.7%	10.2%	100.0%	1.6%			
Watonwan	26	55	14	95				
	27.4%	57.9%	14.7%	100.0%	0.2%			
Wilkin	5	28	7	40				
	12.5%	70.0%	17.5%	100.0%	0.1%			
Winona	48	153	25	226				
	21.2%	67.7%	11.1%	100.0%	0.5%			
Wright	55	224	36	315				
	17.5%	71.1%	11.4%	100.0%	0.8%			
Yellow Medicine	7	23	0	30				
	23.3%	76.7%	0.0%	100.0%	0.1%			
Northwest	74	459	102	635				
	11.7%	72.3%	16.1%	100.0%	1.5%			
West Central	879	3,027	695	4,601				
	19.1%	65.8%	15.1%	100.0%	11.1%			
Northeast	606	2,088	464	3,158				
	19.2%	66.1%	14.7%	100.0%	7.6%			
Central	503	1,991	351	2,845				
	17.7%	70.0%	12.3%	100.0%	6.8%			
Southwest	138	517	104	759				
	18.2%	68.1%	13.7%	100.0%	1.8%			
South Central	229	771	164	1,164				
	19.7%	66.2%	14.1%	100.0%	2.8%			
Southeast	406	1,678	275	2,359				
	17.2%	71.1%	11.7%	100.0%	5.7%			
Metro Suburban	678	2,933	402	4,013				
	16.9%	73.1%	10.0%	100.0%	9.7%			
Core Metro	4,259	15,571	2,059	21,889				
	19.5%	71.1%	9.4%	100.0%	52.7%			
Minnesota	7,777	29,114	4,643	41,534				
	18.7%	70.1%	11.2%	100.0%	100.0%			

Table 6. Demographics of Eligible Adults on December 1999 MFIP Paid Cases with One Eligible Adult: Age, Gender, Education, and Marital Status

County/Region/		Liigibio	30 or	tgo, com	aoi, <u>L</u> aa	cation, a	iid iiidiii	I	Never	
State	Teens	20's	Older	Female	< HS	GED	> HS	Married		Others
Aitkin	1 00/	15	36	45	13	35	4	2	19	31
Anaka	1.9%	28.8%	69.2%	86.5%	25.0%	67.3%	7.7%	3.8%	36.5%	59.6%
Anoka	120	604	530	1,190	432	684	138	40	764	450
Pookor	9.6%	48.2%	42.3%	94.9%	34.4%	54.5%	11.0%	3.2%	60.9%	35.9%
Becker	34	98	109	220	103	120	18	7	141	93
Beltrami	14.1%	40.7% 371	45.2%	91.3%	42.7%	49.8%	7.5% 72	2.9%	58.5%	38.6% 217
Deitrailli	103		387	785	378	411		10	634	
Ponton	12.0%	43.1% 63	44.9%	91.2%	43.9%	47.7% 69	8.4% 12	1.2%	73.6%	25.2%
Benton	12 9.0%	47.4%	58	120	52			3.0%	78 58.6%	51
Pia Stone			43.6%	90.2%	39.1%	51.9%	9.0%			38.3%
Big Stone	0	7	12	17	5	11	3	1	10	8
Dive Feetle	0.0%	36.8%	63.2%	89.5%	26.3%	57.9%	15.8%	5.3%	52.6%	42.1%
Blue Earth	20	111	95	215	76	107	43	11	126	89
<b>D</b>	8.8%	49.1%	42.0%	95.1%	33.6%	47.3%	19.0%	4.9%	55.8%	39.4%
Brown	12	28	23	61	18	37	8	3	36	24
	19.0%	44.4%	36.5%	96.8%	28.6%	58.7%	12.7%	4.8%	57.1%	38.1%
Carlton	16	85	73	162	50	104	20	13	86	75
	9.2%	48.9%	42.0%	93.1%	28.7%	59.8%	11.5%	7.5%	49.4%	43.1%
Carver	7	34	38	74	36	37	6	3	37	39
	8.9%	43.0%	48.1%	93.7%	45.6%	46.8%	7.6%	3.8%	46.8%	49.4%
Cass	30	107	164	272	130	153	18	11	193	97
	10.0%	35.5%	54.5%	90.4%	43.2%	50.8%	6.0%	3.7%	64.1%	32.2%
Chippewa	2	19	16	35	12	18	7	1	20	16
	5.4%	51.4%	43.2%	94.6%	32.4%	48.6%	18.9%	2.7%	54.1%	43.2%
Chisago	21	77	58	145	54	84	18	7	92	57
	13.5%	49.4%	37.2%	92.9%	34.6%	53.8%	11.5%	4.5%	59.0%	36.5%
Clay	29	152	190	337	137	185	49	26	185	160
	7.8%	41.0%	51.2%	90.8%	36.9%	49.9%	13.2%	7.0%	49.9%	43.1%
Clearwater	5	25	44	66	29	36	9	1	37	36
	6.8%	33.8%	59.5%	89.2%	39.2%	48.6%	12.2%	1.4%	50.0%	48.6%
Cook	0	1	3	3	0	3	1	0	2	2
	0.0%	25.0%	75.0%	75.0%	0.0%	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
Cottonwood	1	17	19	35	13	22	2	4	16	17
	2.7%	45.9%	51.4%	94.6%	35.1%	59.5%	5.4%	10.8%	43.2%	45.9%
Crow Wing	21	140	107	250	85	142	41	9	145	114
	7.8%	52.2%	39.9%	93.3%	31.7%	53.0%	15.3%	3.4%	54.1%	42.5%
Dakota	102	452	378	901	294	479	159	22	590	320
	10.9%	48.5%	40.6%	96.7%	31.5%	51.4%	17.1%	2.4%	63.3%	34.3%
Dodge	5	10	18	29	17	14	2	1	14	18
	15.2%	30.3%	54.5%	87.9%	51.5%	42.4%	6.1%	3.0%	42.4%	54.5%
Douglas	12	53	47	107	25	70	17	1	62	49
-	10.7%	47.3%	42.0%	95.5%	22.3%	62.5%	15.2%	0.9%	55.4%	43.8%
Faribault	6	28	22	52	13	37	6	3	31	22
	10.7%	50.0%	39.3%	92.9%	23.2%	66.1%	10.7%	5.4%	55.4%	39.3%
Fillmore	4	11	21	33	10	25	1	2	14	20
	11.1%	30.6%	58.3%	91.7%	27.8%	69.4%	2.8%	5.6%	38.9%	55.6%
Freeborn	16	86	72	164	75	82	17	12	89	73
	9.2%	49.4%	41.4%	94.3%	43.1%	47.1%	9.8%	6.9%	51.1%	42.0%

County/Region/			30 or			HS or			Never	
State	Teens	20's	Older	Female	< HS	GED	> HS	Married	Married	Others
Goodhue	9	52	49	102	37	59	14	4	60	46
	8.2%	47.3%	44.5%	92.7%	33.6%	53.6%	12.7%	3.6%	54.5%	41.8%
Grant	1	4	13	16	6	9	3	1	5	12
	5.6%	22.2%	72.2%	88.9%	33.3%	50.0%	16.7%	5.6%	27.8%	66.7%
Hennepin	957	4181	4,622	9,115	4,564	4,266	930	402	6,680	2,678
	9.8%	42.8%	47.4%	93.4%	46.8%	43.7%	9.5%	4.1%	68.4%	27.4%
Houston	2	26	24	50	15	25	12	3	25	24
	3.8%	50.0%	46.2%	96.2%	28.8%	48.1%	23.1%	5.8%	48.1%	46.2%
Hubbard	15	36	36	87	31	47	9	4	43	40
	17.2%	41.4%	41.4%	100.0%	35.6%	54.0%	10.3%	4.6%	49.4%	46.0%
Isanti	13	55	60	122	45	65	18	4	64	60
	10.2%	43.0%	46.9%	95.3%	35.2%	50.8%	14.1%	3.1%	50.0%	46.9%
Itasca	22	87	108	190	58	135	24	19	117	81
	10.1%	40.1%	49.8%	87.6%	26.7%	62.2%	11.1%	8.8%	53.9%	37.3%
Jackson	8	10	16	33	16	12	6	1	16	17
	23.5%	29.4%	47.1%	97.1%	47.1%	35.3%	17.6%	2.9%	47.1%	50.0%
Kanabec	5	21	36	52	24	32	6	6	22	34
	8.1%	33.9%	58.1%	83.9%	38.7%	51.6%	9.7%	9.7%	35.5%	54.8%
Kandiyohi	36	97	96	200	124	88	17	23	125	81
	15.7%	42.4%	41.9%	87.3%	54.1%	38.4%	7.4%	10.0%	54.6%	35.4%
Kittson	1	5	7	13	6	7	0	1	8	4
	7.7%	38.5%	53.8%	100.0%	46.2%	53.8%	0.0%	7.7%	61.5%	30.8%
Koochiching	10	30	45	79	26	51	8	5	37	43
	11.8%	35.3%	52.9%	92.9%	30.6%	60.0%	9.4%	5.9%	43.5%	50.6%
Lac Qui Prarie	0	6	10	11	7	9	0	3	7	6
	0.0%	37.5%	62.5%	68.8%	43.8%	56.3%	0.0%	18.8%	43.8%	37.5%
Lake	3	14	15	31	8	19	5	0	14	18
	9.4%	43.8%	46.9%	96.9%	25.0%	59.4%	15.6%	0.0%	43.8%	56.3%
Lake of Woods	1	4	5	8	5	4	1	1	5	4
	10.0%	40.0%	50.0%	80.0%	50.0%	40.0%	10.0%	10.0%	50.0%	40.0%
Le Sueur	6	30	27	57	29	26	8	9	28	26
	9.5%	47.6%	42.9%	90.5%	46.0%	41.3%	12.7%	14.3%	44.4%	41.3%
Lincoln	0	3	9	10	3	8	1	1	5	6
	0.0%	25.0%	75.0%	83.3%	25.0%	66.7%	8.3%	8.3%	41.7%	50.0%
Lyon	16	40	37	86	38	38	17	12	51	30
	17.2%	43.0%	39.8%	92.5%	40.9%	40.9%	18.3%	12.9%	54.8%	32.3%
McLeod	11	49	28	79	36	44	8	4	48	36
	12.5%	55.7%	31.8%	89.8%	40.9%	50.0%	9.1%	4.5%	54.5%	40.9%
Mahnomen	10	41	43	84	31	57	6	1	64	29
	10.6%	43.6%	45.7%	89.4%	33.0%	60.6%	6.4%	1.1%	68.1%	30.9%
Marshall	0	8	14	22	7	10	5	1	8	13
	0.0%	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%	31.8%	45.5%	22.7%	4.5%	36.4%	59.1%
Martin	9	47	39	93	30	55	10	4	50	41
	9.5%	49.5%	41.1%	97.9%	31.6%	57.9%	10.5%	4.2%	52.6%	43.2%
Meeker	6	31	29	63	24	36	6	1	33	32
BATH . I	9.1%	47.0%	43.9%	95.5%	36.4%	54.5%	9.1%	1.5%	50.0%	48.5%
Mille Lacs	11	52	62	116	38	79	8	6	60	59
	8.8%	41.6%	49.6%	92.8%	30.4%	63.2%	6.4%	4.8%	48.0%	47.2%
Morrison	14	43	57	107	59	46	9	5	53	56
	12.3%	37.7%	50.0%	93.9%	51.8%	40.4%	7.9%	4.4%	46.5%	49.1%

County/Region/			30 or			HS or		1	Never	
State	Teens	20's	Older	Female	< HS	GED	> HS	Married	Married	Others
Mower	17	102	54	162	75	82	16	14	103	56
in o wor	9.8%	59.0%	31.2%	93.6%	43.4%	47.4%	9.2%	8.1%	59.5%	32.4%
Murray	0	5	3	8	1	7	0	0	3	5
	0.0%	62.5%	37.5%	100.0%	12.5%	87.5%	0.0%	0.0%	37.5%	62.5%
Nicollet	8	55	38	99	23	61	17	2	54	45
	7.9%	54.5%	37.6%	98.0%	22.8%	60.4%	16.8%	2.0%	53.5%	44.6%
Nobles	12	54	42	95	63	36	9	17	50	41
1100.00	11.1%	50.0%	38.9%	88.0%	58.3%	33.3%	8.3%	15.7%	46.3%	38.0%
Norman	3	13	16	29	8	20	4	3	14	15
Norman	9.4%	40.6%	50.0%	90.6%	25.0%	62.5%	12.5%	9.4%	43.8%	46.9%
Olmsted	50	237	283	522	280	244	46	46	251	273
Omistea	8.8%	41.6%	49.6%	91.6%	49.1%	42.8%	8.1%	8.1%	44.0%	47.9%
Otter Tail	18	76	87	154	54	104	23	6	86	89
Otter ran	9.9%	42.0%	48.1%	85.1%	29.8%	57.5%	12.7%	3.3%	47.5%	49.2%
Pennington	7	34	38	72	29.070	43	14	3.370	40	36
Femiligion	8.9%	43.0%	48.1%	91.1%	27.8%	54.4%	17.7%	3.8%	50.6%	45.6%
Pine	17	72	78	148	61	88	18	11	73	83
rille	10.2%	43.1%	46.7%	88.6%	36.5%	52.7%	10.8%	6.6%	43.7%	49.7%
Pipestone	10.2%	8	19	27		15		0.0%	14	18
ripestone	15.6%	25.0%	59.4%	84.4%	11 34.4%	46.9%	6 18.8%	0.0%	43.8%	56.3%
Polk	15.6%	118	124	251	105	133	26	13	132	119
POIK							-			
Dono	8.3%	44.7%	47.0%	95.1%	39.8%	50.4%	9.8%	4.9%	50.0%	45.1%
Pope	3	4	13	18	5	12	3	0	11	9
Damasu	15.0%	20.0%	65.0%	90.0%	25.0%	60.0%	15.0%	0.0%	55.0%	45.0%
Ramsey	584	2,390	2,837	5,326	2,865	2,446	500	564	3,752	1,495
Dad Lake	10.0%	41.1%	48.8%	91.7%	49.3%	42.1%	8.6%	9.7%	64.6%	25.7%
Red Lake	3	4	7	14	4	7	3	1	9	4
Dadwaad	21.4%	28.6%	50.0%	100.0%	28.6%	50.0%	21.4%	7.1%	64.3%	28.6%
Redwood	2	26	22	45	25	21	4	2	27	21
B	4.0%	52.0%	44.0%	90.0%	50.0%	42.0%	8.0%	4.0%	54.0%	42.0%
Renville	7	19	20	45	25	19	2	3	25	18
D:	15.2%	41.3%	43.5%	97.8%	54.3%	41.3%	4.3%	6.5%	54.3%	39.1%
Rice	18	98	83	185	85	92	22	3	118	78
B !	9.0%	49.2%	41.7%	93.0%	42.7%	46.2%	11.1%	1.5%	59.3%	39.2%
Rock	4	6	12	20	10	10	2	1	12	9
	18.2%	27.3%	54.5%	90.9%	45.5%	45.5%	9.1%	4.5%	54.5%	40.9%
Roseau	7	15	13	32	14	17	4	3	15	17
	20.0%	42.9%	37.1%	91.4%	40.0%	48.6%	11.4%	8.6%	42.9%	48.6%
St. Louis	136	682	706	1,418	418	925	181	48	904	572
	8.9%	44.8%	46.3%	93.0%	27.4%	60.7%	11.9%	3.1%	59.3%	37.5%
Scott	15	73	82	159	61	92	17	3	99	68
	8.8%	42.9%	48.2%	93.5%	35.9%	54.1%	10.0%	1.8%	58.2%	40.0%
Sherburne	13	77	56	140	41	85	20	1	72	73
	8.9%	52.7%	38.4%	95.9%	28.1%	58.2%	13.7%	0.7%	49.3%	50.0%
Sibley	6	14	13	29	17	13	3	3	18	12
	18.2%	42.4%	39.4%	87.9%	51.5%	39.4%	9.1%	9.1%	54.5%	36.4%
Stearns	34	202	185	389	148	219	54	8	250	163
	8.1%	48.0%	43.9%	92.4%	35.2%	52.0%	12.8%	1.9%	59.4%	38.7%
Steele	8	70	64	137	56	72	14	5	64	73
	5.6%	49.3%	45.1%	96.5%	39.4%	50.7%	9.9%	3.5%	45.1%	51.4%

County/Region/			30 or			HS or			Never	
State	Teens	20's	Older	Female	< HS	GED	> HS	Married	Married	Others
Stevens	3	13	8	23	6	8	10	0	18	6
	12.5%	54.2%	33.3%	95.8%	25.0%	33.3%	41.7%	0.0%	75.0%	25.0%
Swift	2	10	14	23	15	11	0	1	12	13
	7.7%	38.5%	53.8%	88.5%	57.7%	42.3%	0.0%	3.8%	46.2%	50.0%
Todd	11	45	66	112	30	73	19	7	48	67
	9.0%	36.9%	54.1%	91.8%	24.6%	59.8%	15.6%	5.7%	39.3%	54.9%
Traverse	0	8	10	16	5	9	4	0	10	8
	0.0%	44.4%	55.6%	88.9%	27.8%	50.0%	22.2%	0.0%	55.6%	44.4%
Wabasha	2	13	21	34	11	24	1	1	21	14
	5.6%	36.1%	58.3%	94.4%	30.6%	66.7%	2.8%	2.8%	58.3%	38.9%
Wadena	11	34	38	79	23	50	10	5	42	36
	13.3%	41.0%	45.8%	95.2%	27.7%	60.2%	12.0%	6.0%	50.6%	43.4%
Waseca	9	38	32	74	28	38	13	0	46	33
	11.4%	48.1%	40.5%	93.7%	35.4%	48.1%	16.5%	0.0%	58.2%	41.8%
Washington	55	230	213	467	141	292	65	9	331	158
	11.0%	46.2%	42.8%	93.8%	28.3%	58.6%	13.1%	1.8%	66.5%	31.7%
Watonwan	7	22	26	47	27	23	5	3	25	27
	12.7%	40.0%	47.3%	85.5%	49.1%	41.8%	9.1%	5.5%	45.5%	49.1%
Wilkin	4	9	15	27	8	15	5	0	15	13
	14.3%	32.1%	53.6%	96.4%	28.6%	53.6%	17.9%	0.0%	53.6%	46.4%
Winona	11	64	78	140	59	69	25	9	71	73
	7.2%	41.8%	51.0%	91.5%	38.6%	45.1%	16.3%	5.9%	46.4%	47.7%
Wright	30	102	92	215	85	121	18	3	136	85
	13.4%	45.5%	41.1%	96.0%	37.9%	54.0%	8.0%	1.3%	60.7%	37.9%
Yellow Medicine	5	9	9	22	6	16	1	2	11	10
	21.7%	39.1%	39.1%	95.7%	26.1%	69.6%	4.3%	8.7%	47.8%	43.5%
Northwest	43	197	219	433	166	237	56	25	226	208
	9.4%	42.9%	47.7%	94.3%	36.2%	51.6%	12.2%	5.4%	49.2%	45.3%
West Central	325	1,263	1,439	2,768	1,150	1,551	326	95	1,797	1,135
	10.7%	41.7%	47.5%	91.4%	38.0%	51.2%	10.8%	3.1%	59.4%	37.5%
Northeast	188	914	986	1,928	573	1,272	243	87	1,179	822
	9.0%	43.8%	47.2%	92.3%	27.4%	60.9%	11.6%	4.2%	56.5%	39.4%
Central	216	917	858	1,834	757	1,029	205	81	1,078	832
	10.8%	46.1%	43.1%	92.1%	38.0%	51.7%	10.3%	4.1%	54.1%	41.8%
Southwest	57	220	240	467	225	234	58	46	254	217
	11.0%	42.6%	46.4%	90.3%	43.5%	45.3%	11.2%	8.9%	49.1%	42.0%
South Central	83	373	315	727	261	397	113	38	414	319
	10.8%	48.4%	40.9%	94.3%	33.9%	51.5%	14.7%	4.9%	53.7%	41.4%
Southeast	142	769	767	1,558	720	788	170	100	830	748
	8.5%	45.8%	45.7%	92.8%	42.9%	47.0%	10.1%	6.0%	49.5%	44.6%
Metro Suburban	299	1,393	1,241	2,791	964	1,584	385	77	1,821	1,035
	10.2%	47.5%	42.3%	95.2%	32.9%	54.0%	13.1%	2.6%	62.1%	35.3%
Core Metro	1,541	6,571	7,459	14,441	7,429	6,712	1,430	966	10,432	4,173
	9.9%	42.2%	47.9%	92.7%	47.7%	43.1%	9.2%	6.2%	67.0%	26.8%
Minnesota	2,905	12,639	13,570	27,022	12,280	13,842	2,992	1,515	18,096	9,503
	10.0%	43.4%	46.6%	92.8%	42.2%	47.5%	10.3%	5.2%	62.2%	32.6%

Table 7. Demographics of Eligible Adults on December 1999
MFIP Paid Cases with One Eligible Adult: Ethnicity and Citizenship

MFIP Paid		I OHE EH	Jible Addit.		na Onizens	Non-US
County/Region/ State	Asian/ Pacific	Black	Hispanic	American Indian	White	Citizens
			•			-
Anoka	17	200	21	51	963	64
	1.4%	15.9%	1.7%	4.1%	76.8%	5.1%
Beltrami	2	5	4	617	233	1
	0.2%	0.6%	0.5%	71.7%	27.1%	0.1%
Dakota	39	222	54	25	591	63
	4.2%	23.8%	5.8%	2.7%	63.4%	6.8%
Hennepin	647	6,020	205	800	2,088	1,640
	6.6%	61.7%	2.1%	8.2%	21.4%	16.8%
Olmsted	69	219	23	0	258	208
	12.1%	38.4%	4.0%	0.0%	45.3%	36.5%
Ramsey	1,013	2,463	341	217	1,776	1,090
	17.4%	42.4%	5.9%	3.7%	30.6%	18.8%
St. Louis	16	97	13	184	1,211	11
	1.0%	6.4%	0.9%	12.1%	79.5%	0.7%
Northwest	1	5	86	35	330	16
	0.2%	1.1%	18.7%	7.6%	71.9%	3.5%
West Central	17	40	104	1,142	1,715	30
	0.6%	1.3%	3.4%	37.7%	56.7%	1.0%
Northeast	18	97	16	298	1,656	14
	0.9%	4.6%	0.8%	14.3%	79.3%	0.7%
Central	24	94	169	56	1,645	70
	1.2%	4.7%	8.5%	2.8%	82.6%	3.5%
Southwest	31	26	66	21	371	56
	6.0%	5.0%	12.8%	4.1%	71.8%	10.8%
South Central	8	65	120	12	566	55
	1.0%	8.4%	15.6%	1.6%	73.4%	7.1%
Southeast	100	338	148	18	1,068	323
	6.0%	20.1%	8.8%	1.1%	63.6%	19.2%
Metro Suburban	71	538	111	92	2,114	156
	2.4%	18.3%	3.8%	3.1%	72.1%	5.3%
Core Metro	1,660	8,483	546	1,017	3,864	2,730
	10.7%	54.5%	3.5%	6.5%	24.8%	17.5%
Minnesota	1,932	9,686	1,366	2,768	13,331	3,450
	6.6%	33.3%	4.7%	9.5%	45.8%	11.8%

Table 8. Family Types in December 1999 MFIP Households with One Eligible Adult

		One Eligi Famil	y Type		Two
County/Region/ State	Own Children	Own & Relative	Pregnant Only	Relative Care	Care- givers
Aitkin	49	1	1	1	5
	94.2%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	9.6%
Anoka	1,195	8	36	12	34
	95.5%	0.6%	2.9%	1.0%	2.7%
Becker	218	2	11	9	9
	90.8%	0.8%	4.6%	3.8%	3.7%
Beltrami	784	22	27	24	20
	91.5%	2.6%	3.2%	2.8%	2.3%
Benton	124	0	5	2	4
	94.7%	0.0%	3.8%	1.5%	3.0%
Big Stone	18	1	0	0	0
	94.7%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Blue Earth	216	2	6	2	14
	95.6%	0.9%	2.7%	0.9%	6.2%
Brown	59	0	4	0	3
	93.7%	0.0%	6.3%	0.0%	4.8%
Carlton	167	4	3	0	13
	96.0%	2.3%	1.7%	0.0%	7.5%
Carver	78	0	1	0	2
	98.7%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	2.5%
Cass	273	9	6	12	12
	91.0%	3.0%	2.0%	4.0%	4.0%
Chippewa	35	0	1	1	2
P P P	94.6%	0.0%	2.7%	2.7%	5.4%
Chisago	146	0	8	1	9
3.	94.2%	0.0%	5.2%	0.6%	5.8%
Clay	354	4	4	6	35
<b>,</b>	96.2%	1.1%	1.1%	1.6%	9.4%
Clearwater	64	0	3	5	3
	88.9%	0.0%	4.2%	6.9%	4.1%
Cook	4	0	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cottonwood	35	0	2	0	4
	94.6%	0.0%	5.4%	0.0%	10.8%
Crow Wing	256	3	7	2	11
oron rinig	95.5%	1.1%	2.6%	0.7%	4.1%
Dakota	894	8	21	6	25
	96.2%	0.9%	2.3%	0.6%	2.7%
Dodge	33	0	0	0.070	2
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%
Douglas	106	0.070	5	0.070	3
9	95.5%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	2.7%
Faribault	54	0.070	1	0.070	3
i aribaait	98.2%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	5.4%
Fillmore	35	0.0 %	0	1	2
	97.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	5.6%
Freeborn	97.2% 165	0.0%	0.0% 8	0	13
1 16600111					
	94.8%	0.6%	4.6%	0.0%	7.5%

State         Children         Relative         Only         Care         giv           Goodhue         100         0         8         1         4           91.7%         0.0%         7.3%         0.9%         3.6           Grant         18         0         0         0         0           100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         11.           Hennepin         9.115         187         228         179         46           93.9%         1.9%         2.3%         1.8%         4.7           Houston         50         0         2         0         2           96.2%         0.0%         3.8%         0.0%         3.8           Hubbard         80         2         5         0         4           1santi         123         0         3         2         3           Isanti         123         0         3         2         3         1.6%         2.3           Itasca         203         0         11         2         2         2           Jackson         32         1         0         0         2         2			Famil	у Туре		Two
Goodhue         100         0         8         1         4           Grant         18         0         0         0         2           Hennepin         9,115         187         228         179         46           93,9%         1,9%         2,3%         1,8%         4,7           Houston         50         0         2         0         2           96,2%         0,0%         3,8%         0,0%         3,8           Hubbard         80         2         5         0         4           92,0%         2,3%         5,7%         0,0%         4,6           1santi         123         0         3         2         3           92,0%         2,3%         5,7%         0,0%         4,6           1saca         203         0         11         2         2,3           Itasca         203         0         11         2         2,3           Itasca         203         0         11         2         2,3           Itasca         13         0         0         0         2         2           Jackson         32         1         0	unty/Region/	Own			Relative	Care-
91.7%   0.0%   7.3%   0.9%   3.68	te	Children	Relative	Only	Care	givers
Grant         18         0         0         0         2           Hennepin         9,115         187         228         179         46           93,9%         1,9%         2,3%         1,8%         4,7           Houston         50         0         2         0         2           96,2%         0,0%         3,8%         0,0%         3,8           Hubbard         80         2         5         0         4           92,0%         2,3%         5,7%         0,0%         4,6           Isanti         123         0         3         2         23           Itasca         203         0         11         2         2           94,0%         0,0%         5,1%         0,9%         9,2           Jackson         32         1         0         0         2           32         1         0         0         2         2           Jackson         32         1         0         0         0         9           Jackson         32         1         0         0         0         0         0         0         1         1         5	odhue	100	0	8	1	4
Hennepin		91.7%	0.0%	7.3%	0.9%	3.6%
Hennepin 9,115 187 228 179 46 93.9% 1.9% 2.3% 1.8% 4.7 Houston 50 0 2 0 2 96.2% 0.0% 3.8% 0.0% 3.8 Hubbard 80 2 5 0 4 92.0% 2.3% 5.7% 0.0% 4.6 Isanti 123 0 3 2 3 96.1% 0.0% 2.3% 1.6% 2.3 Itasca 203 0 11 2 2 94.0% 0.0% 5.1% 0.99% 9.2 Jackson 32 1 0 0 0 2 97.0% 3.0% 0.0% 0.0% 5.8 Kanabec 60 0 1 1 6 5 96.8% 0.0% 1.6% 1.6% 8.1 Kandiyohi 215 5 5 2 94.7% 2.2% 2.2% 0.9% 12: Kittson 13 0 0 0 1 100.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 5.4  Koochiching 80 0 4 1 5 94.1% 0.0% 4.7% 1.2% 5.5 Lac Qui Prarie 16 0 0 0 0 100.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 1.0 Lake 31 0 1 0 0 96.9% 0.0% 3.1% 0.0% 0.0 Lake 61 1 1 0 0 0 100.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 1.0 Lake 61 1 1 0 0 0 100.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 1.0  Lake 61 1 1 0 0 0 0 100.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 1.0  Lake 61 1 1 0 0 0 100.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 1.0  Lake 61 1 1 1 0 5 96.8% 1.6% 1.6% 0.0% 1.1  Lincoln 12 0 0 0 1 1 100.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 1.1  Lincoln 12 0 0 0 1 100.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 1.1  Lyon 84 4 5 0 1 100.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 1.1  McLeod 84 0 3 1 5 90.4% 4.3% 5.4% 0.0% 11.1  Marshall 22 0 0 0 0 2 100.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 9.1  Martin 93 0 1 1 4 4.2  Marshall 22 0 0 0 0 0 2 100.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 9.1  Martin 93 0 1 1 1 4 4.2  Meeker 61 1 3 3 1 22	nt	18	0	0	0	2
Houston		100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%
Houston	nepin	9,115	187	228	179	462
Hubbard  80		93.9%	1.9%	2.3%	1.8%	4.7%
Hubbard   80	ıston	50	0	2	0	2
Santi   123		96.2%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	3.8%
Santi	bard	80	2	5	0	4
Section   Sect		92.0%	2.3%	5.7%	0.0%	4.6%
Itasca	nti	123	0	3	2	3
Jackson         94.0%         0.0%         5.1%         0.9%         9.2           Jackson         32         1         0         0         2           97.0%         3.0%         0.0%         0.0%         5.9           Kanabec         60         0         1         1         5           96.8%         0.0%         1.6%         1.6%         8.1           Kandiyohi         215         5         5         2         2           94.7%         2.2%         2.2%         0.9%         12.           Kittson         13         0         0         0         0           100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         7.7         0.0%         0.0%         7.7           Koochiching         80         0         4         1         5         9         94.1%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         7.7           Koochiching         80         0         4         7         1.2%         5.9           Lac Qui Prarie         16         0         0         0         0         4         7         5.9           Lake         31         0         1         <		96.1%	0.0%	2.3%	1.6%	2.3%
Jackson         32         1         0         0         2           97.0%         3.0%         0.0%         0.0%         5.9           Kanabec         60         0         1         1         5           96.8%         0.0%         1.6%         1.6%         8.1           Kandiyohi         215         5         5         2         22           94.7%         2.2%         2.2%         0.9%         12.           Kittson         13         0         0         0         1           100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         7.7           Koochiching         80         0         4         1         5           Lac Qui Prarie         16         0         0         0         0         1           16         0 <td>са</td> <td>203</td> <td>0</td> <td>11</td> <td>2</td> <td>20</td>	са	203	0	11	2	20
Kanabec         97.0%         3.0%         0.0%         0.0%         5.9           Kandiyohi         215         5         5         2         22           94.7%         2.2%         2.2%         0.9%         12.           Kittson         13         0         0         0         1           100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         7.7           Koochiching         80         0         4         1         5           94.1%         0.0%         4.7%         1.2%         5.9           Lac Qui Prarie         16         0         0         0         4           100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         25.1           Lake         31         0         1         0         0           100.0%         0.0%         3.1%         0.0%         0.0           Lake of Woods         10         0         0         0         1           100.0%         0.0%         3.1%         0.0%         10.0           Lake of Woods         10         0         0         0         1           Le Sueur         61         1         1         0		94.0%	0.0%	5.1%	0.9%	9.2%
Kanabec         60         0         1         1         8           Kandiyohi         215         5         5         2         22           94.7%         2.2%         2.2%         0.9%         12.           Kittson         13         0         0         0         1           100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         7.7           Koochiching         80         0         4         1         5           94.1%         0.0%         4.7%         1.2%         5.9           Lac Qui Prarie         16         0         0         0         4           100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         25.1           Lake         31         0         1         0         0           96.9%         0.0%         3.1%         0.0%         0.0           Lake of Woods         10         0         0         0         0           Lake of Woods         10         0         0         0         0           Lake of Woods         10         0         0         0         10           Le Sueur         61         1         1	kson	32	1	0	0	2
Section   Sect		97.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%
Kandiyohi         215         5         5         2         2           94.7%         2.2%         2.2%         0.9%         12.           Kittson         13         0         0         0         1           100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         7.7           Koochiching         80         0         4         1         5           94.1%         0.0%         0.0%         4.7%         1.2%         5.9           Lac Qui Prarie         16         0         0         0         0         4         1         5         5.9           Lake         31         0         1         0         0         0         0         4         4         1         0	abec	60	-	•		5
Kittson         94.7%         2.2%         2.2%         0.9%         12.           Koochiching         80         0         0         0         1            80         0         4         1         5           94.1%         0.0%         4.7%         1.2%         5.9           Lac Qui Prarie         16         0         0         0         4           100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         25.8           Lake         31         0         1         0         0           96.9%         0.0%         3.1%         0.0%         0.0           Lake of Woods         10         0         0         0         0           100.0%         0.0%         3.1%         0.0%         0.0           Lake of Woods         10         0         0         0         0           100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         10.0           Lake of Woods         10         0         0         0         0           Le Sueur         61         1         1         0         0         0           Lincoln         12         0         0		96.8%	0.0%	1.6%	1.6%	8.1%
Kittson         13         0         0         0         1           100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         7.7           Koochiching         80         0         4         1         5.9           94.1%         0.0%         4.7%         1.2%         5.9           Lac Qui Prarie         16         0         0         0         4           100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         25.1           Lake         31         0         1         0	idiyohi	215	-	-	2	29
100.0%   0.0%   0.0%   0.0%   7.7		94.7%	/	2.2%	0.9%	12.7%
Koochiching         80         0         4         1         5           Lac Qui Prarie         16         0         0         0         4         4         1         5.9           Lake         100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         25.1 <td< td=""><td>son</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>•</td><td>-</td><td>1</td></td<>	son	-	-	•	-	1
Section   Sect						7.7%
Lac Qui Prarie         16         0         0         0         4           100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         25.1           Lake         31         0         1         0         0           96.9%         0.0%         3.1%         0.0%         0.0           Lake of Woods         10         0         0         0         0           100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         10.0           Les Gueur         61         1         1         0         0         0           96.8%         1.6%         1.6%         0.0%         14.3 </td <td>chiching</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>•</td> <td>·</td> <td>5</td>	chiching		-	•	·	5
Lake 31 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0						5.9%
Lake         31         0         1         0         0.0%           96.9%         0.0%         3.1%         0.0%         0.0           10         0         0         0         0           100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         10.0           Le Sueur         61         1         1         0         0           96.8%         1.6%         1.6%         0.0%         14.3           Lincoln         12         0         0         0         0         1           100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         8.3           Lyon         84         4         5         0         1         1           McLeod         84         0         3         1         5         1           90.3%         4.3%         5.4%         0.0%         11.3         1         5           McLeod         84         0         3         1         5         7           Mahnomen         85         4         2         3         1         5         7           Marshall         22         0         0         0         2         2	Qui Prarie	•	-	•	-	4
96.9%   0.0%   3.1%   0.0%   0.00   0.00   10.00   10.00   0.0%   10.00   10			3.07.0			25.0%
Lake of Woods         10         0         0         0         1           100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         10.0%           Le Sueur         61         1         1         0         9           96.8%         1.6%         1.6%         0.0%         14.3           Lincoln         12         0         0         0         0           100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         8.3           Lyon         84         4         5         0         1           90.3%         4.3%         5.4%         0.0%         11.3           McLeod         84         0         3         1         5           95.5%         0.0%         3.4%         1.1%         5.7           Mahnomen         85         4         2         3         1           90.4%         4.3%         2.1%         3.2%         1.1           Marshall         22         0         0         0         2           100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         9.1           Martin         97.9%         0.0%         1.1%         1.1%         4.2 </td <td>e</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>•</td> <td>-</td> <td>0</td>	e	-	-	•	-	0
Le Sueur  61 1 1 1 0 96.8% 1.6% 1.6% 0.0% 14.3  Lincoln 12 0 0 0 0 0 1 100.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%						0.0%
Le Sueur         61         1         1         0         98           Lincoln         12         0         0         0         0         14.3           Lyon         84         4         5         0         1         1.3           McLeod         84         0         3         1         5         7         11.3         1         5         1.1         1.1         5         1.1         1.1         5         1.1         1	e or woods				-	1
Lincoln       1.6%       1.6%       0.0%       14.3         12       0       0       0       0       1         100.0%       0.0%       0.0%       0.0%       8.3         Lyon       84       4       5       0       1         90.3%       4.3%       5.4%       0.0%       11.3         McLeod       84       0       3       1       5         95.5%       0.0%       3.4%       1.1%       5.7         Mahnomen       85       4       2       3       1         90.4%       4.3%       2.1%       3.2%       1.1         Marshall       22       0       0       0       2         100.0%       0.0%       0.0%       0.0%       9.1         Martin       93       0       1       1       4         97.9%       0.0%       1.1%       1.1%       4.2         Meeker       61       1       3       1       2         92.4%       1.5%       4.5%       1.5%       3.0	Sa					
Lincoln         12         0         0         0         1           100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         8.3           Lyon         84         4         5         0         1           90.3%         4.3%         5.4%         0.0%         11.8           McLeod         84         0         3         1         5           95.5%         0.0%         3.4%         1.1%         5.7           Mahnomen         85         4         2         3         1           90.4%         4.3%         2.1%         3.2%         1.1           Marshall         22         0         0         0         2           100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         9.1           Martin         93         0         1         1         4           97.9%         0.0%         1.1%         1.1%         4.2           Meeker         61         1         3         1         2           92.4%         1.5%         4.5%         1.5%         3.0	Sueur	-	•	•	-	_
Lyon 84 4 5 0 1 90.3% 4.3% 5.4% 0.0% 11.8  McLeod 84 0 3 1 5.7  Mahnomen 85 4 2 3 1.1  Marshall 22 0 0 0 0 0 100.0% 0.0% 0.0% 9.1  Martin 93 0 1 1 4 97.9% 0.0% 1.1% 1.1% 4.2  Meeker 61 1 3 1 2 92.4% 1.5% 4.5% 1.5% 3.0	ooln					
Lyon         84         4         5         0         1           90.3%         4.3%         5.4%         0.0%         11.3           McLeod         84         0         3         1         5           95.5%         0.0%         3.4%         1.1%         5.7           Mahnomen         85         4         2         3         1           90.4%         4.3%         2.1%         3.2%         1.1           Marshall         22         0         0         0         2           100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         9.1           Martin         93         0         1         1         4           97.9%         0.0%         1.1%         1.1%         4.2           Meeker         61         1         3         1         2           92.4%         1.5%         4.5%         1.5%         3.0	20111		-		-	8.3%
McLeod       84       0       3       1       5         95.5%       0.0%       3.4%       1.1%       5.7         Mahnomen       85       4       2       3       1         90.4%       4.3%       2.1%       3.2%       1.1         Marshall       22       0       0       0       2         100.0%       0.0%       0.0%       0.0%       9.1         Martin       93       0       1       1       4         97.9%       0.0%       1.1%       1.1%       4.2         Meeker       61       1       3       1       2         92.4%       1.5%       4.5%       1.5%       3.0	.n					
McLeod         84         0         3         1         5           95.5%         0.0%         3.4%         1.1%         5.7           Mahnomen         85         4         2         3         1           90.4%         4.3%         2.1%         3.2%         1.1           Marshall         22         0         0         0         2           100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         9.1           Martin         93         0         1         1         4           97.9%         0.0%         1.1%         1.1%         4.2           Meeker         61         1         3         1         2           92.4%         1.5%         4.5%         1.5%         3.0	"	-				11.8%
Mahnomen     95.5%     0.0%     3.4%     1.1%     5.7       Mahnomen     85     4     2     3     1       90.4%     4.3%     2.1%     3.2%     1.1       Marshall     22     0     0     0     0       100.0%     0.0%     0.0%     0.0%     9.1       Martin     93     0     1     1     4       97.9%     0.0%     1.1%     1.1%     4.2       Meeker     61     1     3     1     2       92.4%     1.5%     4.5%     1.5%     3.0	book					5
Mahnomen         85         4         2         3         1           90.4%         4.3%         2.1%         3.2%         1.1           Marshall         22         0         0         0         2           100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         9.1           Martin         93         0         1         1         4           97.9%         0.0%         1.1%         1.1%         4.2           Meeker         61         1         3         1         2           92.4%         1.5%         4.5%         1.5%         3.0	_eou		-			5.7%
Marshall       90.4%       4.3%       2.1%       3.2%       1.1         22       0       0       0       2         100.0%       0.0%       0.0%       0.0%       9.1         Martin       93       0       1       1       4         97.9%       0.0%       1.1%       1.1%       4.2         Meeker       61       1       3       1       2         92.4%       1.5%       4.5%       1.5%       3.0	nomon					1
Marshall         22         0         0         0         2           100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         9.1           Martin         93         0         1         1         4           97.9%         0.0%         1.1%         1.1%         4.2           Meeker         61         1         3         1         2           92.4%         1.5%         4.5%         1.5%         3.0	inomen				-	1.1%
Martin 93 0 1 1 4.2  Meeker 61 1 3 1 2  92.4% 1.5% 4.5% 1.5% 3.0	rshall					2
Martin         93         0         1         1         4           97.9%         0.0%         1.1%         1.1%         4.2           Meeker         61         1         3         1         2           92.4%         1.5%         4.5%         1.5%         3.0	J		-		-	9.1%
97.9%     0.0%     1.1%     1.1%     4.2       61     1     3     1     2       92.4%     1.5%     4.5%     1.5%     3.0	rtin					9.176
Meeker         61         1         3         1         2           92.4%         1.5%         4.5%         1.5%         3.0			-		·	4.2%
92.4% 1.5% 4.5% 1.5% 3.0	eker					2
						3.0%
Mille Lacs 121 0 4 0	e Lacs					9
	- Luo3					7.2%
	rrison					4
	113011					3.5%

		Famil		Two	
County/Region/	Own	Own &	Pregnant	Relative	Care-
State	Children	Relative	Only	Care	givers
Mower	164	1	8	0	18
	94.8%	0.6%	4.6%	0.0%	10.4%
Murray	8	0	0	0	0
,	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nicollet	93	0	6	1	2
	93.0%	0.0%	6.0%	1.0%	2.0%
Nobles	105	0	2	1	17
	97.2%	0.0%	1.9%	0.9%	15.7%
Norman	29	0	1	2	2
	90.6%	0.0%	3.1%	6.3%	6.3%
Olmsted	527	10	24	9	48
	92.5%	1.8%	4.2%	1.6%	8.4%
Otter Tail	170	0	10	1	10
· · · · · ·	93.9%	0.0%	5.5%	0.6%	5.5%
Pennington	74	0	3	1	5
	94.9%	0.0%	3.8%	1.3%	6.3%
Pine	162	0	5	0	14
	97.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	8.4%
Pipestone	30	0	1	1	1
	93.8%	0.0%	3.1%	3.1%	3.1%
Polk	251	2	7	3	11
	95.4%	0.8%	2.7%	1.1%	4.2%
Pope	20	0	0	,.	1
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%
Ramsey	5,545	79	111	62	629
	95.7%	1.4%	1.9%	1.1%	10.8%
Red Lake	12	0	0	0	1
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%
Redwood	49	0	1	0	2
	98.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	4.0%
Renville	42	0	3	1	2
	91.3%	0.0%	6.5%	2.2%	4.3%
Rice	190	3	6	0	8
	95.5%	1.5%	3.0%	0.0%	4.0%
Rock	21	0	1	0	0
	95.5%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Roseau	30	1	4	0	2
	85.7%	2.9%	11.4%	0.0%	5.7%
St. Louis	1,458	11	47	5	64
	95.9%	0.7%	3.1%	0.3%	4.2%
Scott	163	1	3	1	4
	97.0%	0.6%	1.8%	0.6%	2.4%
Sherburne	143	0	3	0	2
· · · · · · ·	97.9%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	1.4%
Sibley	30	1	2	0	5
	90.9%	3.0%	6.1%	0.0%	15.2%
Stearns	406	0	8	4	15
-	97.1%	0.0%	1.9%	1.0%	3.6%
Steele	127	7	4	4	4
	89.4%	4.9%	2.8%	2.8%	2.8%

		Famil	у Туре		Two
County/Region/	Own	Own &	Pregnant	Relative	Care-
State	Children	Relative	Only	Care	givers
Stevens	24	0	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Swift	25	0	1	0	1
	96.2%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	3.8%
Todd	114	0	8	0	6
	93.4%	0.0%	6.6%	0.0%	4.9%
Traverse	18	0	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wabasha	34	0	1	1	1
	94.4%	0.0%	2.8%	2.8%	2.8%
Wadena	78	1	4	0	5
	94.0%	1.2%	4.8%	0.0%	6.0%
Waseca	75	1	3	0	5
	94.9%	1.3%	3.8%	0.0%	6.3%
Washington	483	2	10	3	10
	97.0%	0.4%	2.0%	0.6%	2.0%
Watonwan	53	1	1	0	4
	96.4%	1.8%	1.8%	0.0%	7.3%
Wilkin	26	1	1	0	0
	92.9%	3.6%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Winona	147	0	4	1	12
	96.7%	0.0%	2.6%	0.7%	7.8%
Wright	216	0	8	0	6
	96.4%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	2.7%
Yellow Medicine	21	0	2	0	4
	91.3%	0.0%	8.7%	0.0%	17.4%
Northwest	431	3	15	6	24
	94.7%	0.7%	3.3%	1.3%	5.2%
West Central	2,807	49	97	62	127
	93.1%	1.6%	3.2%	2.1%	4.2%
Northeast	1,992	16	67	9	107
	95.6%	0.8%	3.2%	0.4%	5.1%
Central	1,903	6	59	15	105
	96.0%	0.3%	3.0%	0.8%	5.3%
Southwest	491	6	16	3	49
	95.2%	1.2%	3.1%	0.6%	9.5%
South Central	734	6	25	4	49
	95.4%	0.8%	3.3%	0.5%	6.4%
Southeast	1,572	22	65	17	114
	93.8%	1.3%	3.9%	1.0%	6.8%
Metro Suburban	2,813	19	71	22	75
	96.2%	0.6%	2.4%	0.8%	2.6%
Core Metro	14,660	266	339	241	1,091
	94.5%	1.7%	2.2%	1.6%	7.0%
Minnesota	27,477	398	754	379	1,742
	94.7%	1.4%	2.6%	1.3%	6.0%

Note: Cases with no link to a child or pregnancy in administrative data were missing for family type.

Table 9. All Children in December 1999 MFIP Households with One Eligible Adult

County/Region/		Number of	f Children		Α	ge of You	ngest Chile	d
State	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	13-17
Aitkin	23	19	6	3	6	23	11	11
	45.1%	37.3%	11.8%	5.9%	11.8%	45.1%	21.6%	21.6%
Anoka	592	346	193	84	225	582	297	111
	48.7%	28.5%	15.9%	6.9%	18.5%	47.9%	24.5%	9.1%
Becker	96	71	38	24	39	97	70	23
	41.9%	31.0%	16.6%	10.5%	17.0%	42.4%	30.6%	10.1%
Beltrami	354	230	133	113	153	383	214	80
	42.7%	27.7%	16.0%	13.7%	18.4%	46.1%	25.7%	9.7%
Benton	59	45	18	4	20	69	23	14
	46.8%	35.7%	14.3%	3.2%	15.9%	54.8%	18.3%	11.1%
Big Stone	10	6	1	2	2	8	8	1
-	52.6%	31.6%	5.3%	10.5%	10.5%	42.1%	42.1%	5.3%
Blue Earth	108	61	25	26	32	122	54	12
	49.1%	27.7%	11.4%	11.9%	14.5%	55.5%	24.6%	5.4%
Brown	29	14	10	6	14	25	18	2
	49.2%	23.7%	16.9%	10.2%	23.7%	42.4%	30.5%	3.4%
Carlton	85	45	25	16	30	79	43	19
	49.7%	26.3%	14.6%	9.4%	17.5%	46.2%	25.2%	11.1%
Carver	39	27	6	6	16	32	21	9
	50.0%	34.6%	7.7%	7.7%	20.5%	41.0%	26.9%	11.5%
Cass	120	95	48	31	51	125	84	34
	40.8%	32.3%	16.3%	10.5%	17.3%	42.5%	28.5%	11.6%
Chippewa	17	8	8	3	8	20	4	4
	47.2%	22.2%	22.2%	8.3%	22.2%	55.6%	11.1%	11.1%
Chisago	68	45	21	13	28	79	32	8
	46.3%	30.6%	14.3%	8.8%	19.0%	53.7%	21.7%	5.4%
Clay	154	105	67	38	69	145	115	35
	42.3%	28.8%	18.4%	10.4%	19.0%	39.8%	31.6%	9.6%
Clearwater	26	20	11	12	9	29	22	9
	37.7%	29.0%	15.9%	17.4%	13.0%	42.0%	31.9%	13.0%
Cook	1	2	1	0	0	2	1	1
	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%
Cottonwood	11	13	5	6	4	15	13	3
	31.4%	37.1%	14.3%	17.1%	11.4%	42.9%	37.2%	8.6%
Crow Wing	105	93	38	25	50	128	65	18
	40.2%	35.6%	14.6%	9.6%	19.2%	49.0%	24.9%	6.9%
Dakota	416	269	145	78	200	423	218	67
	45.8%	29.6%	16.0%	8.6%	22.0%	46.6%	24.0%	7.4%
Dodge	14	12	5	2	6	12	10	5
	42.4%	36.4%	15.2%	6.1%	18.2%	36.4%	30.3%	15.1%
Douglas	63	30	10	3	17	46	32	11
	59.4%	28.3%	9.4%	2.8%	16.0%	43.4%	30.2%	10.4%
Faribault	24	18	9	3	11	27	14	2
	44.4%	33.3%	16.7%	5.6%	20.4%	50.0%	25.9%	3.7%
Fillmore	15	16	4	1	1	18	12	5
	41.7%	44.4%	11.1%	2.8%	2.8%	50.0%	33.3%	13.9%
Freeborn	78	58	20	10	30	74	42	20
	47.0%	34.9%	12.0%	6.0%	18.1%	44.6%	25.3%	12.0%

County/Region/		Number of	f Children	)	Α	ge of You	ngest Chil	d
State	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	13-17
Goodhue	39	31	21	10	24	42	23	12
	38.6%	30.7%	20.8%	9.9%	23.8%	41.6%	22.8%	11.9%
Grant	8	5	5	0	1	7	7	3
	44.4%	27.8%	27.8%	0.0%	5.6%	38.9%	38.9%	16.7%
Hennepin	3,761	2,654	1,502	1,564	1,718	4,202	2,598	963
·	39.7%	28.0%	15.8%	16.6%	18.1%	44.3%	27.4%	10.2%
Houston	18	22	5	5	5	25	18	2
	36.0%	44.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	50.0%	36.0%	4.0%
Hubbard	37	25	12	8	14	35	25	8
	45.1%	30.5%	14.6%	9.8%	17.1%	42.7%	30.5%	9.7%
Isanti	51	46	18	10	18	62	36	9
	40.8%	36.8%	14.4%	8.0%	14.4%	49.6%	28.8%	7.2%
Itasca	87	56	39	23	36	79	65	25
	42.4%	27.3%	19.0%	11.2%	17.6%	38.5%	31.7%	12.2%
Jackson	16	7	6	4	10	15	6	2
	48.5%	21.2%	18.2%	12.1%	30.3%	45.5%	18.2%	6.0%
Kanabec	22	21	12	6	7	27	21	6
1.0.10.00	36.1%	34.4%	19.7%	9.8%	11.5%	44.3%	34.5%	9.8%
Kandiyohi	98	70	34	20	48	97	55	22
rtundiyoni	44.1%	31.5%	15.3%	9.1%	21.6%	43.7%	24.8%	9.9%
Kittson	6	4	3	0	4	5	4	0
	46.2%	30.8%	23.1%	0.0%	30.8%	38.5%	30.8%	0.0%
Koochiching	40	24	15	2	10	34	27	10
rtocomoning	49.4%	29.6%	18.5%	2.5%	12.3%	42.0%	33.3%	12.3%
Lac Qui Prarie	6	3	3	4	2	7	3	4
	37.5%	18.8%	18.8%	25.0%	12.5%	43.8%	18.8%	25.1%
Lake	18	7	5	1	3	14	13	1
Lano	58.1%	22.6%	16.1%	3.2%	9.7%	45.2%	42.0%	3.2%
Lake of Woods	6	1	2	1	2	5	2	1
	60.0%	10.0%	20.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%	20.0%	10.0%
Le Sueur	28	19	8	7	13	21	21	7
	45.2%	30.6%	12.9%	11.3%	21.0%	33.9%	33.8%	11.3%
Lincoln	4	2	4	2	2	3	4	3
	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	25.0%	33.3%	25.0%
Lyon	49	19	11	9	23	40	21	4
_,	55.7%	21.6%	12.5%	10.3%	26.1%	45.5%	23.9%	4.5%
McLeod	43	25	11	6	17	44	16	8
02000	50.6%	29.4%	12.9%	7.1%	20.0%	51.8%	18.9%	9.5%
Mahnomen	38	25	17	12	19	42	25	6
indinionich	41.3%	27.2%	18.5%	13.0%	20.7%	45.7%	27.2%	6.5%
Marshall	9	9	2	2	2	9	9	2
iviai Silali	40.9%	40.9%	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	40.9%	40.9%	9.1%
Martin	43	26	19	6	24	40.970	21	9.170
mai tiii	45.7%	27.7%	20.2%	6.4%	25.5%	42.6%	22.3%	9.6%
Meeker	30	18	11	4	15	27	16	5
INICEVEL								
Millo Laco	47.6%	28.6%	17.5%	6.3%	23.8%	42.9%	25.4%	8.0%
Mille Lacs	53	41	15	12	22	44	45	10
Manuiaan	43.8%	33.9%	12.4%	9.9%	18.2%	36.4%	37.2%	8.3%
Morrison	43	45	15	7	20	48	34	8
	39.1%	40.9%	13.6%	6.4%	18.2%	43.6%	30.9%	7.2%

County/Region/		Number of	Children		Δ	ge of You	ngest Chile	d
State	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	13-17
Mower	66	59	21	19	36	87	35	7
	40.0%	35.8%	12.7%	11.5%	21.8%	52.7%	21.2%	4.2%
Murray	2	6	0	0	2	3	3	0
	25.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	37.5%	37.5%	0.0%
Nicollet	47	26	12	9	22	43	20	9
	50.0%	27.7%	12.8%	9.6%	23.4%	45.7%	21.3%	9.6%
Nobles	30	42	27	7	27	48	27	4
	28.3%	39.6%	25.5%	6.6%	25.5%	45.3%	25.5%	3.8%
Norman	16	8	1	6	6	15	7	3
	51.6%	25.8%	3.2%	19.4%	19.4%	48.4%	22.6%	9.7%
Olmsted	218	137	90	101	98	245	146	57
	39.9%	25.1%	16.5%	18.5%	17.9%	44.9%	26.8%	10.5%
Otter Tail	82	57	18	14	31	68	50	22
	48.0%	33.3%	10.5%	8.2%	18.1%	39.8%	29.2%	12.9%
Pennington	33	23	12	7	11	34	21	9
J	44.0%	30.7%	16.0%	9.3%	14.7%	45.3%	28.0%	12.0%
Pine	72	44	26	20	30	62	49	21
-	44.4%	27.2%	16.0%	12.3%	18.5%	38.3%	30.2%	12.9%
Pipestone	16	9	4	2	5	10	8	8
	51.6%	29.0%	12.9%	6.5%	16.1%	32.3%	25.8%	25.8%
Polk	109	79	39	29	31	117	72	36
	42.6%	30.9%	15.2%	11.4%	12.1%	45.7%	28.1%	14.1%
Pope	7	7	5	1	6	5	7	2
	35.0%	35.0%	25.0%	5.0%	30.0%	25.0%	35.0%	10.0%
Ramsey	2,097	1,573	886	1,130	1,026	2,661	1,533	466
	36.9%	27.7%	15.6%	19.8%	18.0%	46.8%	27.0%	8.2%
Red Lake	7	1	3	1	2	6	3	1
rtou zuno	58.3%	8.3%	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	50.0%	25.0%	8.3%
Redwood	19	15	9	6	7	22	17	3
	38.8%	30.6%	18.4%	12.2%	14.3%	44.9%	34.7%	6.1%
Renville	15	16	10	2	16	15	10	2
	34.9%	37.2%	23.3%	4.7%	37.2%	34.9%	23.3%	4.6%
Rice	90	61	25	17	39	93	42	19
	46.6%	31.6%	13.0%	8.8%	20.2%	48.2%	21.7%	9.9%
Rock	13	5	2	1	4	10	3	4
	61.9%	23.8%	9.5%	4.8%	19.0%	47.6%	14.3%	19.1%
Roseau	13	10	7	1	7	15	8	1
1100000	41.9%	32.3%	22.6%	3.2%	22.6%	48.4%	25.8%	3.2%
St. Louis	705	461	208	100	257	660	398	159
o 200.0	47.8%	31.3%	14.1%	6.8%	17.4%	44.8%	27.0%	10.8%
Scott	74	52	28	11	32	68	51	14
	44.8%	31.5%	17.0%	6.7%	19.4%	41.2%	30.9%	8.5%
Sherburne	62	51	22	8	36	64	35	8
5.101 WM1110	43.4%	35.7%	15.4%	5.6%	25.2%	44.8%	24.5%	5.6%
Sibley	11	9	8	3.070	7	14	7	3.070
J. 2.0.0 y	35.5%	29.0%	25.8%	9.7%	22.6%	45.2%	22.6%	9.7%
Stearns	179	130	57	9.7%	87	182	104	37
Oldai ii s	43.7%	31.7%	13.9%	10.7%	21.2%	44.4%	25.3%	9.0%
Steele	43.7% 52	31.7%	21	29	31	71	25.3%	9.0%
Olecie								
	37.7%	26.1%	15.2%	21.0%	22.5%	51.4%	20.3%	5.8%

County/Region/		Number o	f Children	1	Α	ge of You	ngest Chile	d
State	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	13-17
Stevens	16	8	0	0	3	10	8	3
	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	41.7%	33.4%	12.5%
Swift	12	3	5	5	4	13	6	2
	48.0%	12.0%	20.0%	20.0%	16.0%	52.0%	24.0%	8.0%
Todd	50	36	17	11	11	45	38	20
	43.9%	31.6%	14.9%	9.6%	9.6%	39.5%	33.3%	17.5%
Traverse	4	8	5	1	2	11	5	0
	22.2%	44.4%	27.8%	5.6%	11.1%	61.1%	27.8%	0.0%
Wabasha	13	13	6	3	4	15	12	4
	37.1%	37.1%	17.1%	8.6%	11.4%	42.9%	34.3%	11.4%
Wadena	35	22	16	6	11	41	23	4
	44.3%	27.8%	20.3%	7.6%	13.9%	51.9%	29.2%	5.1%
Waseca	28	25	16	7	19	36	18	3
	36.8%	32.9%	21.1%	9.2%	25.0%	47.4%	23.7%	3.9%
Washington	216	137	96	39	88	243	126	31
	44.3%	28.1%	19.7%	8.0%	18.0%	49.8%	25.8%	6.4%
Watonwan	25	13	7	9	12	20	13	9
	46.3%	24.1%	13.0%	16.7%	22.2%	37.0%	24.1%	16.7%
Wilkin	18	4	4	1	5	11	7	4
	66.7%	14.8%	14.8%	3.7%	18.5%	40.7%	25.9%	14.8%
Winona	64	43	20	21	26	74	38	10
	43.2%	29.1%	13.5%	14.2%	17.6%	50.0%	25.6%	6.8%
Wright	106	65	33	12	38	106	59	13
	49.1%	30.1%	15.3%	5.6%	17.6%	49.1%	27.3%	6.0%
Yellow Medicine	9	5	6	1	8	9	2	2
	42.9%	23.8%	28.6%	4.8%	38.1%	42.9%	9.5%	9.5%
Northwest	193	134	67	46	63	201	124	52
	43.9%	30.5%	15.2%	10.5%	14.3%	45.7%	28.1%	11.8%
West Central	1,262	887	461	308	513	1,281	833	291
	43.2%	30.4%	15.8%	10.6%	17.6%	43.9%	28.6%	10.0%
Northeast	959	614	299	145	342	891	558	226
	47.5%	30.4%	14.8%	7.2%	17.0%	44.2%	27.7%	11.2%
Central	858	617	288	161	382	878	501	163
	44.6%	32.1%	15.0%	8.3%	19.9%	45.6%	26.1%	8.5%
Southwest	214	143	91	52	108	223	125	44
	42.8%	28.6%	18.2%	10.4%	21.6%	44.6%	25.0%	8.8%
South Central	343	211	114	76	154	348	186	56
	46.1%	28.4%	15.3%	10.2%	20.7%	46.8%	25.0%	7.5%
Southeast	667	488	238	218	300	756	406	149
	41.4%	30.3%	14.8%	13.6%	18.6%	46.9%	25.2%	9.2%
Metro Suburban	1,337	831	468	218	561	1,348	713	232
	46.8%	29.1%	16.4%	7.7%	19.7%	47.2%	24.9%	8.2%
Core Metro	5,858	4,227	2,388	2,694	2,744	6,863	4,131	1,429
	38.6%	27.9%	15.7%	17.7%	18.1%	45.2%	27.2%	9.4%
Minnesota	11,723	8,172	4,427	3,932	5,183	12,818	7,597	2,656
	41.5%	28.9%	15.7%	13.9%	18.3%	45.4%	26.9%	9.4%

Table 10. Economics of December 1999 MFIP Paid Cases with One Eligible Adult

		ble 10. Economics of December 1999 MFIP Paid Cases with One Eligible A  Welfare							ibio Au	uit	
County/Region/	Number	Active Months TANF Months New Cases in 1999									
State	of	Over 60 Months		Over 24 Months		Total		Out-of-State			
	Cases	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Prcnt
Aitkin	52	42	15	29%	16	15	29%	7	13%	1	2%
Anoka	1,254	43	381	30%	15	305	24%	265	21%	44	4%
Becker	241	46	87	36%	14	43	18%	39	16%	10	4%
Beltrami	861	52	372	43%	13	170	20%	129	15%	15	2%
Benton	133	40	37	28%	15	29	22%	33	25%	2	2%
Big Stone	19	51	8	42%	22	10	53%	1	5%	1	5%
Blue Earth	226	42	66	29%	16	61	27%	45	20%	17	8%
Brown	63	30	10	16%	12	10	16%	16	25%	3	5%
Carlton	174	46	62	36%	15	41	24%	34	20%	10	6%
Carver	79	37	19	24%	14	20	25%	18	23%	1	1%
Cass	301	53	143	48%	17	104	35%	44	15%	4	1%
Chippewa	37	51	18	49%	16	9	24%	7	19%	1	3%
Chisago	156	40	47	30%	15	38	24%	35	22%	7	4%
Clay	371	44	122	33%	16	119	32%	64	17%	26	7%
Clearwater	74	50	31	42%	17	21	28%	15	20%	1	1%
Cook	4	39	1	25%	16	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%
Cottonwood	37	38	8	22%	13	8	22%	5	14%	0	0%
Crow Wing	268	45	86	32%	16	75	28%	48	18%	11	4%
Dakota	932	43	284	30%	15	214	23%	213	23%	41	4%
Dodge	33	36	8	24%	14	8	24%	10	30%	2	6%
Douglas	112	38	30	27%	15	24	21%	33	29%	4	4%
Faribault	56	35	11	20%	14	13	23%	16	29%	5	9%
Fillmore	36	41	11	31%	15	5	14%	6	17%	2	6%
Freeborn	174	37	45	26%	15	44	25%	41	24%	13	7%
Goodhue	110	36	25	23%	14	24	22%	28	25%	7	6%
Grant	18	37	6	33%	13	4	22%	6	33%	0	0%
Hennepin	9,760	48	3,717	38%	17	3,251	33%	1,910	20%	802	8%
Houston	52	31	8	15%	14	14	27%	15	29%	9	17%
Hubbard	87	43	29	33%	14	21	24%	18	21%	3	3%
Isanti	128	39	32	25%	14	22	17%	24	19%	4	3%
Itasca	217	47	82	38%	16	69	32%	50	23%	6	3%
Jackson	34	34	8	24%	13	7	21%	13	38%	2	6%
Kanabec	62	38	19	31%	13	7	11%	17	27%	3	5%
Kandiyohi	229	35	56	24%	13	42	18%	63	28%	23	10%
Kittson	13	33	2	15%	17	6	46%	2	15%	2	15%
Koochiching	85	37	25	29%	15	25	29%	24	28%	6	7%
Lac Qui Parle	16	45	5	31%	15	5	31%	4	25%	2	13%
Lake	32	40	11	34%	17	14	44%	8	25%	2	6%
Lake of the Woods	10	32	2	20%	16	3	30%	2	20%	0	0%
Le Sueur	63	40	18	29%	14	14	22%	19	30%	7	11%
Lincoln	12	56 30	5 16	42% 17%	19 12	2	17%	1	8%	1	8%
Lyon McLeod	93	29	16 10	17%	13	19	20%	27 26	29%	7	8%
Mahnomen	88	32	18 25	20%	13	13	15%	26 14	30%	7	8%
	94	48	35	37%	12	4	4%	14	15%	1	1%
Marshall Martin	22	46	6	27%	19	6	27%	1	5%	1	5%
Martin	95 66	38	23	24%	15 12	25 11	26% 17%	21	22%	6	6%
Meeker	66 125	35	13	20%	13	11	17%	13	20%	1	2%
Mille Lacs	125	44	43	34%	13	12	10%	26	21%	5	4%

			Welfare								
County/Region/	Number			TANF Months			Ne	w Cases	in 1999		
State	of		Over 60	Months		Over 24 Months		Total		Out-of-State	
	Cases	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Prcnt
Morrison	114	45	38	33%	12	10	9%	23	20%	5	4%
Mower	173	37	47	27%	14	36	21%	47	27%	16	9%
Murray	8	34	1	13%	12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Nicollet	101	31	17	17%	15	24	24%	26	26%	2	2%
Nobles	108	35	22	20%	14	21	19%	29	27%	15	14%
Norman	32	37	7	22%	14	10	31%	10	31%	1	3%
Olmsted	570	34	117	21%	14	153	27%	177	31%	91	16%
Otter Tail	181	41	56	31%	15	52	29%	48	27%	13	7%
Pennington	79	37	20	25%	14	16	20%	14	18%	4	5%
Pine	167	40	50	30%	13	37	22%	48	29%	11	7%
Pipestone	32	32	4	13%	16	10	31%	7	22%	2	6%
Polk	264	41	70	27%	16	71	27%	44	17%	14	5%
Pope	20	41	6	30%	14	6	30%	5	25%	2	10%
Ramsey	5,811	52	2,511	43%	18	2,215	38%	875	15%	263	5%
Red Lake	14	30	2	14%	15	4	29%	4	29%	0	0%
Redwood	50	41	15	30%	15	10	20%	9	18%	1	2%
Renville	46	26	8	17%	9	5	11%	21	46%	10	22%
Rice	199	34	43	22%	13	37	19%	52	26%	14	7%
Rock	22	29	4	18%	12	4	18%	8	36%	0	0%
Roseau	35	23	4	11%	12	5	14%	16	46%	3	9%
St. Louis	1,524	47	557	37%	17	517	34%	284	19%	62	4%
Scott	170	43	56	33%	15	45	26%	38	22%	11	6%
Sherburne	146	37	34	23%	12	18	12%	36	25%	8	5%
Sibley	33	24	2	6%	12	5	15%	11	33%	6	18%
Stearns	421	43	132	31%	16	128	30%	100	24%	18	4%
Steele	142	30	26	18%	14	30	21%	38	27%	22	15%
Stevens	24	42	7	29%	17	7	29%	7	29%	5	21%
Swift	26	45	11	42%	17	10	38%	9	35%	4	15%
Todd	122	45	46	38%	12	13	11%	21	17%	4	3%
Traverse	18	30	4	22%	13	2	11%	5	28%	5	28%
Wabasha	36	45	13	36%	16	12	33%	7	19%	1	3%
Wadena	83	36	21	25%	13	16	19%	18	22%	4	5%
Waseca	79	34	13	16%	14	13	16%	18	23%	3	4%
Washington	498	43	159	32%	16	158	32%	113	23%	23	5%
Watonwan	55	28	8	15%	12	9	16%	19	35%	3	5%
Wilkin	28	35	6	21%	15	6	21%	10	36%	2	7%
Winona	153	34	29	19%	14	33	22%	45	29%	13	8%
Wright	224	39	60	27%	13	39	17%	56	25%	5	2%
Yellow Medicine	23	38	7	30%	12	3	13%	4	17%	1	4%
Northwest	459	38	111	24%	15	118	26%	91	20%	25	5%
West Central	3,027	47	1,127	37%	14	700	23%	549	18%	115	4%
Northeast	2,088	46	753	36%	17	681	33%	408	20%	87	4%
Central	1,991	39	549	28%	14	401	20%	498	25%	104	5%
Southwest	517	37	132	26%	14	118	23%	124	24%	37	7%
South Central	771	36	168	22%	14	174	23%	191	25%	52	7%
Southeast	1,678	35	372	22%	14	396	24%	466	28%	190	11%
Metro Suburban	2,933	43	899	31%	15	742	25%	647	22%	120	4%
Core Metro	15,571	50	6,228	40%	18	5,466	35%	2,785	18%	1,065	7%
Minnesota	29,114	46	10,380	36%	16	8,802	30%	5,767	20%	1,796	6%

	Work and Income									
County/Region/ State	Coui	nted Earr	nings	Gr	oss Inco	me	W	ork Hou	rs	
	Count	Percent	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	
Aitkin	20	38%	\$262	19	37%	\$491	20	38%	87	
Anoka	439	35%	\$356	549	44%	\$765	551	44%	94	
Becker	93	39%	\$354	87	36%	\$699	89	37%	107	
Beltrami	302	35%	\$402	345	40%	\$789	344	40%	111	
Benton	58	44%	\$376	62	47%	\$732	60	45%	100	
Big Stone	9	47%	\$380	12	63%	\$677	12	63%	106	
Blue Earth	100	44%	\$378	106	47%	\$850	107	47%	110	
Brown	33	52%	\$452	31	49%	\$841	31	49%	116	
Carlton	66	38%	\$388	80	46%	\$779	83	48%	97	
Carver	31	39%	\$353	40	51%	\$781	41	52%	91	
Cass	105	35%	\$392	102	34%	\$803	103	34%	112	
Chippewa	21	57%	\$371	18	49%	\$846	18	49%	128	
Chisago	54	35%	\$342	62	40%	\$792	62	40%	96	
Clay	171	46%	\$372	186	50%	\$677	185	50%	101	
Clearwater	29	39%	\$418	31	42%	\$762	31	42%	117	
Cook	2	50%		2	50%		2	50%		
Cottonwood	15	41%	\$364	19	51%	\$889	20	54%	118	
Crow Wing	136	51%	\$413	152	57%	\$762	151	56%	107	
Dakota	320	34%	\$407	397	43%	\$814	403	43%	98	
Dodge	11	33%	\$320	16	48%	\$732	16	48%	84	
Douglas	55	49%	\$309	61	54%	\$658	61	54%	99	
Faribault	27	48%	\$368	29	52%	\$717	29	52%	106	
Fillmore	17	47%	\$324	20	56%	\$543	20	56%	97	
Freeborn	73	42%	\$284	92	53%	\$583	92	53%	81	
Goodhue	43	39%	\$367	54	49%	\$748	54	49%	92	
Grant	11	61%	\$444	12	67%	\$747	12	67%	111	
Hennepin	2,400	25%	\$423	3,034	31%	\$849	3,042	31%	101	
Houston	27	52%	\$342	25	48%	\$656	25	48%	96	
Hubbard	43	49%	\$368	45	52%	\$782	46	53%	109	
Isanti	58	45%	\$371	62	48%	\$700	59	46%	87	
Itasca	93	43%	\$339	89	41%	\$689	90	41%	100	
Jackson	15	44%	\$327	16	47%	\$663	16	47%	94	
Kanabec	27	44%	\$462	35	56%	\$985	33	53%	110	
Kandiyohi	106	46%	\$365	114	50%	\$686	119	52%	101	
Kittson	5	38%	φοσσ	4	31%	Ψ000	4	31%		
Koochiching	31	36%	\$332	41	48%	\$628	42	49%	98	
Lac Qui Parle	10	63%	\$515	8	50%	\$1,040	8	50%	151	
Lake	16	50%	\$427	14	44%	\$690	14	44%	97	
Lake of the Woods	5	50%	₩ 1 <i>21</i>	5	50%	\$555	5	50%	٥.	
Le Sueur	35	56%	\$405	35	56%	\$919	36	57%	107	
Lincoln	5	42%	ψτου	6	50%	\$915	7	58%	108	
Lyon	43	46%	\$388	58	62%	\$702	58	62%	104	
McLeod	45	51%	\$390	48	55%	\$702	49	56%	88	
Mahnomen	26	28%	\$357	29	31%	\$700 \$746	30	32%	94	
Marshall	11	50%	\$424	12	55%	\$740	12	55%	109	
Martin	51	54%	\$380	53	56%	\$772	53	56%	115	
Meeker	36	55%	\$373	36	55%	\$730	36	55%	93	
Mille Lacs	55	44%	\$373 \$411	54	43%	\$820	53	42%	93 104	
IVIIIIE LAUS	ວວ	4470	φ <del>4</del> ι Ι	54	43%	φο∠∪	ეკ	42%	104	

	Work and Income									
County/Region/ State	Cour	nted Earr	nings	Gr	oss Inco	me	W	ork Hou	rs	
	Count	Percent	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	
Morrison	42	37%	\$426	54	47%	\$817	54	47%	109	
Mower	81	47%	\$375	100	58%	\$807	100	58%	113	
Murray	6	75%	\$524	5	63%		5	63%		
Nicollet	44	44%	\$317	55	54%	\$688	55	54%	97	
Nobles	49	45%	\$407	62	57%	\$762	62	57%	108	
Norman	11	34%	\$350	12	38%	\$581	11	34%	74	
Olmsted	229	40%	\$449	255	45%	\$828	260	46%	105	
Otter Tail	92	51%	\$381	106	59%	\$668	109	60%	97	
Pennington	40	51%	\$444	37	47%	\$650	39	49%	93	
Pine	58	35%	\$457	71	43%	\$829	71	43%	114	
Pipestone	12	38%	\$386	12	38%	\$639	12	38%	98	
Polk	115	44%	\$407	128	48%	\$756	128	48%	111	
Pope	7	35%	\$346	12	60%	\$792	11	55%	96	
Ramsey	1,651	28%	\$450	2,057	35%	\$875	2,057	35%	103	
Red Lake	7	50%	\$494	9	64%	\$710	9	64%	102	
Redwood	28	56%	\$415	30	60%	\$772	30	60%	99	
Renville	18	39%	\$393	22	48%	\$770	22	48%	110	
Rice	74	37%	\$433	87	44%	\$806	87	44%	104	
Rock	7	32%	\$503	12	55%	\$772	12	55%	115	
Roseau	15	43%	\$449	18	51%	\$806	18	51%	113	
St. Louis	651	43%	\$358	729	48%	\$640	736	48%	95	
Scott	49	29%	\$415	57	34%	\$871	57	34%	100	
Sherburne	64	44%	\$378	73	50%	\$723	74	51%	98	
Sibley	17	52%	\$440	20	61%	\$1,075	20	61%	142	
Stearns	169	40%	\$367	199	47%	\$761	202	48%	95	
Steele	56	39%	\$419	64	45%	\$737	64	45%	107	
Stevens	11	46%	\$474	16	67%	\$643	16	67%	94	
Swift	12	46%	\$429	13	50%	\$791	13	50%	114	
Todd	54	44%	\$312	68	56%	\$662	69	57%	99	
Traverse	13	72%	\$447	14	78%	\$987	15	83%	149	
Wabasha	22	61%	\$365	24	67%	\$675	25	69%	110	
Wadena	45	54%	\$344	47	57%	\$679	48	58%	105	
Waseca	35	44%	\$348	43	54%	\$810	43	54%	103	
Washington	162	33%	\$404	210	42%	\$807	212	43%	99	
Watonwan	24	44%	\$388	26	47%	\$859	26	47%	117	
Wilkin	16	57%	\$306	17	61%	\$468	17	61%	87	
Winona	70	46%	\$427	86	56%	\$704	87	57%	97	
Wright	85	38%	\$378	94	42%	\$748	96	43%	99	
Yellow Medicine	7	30%	\$355	10	43%	\$804	10	43%	102	
Northwest	204	44%	\$418	220	48%	\$728	221	48%	106	
West Central	1,256	41%	\$382	1,389	46%	\$736	1,396	46%	106	
Northeast	879	42%	\$356	974	47%	\$653	987	47%	96	
Central	833	42%	\$382	932	47%	\$756	936	47%	99	
Southwest	239	46%	\$401	281	54%	\$772	283	55%	110	
South Central	366	47%	\$380	398	52%	\$818	400	52%	110	
Southeast	703	42%	\$400	823	49%	\$752	830	49%	101	
Metro Suburban	1,001	34%	\$383	1,253	43%	\$793	1,264	43%	96	
Core Metro	4,051	26%	\$434	5,091	33%	\$860	5,099	33%	102	
Minnesota	9,547	33%	\$405	11,384	39%	\$797	11,439	39%	101	

	Child Support						
County/Region/ State	Curre	ent Paym	nents				
State	Count	Percent	Median				
Aitkin	17	33%	\$154				
Anoka	303	24%	\$218				
Becker	34	14%	\$137				
Beltrami	105	12%	\$178				
Benton	38	29%	\$170				
Big Stone	6	32%	\$142				
Blue Earth	71	31%	\$229				
Brown	24	38%	\$171				
Carlton	40	23%	\$197				
Carver	23	29%	\$238				
Cass	48	16%	\$125				
Chippewa	9	24%	\$206				
Chisago	50	32%	\$235				
Clay	76	20%	\$193				
Clearwater	18	24%	\$185				
Cook	0	0%					
Cottonwood	11	30%	\$229				
Crow Wing	64	24%	\$154				
Dakota	224	24%	\$210				
Dodge	6	18%	\$205				
Douglas	32	29%	\$161				
Faribault	14	25%	\$145				
Fillmore	16	44%	\$235				
Freeborn	42	24%	\$199				
Goodhue	28	25%	\$246				
Grant	4	22%					
Hennepin	1,203	12%	\$172				
Houston	20	38%	\$231				
Hubbard	19	22%	\$193				
Isanti	39	30%	\$196				
Itasca	41	19%	\$196				
Jackson	9	26%	\$244				
Kanabec	16	26%	\$222				
Kandiyohi	38	17%	\$176				
Kittson	4	31%					
Koochiching	23	27%	\$210				
Lac Qui Parle	2	13%					
Lake	8	25%	\$196				
Lake of the Woods	1	10%					
Le Sueur	16	25%	\$175				
Lincoln	4	33%	·				
Lyon	19	20%	\$233				
McLeod	24	27%	\$152				
Mahnomen	16	17%	\$117				
Marshall	9	41%	\$188				
Martin	29	31%	\$176				
Meeker	26	39%	\$198				
Mille Lacs	31	25%	\$210				
	, J.	2070	Ψ2.0				

	Child Support						
County/Region/		rent Paymo					
State							
	Count	Percent	Median				
Morrison	27	24%	\$231				
Mower	40	23%	\$159				
Murray	1	13%					
Nicollet	37	37%	\$195				
Nobles	21	19%	\$201				
Norman	10	31%	\$143				
Olmsted	119	21%	\$180				
Otter Tail	46	25%	\$154				
Pennington	19	24%	\$198				
Pine	35	21%	\$219				
Pipestone	15	47%	\$151				
Polk	79	30%	\$198				
Pope	7	35%	\$156				
Ramsey	846	15%	\$181				
Red Lake	0	0%					
Redwood	10	20%	\$185				
Renville	11	24%	\$215				
Rice	43	22%	\$225				
Rock	7	32%	\$228				
Roseau	5	14%					
St. Louis	300	20%	\$166				
Scott	44	26%	\$226				
Sherburne	41	28%	\$198				
Sibley	4	12%					
Stearns	87	21%	\$219				
Steele	16	11%	\$255				
Stevens	6	25%	\$136				
Swift	12	46%	\$175				
Todd	52	43%	\$196				
Traverse	3	17%					
Wabasha	10	28%	\$211				
Wadena	27	33%	\$264				
Waseca	26	33%	\$271				
Washington	102	20%	\$230				
Watonwan	11	20%	\$158				
Wilkin	8	29%	\$143				
Winona	29	19%	\$207				
Wright	65	29%	\$220				
Yellow Medicine	4	17%					
Northwest	126	27%	\$192				
West Central	593	20%	\$166				
Northeast	429	21%	\$174				
Central	501	25%	\$206				
Southwest	130	25%	\$183				
South Central	232	30%	\$191				
Southeast	369	22%	\$200				
Metro Suburban	696	24%	\$218				
Core Metro	2,049	13%	\$175				
Minnesota	5,132	18%	\$186				