

07 - 0388 **Health Care**

Our Mission

The Minnesota Department of Human Services, working with many others, helps people meet their basic needs so they can live in dignity and achieve their

highest potential.

Our Values

- We focus on people, not programs.
- We provide ladders up and safety nets for the people we serve.
- We work in partnership with others; we cannot do it alone.
- We are accountable for results, first to the people we serve, and ultimately to all Minnesotans.

We practice these shared values in an ethical environment where integrity, trustworthiness, responsibility, respect, justice, fairness and caring are of paramount importance.

Report to the Legislature

Processing Medical Assistance Applications For Residents of Long-Term Care Facilities

Laws of Minnesota 2005, First Special Session, Chapter 4, Article 7, Section 3

Minnesota Statutes Chapter 256B, section 0185, subdivision 2

March 2007

11

 \boldsymbol{b}

Table of Contents

ost To Prepare The Report	1
xecutive Summary	1
ackground	2
eport Methodology	3
ummary of the Data	4
ecommendations	9
onclusion	10

Appendix A

Application Processing Days by County for MA Applicants over age 65

Appendix B

Distribution of Processing Days Over 45 by County for MA Applicants over age 65

Appendix C

Application Processing Days by County for MA Applicants with a Disability or Blind

Appendix D

Distribution of Processing Days Over 60 by County for MA Applicants with a Disability or Blind

Appendix E

Application Processing Days by County for MA Applicants Not Identified as With a Disability, Blind or Over Age 65

Appendix F

Distribution of Processing Days Over 45 by County for MA Applicants Not Identified as With a Disability, Blind or Over Age 65

Appendix G

Summary of Medical Assistance (MA) Policy Changes related to the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 (DRA)

This information is available in other forms to people with disabilities by contacting us at (651) 431-2000 (voice) or toll free at (800) 657-3756. TTY/TDD users can call the Minnesota Relay at 711 or (800) 627-3529. For the Speech-to-Speech Relay, call (877) 627-3848.

• • • • • A

Cost To Prepare The Report

Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 3.197 requires the disclosure of the cost to prepare this report. Approximately, \$3,600 for staff salaries and materials was spent to prepare this report.

Executive Summary

The 2005 Legislature directed the Department of Human Services to deliver a report to the legislature in December of 2005 and 2006 that identifies each county where the amount of time to process Medical Assistance (MA) applications for people residing in a long-term care facility exceeded 45 days for a person who is age 65 or older and 60 days for a person with a disability. For each county identified, the study must specify the number of applications filed, the average number of days the applications were pending, the distribution of days for the pending applications, and what percentage of the applications the county approved and denied. The report must also include specific recommendations for how counties, as a group, can shorten the time it takes to act on applications.

Standard Application Processing Periods

Federal and state laws require that MA applications for persons age 65 or older must be processed within 45 days.¹ For people with a disability, federal law requires that MA applications must be processed within 90 days.² However, Minnesota law requires that MA applications for people with a disability must be processed within 60 days.³

Federal law allows a delay beyond these standard processing periods when a decision cannot be reached for reasons beyond the applicant's control. Federal law prohibits using the processing period as a waiting period and applications that cannot be processed by the end of the processing period cannot be denied if the reason is beyond the applicant's control. It is common for a county agency to pend an application filed by a person who is requesting MA payment of long-term care (LTC) services beyond the standard processing period while they assist the applicant in obtaining necessary verifications or while the applicant takes the steps to reduce assets in excess of the asset limit.

Processing applications for MA payment of LTC services can be quite complicated. MA policy requires documentation and evaluation of assets which may include annuity contracts, burial contracts, trusts, life estates, and non-homestead real property. There are additional considerations when an applicant has a spouse or other dependents that live in the community. Uncompensated transfers made by the applicant or the applicant's spouse up to three years, five years for transfers into trusts, prior to the date of application must also be evaluated. These types of assets require significant documentation and can be difficult for both the applicant and county agency staff to obtain and evaluate.

The county agency sends a pending notice 10 days before the end of the processing period when the applicant has failed to provide needed information. The notice tells the applicant what is still needed and informs the applicant that the application will be denied at the end of the processing period if the necessary information is not received. A denial notice is sent at the end of the processing period when the applicant fails to provide the required information.

The county agency sends a pending notice at the end of the processing period (45 or 60 days as appropriate) to explain the reason for the delay when an eligibility determination cannot be made due to circumstances beyond the applicant's control.

¹ 42 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), § 435.911; Minnesota Rules Chapter 9505.0090, subpart 1.

² 42 CFR § 435.911.

³ Minnesota Rules Chapter 9505.0090, subpart 1.

Brief Summary of the Report Data

Data gathered for the report provides the following information about MA applications for persons residing in nursing homes and intermediate care facilities:

	s Processed - 12/01/06	Applications Proc Standard Proc	essed Within the essing Period		essed Beyond the cessing Period
Age 65 or Older	4,097	Age 65 or Older	2,062 (50%)	Age 65 or Older	2,035 (50%)
Disabled/Blind	418	Disabled/Blind	253 (60%)	Disabled/Blind	165 (40%)
Other⁴	43	Other	18 (42%)	Other	25 (58%)

SOURCE: Minnesota Department of Human Services Data Warehouse

Background

During the 2005 legislative session, representatives of nursing home providers raised concerns to legislators about cash flow problems they were experiencing due to delays in processing MA applications for residents of long-term care (LTC) facilities. The representatives stated that nursing homes' ability to remain solvent was being compromised when MA applications are not processed within the standard processing periods.

Initially, legislators proposed House File 1828 which required counties to pay nursing homes the non-federal share of MA payments from the date the applicant is eligible for MA until the date the county issues a notice of eligibility. The legislation was later amended to require the following:

Required Report

Subdivision 1. **Pending application.** By December 15 of both 2005 and 2006, the commissioner must deliver to the legislature a report that identifies:

- (1) each county in which an application for medical assistance from a person identified as residing in a longterm care facility is or was pending, at any time between January 1 and December 1 of the calendar year to which the report relates, for more than 60 days in the case of a person who is disabled, or for more than 45 days in the case of a person who is age 65 or older; and
- (2) for each of the identified counties: the number of applications described in clause (1), the average number of days the applications were pending, the distribution of days for applications that were pending, and what percentage of the applications, respectively, the county approved and denied.

Subd. 2. **Time to process application.** The report must include specific recommendations for how counties, as a group, could shorten the time it takes to act on the applications described in subdivision 1, clause (1).

Minnesota Statutes §256B.0185 (2005).

Summary 2005 Report

Last year's report was published in March 2006 and can be found on the Department of Human Services public website at:

http://edocs.dhs.state.mn.us/lfserver/Legacy/DHS-4724-ENG

The March 2006 report provided background information on:

- Costs for nursing home care
- MA coverage for nursing home care

⁴ Data indicates the applicant used a basis of eligibility other than Age 65 or Older or Disabled or Blind but lived in a nursing facility.

- MA rules for persons requesting payment of long-term care services including treatment of income, assets, and asset transfers
- Minnesota's request for federal approval to expand asset transfer rules
- The Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 (DRA)
- Rules about delays in processing MA applications

In addition, the report presented the data required by the legislation and recommendations for decreasing the application processing timeframes.

This year's report will:

- Present 2006 data compared with 2005 data
- Discuss the status of the recommendations made in the March 2006 report
- Discuss continued recommendations for future progress
- Provide a summary of the DRA changes (See Appendix G)

Report Methodology

DHS used data stored in the data warehouse for this report. The data warehouse stores information that is extracted from MAXIS, the system used by county workers to determine MA eligibility. The data extracted are dependent on the information the worker enters into MAXIS.

The report data identifies:

- MA applications that were approved or denied between January 1, 2006 through December 1, 2006 and
- Applicants who are coded in MAXIS as residing in one of the following types of LTC facilities: Nursing Facility I (NFI), Nursing Facility II (NFII) or intermediate care facilities for people with mental retardation or related conditions (ICF-MR) on the date they filed the MA application.⁵

Data Limitations

- Data is not available to determine which applications are pended due to circumstances beyond the applicant's control.
- The same application may be included in the report twice. This happens when a worker approves an application and transfers it to another county on the same day.
- The report counts applications, not applicants and therefore it may include information about multiple applications filed by the same individual when those applications were approved or denied between January 1, 2006 and December 1, 2006.
- Information about applications where the worker did not know or did not code the applicant as residing in a LTC facility is not included in this report. This may occur in two situations:
 - 1. The application doesn't include information that indicates the applicant resides in a LTC facility and the applicant doesn't follow through with the application. In this situation, the application is automatically denied by the eligibility system on the 45th day and so, would always be processed timely.
 - 2. The worker determines the applicant is ineligible and doesn't take the time to enter the facility information. In this situation, the factor that causes the applicant to be ineligible is typically known early in the application process and rarely results in an application that is not processed within 45 days.

⁵ NFI, NFII and ICF-MR are the only LTC facilities that receive MA payment for cost of care and so are the only LTC facilities included in the Report. The statewide average payment rate for skilled nursing facility care (SAPSNF) is updated annually on July 1st. See Minnesota Health Care Programs Manual § 0909.27.11.03.

■ The report does not reflect data regarding MA enrollees who live in the community and enter a LTC facility at a later date. People who are enrolled in MA are not required to file an additional application when their circumstances change. Delays in payments to LTC facilities do not typically occur in these situations because the worker is familiar with the enrollee and his or her circumstances are already verified and documented in the system.

Presentation of Data

The data are reported for three groups of applicants according to their basis of eligibility for MA:

- 1. Applicants with an age 65 or older MA basis of eligibility;
- 2. Applicants with a disability or blind MA basis of eligibility; and
- 3. Applicants with no basis of eligibility or a family and children's MA basis of eligibility but who are residing in a LTC facility. (Note: This group contains data where a coding error makes it unknown whether the person is age sixty-five or older or has a disability. However, it is assumed that the person is in one of these categories because he or she resides in a LTC facility.)

For each group the data is broken out as follows:

- Total number of applications in the state
- By county:
 - Total number of applications processed;
 - Total number of applications that took 45 days or less to process or 60 days or less to process for persons with a disability;
 - Total number of applications that took more than 45 days to process or more than 60 days to process for persons with a disability;
 - Distribution of processing days for applications that were pending;
 - Percentage of applications that took 45 days or less to process, or 60 days or less to process for persons with a disability that were approved or denied; and
 - Percentage of applications that took more than 45 days to process, or more than 60 days to process for persons with a disability that were approved or denied.

Summary of the Data

Application Information

- Counties processed 4,559 long-term care applications between January 1, 2006, and December 1, 2006. The total number of applications is down from 5,828 in 2005.
- The average number of days an application pended in 2006 has dropped significantly to 38 days as compared to 59 days in 2005.
- Approximately 51% (2,337) of all applications were processed within the standard processing period. The overall average is slightly less than the 55% figure in 2005. However, there is an eight percent increase in the number of applications for persons who are blind or have a disability that are processed within the standard processing period.
- Approximately 49% (2,221) of all applications were not processed within the standard processing period. This overall average is up slightly from the 45% figure in 2005.
- Approximately 28% (1,291) of all applications were processed by Hennepin and Ramsey counties. This represents a two percent increase from 2005.
- Of the applications that were not processed within the standard processing period, approximately 42% (932) were processed by Hennepin and Ramsey counties. This percentage is down slightly from 2005.

		dications essed		s processed 5 days	Application	s processed 5 days	Auguran D	ays Pended
County	2005	2006	2005	2006	<u>m ≥ 4</u> 2005	2006	2005	2006
Aitkin	21	16	12	10	9	6	48	53
Anoka	174	139	103	66	71	73	45	36
Becker	51 *	37	38	25	13	12	37	34
Beltrami	54	23	39	16	· 15	7	39	31
Benton	40	- 35	22	21	18	14	52	38
Big Stone	15	13	<u>·11</u>	7	4	6	28	30
Blue Earth	66	47	39	22	27	25	42	50
Brown	57	47	40	20	17	25	43	46
Carlton	58	41	43	28	15	13	32	32
Carver	41	37	10	12	31	- 25	76	64
Cass	28	26	22	21	6	5	30	27
Chippewa	29	20	12	8	17	13	59	50
Chisago	36	29	24	23	12	6	44	37
Clay	59	54	47	44	12	10	24	24
Clearwater	21	8	12	4	9	4	42	47
Cook	8	4	5	2	3	2	36	62
Cottonwood	38	17	22	11	16	6	49	44
Crow Wing	77	41	62	24	15	17	34	32
Dakota	189	190	44	46	145	144	91	61
Dodge	10,	16	19	12	0	4	21	26
Douglas	56	43	7	9	49	34	113	111
Faribault	35	23	27	11	8	12	37	28
Fillmore	50	37	31	27	19	10	47	30
Freeborn	69	52	45	33	24	19	50	31
Goodhue	85	52	64	35	21	17	31	31
Grant	11	8	9	4	2	4	35	57
Hennepin	864	668	164	145	700	523	98	39
Houston	26	18	18	11	8	7	44	46
Hubbard	21	17	9	9	12	8	54	63
Isanti	59	52	45	39	14	13	32	23
Itasca	61	35	58	30	3	5	25	26
Jackson	24	16	23	13	1	3	18	18
Kanabec :	20	14	12		. 8	13	50	90
Kandiyohi	71	42	67	39	4	3	23	14
Kittson	16	16	15	14	1	2	23	12
Koochiching	35	20	17	11	18	9	61	45
Lac Qui Parle	30	32	29	32	1	0	30	17
Lake	19	11	15	4	2	7	27	69
Lake of the Woods	3	8	2	1	· 1	7	35	78
Le Sueur	49	28	30	19	19	9	42	29
Lincoln	15	7	12		3	2	31	36
lyon	27	.32	25	28	2	4	20	20
McLeod	56	59	36	33	20	26	41	31
Mahnomen	7	12	6	6	1	6	35	36

Processing Times for Residents of Long Term Care Facilities Age 65 or Older: 2005 Data Compared with 2006 Data

Processing Times for Residents of Long Term Care Facilities Age 65 or Older: 2005 Data Compared with 2006 Data

County		olications essed		s processed 5 days		s processed 5 days	Average D	ays Pended
	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006
Marshall	14	13	10	4	4	9	36	53
Martin	36	42	26	26	10	16	34	35
Meeker	31	17	29	17	2	0	16	13
Mille Lacs	45	39	19	15	26	24	55	46
Morrison	60	47	52	38	8	9	27	19
Mower	62	49	40	21	22	28	37	45
Murray	22	16	22	16	0	0	10	7
Nicollet	34	21	17	10	17	11	51	48
Nobles	-25	16	20	13	5	3	33	31
Norman	17	14	16	13	1	1	10	12
Olmsted	83	103	50	50	33	53	46	36
Otter Tail	132	72	113	62	19	10	26	16
Pennington	16	25	9	18	7	7	49	33
Pine	25	11	16	7	9	4	41	32
Pipestone	24	16	18	4	6	12	37	42
Polk	58	53	. 45	37	13	16	33	31
Pope	31	20	25	19	6	1	25	16
Ramsey	504	406	149	92	355	314	74	40
Red Lake	8	2	7	2	1	0	42	17
Redwood	31	24	21	19	10	5	38	23
Renville	39	18	25	13	14	5	39	25
Rice	61	53	31	24	30	29	57	61
Rock	17	8	12	5	5	3	38	34
	17		9	5	9		49	73
Roseau								
St. Louis	335	248	. 207	153	128	95	51	35
Scott	58	46	26	29	32	17	57	33
Sherburne	46	44	34	29	12	15	38	45
Sibley	33	13	29	10	4	3	29	31
Stearns	81	64	38	36	43	28	52	47
Steele	41	31 .	32	18	9	- 13	29	45
Stevens	20	12	14	11	6	1	39	28
Swift	25	12	24	10	1	2	19	27
Todd	49	27	31	19	18	8	47	33
Traverse	18	8	14	8	4	0	35	29
Wabasha	27	20	25	10	2	10	23	38
Wadena	28	21	11	13	17	8	56	34
Waseca	40	26	30	22	10	4	32	23
Washington	113	95	80	57	33	38	46	48
Watonwan	24	-25	13	17	6	8	47	30
Wilkin	16	17	16	17	0	Ő	10	3
Winona	80	71	46	40	34	31	50	35
Wright	80	49	62	32	18	17	32	27
Yellow Medicine	17	25	13	19	4	6	31	- 33
Statewide Total	5315	4097	2918	2062	2397	2035	55	38
Statewide Percentage			55%	51%	45%	49%		

Application Processing Times for Residents of Long Term Care Facilities who are Blind or have a Disability January 1, 2006 through December 1, 2006

County		plications essed		s processed O days		s processed O days	Average D	ays Pended
	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006
Aitkin	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Anoka	17	30	14	22	3	8	35	42
Becker	3	3	2	2	1.	1	60	35
Belframi	6	6	5	5	1	1	33	34
Benton	2	1 .	1	O	1	1	74	92
Big Stone	1	1	0	0	1	1	117	88
Blue Earth	5	8	4	5	1	3	53	49
Brown	4	1	2	1	2	0	71	31
Carlton	1	0	1	0	0	0	12	0
Corver	4	6	1	3	3	3	90	60
Cass	1	1	1	0	0	0	56	3
Chippewa	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	71
Chisago	3]	1	0	2	1	86	100
Clay	2	3	2	3	0	0	5	18
Clearwater	1	1	1	1	0	0	6	20
Cook	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cottonwood	1	2	1	1	0	Ī	27	59
Crow Wing	4	3	2	3	2	0	60	36
Dakota	18	8	6	6	12	2	103	42
Dodge	3	1	2	1	1	0	50	15
Douglas ·	3	2	0	2	3	0	127	6
Faribault	3	2	2	1	1	1	48	42
Fillmore	4	1	4	0	0	1	37	123
Freeborn	6	3	5	3	1	0	49	37
Goodhue	17	14	10	10	7	4	62	41
Grant	0	-0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hennepin	127	117	46	64	81	53	94	77
Houston		2	5	0	0	2	27	104
Hubbard	3	0	2	0	· 1	0	71	0
Isanti	4	3	2	2	2	1	44	63
Itasca	2	1	2	0	0	1	35	189
Jackson			0	1	1	0	102	1
Kanabec	2	1	1	0	1	1	40	95
Kandiyohi	2	1	2	1	0	0	19	16
Kittson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Koochiching	0	U j	0	0	0	1	<u> </u>	69
Lac Qui Parle	1	0	0	0	1	0	69	09
	<u>_</u> 1	1	. 1		0	0		
Lake	1			1			22	22
Lake of the Woods		0	0	0	1	0	99	0
Le Sueur	4	0	4	0	0	0	40	0
Lincoln	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	0
Lyon	0	3	0	2	0	1	0	28
McLeod	5	7	2	6	3	1	64	38
Mahnomen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marshall	1	0	0	0	1	0	133	0

Application Processing Times for Residents of Long Term Care Facilities who are Blind or have a Disability January 1, 2006 through December 1, 2006

County		olications essed		s processed O days		s processed O days	Average D	ays Pended
	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006
Martin	1	1	1	0	0	1	17	85
Meeker	1	1	1	1	0	0	- 2	26
Mille Lacs	3	0	3	0	0	0	25	0
Morrison	5	0	4	0	1	0	31	0
Mower	2	4	1	2	1	2	44	56
Murray	2	1	2	1	0	0	41	17
Nicollet	4	3	3	2	1	1	31	64
Nobles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Norman	3	4	3	2	0	2	37	44
Olmsted	8	3	2	3	6	0	82	29
Otter Tail	5	4	3	4	2	0	39	29
Pennington	1	2	1	2	0	0	18	32
Pine	0	1	0	1	0	0	.0	6
Pipestone	3	2	2	2	1	0	46	28
Polk	3	4	2	4	1	0	46	5
Роре	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0
Ramsey	62	79	26	37	36	42	82	69
Red Lake	1	0	1	-0	0	Ö	43	
Redwood	3	2	3	1	0	1	30	195
Renville	2	2	2	1	0	1	31	83
Rice	7	7	5	5	2	2	56	41
Rock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rosecu	1	1	0	1	1	0	190	53
St. Louis	20	13	14	9	6	4	54	.42
Scott	5	2	1	2	4	0	109	1
Sherburne	5	4	3	2	2	2	51	78
Sibley	2	1			1	0	77	1
Stearns	3	3	1	2	2	1	53	45
Steele	2	2	1	1	1	1	63	40
Stevens	2	4	1	2	1	2	54	27
Swift	1	<u> </u>	0		1	0	80	0
Todd	6	4	2	1	4	3	63	62
	2	0	2	0	0	0	27	0
Wabasha	5	5	2	3	3	2	76	47 :
Wadena	0	1.	0	1	0	0	0	44
Waseca	2 8	1	1	1	1	0	91	1
Washington	8 2	0	4	-0	4	7 0	54	89
Watonwan Wilkin	2 0	2	0	2		0	66	0
	4	3	3	2	0	1	0 54	2 49
Winona	4	- 1	2	<u> </u>	5	0		
Wright Yellow Medicine	2	1	2	1		0	28	6
Statewide Total	464	418	2 241	253	223	165	 71	1 59
Statewide Percentage	404	410	241 52%	203 60%		40%		37
platewice rercentage	31		JZ/0	00%	48%	40/0		

Recommendations

Status of Recommendations from March 2006 Report

Need for Centralized Special Unit

A centralized special unit at DHS was recommended to assist counties with the complex issues that arise in determining MA eligibility for people residing in nursing facilities. Emphasis on support to counties relating to policies that affect MA eligibility for people requesting payment of long-term care services has been established. Teleconference training sessions were held in November to review the DRA provisions and provide guidance to counties around implementation issues. In addition, internal meetings have been established to provide prompt answers to policy questions submitted by county agencies. DHS continues to explore ways to further develop support for counties. DHS plans to make training about policies and procedures related to eligibility for people who request MA payment of LTC services available to all county agencies later in 2007.

Improved Communication

Nursing facilities and county social service agencies were encouraged to establish clear lines of communication to prevent residents from filing applications prematurely. Some counties have reported establishing periodic meetings with nursing facilities in their county to provide a better understanding of the process. It is unknown if efforts to establish more effective lines of communication on a case by case basis have been implemented.

Encourage Face-to-Face Interviews

Face-to-face interviews were recommended as a way to facilitate better communication between county workers and families resulting in more timely and accurate eligibility determination. Requiring a face-to-face interview for purposes of accessing health care benefits is viewed as a potential barrier to receiving coverage. Counties may strongly encourage face-to-face interviews but they cannot require an interview. Some counties have increased efforts to offer face-to-face interviews. Counties continue to be encouraged to provide opportunities for face-toface interviews with authorized representatives of people residing in long-term care facilities.

Regular Review of Pending Applications

Prompt review of applications upon receipt and timely requests for needed verifications was recommended. In addition, it was recommended that county supervisors monitor pending application reports to assure that the application processes were proceeding appropriately.

Minnesota Health Care Programs Manual Changes (HCPM)

A new Minnesota Health Care Programs Manual (HCPM) was introduced on-line in December 2006. The redesign provides workers greatly enhanced search and indexing capability, which enables location of needed policy information more efficiently. While it is too soon to evaluate the effect of the new manual, it is believed that better organized and clear information will contribute toward more timely and accurate eligibility determinations.

Continued Recommendations for Future Progress

DHS established the Health Care Program Eligibility and Integrity Advisory Committee in July 2004 to gain collaborative support and perspective in the development of policies, procedures and tools necessary to ensure that Minnesota health care programs are accessible, efficient, and compliant with state and federal laws. The committee is made up of regional representatives from the 13 regions in Minnesota who serve as the region spokesperson and liaison to the Health Care Eligibility and Access Division of DHS.

In October 2006 regional representatives were sent the following questions and asked to collect feedback from their regions for discussion at the October meeting:

- 1. Did your county make changes to the application process during the past year as a result of the LTC Application Processing Report? If so, what changes did you make?
- 2. In the past year did the application processing time at your county increase, decrease or remain the same?

- 3. Do you feel the new DRA provisions will have an impact on processing LTC applications? If so, what impact do you anticipate?
- 4. Has your county experienced improved communications with nursing facilities in the past year?

Responses were not collected specifically by county or region but were discussed at the October meeting. The following is a summary of the feedback from this discussion:

- Counties are concerned about processing of LTC applications.
- Some counties made changes in their processes including encouraging and scheduling face-to-face appointments with authorized representatives, conducting informational meetings for potential applicants, and reorganization of workers to accommodate specialized case loads.
- Counties have made efforts to develop better communication with nursing homes.
- Some counties report increased monitoring of pending application reports by supervisors.
- Counties agree that the increased complexity of MA rules, especially related to the DRA changes have increased processing time for many cases during the past year.
- While some counties felt that processing timeframes had been reduced, many counties felt that efforts to reduce processing timeframes have been offset by the increased time needed to explain these rules to families and increased collection of required documents and verifications.
- Counties are experiencing increased representation of applicants by attorneys, as well as increased requests for hardship waivers from people who are ineligible for payment of long-term care services due to uncompensated transfers.

All of the recommendations made in the March 2006 report remain valid ways of reducing application processing timeframes. Information obtained from the Health Care Program Eligibility and Integrity Advisory Committee at the October 2006 meeting along with the data presented in this report indicates that continued efforts to implement these recommendations are needed.

Conclusion

The DRA has provided a significant challenge to county agencies in processing MA applications for people residing in nursing facilities as well as those requesting services through the MA home and community-based waiver programs for people who are elderly or who have a disability. Application processing periods have not been reduced in the past year. However, it is important to point out that the increased challenges of the DRA have contributed a substantial amount of additional complexity to processing these applications. This suggests that county agencies have increased efforts to provide more timely eligibility determinations and that these efforts have been offset by the increased demands of the DRA provisions.

The DRA provision that shifts the start date of the penalty period imposed when a person or a person's spouse has made an uncompensated transfer has had the most significant impact on application processing. In the past, penalty periods started in the month following the month in which the transfer was made. In most cases a person waited out the penalty period before applying for MA. Under current DRA policy, the penalty period doesn't start until a person applies for and is otherwise eligible for MA payment of LTC services but for the penalty period. This policy has resulted in many people applying for MA simply to start the penalty period clock ticking. The result is additional applications in the queue for county agencies to process from persons for whom MA cannot pay the long-term care facility. These applications slow down the process for people who are eligible for MA payment of their long-term care facility costs.

As stated in the March 2006 report, there are many reasons that a long-term care facility resident's application for MA may not be processed within the required time periods. The problem remains multi-dimensional and continues to require cooperation between the applicant, the authorized representative, third parties involved in the applicant's financial matters, the county agency and the nursing home.

Appendix A

Application	Processing [Days by Co	ounty for MA	Applicants	over age 65

No County Listed 1 0 1 0.00.00% 0 0 0.000% 1 1.700 Ankint 1.401 1.9 111 1101 22205 211 21 21 21 21 22.53.8 5.040 32.53.8 Anoko 1.39 62 4 6.6 47.48% 6.4 9 73 32.52.8 5.040 32.12 4 1.6 6.75.7% 5 2 7 30.43% 71.6 31.13 Beinceri 23 1.2 4 1.6 6.75.7% 5 2 7 30.43% 71.6 31.13 Beinceri 23 1.2 1.6 7 53.85% 3 3 4 4.51.75% 2.444 49.87 Brown 45 1.1 9 2.0 44.44% 1.6 9 2.5 55.65.6% 2.0.64.45.2% 2.0.10 3.13 1.1 2.3.716 2.3.406 4.3.27 2.3.85.6% 3.0.6%	County	Number Requests Processed 1-1-2006 through	Requ	al Numbe ests Proc 5 days or li	essed	Percent		<mark>al Numbe</mark> ests Proc 46+ days	essed	Percent	Total Days	Average Days
Ankini 116 19 10 62.30% 14 12 13 13.9 62 4 66 47.48% 64 9 73 52.26% 5.040 32.26 Behromi 23 12 4 16 69.57% 5 2 7 30.43% 716 31.13 Beinromi 23 12 4 16 69.57% 5 2 7 30.43% 716 31.13 Beinromi 23 12 4 16 69.57% 5 2 7 30.43% 716 31.13 Beinromi 437 173 11 9 20 44.44% 14 265 53.19% 12.344 49.67 Brown 45 11 9 20 44.44% 10 3 3 10.35 13.35 13.23 13.64 13.06 13.35 13.23 13.64 13.06 13.35 13.23 13.23 13.23 13.23							Eligible		T			
Anoko 139 62 4 66 47.48% 64 9 73 52.52% 5,040 36.26 Beckrami 23 20 5 22 67.57% 6 1 112 32.33% 17283 34.13 Berkrami 235 19 2 21 80.00% 9 5 14 40.00% 1325 97.86 Big Stone 13 1 6 7 53.65% 3 3 6 46.178 419 32.23 Bios Earch 47 173 91 22 36.0378 101 3 131 131.03128 130.44 49.87 Brown 45 11 9 20 44.448 16 9 25 55.56% 2.06 43.97 Carver 37 12 0 12 34.33 131.04 131.04 31.04 45.21 131.04 31.04 45.24 131.04 132.05 1.05 50	No County Listed	1	1	0	1	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	17	17.00
Bester 37 20 5 25 67.578 8 4 12 92.438 1,264 34.14 Behromi 33 12 4 16 69.578 5 2 7 30.38 716 31.13 Bentomi 33 1 6 7 53.858 3 3 6 46.158 419 322.378 Big Stone 13 1 6 7 53.858 3 3 6 46.158 419 32.378 Big Stone 13 1 6 7 53.858 3 3 6 46.158 419.32 33.44 49.07 Brown 41 12 0 12 32.438 10 3 13 61.908 138 160 3 13 61.902 50.43 Chipewa 21 8 0 8 38.10% 10 3 13 61.902 50.43 Chipewa 21 8<		. 16	9	1	10	62.50%	4	2	6	37.50%	849	53.06
Bellrami 2.3 12 4 16 69.57% 5 2 7 30.43% 716 31.13 Beiröni 3.3 1.91 2 2 60.008 9 5 1.4 40.007 1.925 37.86 Big Stone 1.3 1 6 7 53.85% 3 3 6 44.19 32.23 31.13 Big Stone 1.3 1 6 7 53.85% 3 3 6 44.19.87 44.98.7 Brown 4.5 1.1 9 2.0 44.44% 16 9 2.5 55.5% 2.084 45.96 Carver 3.7 1.2 0 1.2 32.43% 72.2 3 5 1.92.33 600 43.2 2.33 1.059 5.0.43 2.5 67.5% 2.380 64.32 2.731 1.059 7.43 3.3 1.04 81.0% 1.0 3 1.192.37 1.050 5.5.5%	Anoka	139	62		66	47.48%	64	9	73	52.52%	5,040	36.26
Benton 35 19 2 21 60.00% 99 5 14 40.00% 1.325 37.36 Big Stone 13 1 6 7 53.85% 3 3 6 46.15% 419 32.23 Big Earch 47 13 9 22 46.81% 211 4 25 55.5% 2.048 47.84 Brown 45 11 9 20 44.44% 10 9 25 55.5% 2.048 45.96 Carten 37 12 0 12 32.43% 22 3 5 19.23% 650 2.380 64.33 65.9 1.35 2.43 650 2.55.5% 2.06 64.35 2.35 1.35 2.43 1.05 5.0.43 Chippevo 21 8 0 8 38.10% 10 3 13 64.35 2.43.5 1.35 2.43.5 Clastope 24 30 <	Becker	37	20	5	25	67.57%	8	4	12	32.43%	1,263	34.14
Big Stone 13 1 6 7 53.85% 3 3 6 46.15% 419 32.23 Blue Earth 47 133 9 22 46.81% 21 4 25 55.56% 2.044 44.91% 25 55.56% 2.068 45.96 Carinort 41 24 4 26 68.29% 10 3 13 31.71% 1.304 31.80 Carver 37 12 0 12 24.43% 22 3 25 67.57% 2.380 64.32 Chipewa 21 8 0 8 38.10% 10 3 13 61.90% 1.05% 50.43 Chipewa 21 8 0 8 38.10% 3 7 10 18.5% 47.00 Cook 4 2 0 2 50.00% 2 0 2 50.00% 246 61.50 Cook 4 <t< td=""><td>Beltrami</td><td>23</td><td>12</td><td>4</td><td>16</td><td>69.57%</td><td></td><td>2</td><td>7</td><td>30.43%</td><td>716</td><td>31.13</td></t<>	Beltrami	23	12	4	16	69.57%		2	7	30.43%	716	31.13
Bile Earth 47 13 9 22 46.81% 21 4 26 53.19% 2.344 49.87 Brown 45 11 9 20 44.44% 16 9 25 55.56% 2.068 45.96 Canken 41 24 4 28 68.29% 10 3 13 31.71% 13.04 31.80 Carver 37 12 0 12 32.43% 22 3 5 19.23% 690 28.54 Chippeva 21 8 0 8 38.10% 10 3 13 61.90% 50.43 33 11 44 81.46% 3 7 10 18.52% 1,315 24.35 135 24.35 16.50% 17 41.46% 1,302 37.31 24 50.00% 2 50.00% 2 50.00% 26 50.27% 74.43.94 13.02 31.7 41.46% 1,302 31.7 14.46%	Benton	35	19	2	21	60.00%	9	5	14	40.00%	1,325	37.86
Brown 45 11 9 20 44.44% 16 9 25 55.56% 2.068 45.96 Garden 41 24 4 28 68.29% 10 3 13 31.71% 1.304 31.80 Carver 37 12 0 12 32.43% 22 3 25 67.57% 2.380 64.32 Casis 226 19 2 10 3 13 61.90% 1.059 50.43 Chippewa 21 8 0 8 38.10% 10 3 13 61.90% 1.059 50.43 Chypewa 54 33 11 4 81.48% 3 7 10 18.52% 1.315 24.35 Clay 54 33 11 64.71% 5 11.46% 130.02% 27.47 43.94 Corow 17 9 2 11.647% 5 11.44% 1.30 31.74 <td>Big Stone</td> <td>13</td> <td><u> </u></td> <td>6</td> <td></td> <td>53.85%</td> <td>3</td> <td>3</td> <td>6</td> <td>46.15%</td> <td>419</td> <td>32.23</td>	Big Stone	13	<u> </u>	6		53.85%	3	3	6	46.15%	419	32.23
Conkon 41 24 4 28 68.29% 10 3 13 31.71% 13.04 31.80 Carver 37 12 0 12 32.43% 22 3 5 67.57% $2,380$ 64.32 Casis 26 19 2 21 80.77% 2 3 5 19.23% 690 26.54 Chipagea 29 16 5 23 79.31% 4 2 6 20.69% 10.62 37.31 Clay 54 33 11 44 81.4% 3 7 10.0% 1.852% 1.315 24.700 Cock 4 2 0 2 50.00% 24 64.21% $20.250.00\%$ $24.66.150$ Carbonwood 17 9 2 11 64.71% 5 11 41.45% 13.02 11.25 51.72% 13.02 11.25	Blue Earth	47	13	9	22	46.81%	21	4	25	53.19%	2,344	49.87
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			11	9	20	44.44%	16	9	25	55.56%	2,068	45.96
Cass 26 19 2 21 80.77% 2 3 5 19.23% 690 26.54 Chipopwo 21 8 0 8 38.10% 10 3 13 61.90% 1.059 50.43 Chisogo 29 18 5 23 79.31% 4 2 6 20.69% 1.052 37.31 Clay 54 33 11 44 81.44% 3 7 10 18.52% 1.35 24.35 Cearwoter 8 4 0 4 50.00% 2 0 2 50.00% 246 61.50 Cortonwood 17 9 2 11 64.71% 5 17 41.46% 1.30 176 Daktoia 190 24 22 44 24.21% 12 22 144 75.79% 11.512 65.55 Dodge 16 11 1 12 75.07%	Carlton	41	24	4	28	68.29%	10	3	13		1,304	31.80
Chippewa 21 8 0 8 38.10% 10 3 13 61.90% 1,059 50.43 Chargo 29 18 5 23 79.31% 4 2 6 20.69% 1,082 37.31 Cloy 54 33 11 44 81.48% 3 7 10 18.52% 1,315 24.35 Clow 4 2 0 2 50.00% 2 0 2 50.00% 246 61.50 Cock 4 2 0 2 50.00% 2 0 2 50.00% 246 61.50 Cock 17 9 2 11 64.71% 5 1 6 35.29% 747 43.94 Crow Wing 41 17 7 24 58.54% 10 4 25.00% 408 25.50 Dodge 16 11 1 12 75.07% 10	Carver	37	12	0	12	32.43%	22	3	25	67.57%	2,380	64.32
Chisago 29 18 5 23 79.31% A 2 6 20.69% 1.082 37.31 Cley 54 33 11 44 81.48% 3 7 10 18.52% 1,315 24.35 Clearwater 8 4 0 4 50.00% 2 0 2 50.00% 34 47.00 Cook 4 2 0 2 50.00% 2 5 50.00% 246 61.50 Cotonwood 17 9 2 11 64.71% 5 1 6 35.29% 7.47 43.94 Crow Wing 41 17 7 24 58.54% 12 5 17 41.46% 13.02 31.76 Dadge 16 11 1 12 75.00% 4 0 4 25.00% 40.825.00 Dodge 16 11 0 11 47.83% 10 2	Cass	26	19	2	21	80.77%	2	3	5	19.23%	690	26.54
Clay 54 33 11 44 81.48% 3 7 10 18.52% 1,315 24.35 Cleanwater 8 4 0 4 50.00% 3 1 4 50.00% 37.6 47.00 Cock 4 2 0 2 50.00% 2 0 2 50.00% 24.6 61.50 Cothonwood 17 9 2 11 64.71% 5 1 6 35.29% 7.47 43.94 Crow Wing 41 17 7 24 58.54% 12 5 17 41.46% 1.302 31.60.59 Dackota 190 24 22 46 24.21% 122 21.44 75.70% 11.512 60.59 Douglas 43 4 3 9 20.93% 29 5 34 79.0% 47.73 111.00 Freaborn 52 27 6 3 63.43%	Chippewa		8	0	8			3	13	61.90%	1,059	50.43
Clearwater 8 4 0 4 50.00% 3 1 4 50.00% 376 47.00 Cook 4 2 0 2 50.00% 2 0 2 50.00% 246 61.50 Contonwood 17 9 2 11 64.71% 5 1 6 35.29% 7.47 43.94 Crow Wing 41 17 7 24 58.54% 12 5 17 41.46% 1,302 31.76 Dakota 190 24 22 465 24.21% 122 25 34 79.0% 4.73 111.00 Faribault 23 11 0 11 47.83% 10 2 12 52.17% 6.51 28.30 Freeborn 52 27 6 33 63.46% 18 1 19 36.54% 16.25 14.26 33.63 63.46% 14 33 85.750 36		29	18	5	23	79.31%	4	2	6	20.69%	1,082	37.31
Cook 4 2 0 2 50.00% 2 0 2 50.00% 246 61.50 Cottonwood 17 9 2 11 64.71% 5 1 6 35.29% 747 43.94 Crow Wing 41 17 7 24 58.54% 12 5 17 41.46% 1,302 31.76 Dakota 190 24 22 46 24.21% 122 22 144 75.79% 11.512 60.59 Dodge 16 11 1 12 75.00% 4 0 4 25.00% 408 25.50 Douglas 43 6 3 9 20.93% 29 5 34 79.75% 47.73 111.00 Freeborn 52 27 6 33 63.46% 18 1 19 36.54% 1,526 30.68 Grant 8 4 0 4 50.	Clay	54	33	11	44	81.48%		7	10	18.52%	1,315	24.35
Cottonwood 17 9 2 11 64.71% 5 1 6 35.29% 747 43.94 Crow Wing 41 17 7 24 58.54% 12 5 17 41.46% 1,302 31.76 Dakota 190 24 22 46 24.21% 122 22 144 75.79% 11.512 60.59 Dodge 16 11 1 12 75.00% 4 0 4 25.00% 408 25.50 Douglas 43 6 3 9 20.93% 29 5 34 79.07% 47.73 111.00 Fariboul 23 11 0 11 47.83% 10 2 12 55.217% 65 18.8 10 11 936.54% 1,626 31.27 Geodhue 52 35 0 35 67.31% 14 3 17 32.69% 1,596 30.69 <	Clearwater	8	4	0	4	50.00%	3	1	4	50.00%	376	47.00
Crow Wing 41 17 7 24 58.54% 12 5 17 41.46% 1,302 31.76 Dakota 190 24 22 46 24.21% 122 22 144 75.79% 11,512 60.59 Dodge 16 11 1 12 75.00% 4 0 4 25.00% 408 25.50 Douglas A3 6 3 9 20.93% 29 5 34 79.07% 47.73 111.00 Fariboult 23 11 0 11 47.83% 10 2 12 52.17% 651 28.30 Freeborn 52 27 6 33 63.46% 18 1 19 36.54% 1,526 30.89 Grant 8 4 0 4 50.00% 1 3 4 50.00% 456 57.00 Hennepin 668 115 30 145	Cook	4	2	0	2	50.00%	2	0	2	50.00%	246	61.50
Dakota 190 24 22 46 24.21% 122 22 144 75.79% 11,512 60.59 Dodge 16 11 1 12 75.00% 4 0 4 25.00% 40.8 25.50 Douglas 43 6 3 9 20.93% 29 5 34 79.07% 4,773 111.00 Fariboult 23 11 0 11 47.83% 10 2 12 52.17% 651 28.30 Fillmore 37 22 5 27 72.97% 10 0 10 27.03% 1,124 30.38 Freeborn 52 27 6 33 63.46% 18 1 19 36.54% 1,626 31.27 Goodhue 52 35 0 35 67.31% 14 3 17 32.69% 1,596 30.69 Grant 8 4 0 4	Cottonwood	17	9	2	11	64.71%	5	1	6	35.29%	747	43.94
Dodge 16 11 1 12 75.0% 4 0 4 25.0% 408 25.50 Douglas 43 6 3 9 20.93% 29 5 34 79.07% 4,773 111.00 Faribault 23 11 0 11 47.83% 10 2 12 52.17% 651 28.30 Fillmore 37 22 5 27 72.97% 10 0 10 27.03% 1,124 30.38 Freeborn 52 27 6 33 63.46% 18 1 19 36.54% 1,626 31.27 Goodhue 52 35 0 35 67.31% 14 3 17 32.69% 1,596 30.69 Grant 8 4 0 4 50.00% 1 3 4 50.00% 46.33 92.29 10 39 75.07% 7 6 13 25	Crow Wing	41	17	7	24	58.54%	12	5	17	41.46%	1,302	31.76
Douglas 43 6 3 9 20.93% 29 5 34 79.07% 4,773 111.00 Faribault 23 11 0 11 47.83% 10 2 12 52.17% 651 28.30 Fillmore 37 22 5 27 72.97% 10 0 10 27.03% 1,124 30.38 Freeborn 52 27 6 33 63.46% 18 1 19 36.54% 1,626 31.27 Goodhue 52 35 0 35 67.31% 14 3 17 32.69% 1,596 30.69 Grant 8 4 0 4 50.00% 1 3 4 50.00% 456 57.00 Hennepin 668 115 30 145 21.71% 384 139 523 78.29% 26.186 39.20 Houston 18 8 3 11 <td>Dakota</td> <td>190</td> <td>24</td> <td>22</td> <td>46</td> <td>24.21%</td> <td>122</td> <td>22</td> <td>144</td> <td>75.79%</td> <td>11,512</td> <td>60.59</td>	Dakota	190	24	22	46	24.21%	122	22	144	75.79%	11,512	60.59
Faribault 23 11 0 11 47.83% 10 2 12 52.17% 651 28.30 Fillmore 37 22 5 27 72.97% 10 0 10 27.03% 1.124 30.38 Freeborn 52 27 6 33 63.46% 18 1 19 36.54% 1,626 31.27 Goodhue 52 35 0 35 67.31% 14 3 17 32.69% 1,596 30.69 Grant 8 4 0 4 50.00% 1 3 4 50.00% 456 57.00 Hennepin 668 115 30 145 21.71% 384 139 523 78.29% 26,186 39.20 Houston 18 8 3 11 61.11% 6 1 7 38.89% 834 46.33 Hubbard 17 4 5 9	Dodge	• 16	11	1	12	75.00%	4	0	4	25.00%	408	25.50
Fillmore 37 22 5 27 72.97% 10 0 10 27.03% 1,124 30.38 Freeborn 52 27 6 33 63.46% 18 1 19 36.54% 1,626 31.27 Goodhue 52 35 0 35 67.31% 14 3 17 32.69% 1,596 30.69 Grant 8 4 0 4 50.00% 1 3 4 50.00% 456 57.00 Hennepin 668 115 30 145 21.71% 384 139 523 78.29% 26,186 39.20 Houston 18 8 3 11 61.11% 6 1 7 38.89% 834 46.33 Hubbard 17 4 5 9 52.94% 5 3 8 47.06% 1.063 62.53 Isanti 52 29 10 39	Douglas	43	6	3	9	20.93%	29	5	34	79.07%	4,773	111.00
Freeborn 52 27 6 33 63.46% 18 1 19 36.54% 1,626 31.27 Goodhue 52 35 0 35 67.31% 14 3 17 32.69% 1,596 30.69 Grant 8 4 0 4 50.00% 1 3 4 50.00% 456 57.00 Hennepin 668 115 30 145 21.71% 384 139 523 78.29% 26,186 39.20 Houston 18 8 3 11 61.11% 6 1 7 38.89% 834 46.33 Hubbard 17 4 5 9 52.94% 5 3 8 47.06% 1,063 62.53 Isanti 52 29 10 39 75.00% 7 6 13 25.00% 1,219 23.44 Itasca 35 25 5 30	Faribault	23	11	0	11	47.83%	10	2	12	52.17%	651	28.30
Goodhue523503567 31%1431732.69%1,59630.69Grant840450.00%13450.00%45657.00Hennepin6681153014521.71%38413952378.29%26,18639.20Houston18831161.11%61738.89%83446.33Hubbard1745952.94%53847.06%1.06362.53Isanti5229103975.00%761325.00%1,21923.44Itasca352553085.71%32514.29%90225.77Jackson161031381.25%30318.75%28117.56Kanabec140117.14%1121392.86%1,26190.07Kandiyohi423453992.86%3037.14%60114.31Kitson16131487.50%20212.50%17.38Lac Qui Parle3224832100.00%00000.00%55617.38Lacke fithe Woods810112.50%61763.64%75969.00Lak	Fillmore	37	22	5	27	72.97%	10	0	10	27.03%	1,124	30.38
Grant840450.00%13450.00%45657.00Hennepin6681153014521.71%38413952378.29%26,18639.20Houston18831161.11%61738.89%83446.33Hubbard1745952.94%53847.06%1,06362.53Isanti5229103975.00%761325.00%1,21923.44Itasca352553085.71%32514.29%90225.77Jackson161031381.25%30318.75%28117.56Kanabec140117.14%1121392.86%1,26190.07Kandiyohi423453992.86%3037.14%60114.31Kitson161311487.50%20212.50%17.38Lack1140436.36%61763.64%75969.00Lake of the Woods810112.50%61787.50%62.678.25Le Sueur281451967.86%63932.14%80128.51Lincoln7 <td< td=""><td>Freeborn</td><td>52</td><td>27</td><td>6</td><td>33</td><td>63.46%</td><td>18</td><td>1</td><td>19</td><td>36.54%</td><td>1,626</td><td>31.27</td></td<>	Freeborn	52	27	6	33	63.46%	18	1	19	36.54%	1,626	31.27
Hennepin6681153014521.71%38413952378.29%26,18639.20Houston18831161.11%61738.89%83446.33Hubbard1745952.94%53847.06%1,06362.53Isanti5229103975.00%761325.00%1,21923.44Itasca352553085.71%32514.29%90225.77Jackson161031381.25%30318.75%28117.56Kanabec140117.14%1121392.86%1,26190.07Kandiyohi423453992.86%3037.14%60114.31Kittson161311487.50%20212.50%19.412.13Koochiching20831155.00%90945.00%89544.75Lac Qui Parle3224832100.00%0000.00%55617.38Lake of the Woods810112.50%61787.50%66.78.25Le Sueur281451967.86%63932.14%80128.61Li	Goodhue	52	35	0	35	67.31%	14	3	17	32.69%	1,596	30.69
Houston18831161.11%61738.8%83446.33Hubbard1745952.94%53847.06%1,06362.53Isanti5229103975.00%761325.00%1,21923.44Itasca352553085.71%32514.29%90225.77Jackson161031381.25%30318.75%28117.56Kanabec140117.14%1121392.86%1,26190.07Kandiyohi423453992.86%3037.14%60114.31Kittson1613111487.50%20212.50%19412.13Koochiching20831155.00%90945.00%89544.75Lac Qui Parle3224832100.00%000.00%55617.38Lake of the Woods810112.50%61763.64%75969.00Lake of the Woods810112.50%61763.64%75969.00Lake of the Woods81451967.86%63932.14%80128.61Lin	Grant	8	4	0	4	50.00%	1	3	4	50.00%	456	57.00
Hubbard1745952.94%53847.06%1,06362.53Isanti5229103975.00%761325.00%1,21923.44Itasca352553085.71%32514.29%90225.77Jackson161031381.25%30318.75%28117.56Kanabec140117.14%1121392.86%1,26190.07Kandiyohi423453992.86%3037.14%60114.31Kittson161311487.50%20212.50%19412.13Koochiching20831155.00%90945.00%89544.75Lac Qui Parle3224832100.00%000.00%55617.38Lake of the Woods810112.50%61787.50%62678.25Le Sueur281451967.86%63932.14%80128.61Uncoln750571.43%20228.57%24935.57Lyon322622887.50%40412.50%62719.59	Hennepin	668	115	30	145	21.71%	384	139	523	78.29%	26,186	39.20
Hubbard1745952.94%53847.06%1,06362.53Isanti5229103975.00%761325.00%1,21923.44Itasca352553085.71%32514.29%90225.77Jackson161031381.25%30318.75%28117.56Kanabec140117.14%1121392.86%1,26190.07Kandiyohi423453992.86%3037.14%60114.31Kittson161311487.50%20212.50%19412.13Koochiching20831155.00%90945.00%89544.75Lac Qui Parle3224832100.00%000.00%55617.38Lake of the Woods810112.50%61787.50%62678.25Le Sueur281451967.86%63932.14%80128.61Uncoln750571.43%20228.57%24935.57Lyon322622887.50%40412.50%62719.59	Houston	18	8	3	11	61.11%	6	1	7	38.89%	834	46.33
Itasca352553085.71%32514.29%90225.77Jackson161031381.25%30318.75%28117.56Kanabec140117.14%1121392.86%1,26190.07Kandiyohi423453992.86%3037.14%60114.31Kittson161311487.50%20212.50%19412.13Koochiching20831155.00%90945.00%89544.75Lac Qui Parle3224832100.00%000.00%55617.38Lake1140436.36%61763.64%75969.00Lake of the Woods810112.50%61787.50%62678.25Le Sueur281451967.86%63932.14%80128.61Lincoln750571.43%20228.57%24935.57Lyon322622887.50%40412.50%62719.59	Hubbard	17	4	5	9	Contraction of the second s	5	3	8	47.06%		62.53
Jackson161031381.25%30318.75%28117.56Kanabec140117.14%1121392.86%1,26190.07Kandiyohi423453992.86%3037.14%60114.31Kittson161311487.50%20212.50%19412.13Koochiching20831155.00%90945.00%89544.75Lac Qui Parle3224832100.00%0000.00%55617.38Lake of the Woods810112.50%61763.64%75969.00Lake of the Woods810112.50%61787.50%62678.25Le Sueur281451967.86%63932.14%80128.61Lincoln750571.43%20228.57%24935.57Lyon322622887.50%40412.50%62719.59	Isanti	52	29	10	39	75.00%	7	6	13	25.00%	1,219	23.44
Jackson161031381.25%30318.75%28117.56Kanabec140117.14%1121392.86%1,26190.07Kandiyohi423453992.86%3037.14%60114.31Kittson161311487.50%20212.50%19412.13Koochiching20831155.00%90945.00%89544.75Lac Qui Parle3224832100.00%0000.00%55617.38Lake1140436.36%61763.64%75969.00Lake of the Woods810112.50%61787.50%62678.25Le Sueur281451967.86%63932.14%80128.61Lincoln750571.43%20228.57%24935.57Lyon322622887.50%40412.50%62719.59	Itasca	35	25	5	30		3	2	5			
Kanabec140117.14%1121392.86%1.26190.07Kandiyohi423453992.86%3037.14%60114.31Kittson161311487.50%20212.50%19412.13Koochiching20831155.00%90945.00%89544.75Lac Qui Parle3224832100.00%0000.00%55617.38Lake of the Woods810112.50%61787.50%62678.25Le Sueur281451967.86%63932.14%80128.61Lincoln750571.43%20228.57%24935.57Lyon322622887.50%40412.50%6419.50%	Jackson	16	10	3	13				3	10.100 March 10.000 August 10.000	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	
Kandiyohi423453992.86%3037.14%60114.31Kittson161311487.50%20212.50%19412.13Koochiching20831155.00%90945.00%89544.75Lac Qui Parle3224832100.00%0000.00%55617.38Lake1140436.36%61763.64%75969.00Lake of the Woods810112.50%61787.50%62678.25Le Sueur281451967.86%63932.14%80128.61Lincoln750571.43%20228.57%24935.57Lyon322622887.50%40412.50%62719.59	Kanabec	14	0	1	1		11	2	13			
Kittson161311487.50%20212.50%19412.13Koochiching20831155.00%90945.00%89544.75Lac Qui Parle3224832100.00%0000.00%55617.38Lake1140436.36%61763.64%75969.00Lake of the Woods810112.50%61787.50%62678.25Le Sueur281451967.86%63932.14%80128.61Lincoln750571.43%20228.57%24935.57Lyon322622887.50%40412.50%62719.59		42	34	5	39		3		3		1	and a second
Koochiching20831155.00%90945.00%89544.75Lac Qui Parle3224832100.00%0000.00%55617.38Lake1140436.36%61763.64%75969.00Lake of the Woods810112.50%61787.50%62678.25Le Sueur281451967.86%63932.14%80128.61Lincoln750571.43%20228.57%24935.57Lyon322622887.50%40412.50%62719.59		16		1	14		2	0	2			
Lac Qui Parle3224832100.00%00000.00%55617.38Lake1140436.36%61763.64%75969.00Lake of the Woods810112.50%61787.50%62678.25Le Sueur281451967.86%63932.14%80128.61Lincoln750571.43%20228.57%24935.57Lyon322622887.50%40412.50%62719.59		20					9					
Lake1140436.36%61763.64%75969.00Lake of the Woods810112.50%61787.50%662678.25Le Sueur281451967.86%63932.14%80128.61Lincoln750571.43%20228.57%24935.57Lyon322622887.50%40412.50%62719.59					the second states and	and the second se						
Lake of the Woods810112.50%61787.50%62678.25Le Sueur281451967.86%63932.14%80128.61Lincoln750571.43%20228.57%24935.57Lyon322622887.50%40412.50%62719.59			and an and a second second		federas from the second					the state of the s	Contractive and an other story	
Le Sueur281451967.86%63932.14%80128.61Lincoln750571.43%20228.57%24935.57Lyon322622887.50%40412.50%62719.59			- Providencial States -					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		······		
Lincoln 7 5 0 5 71.43% 2 0 2 28.57% 249 35.57 Lyon 32 26 2 28 87.50% 4 0 4 12.50% 627 19.59					ann an							ound the second
Lyon 32 26 2 28 87.50% 4 0 4 12.50% 627 19.59												
						inter a state of the state of t					100000000000000000000000000000000000000	
					Concerns to block internation							
Mahnomen 12 6 0 6 50.00% 2 4 6 50.00% 428 35.67												
Marshall 13 3 1 4 30.77% 9 0 9 69.23% 684 52.62												

Appendix A

Application Processing Days by County for MA Applicants over age 65

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u> </u>								
	Number Requests		al Numbe			V//#V/20////////////////////////////////	al Numbe				
County	Processed		ests Proc			Requ	ests Proc	essed		Total	Average
count	1-1-2006 through		5 days or le		Percent	1	46+ days		Percent	Days	Days
	12-1-2006	Eligible	Ineligible	Total	Processed	Eligible	Ineligible	Total	Processed	Pending	Pending
Martin	. 42	22	4	26	61.90%	12	4	16	38.10%	1,452	34.57
Meeker	17	17	0	17	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	223	13.12
Mille Lacs	39	14	1	15	38.46%	21	3	24	61.54%	1,786	45.79
Morrison	47	34	4	38	80.85%	6	3	9	19.15%	875	18.62
Mower	49	16	5	21	42.86%	20	8	28	57.14%	2,216	45.22
Murray	. 16	11	5	16	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	110	6.88
Nicollet	21	9	1	10	47.62%	8	3	11	52.38%	1,006	47.90
Nobles	16	9	4	13	81.25%	2	1	3	18.75%	500	31.25
Norman	14	11	2	13	92.86%	1	0	1	7.14%	168	12.00
Olmsted	103	42	8	50	48.54%	37	16	53	51.46%	3,712	36.04
Otter Tail	72	57	5	62	86.11%	6	4	10	13.89%	1,142	15.86
Pennington	25	13	5	18	72.00%	6	1	7	28.00%	831	33.24
Pine	11	5	2	7	63.64%	3	1	4	36.36%	348	31.64
Pipestone	16	4	0	4	25.00%	4	8	12	75.00%	666	41.63
Polk	53	33	4	37	69.81%	15	1	16	30.19%	1,658	31.28
Pope	20	18	1	19	95.00%	1	· 0	1	5.00%	326	16.30
Ramsey	406	78	14	92	22.66%	275	39	314	77.34%	16,147	39.77
Red Lake	2	2	0	2	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	33	16.50
Redwood	24	17	2	19	79.17%	5	0	5	20.83%	552	23.00
Renville	18	12	1	13	72.22%	5	0	5	27.78%	492	27.33
Rice	53	12	5	24	45.28%	26	3	29	54.72%	3,227	60.89
Rice	8	5	0		62.50%	1 1	2	3	37.50%		34.38
		Carto Consequencia da Carto -								275	
Roseau	16	5	0	5	31.25%	10	1	11	68.75%	1,161	72.56
St. Louis	248	145	8	153	61.69%	83	12	95	38.31%	8,637	34.83
Scott	46	22	7	29	63.04%	13	4	17	36.96%	1,515	32.93
Sherburne	44	27	2	29	65.91%	9	6	15	34.09%	1,976	44.91
Sibley	13	10	0	_10	76.92%	3	0_	3	23.08%	399	30.69
Stearns	64	29	7	36	56.25%	24	4	28	43.75%	2,984	46.63
Steele	31	16	2	18	58.06%	12	1	13	41.94%	1,395	45.00
Stevens	12	9	2		91.67%	0	1	1	8.33%	338	28.17
Swift	12	10	0	10	83.33%	2	0	2	16.67%	321	26.75
Todd	27	17	2	19	70.37%	7	1	8	29.63%	897	33.22
Traverse	8	6	2	8	100.00%	· 0	0	0	0.00%	228	28.50
Wabasha	20	9	1	10	50.00%	9	1	10	50.00%	748	37.40
Wadena	21	10	3	13	61.90%	5	3	8	38.10%	719	. 34.24
Waseca	26	18	4	22	84.62%	2	2	4	15.38%	585	22.50
Washington	95	43	14	57	60.00%	34	4	38	40.00%	4,513	47.51
Watonwan	25	7	10	17	68.00%	6	2	8	32.00%	738	29.52
Wilkin	17	15	2	17	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	· 58	3.41
Winona	71	33	7	40	56.34%	20	11	31	43.66%	2,507	35,31
Wright	49	30	2	32	65.31%	14	3	17	34.69%	1,305	26.63
Yellow Medicine	25	16	3	19	76.00%	3	3	6	24.00%	823	32.92
Mille Lacs Tribal	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
TOTAL	4,097	1,715	347	2,062	50.33%	1,608	427	2,035	49.67%		38.14
UML	4,07/	1,7 13	J4/	ZIUUZ	0.33%	1,000	427	2,000	47.07 /6	100,201	30.14

Distribution of Processing Days Over 45 by County for MA Applicants over age 65

County		5		processing days		
County	Day	Number of Applicants	Eligible	% Eligible	Inelible	% Ineligible
Aitkin	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	3	3	18.75%	0	0.00%
	91-120	1	0	0.00%	1	6.25%
	121-150	1	1	6.25%	0	0.00%
	151-180	1	0	0.00%	1	6.25%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Anoka	46-60	19	19	13.67%	0	0.00%
	61-90	33	29	20.86%	4	2.88%
	91-120	12	8	5.76%	4	2.88%
	121-150	6	6	4.32%	0	0.00%
	151-180	1	0	0.00%	1	0.72%
	181+	2	2	1.44%	0	0.00%
Becker	46-60	2	2	5.41%	0	0.00%
	61-90	5	3	8.11%	2	5.41%
	91-120	. 4	2	5.41%	2	5.41%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	1]	2.70%	0	0.00%
	181+	<u>o</u>	Ö	0.00%	Ö	0.00%
Beltrami	46-60	6	5	21.74%	1	4.35%
Domann	61-90	- -	<u>o</u>	0.00%	1	4.35%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	<u>o</u>	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	<u>o</u>	0.00%	0	0.00%
Benton	46-60	4	2	5.71%	2	5.71%
Bornon	61-90	7	5	14.29%	2	5.71%
	91-120	3	2	5.71%	1	2.86%
	121-150	Ö		0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	<u>o</u>	o e	0.00%	<u>o</u>	0.00%
Big Stone	46-60	4	1	7.69%	3	23.08%
Dig biolic	61-90	2	2	15.38%	ō	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	<u>o</u>	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	O CONTRACTOR	0.00%	, O	0.00%
Blue Earth	46-60	6	5	10.64%	1	2.13%
	61-90	ă.	4	8.51%	0	0.00%
	91-120	· 7	7	14.89%	0	0.00%
	121-150	7	4	8.51%	3	6.38%
	151-180	1	1	2.13%	0.	0.00%
		I O	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Brown	181+			6.67%		
Brown	46-60	6	3		3	6.67%
	61-90	9	6	13.33%	3	6.67%
	91-120	8	6	13.33%	2	4.44%
	121-150	1	1	2.22%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	1	0	0.00%	1	2.22%

Distribution of Processing Days Over 45 by County for MA Applicants over age 65

County		,5	Distribution of	processing days		
county	Day	Number of Applicants	Eligible	% Eligible	Inclible	% Ineligible
Carlton	46-60	3	2	4.88%	1	2.44%
	61-90	6	5	12.20%	1	2.44%
	91-120	2	2	4.88%	0	0.00%
	121-150	2	1	2.44%	1	2.44%
1	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Carver	46-60	3	3	8.11%	0	0.00%
	61-90	14	12	32.43%	2	5.41%
	91-120	4	4	10.81%	0	0.00%
	121-150	3	2	5.41%	1	2.70%
	151-180	1	1	2.70%	0,	0.00%
	181+	O D	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Cass	46-60	4	2	7.69%	2	7.69%
	61-90	1	0	0.00%		3.85%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0.00%	<u>o</u>	0.00%
Chippewa	46-60	3	3	14.29%	0	0.00%
Cinppend	61-90		7	33.33%	2	9.52%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	o o	0.00%	,	4.76%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	o o	0.00%	, o	0.00%
Chisago	46-60	2	2	6.90%	0	0.00%
Chisugo	61-90		2	0.00%	j	3.45%
•	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	, in the second s	o o	0.00%	, i	3.45%
	151-180	<u>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 </u>	1	3.45%	0	0.00%
	181+	1	. I 	3.45%	0	0.00%
<u>Cl</u>	46-60	2	0	0.00%	2	3.70%
Clay	61-90	2	2	3.70%	3	5.56%
	91-120		anna <u>a mar ta</u> tainn an an an an ann an an an an an an an			
	121-150	2	0	0.00%	2	3.70%
				1.85%		0.00%
	151-180 181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
		0		0.00%	0	0.00%
Clearwater	46-60	2	1	12.50%	1	12.50%
	61-90			12.50%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	l l	1	12.50%	0	0.00%
<u> </u>	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Cook	46-60	0	0	. 0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90		1	25.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150			25.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

County		- The second		processing days		
	Day	Number of Applicants	Eligible	% Eligible	Inelible	% Ineligible
Cottonwood	46-60	2	1	5.88%	1	5.88%
	61-90	3	3	17.65%	0	0.00%
	91-120	1	1	5.88%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Crow Wing	46-60 61-90	12 3	7	17.07% 7.32%	5	12.20%
	91-120	1	1	2.44%	0	0.00%
,	121-150	1	1	2.44%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Dakota	46-60	21	15	7.89%	6	3.16%
	61-90	47	41	21.58%	6	3.16%
	91-120	26	21	11.05%	5	2.63%
	121-150	24	22	11.58%	2	1.05%
	151-180	11	9	4.74%	2	1.05%
	181+	15	14	7.37%		0.53%
Dodge	46-60	1	1	6.25%	0	0.00%
Douge	61-90	2	2	12.50%	<u>o</u>	0.00%
	91-120	1]	6.25%	2 0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	o i	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	1814	0	, o	0.00%	0	0.00%
Douglas	46-60	6	5	11.63%	1	2.33%
Douglas	61-90	6	5	11.63%		2.33%
	91-120	7	5	11.63%	2	4.65%
	121-150	3	2	den		
				4.65% 9.30%		2.33%
	151-180	4	4		0	0.00%
F -1 1.	181+	8	8	18.60%	0	0.00%
Faribault	46-60	10	8	34.78%	2	8.70%
	61-90	2	2	8.70%	<u>o</u>	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0		0.00%		0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	<u>o e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e</u>	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Fillmore	46-60	3	3	8.11%	0	0.00%
	61-90	6	6	16.22%	0	0.00%
	91-120	1	1	2.70%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-1 <i>5</i> 0 151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150 151-180 181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Freeborn	121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60	0 0 0 6	0 0 6	0.00% 0.00% 11.54%	0 0	0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
Freeborn	121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90	0 0 0 6 9	0 0 6 8	0.00% 0.00% 11.54% 15.38%	0	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 1.92%
Freeborn	121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120	0 0 0 6	0 0 6	0.00% 0.00% 11.54%	0 0 1 0	0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
Freeborn	121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90	0 0 0 6 9	0 0 6 8	0.00% 0.00% 11.54% 15.38%	0 0 0 1	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 1.92%
Freeborn	121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120	0 0 0 6 9 3	0 0 6 8 3	0.00% 0.00% 11.54% 15.38% 5.77%	0 0 1 0	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 1.92% 0.00%

Distribution of Processing Days Over 45 by County for MA Applicants over age 65

County		U	1	processing days		
	Day	Number of Applicants	Eligible	% Eligible	Inelible	% Ineligible
Goodhue	46-60	77	7	13.46%	0	0.00%
	61-90	5	2	3.85%	3	5.77%
	91-120	2	2	3.85%	0	0.00%
	121-150	1	1	1.92%	0	0.00%
	151-180	2	2	3.85%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Grant	46-60	1	0	0.00%	1	12.50%
	61-90	1	0	0.00%	1	12,50%
	91-120	1	1	12.50%	0	0.00%
	121-150	1	0	0.00%	1	12.50%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Hennepin	46-60	73	55	8.23%	18	2.69%
	61-90	151	111	16.62%	40	5.99%
	91-120	101	77	11.53%	24	3.59%
	121-150	71	59	8.83%	21	3.14%
,	151-180	49	32	4.79%	17	2.54%
	181+	78	50	7.49%	28	4,19%
Houston	46-60	1	1	5.56%	0	0.00%
	61-90	2	-2	11.11%	0	0.00%
	91-120	3	2	11.11%	1	5.56%
	121-150	1	1	5.56%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Hubbard	46-60	1	1	5.88%	0	0.00%
	61-90	2	2	11.76%	0	0.00%
	91-120	3	1	5.88%	2	11.76%
	121-150	1	1	5.88%	0	0.00%
3	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	1	.0	0.00%	1	5.88%
Isanti	46-60	7	4	7.69%	3	5.77%
	61-90	4	3	5.77%	1	1.92%
	91-120	2	0	0.00%	2	3.85%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ltasca	46-60	3	1	2.86%	2	5.71%
	61-90	1	1	2.86%	0	0.00%
	91-120	1	1	2.86%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	o de la companya de l	Ő	0.00%	0	0.00%
Jackson	46-60	2	2	12.50%	0	0.00%
	61-90	- 1	1	6.25%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	ŏ	<u>o</u>	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	1814	Ő	0	0.0070	`	

Distribution of Processing Days Over 45 by County for MA Applicants over age 65

County	Day	Number of Applicants	Distribution of Eligible	processing days % Eligible	Inelible	% Ineligible
Kanabec	46-60	1	1	7.14%	0	0.00%
Randbee	61-90	6	5	35.71%	1	7.14%
	91-120	3	2	14.29%	1	7.14%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	1	1	7.14%	0	0.00%
	181+	2	2	14.29%	<u>o</u>	0.00%
Kandiyohi	46-60	1	1	2.38%	0	0.00%
Ranaryoni	61-90	,	, 1	2.38%	0	0.00%
	91-120	1	1	2.38%	0	0.00%
	121-150	O D	0	0.00%	<u>o</u>	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	Ō	Ō	0.00%	Ō	0.00%
Kittson	46-60	1	1	6.25%	0	0.00%
	61-90	1	1	6.25%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	O O	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	· 0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	Ō	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	0.00%	<u>o</u>	0.00%
Koochiching	46-60	2	2	10:00%	0	0.00%
reocennening	61-90	5	5	25.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	1	1	5.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	• •		5.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	<u>o</u>	0	0.00%	O O	0.00%
Lac Qui Parle	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	Ō	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	Ö	Ō	0.00%	Ō	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	O O	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Lake	46-60	2	1	9.09%	1	9.09%
	61-90	3	3	27.27%	0	0.00%
	91-120	1	1	9.09%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	1.	1	9.09%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Lake of the Woods	46-60	1	1	12.50%	0	0.00%
	61-90	4	4	50.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	1	1	12.50%	· 0	0.00%
	121-150	1	0	0.00%	1	12.50%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	· 0	0.00%
	181±	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Le Sueur	46-60	5	3	10.71%	2	7.14%
	61-90	3	2	7.14%	1	3.57%
	91-120	1	1	3.57%	0	0.00%
	121-150	O .	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Distribution of Processing Days Over 45 by County for MA Applicants over age 65

.

						-
County		n t fast i		processing days	1. 191.	% Ineligible
1. 1	Day	Number of Applicants	Eligible	% Eligible	Inelible	
Lincoln	46-60 61-90	1	 	14.29% 14.29%	0	0.00%
				and the second contraction of the second s		0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-1 <i>5</i> 0 151-180	0	0	0.00%		0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
l	46-60	3.	3	9.38%	0	0.00%
Lyon	61-90	<u> </u>	3	0.100/	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	o o	0.00%	o o	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
McLeod	46-60	15	12	20.34%	3	5.08%
MCLEOG	61-90	10	7	11.86%	3	5.08%
	91-120	1	0	0.00%	1	1.69%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	O O	0.00%
Mahnomen	46-60	5	2	16.67%	3	25.00%
/v\annomen	61-90	.	0	0.00%	, <u>,</u>	8.33%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	o	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Marshall	46-60	4	4	30.77%	0	0.00%
Marshall	61-90	4	4	30.77%	<u> </u>	0.00%
	91-120	1	1	7.69%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	, O	0.00%	<u>o</u>	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	, o	o d	0.00%	<u>o</u>	0.00%
Martin	46-60	10	9	21.43%	1	2.38%
	61-90	3	2	4.76%		2.38%
	91-120	3	1	2.38%	2	4.76%
	121-150	o i	0	0.00%	Ō	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	Ö	ō	0.00%	<u>o</u>	0.00%
Meeker	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
THEEREI	61-90	O D	Ő	0.00%	o e	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	Ő	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	ů o	Ő	0.00%	0	0.00%
Mille Lacs	46-60	10	9	23.08%	1	2.56%
	61-90	13		28.21%	2	5.13%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	<u>o</u>	, o	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	1	1	2.56%	0	0.00%

Distribution of Processing Days Over 45 by County for MA Applicants over age 65

		<u> </u>	Distribution of	processing days		-
County	Day	Number of Applicants	Eligible	% Eligible	Inelible	% Ineligible
Morrison	46-60	8	5	10.64%	3	6.38%
	61-90	1	, j	2.13%	ō	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	o a constant	0.00%	Ö	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	<u>o</u>	o de la compañía de la	0.00%	o o	0.00%
Mower	46-60	13	10	20.41%	3	6.12%
Mower	61-90		7	14.29%	4	8.16%
	91-120	3	3	6.12%	0	0.00%
	121-150	<u> </u>	o o	0.00%	ō	0.00%
	151-180	Ó	0.	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	<u> </u>	0.00%	1	2.04%
Murray	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Mondy	61-90	<u> </u>	ō	0.00%	ō	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	<u>o</u>	0	0.00%	ō	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0.00%	ō	0.00%
Nicollet	46-60	6	5	23.81%	1	4.76%
	61-90	3	2	9.52%		4.76%
	91-120	1	1	4.76%	0	0.00%
	121-150		0	0.00%	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	4.76%
•	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	ō	0.00%
Nobles	46-60	3	2	12.50%	1	6.25%
	61-90	<u>o</u>	ō	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	<u> </u>	0	0.00%	Ō	0.00%
	151-180	0	· 0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	O O	O C	0.00%	o	0.00%
Norman	46-60	0 ·	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	1	1	7.14%	Ó	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	Ō	O THE	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	1814	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Olmsted	46-60	16	10	9.71%	6	5.83%
	61-90	18	16	15.53%	2	1.94%
	91-120	13	8	7.77%	5	4.85%
	121-150	4	2	1.94%	2	1.94%
• • • • • • • •	151-180	1	1	0.97%	0.	0.00%
	181+		Ö	0.00%	1	0.97%
Otter Tail	46-60	6	4	5.56%	2	2.78%
	61-90	3	2	2.78%		1.39%
	91-120	1	0	0.00%	1	1.39%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	· 0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Distribution of Processing Days Over 45 by County for MA Applicants over age 65

0

0.00%

0

0.00%

0

181+

County	Day	Number of Applicants	Distribution of Eligible	processing days % Eligible	Inelible	% Ineligible
Pennington	46-60	4	4	16.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	2	2	8.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	1	0	0.00%	1	4.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Pine	46-60	3	2	18.18%	1	9.09%
	61-90	1		9.09%	.0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	O	0.00%
Pipestone	46-60	6	3	18.75%	3	18.75%
	61-90	4	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	6.25%	3	18.75%
	91-120	2	0	0.00%	2	12.50%
	121-150	ō	O I	0.00%	õ	0.00%
· ·	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	Ö Ö	o o	0.00%	o i	0.00%
Polk	46-60	4	4	7.55%	0	0.00%
TOIR	61-90	5	5	9.43%	0	0.00%
	91-120	6	5	9.43%	1	1.89%
1	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	-0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	1		1.89%	0	0.00%
	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Роре	61-90			5.00%	0	and the second se
	91-120			0.00%	0.	0.00%
	121-150	0	0		0	0.00%
	151-180	0		0.00%		0.00%
		0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+			0.00%	0	0.00%
Ramsey	46-60	74	68	16.75%	6	1.48%
	61-90	107	98	23.65%	11	2.71%
	91-120	59	46	11.33%	13	3.20%
	121-150	25	23	5.67%	2	0.49%
	151-180	.24	20	4.93%	4	0.99%
	181+	-23	22	5.42%	3	0.74%
Red Lake	46-60	0	0	0.00% .	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	.0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Redwood	46-60	4	4	16.67%	0	0.00%
	61-90	1	1 .	4.17%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	· 0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
		0	0			

Distribution of Processing Days Over 45 by County for MA Applicants over age 65

Distribution of Processing Days Over 45 by County for MA Applicants over age 65

County		• /		processing days		
	Day	Numher of Applicants	Eligible	% Eligible	Inelible	% Ineligible
Renville	46-60	3	3	16.67%	0	0.00%
	61-90	2	2	11.11%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	O	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Rice	46-60	6	4	7.55%	2	3.77%
	61-90	10	10	18.87%	0	0.00%
	91-120	5	5	9.43%	0	0.00%
	121-150	4	3	5.66%	1	1.89%
	151-180	1	1	1.89% ·	0	0.00%
	181+	3	3	5.66%	0	0.00%
Rock	46-60	2	0	0.00%	2	25.00%
	61-90	1	0	0.00%	1	12.50%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Roseau	46-60	3	3	18.75%	0	0.00%
	61-90	3	2	12.50%	1	6.25%
	91-120	2	2	12.50%	0	0.00%
	121-150	1	1	6.25%	0	0.00%
	151-180	1	1	6.25%	0	0.00%
	181+	7	1	6.25%	0	0.00%
St. Louis	46-60	19	19	7.66%	0	0.00%
	61-90	31	28	11.29%	3	1.21%
	91-120	17	15	6.05%	2	0.81%
	121-150	10	7	2.82%	3	1.21%
	151-180	8	5	2.02%	3	1.21%
	181+	10	9	3.63%	1	0.40%
Scott	46-60	8	7	15.22%	1	2.17%
	61-90	6	4	8.70%	2	4.35%
	91-120	2	2	4.35%	0	0.00%
	121-150	i	0	0.00%	1	2.17%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Sherburne	46-60	6	6	13.64%	0	0.00%
	61-90	4	2	4.55%	2	4.55%
	91-120	2	0	0.00%	2	4.55%
	121-150			2.27%	Ō	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	2	<u>o</u>	0.00%	2	4.55%
Sibley	46-60	2	2	15.38%	0	0.00%
	61-90		1	7.69%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	ŏ	Ö	0.00%	o interest	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
		~	5	0.00%		0.00%

County	Day	Number of Applicants	Distribution of Eligible	processing days % Eligible	Inelible	% Ineligible
Stearns	46-60	7	6	9.38%	1	1.56%
	61-90	11	. 9	14.06%	2	3.13%
	91-120	··· 3	3	4.69%	0	0.00%
	121-150	6	6	9.38%	0	0.00%
	151-180	1	0	0.00%	1	1.56%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Steele	46-60	4	4	12.90%	0	0.00%
	61-90	5	5	16.13%	0	0.00%
	91-120	3	2	6.45%	1	3.23%
	121-150	Ī	1	3.23%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	<u> </u>	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Stevens	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Dievens	61-90	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	<u> </u>	0.00%	, i i	8.33%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
-	121-150	0	0	0.00%	O O	0.00%
	and the second sec			All and an and a second s		
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
0.16	181+	<u> </u>	0	0.00%		0.00%
Swift	46-60		<u> </u>	8.33%	0	0.00%
	61-90	1	1	8.33%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	Ö	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Todd	46-60	0 5	0 4	0.00%	0 1	0.00%
Todd	46-60 61-90	0	0	0.00% 14.81% 7.41%	0 1 0	0.00% 3.70% 0.00%
Todd	46-60	0 5 2 1	0 4	0.00%	0 1 0 0	0.00%
Todd	46-60 61-90	0 5	0 4 2	0.00% 14.81% 7.41%	0 1 0	0.00% 3.70% 0.00%
Todd	46-60 61-90 91-120	0 5 2 1	0 4 2 1	0.00% 14.81% 7.41% 3.70%	0 1 0 0	0.00% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00%
Todd	46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150	0 5 2 1 0	0 4 2 1 0	0.00% 14.81% 7.41% 3.70% 0.00%	0 1 0 0 0	0.00% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
Todd Traverse	46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180	0 5 2 1 0 0	0 4 2 1 0 0	0.00% 14.81% 7.41% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00%	0 1 0 0 0 0	0.00% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
	46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+	0 5 2 1 0 0 0	0 4 2 1 0 0 0	0.00% 14.81% 7.41% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0 1 0 0 0 0	0.00% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
	46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60	0 5 2 1 0 0 0	0 4 2 1 0 0 0 0	0.00% 14.81% 7.41% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
	46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120	0 5 2 1 0 0 0 0 0	0 4 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 14.81% 7.41% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
	46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150	0 5 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 4 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 14.81% 7.41% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
	46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180	0 5 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 4 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 14.81% 7.41% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
Traverse	46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+	0 5 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 4 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 14.81% 7.41% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
	46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60	0 5 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 4 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 14.81% 7.41% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 30.00%	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
Traverse	46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90	0 5 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 4 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 14.81% 7.41% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 30.00%	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
Traverse	46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120	0 5 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 4 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 14.81% 7.41% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 15.00%	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
Traverse	46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150	0 5 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 4 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 14.81% 7.41% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 30.00% 15.00% 0.00%	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
Traverse	46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180	0 5 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 4 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 14.81% 7.41% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 15.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
Traverse Wabasha	46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 151-180	0 5 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 4 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 14.81% 7.41% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 30.00% 15.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
Traverse	46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 151-180 181+ 46-60	0 5 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 4 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 14.81% 7.41% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 15.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 3.70% 0.00%
Traverse Wabasha	46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 181+	0 5 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 4 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 14.81% 7.41% 3.70% 0.00% 0	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 3.70% 0.00%
Traverse Wabasha	46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120	0 5 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 4 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 14.81% 7.41% 3.70% 0.00%	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 3.70% 0.00% 0.
Traverse Wabasha	46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150	0 5 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 4 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 14.81% 7.41% 3.70% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 15.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 3.70% 0.00%
Traverse Wabasha	46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120 121-150 151-180 181+ 46-60 61-90 91-120	0 5 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 4 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 14.81% 7.41% 3.70% 0.00%	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 3.70% 0.00% 0.

Distribution of Processing Days Over 45 by County for MA Applicants over age 65

Distribution o	f Processing	Days Over	45 by	[,] County f	or MA Appl	icants over age 65
----------------	--------------	-----------	-------	-----------------------	------------	--------------------

		<u> </u>	/ 	· · · ·	• •	
County	Day	Number of Applicants	Eligible	processing days % Eligible	Inelible	% Ineligible
Waseca	46-60	number of Approxims	О	0.00%	1	3.85%
wusecu	61-90	2	2	7.69%	0	0.00%
	91-120			0.00%		
	·	0	0		1	3.85%
	121-150		0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0.	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0		0.00%	0	0.00%
Washington	46-60	11	10	10.53%	1	1.05%
	61-90	7		7.37%	0	0.00%
	91-120	10	9	9.47%	1	1.05%
	121-150	3	3	3.16%	.0	0.00%
	1.51-180	2	2	2.11%	0	0.00%
	181+	5	3	3.16%	2	2.11%
Watonwan	46-60	,6	4	16.00%	2	8.00%
	61-90	2	2	8.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	Ö	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Wilkin	46-60	0	0	0.00%	. 0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Winona	46-60	9	6	8.45%	3	4.23%
	61-90	17	10	14.08%	7	9.86%
	91-120	2	2	2.82%	0	0.00%
	121-150	2	2	2.82%	0	0.00%
	151-180	1	0	0.00%	1	1.41%
	181+	0	Ō	0.00%	0	0.00%
Wright	46-60	7	5	10.20%	2	4.08%
	61-90	2	8	16.33%		2.04%
	91-120	1	1	2.04%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	O	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	Ő	o de la companya de	0.00%	, j	0.00%
Yellow Medicine	46-60	2	1	4.00%	1	4.00%
	61-90	3	2	8.00%		4.00%
	91-120	1	0	0.00%	1 1	
	121-150	I O	0	0.00%	The second s	4.00%
					0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
STATE TOTAL	181+			0.00%	0	0.00%
STATE TOTAL	46-60	543	431	10.52%	112	2.73%
	61-90	685	558	13.62%	127	3.10%
	91-120	346	263	6.42%	83	2.03%
	121-150	189	155	3.78%	34	0.83%
	151-180	115	85	2.07%	30	0.73%
	181+	157	116	2.83%	41	1.00%

Appendix C

Application Processing Days by County for MA Applicants with a Disability or Blind

	Number Requests	Tot	al Numbe	rof		Tot	al Numbe	r of			
	Processed	3	ests Proc			1	ests Proc			Total	Average
County	1-1-2006 through	6	0 days or le		Percent		61+days		Percent	Days	Days
	12-1-2006	Eligible	Ineligible	Total	Processed	Eligible	Ineligible	Total	Processed	Pending	Pending
County not listed	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Aitkin	1	1	0	1	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Anoka	30	19	3	22	73.33%	6	2	8	26.67%	1,271	42.37
Becker	3	2	0	2	66.67%	1	0	1	33.33%	106	35.33
Beltrami	6	5	0	5	83.33%	1	0	1	16.67%	204	34.00
Benton	1	0	0	0	0.00%	0	1	1	100.00%	92	92.00
Big Stone	1	0	0	0	0.00%	1	0	1	100.00%	88	88.00
Blue Earth	8	5	0	5	62.50%	3	0	3	37.50%	391	48.88
Brown	1	1	0	1	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	31	31.00
Carlton	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Carver	6	3	0	3	50.00%	2	1	3	50.00%	358	59.67
Cass		0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	3	3.00
Chippewa	1	0	0	0	0.00%	1	0	1	100.00%	71	71.00
Chisago		0	0	0	0.00%	1	0	1	100.00%	100	100.00
Clay	3	3	0	3	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	55	18.33
Clearwater	1	1	0	1	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	20	20.00
Cook	0	· 0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Cottonwood	2	1	0	1	50.00%	0	1	1	50.00%	117	58.50
Crow Wing	3	3	0	3	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	110	36.67
Dakota	8	4	2	6	75.00%	2	0	2	25.00%	337	42.13
Dodge	1	1	0	1	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	15	15.00
Douglas	2	2	0	2	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	12	6.00
Faribault	2	1	0	1	50.00%	0	1	1	50.00%	83	41.50
Fillmore	1	0	0	0	0.00%	1	0	1	100.00%	123	123.00
Freeborn	3	1	2	3	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	110	36.67
Goodhue	14	9	1	10	71.43%	3	1	4	28.57%	575	41.07
Grant	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Hennepin	117	54	10	64	54.70%	45	8	53	45.30%	9,024	77.13
Houston	2	0	0	0	0.00%	2	0	2	100.00%	207	103.50
Hubbard	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Isanti	3	2	0	2	66.67%	1	0	1	33.33%	188	62.67
lfasca	1	0	0	0	0.00%	1	0	1	100.00%	189	189.00
Jackson	1	0	1	1	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	1	1.00
Kanabec	1	0	0	0	0.00%	1	0	1	100.00%	95	95.00
Kandiyohi	1	1	0	1	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	16	16.00
Kittson	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Koochiching	1	0	0	0	0.00%	1	0	1	100.00%	69	69.00
Lac Qui Parle	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Lake	1	1	0	1	100.00%	0	0	0.	0.00%	22	22.00
Lake of the Woods	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Le Sueur	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Lincoln	O ····	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Lyon	3	1	1	2	66.67%	0	1	1	33.33%	83	27.67
McLeod	7	4	2	6	85.71%	1	0	1	14.29%	267	38.14
Mahnomen	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
L				L			L	<u> </u>	2.00/0		

Appendix C

Application Processing Days by County for MA Applicants with a Disability or Blind

	Number Requests		al Numbe		4	199.00 200.000	al Numbe		,		
County	Processed 1-1-2006 through 12-1-2006		ests Proc O days or li Ineligible		Percent Processed	Eligible	ests Proc 61 + days Ineligible	Total	Percent Processed	Total Days Pending	Average Days Pending
Marshall	0	O	O	0	0.00%	- O	O	0	0.00%	1 chung	0.00
Martin	1	0	0	0	0.00%	1	0	1	100.00%	85	85.00
Meeker	1	1	0	1	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	26	26.00
Mille Lacs	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Morrison	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Mower	4	2	0	2	50.00%	2	0	2	50.00%	223	55.75
Murray	1	0	1	1	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	17	17.00
Nicollet	3	1	1	2	66.67%	1	0	1	33.33%	192	64.00
Nobles	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Norman	4	2	0	2	50.00%	2	0	2	50.00%	175	43.75
Olmsted	3	3	0	3	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	86	28.67
Otter Tail	4	4	0	4	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	117	29.25
Pennington	2	2 .	0	2	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	63	31.50
Pine	1	1	0	1	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	6	6.00
Pipestone	2	2	Ō	2.	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	55	27.50
Polk	. 4	4	0	4	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	19	4.75
Pope	O	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	`0	0.00%	0	0.00
Ramsey	79	32	5	37	46.84%	32	10	42	53.16%	5,454	69.04
Red Lake	0	0	Ō	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Redwood	2	1	0	1	50.00%	1	0	1	50.00%	390	195.00
Renville	2	1	0	1	50.00%	1	0	1	50.00%	165	82.50
Rice	7	3	2	5	71.43%	1	1	2	28.57%	285	40.71
Rock	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Roseau	1	1	ō	1	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	53	53.00
St. Louis	13	8	1	9	69.23%	4	0	4	30.77%	548	42.15
Scott	2	2	0	2	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	2	1.00
Sherburne	4	2	0	2	50.00%	2	0	2	50.00%	312	78.00
Sibley	1	1	0	1	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	1	1.00
Stearns	3	2	Ō	2	66.67%	0	1	1	33.33%	135	45.00
Steele	2	1	0	1	50.00%	1	0	1	50.00%	79	39.50
Stevens	4	2	0	2	50.00%	2	0	2	50.00%	107	26.75
Swift	. 1	1	0	1	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Todd	4	0	1	1	25.00%	3	0	3	75.00%	246	61.50
Traverse	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Wabasha	5	2	1	3	60.00%	2	0	2	40.00%	234	46.80
Wadena]	1	0	1	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	44	44.00
Waseca	1	1	0	1	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	1	1.00
Washington	12	3	2	5	41.67%	4	3	7	58.33%	1,070	89.17
Watonwan	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Wilkin	2	2	0	2	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	3	1.50
Winona	3	2	0	2	66.67%	0	1	1	33.33%	147	49.00
Wright	1	1	0	1	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	6	6.00
Yellow Medicine	1	1	0	1	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	1	1.00
Mille Lacs Tribal	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
TOTAL	419	217	36	253	60.38%	133	32	165	39.38%	24,780	59.14

Distribution of Processing Days O	Over 60 by Count	v for MA Applicants with	a Disability or Blind

				processing days		
County	Day	Number of Applicants	Eligible	% Eligible	Ineligible	% Ineligible
Aitkin	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	Ö	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
-	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Anoka	61-90	5	4	13.33%	1	3.33%
	91-120	2	1	3.33%	1	3.33%
	121-150	0	O	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	1 Milliddiotectorionary generation at 1100	1	3.33%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Becker	61-90	1	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150 151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Beltrami	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Demoni	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	, i i i i		16.67%	o e	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	O I I	O C	0.00%	0	0.00%
Benton	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ر ا	91-120	1	Ō	0.00%	1	100.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	Ó	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Big Stone	61-90	1	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	· 0	0.00%
	181+		0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Blue Earth	61-90	1	1	12.50%	0	0.00%
	91-120	2	2	25.00%	0	0.00%
Ē	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Brown	91-20 91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	131-160	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Carlton	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
1				×** × /¥		ו××/×
			0	0,00%	0	0.00%
	121-150 151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Distribution of Processing Days Over 60 by County for MA Applicants with a Disability or Blind

		• 		processing days		-
County	Day	Number of Applicants	Eligible	% Eligible	Ineligible	% Ineligible
Carver	61-90		Culture Culture	0:00%	nienginie O	.0.00%
	91-120	2	2	33.33%	0.	0.00%
	121-150	 11	0	0.00%	1	16.67%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Cass	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Cuss	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	1	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Chippewa	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0 0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
China	61-90	0	0		0	0.00%
Chisago	91-120			0.00%	0	0.00%
		0	0		0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180 181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	KUTA AND AND A COMPANY AND A COMPANY AND A COMPANY	0	0	0.00%	0	
Clay	61-90 91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-130	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Clearwater	61-90	0	0.	0.00%	0	0.00%
Clearwater	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Cook	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CODK	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	Ŏ	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Cottonwood	61-90	1	0	0.00%	1	50.00%
Comonwood	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	O .	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Contract	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Crow Wing	91-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-130	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	101+	<u> </u>	U	0.00%	UU	0.00%

Distribution of Processing Days Over 60 by County for MA Applicants with a Disability or Blind

	~		-			
County	Day	Number of Applicants	Distribution of Eligible	processing days % Eligible	Ineligible	% Ineligible
Dakota	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	2	2	25.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	Ö	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Dodge	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Douglas	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Faribault	61-90	1	0	0.00%	1	50.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Fillmore	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	O O	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	1	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Freeborn	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Goodhue	61-90	3	2	14.29%	1	7.14%
	91-120	0		0.00%		0.00%
	121-150 151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Grant	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Hennepin	61-90	16	13	11.11%	3	2.56%
пешерш	91-120	7	7	5.98%		0.00%
	121-150	10	9	7.69%	1	0.85%
	151-180	7	5	4.27%	2	1.71%
	181+	13	11	4.27% 9.40%	2	1.71%
<u> </u>	1017	10	11	7.40/0	4	1./ 1/0

Distribution of Processing Days Over 60 by County for MA Applicants with a Disability or Blind

			/ /		•	/
County	Day	Number of Applicants	Distribution of Eligible	processing days % Eligible	Ineligible	% Ineligible
Houston	61-90	j	1-1-1-j	50.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0 ·	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	1		50.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Hubbard	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	O I	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	· 0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Isanti	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	1	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	Ō	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	.0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Itasca	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	1	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Jackson	61-90	.0	0	0.00%	O	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181±	0	0	0.00%	o	0.00%
Kanabec	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	1	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	O :	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Kandiyohi	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Kittson	61-90	0.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Koochiching	61-90			100.00%	<u>O:</u>	0.00%
	91-120	00	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	· 0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Distribution of Processing Days Over 60 by County for MA Applicants with a Disability or Blind

County	Day	Number of Applicants	Distribution of Eligible	processing days % Eligible	Ineligible	* % Ineligible
Lac Qui Parle	61-90	0	O	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	Ō	<u>o</u>	0.00%	O	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	<u>o</u>	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Lake	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Lake	91-120		0			
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0 ·	0.00%
	151-180	0	0			
	181+	TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Lake of the	61-90	0		0.00%	0	0.00%
Woods		0. 0.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
1100d3	91-120 *	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150		0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0		0.00%		0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Le Sueur	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Lincoln	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	<u>o</u>	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
•	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Lyon	61-90	1	0	0.00%	1	33.33%
	91-120	. 0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0.	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Mcleod	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120		<u>, 1 (</u>	14.29%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
l Taylog na sy statistica a na ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Mahnomen	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Marshall	61-90	· 0	• 0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
·	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Distribution of Processing Days Over 60 by County for MA Applicants with a Disability or Blind

				<u> </u>		
County	Day	Number of Applicants	Distribution of Eligible	processing days % Eligible	Ineligible	% Ineligible
Martin	61-90		j i i	100.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
-	121-150	0	0	0.00%	Ō	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	o de la compañía de	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Meeker	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
meeker	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
		0	0		0	The second s
	151-180			0.00%		0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Mille Lacs	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	<u> </u>	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	<u>O</u>	0.00%
	151-180	0	0.	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	O	0.00%	0	0.00%
Morrison	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	O O	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	O	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Mower	61-90	<u>.</u>	1	25.00%	O	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150) O	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	1	1	25.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Murray	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	Ó	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	· 0	0.00%
Nicollet	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-1.50	1		33.33%	0	0.00%
	151-180	. 0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Nobles	61-90 ⁻	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	. O	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Norman	61-90		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	25.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	1	1	25.00%	0.	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	Ö	0.00%
	151-180	0 .	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	<u> </u>	0.00%	<u> </u>	0.00%
	1917			0.00%	<u> </u>	0.00%

Appendix D

Distribution of Processing Days Over 60 by County for MA Applicants with a Disability or Blind

	_	-		· ·		_
County	Day	Number of Applicants	Eligible	processing days % Eligible	Ineligible	% Ineligible
Olmsted	61-90	Number of Applicants	Cligible	% Eligine 0.00%	O	% ineligible
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150		0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0			0	0.00%
	151-180 181+	0	0	0.00%	0	
						0.00%
Otter Tail	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150			0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180 181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	
Pennington	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	· 181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Pine	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
FINE	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
-	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Pipestone	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ripesione	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	O I	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	· 0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Polk	61-90	0	<u> </u>	0.00%	O O	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	O O	o de la	0.00%	0	0.00%
l.	151-180	0	Q	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Pope	61-90	0	· 0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	Ō	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Ramsey	61-90	20	17	21.52%	3	3.80%
	91-120	11	8	10.13%	3	3.80%
	121-150	3	1	1.27%	2	2.53%
je se	151-180	4	2	2.53%	2	2.53%
	181+	4	4	5.06%	0	0.00%
Red Lake	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	O PERSONAL PROPERTY OF	<u>o</u>	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Appendix D

Distribution of Processing Days Over 60 by County for MA Applicants with a Disability or Blind

						1
County				processing days		
-	Day	Number of Applicants	Eligible	% Eligible	Ineligible	% Ineligible
Redwood	61-90	0	Ö	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181÷		1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Renville	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	1	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0 ·	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Rice	61-90	1	1	14.29%	0	0.00%
	91-120	1	1	14.29%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Rock	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	O O	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
-	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	<u>0</u>	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Roseau	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	Ó	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	· 0 -	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	<u> </u>	0.00%	0	0.00%
St. Louis	61-90	2	2	15.38%	0	0.00%
	91-120	2	2	15.38%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Scott	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Sherburne	61-90	1	1	25.00%	0	0.00%
-	91-120	0	0	0.00%		0.00%
•	121-150	0	0	0.00%	<u> </u>	0.00%
	151-180		-	0.00%		0.00%
c:II	181+	1	1	25.00%	0	0.00%
Sibley	61-90	0	Ö	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Appendix D

Distribution of Processing Days Over 60 by County for MA Applicants with a Disability or Blind

			Distribution of	processing days		-
County	Day	Number of Applicants	Eligible	% Eligible	Ineligible	% Ineligible
Stearns	61-90	1	0	0.00%	1	33.33%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Steele	61-90 91-120	0	0	50.00% 0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	o	Ő	0.00%	0	0.00%
Stevens	61-90	2	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
· .	151-180	O	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Swift	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150 151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Todd	61-90	· 3	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	O I	0	0.00%	<u>o</u>	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
·	151-180	-0	0	0.00%	O O	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	. 0	0.00%
Traverse	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Wabasha	181+ 61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Wabasha	91-120		n na star star star star star star star sta	20.00%	ů o	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	-O	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Wadena	61-90	0	O	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	O	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Waseca	61-90 91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
						0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	() (N) 7A

Distribution of Processing Days Over 60 by County for MA Applicants with a Disability or Blind

			Distribution of	processing days		
County	Day	Number of Applicants	Eligible	% Eligible	Ineligible	% Ineligible
Washington	61-90	n	1	8.33%	Ó	0.00%
	91-120	2	1	8.33%	1	8.33%
	121-150	2	1	8.33%	1	8.33%
	151-180	0j	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	2	1	8.33%	1	8.33%
Watonwan	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	O	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Wilkin	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	-0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Winona	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	1	0	0.00%	1	33.33%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Wright	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	-0	0.00%
Yellow Medicine	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
STATE TOTAL	61-90	69	57	13.60%	12	2.86%
	91-120	36	29	6.92%	7	1.67%
	121-150	24	18	4.30%	6	1.43%
	151-180	14	10	2.39%	4	0.95%
	181+	22	19	4.53%	3	0.72%

Appendix E

Application Processing Days by County for MA Applicants Not Identified as With a Disability, Blind or Over Age 65

County	Number Requests Processed		mber of I Processed	1			imber of I			Total	Average
county	1-1-2006 through 12-1-2006	4 Eligible	5 days or la Ineligible	rss Total	Percent Processed	Pro Eligible	cessed day Ineligible	46+ Total	Percent Processed	Days Pending	Days Pending
County not listed	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Aitkin	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Anoka	1	1	0	1	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	15	15.00
Becker	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Beltrami	1	0	0	0	0.00%	1	0	1	100.00%	123	123.00
Benton	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Big Stone	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Blue Earth	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Brown	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Carlton	O	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Carver	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Cass	1	1	0	1	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	17	17.00
Chippewa	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0 ·	0.00%	0	0.00
Chisago	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Clay	1	1	0	1	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	6	6.00
Clearwater	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Cook	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Cottonwood	O	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Crow Wing	1	1	0	1	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	38	38.00
Dakota	3	1	0	1	33.33%	2	0	2	66.67%	237	79.00
Dodge	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Douglas	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Faribault	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Fillmore	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Freeborn	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Goodhue	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Grant	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Hennepin	13	2	2	4	30.77%	1	8	- 9	69.23%	1,033	79.00
Houston	· 0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Hubbard	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Isanti	1	0	0	0	0.00%	1	0	1	100.00%	80	80.00
ltasca	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Jackson	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Kanabec	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Kandiyohi	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Kittson	Ō	0	0	<u> </u>	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Koochiching	0	0	0	Ó	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Lac Qui Parle	0	0	0	0	0.00%	Ō	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Lake	. 0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Lake of the Woods	Ö	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Le Sueur	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Lincoln	Ö	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Lyon	· 0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Mcleod	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Mahnomen	0	. 0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00

Application Processing Days by County for MA Applicants Not Identified as With a Disability, Blind or Over Age 65

	Number Requests		imber of I					-			
County	Processed		Processed				imber of I		_	Total	Average
	1-1-2006 through 12-1-2006	4. Eligible	5 days or la Ineligible	Total	Percent Processed	Eligible	cessed day Ineligible	40+ Total	Percent Processed	Days Pending	Days Pending
Marshall	0	O	O		0.00%	Clidinie	O	0	0.00%	renung	0.00
Martin	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Meeker	<u> </u>	Ō	Ō	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	Ő	0.00
Mille Lacs	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Morrison	Ö	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	Ō	0.00
Mower	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Murray	1	0	1	1	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	6	6.00
Nicollet	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Nobles	0	0	0	0	0.00%	Ó	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Norman	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Olmsted	2	1	0	1	50.00%	1	0	1	50.00%	55	28.00
Otter Tail	1	1	0	1	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	3	3.00
Pennington	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Pine	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	· 0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Pipestone	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Polk	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Pope	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Ramsey	8	5	1	6	75.00%	2	0	2	25.00%	194	28.00
Red Lake	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Redwood	3	0	2	_2	66.67%	0	1	_ 1	33.33%	111	37.00
Renville	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Rice	0	<u>0</u> .	0	0	0.00%	Ó	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Rock	1	0	0	0	0.00%	0	1	1	100.00%	124	124.00
Roseau	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
St. Louis	1	0	0	0	0.00%	0	1	1	100.00%	64	64.00
Scott	0	0	0	. 0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Sherburne	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Sibley	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Stearns	Ö	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Steele	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Stevens	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Swift	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Todd	3	0	1	1	33.33%	1	1	2	66.67%	152	51.00
Traverse	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Wabasha	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Wadena	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Waseca	1	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Washington Watonwan	0	1	0	1	100.00%	0	<u> 0 </u>	0	0.00%	35	35.00
Wilkin	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Winona	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Wright	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Yellow Medicine	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
Mille Lacs Tribal	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00
TOTAL	43	15	7	22	51.16%	9	12	21	48.84%	2,293	53.33
IVIAL	40	.J	1	- LL	51.10%	7	12	4 1	40.04/0	2,273	00.00

Distribution of Processing Days Over 45 by County for MA Applicants Not Identified as With a Disability, Blind or Over Age 65

(and the	-parts		Distribution of	processing days		
County	Day	Number of Applicants	Eligible	% Eligible	Ineligible	% Ineligible
Aitkin	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Anoka	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Becker	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
,	61-90	Ö	Ō	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	<u>o</u>	<u>o</u>	0.00%	Ö	0.00%
	151-180	· 0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	<u>o</u>	<u> </u>	0.00%	0	0.00%
Beltrami	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
beintann	61-90	o .		0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		100.00%	o o	0.00%
•	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	o o	, o	0.00%	o o	0.00%
Benton	46-60	0	0	0.00%		0.00%
benion	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
					The second se	
	91-120 121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
				0.00%		0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Big Stone	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	<u>o</u> nere:	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	- 0.00%
Blue Earth	46-60	. 0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	Ö	O O	0.00%	0	0.00%
Brown	46-60	0	0	0.00%	. 0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	Ö	0.00%
	<u>91-120</u>	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	O	0.00%	O	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	- 0	0.00%	0	0.00%

County				processing days		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Day	Number of Applicants	Eligible	% Eligible	Ineligible	% Ineligible
Carlton	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
<u>.</u>	. 181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Carver	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	· 0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Cass	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Chippewa	46-60	. 0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
-mppone	61-90	Ō	Ö	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	· 0	0.00%
	121-150	O O	Ö	0.00%	Ō	0.00%
×	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	<u>o</u>	0	0.00%	ů O	0.00%
Chisago	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Chisogo	61-90	o de la compañía de la	<u> </u>	0.00%	<u> </u>	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	o i	<u>o</u>	0.00%	O O	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	o line	o o	0.00%	0	0.00%
Clay	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Cidy	61-90			0.00%	-	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	o o	o o	0.00%	0	0.00%
			0			0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
<u>cl</u>	181+		and the second			and the second se
Clearwater	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	<u>o</u>		0.00%	0	0.00%
. *	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Cook	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	o	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	• 0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
•	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	Ö	0.00%	0	0.00%

			Distribution of	processing days		
County	Day	Number of Applicants	Eligible	% Eligible	Ineligible	% Ineligible
Cottonwood	46-60	0	0	0.00%	-0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	o I	0.00%
Crow Wing	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
elen (mig	61-90	0	0	0.00%	<u>o</u>	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	ō	ō	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	ō	0.00%	ō	0.00%
Dakota	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
,	61-90		1	33.33%	ö	0.00%
	91-120	· 0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	<u> </u>	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	1	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	Ó Ó	0.00%	<u>o</u>	0.00%
Dodge	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
20-90	61-90	0	o in the second	0.00%	<u>o</u>	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	<u>o</u>	0.00%	<u>o</u>	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	<u> </u>	Ő	0.00%	0	0.00%
Douglas	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Decalus	61-90	Ő	<u>o</u>	0.00%	<u> </u>	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	<u>o</u>	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	ō	<u>o</u>	0.00%	0	0.00%
Faribault	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
r an baon	61-90	Ő	Ő	0.00%	<u>o</u>	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	O	Ő	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	<u> </u>	O A	0.00%	<u> </u>	0.00%
Fillmore	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0,00%
	61-90	0	<u>o</u>	0.00%	Ő	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	, o	i i	0.00%	Ŏ	0.00%
	151-180	0	0.	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	O O	0	0.00%	o O	0.00%
Freeborn	46-60	Ő	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	- O	<u> </u>	0.00%	- O	0.00%
			V	0.00%	v	0.00%
			^	0.00%	<u> </u>	0 00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
			0 0 0	0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0 10 0	0.00%

Distribution of Processing Days Over 45 by County for MA Applicants Not Identified as With a Disability, Blind or Over Age 65

3

Country			Distribution of	processing days		
County	Day	Number of Applicants	Eligible	% Eligible	Ineligible	% Ineligible
Goodhue	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	Ö	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Grant	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0 ·	0.00%
ىت. 1	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181.+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Hennepin	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	4	0	0.00%	4	30.77%
	91-120	4	0	0.00%	4	30.77%
	121-150	Ö	<u>o</u>	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	j	, j	7.69%	Ö.	0.00%
Houston	46-60	0	0	0.00%	· · 0	0.00%
	61-90	o T	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	0.00%	Ő	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	, o	0	0.00%	, O	0.00%
,	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	o o	0.00%	Ő	0.00%
Hubbard	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
libbalu	61-90	0	0	0.00%	- O	0.00%
	91-120	0	0.	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	o o	O .	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	o o	O O	0.00%	0	0.00%
Isanti	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Isuliii			1	100.00%	0	
	61-90 91-120	0	<u>.</u> 0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	o c	i o	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ĸ	181+	O O	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ltasca	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
liasca	61-90	O	O O	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0.	0.00%
	181+	0	o o	0.00%	0	0.00%
Jackson	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
JUCKSON	40-00 61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
						0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	and the second
	121-150			0.00%	and the second	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	1814	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

			-			
County	Day	Number of Applicants	Eligible	processing days % Eligible	Ineligible	% Ineligible
Kanabec	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	<u> </u>	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Kandiyohi	46-60	0	0 ·	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	<u> </u>	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
·····	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Kittson	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
······································	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Koochiching	46-60	0 .	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	<u> </u>	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Lac Qui Parle	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
······································	1.81±	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Lake	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	<u>O</u>	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Lake of the Woods	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	O	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	1 1/ /0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Le Sueur	46-60		Commission (1997), and a second se			
Le Sueur	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Le Sueur	61-90 91-120	0 0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Le Sueur	61-90	0				

Distribution of Processing Days Over 45 by County for MA Applicants Not Identified as With a Disability, Blind or Over Age 65

County	-			processing days		an kali
	Day	Number of Applicants	Eligible	% Eligible	Ineligible	% Ineligible
Lincoln	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	O	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
·····	181+	O	0	0.00%	0	0:00%
Lyon	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	·0	0.00%
	91-120	00	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	· 0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	Ó	0.00%	0	0.00%
Mcleod	46-60	0	• 0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Mahnomen	46-60	0	0	0.00%	Ò	0.00%
	61-90	Ō	Ö	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	<u>o</u>	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	Ő	0	0.00%	Ö	0.00%
Marshall	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
That shall	61-90	ŏ	Ő	0.00%	ŏ	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	<u> </u>	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180			0.00%		
		0	0		0	0.00%
	181+			0.00%		0.00%
Martin	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	<u>o</u>	0.00%	O	0.00%
	91-120	0	0.	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	O	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Meeker	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	.181+	-0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Mille Lacs	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0.	0.00%
	181+	0	O I	0.00%	0	0.00%

Distribution of processing days County Eligible Ineligible % Ineligible Day Number of Applicants % Eligible 46-60 0.00% Morrison 0 0 0.00% 0 61-90 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 91-120 0 0.00% 0.00% 0 0 121-150 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 151-180 181+ 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 46-60 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% Mower 0 0 0 0.00% 61-90 0.00% 91-120 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 121-150 0 0.00% Ö 0.00% 0 151-180 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 181+ 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 0.00% Murray 46-60 0 0 0.00% 61-90 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 91-120 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 121-150 0 0 0 0.00% 0.00% 151-180 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0.00% 0 0 0.00% 181+ 0 Nicollet 46-60 0 0 0.00% Ò 0.00% 0 61-90 0 0 0.00% 0.00% 91-120 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 121-150 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 151-180 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 0 181+ 0 0.00% 0.00% Nobles 46-60 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 61-90 0 0 0.00% Ô 0.00% 91-120 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 121-150 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 151-180 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 181+ 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 0.00% Norman 46-60 0 0 0.00% 61-90 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 91-120 121-150 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 151-180 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 0 181+ 0 0 0.00% 0.00% Olmsted 1 50.00% 0 0.00% 46-60 1 61-90 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 91-120 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 121-150 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 151-180 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 181+ 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0.00% Otter Tail 46-60 0 0 0 0.00% 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 61-90 91-120 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 121-150 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 151-180 0 0 0

Distribution of Processing Days Over 45 by County for MA Applicants Not Identified as With a Disability, Blind or Over Age 65

7

0

0.00%

0

0.00%

0

181+

County			Distribution of	processing days	-	
County	Day	Number of Applicants	Eligible	% Eligible	Ineligible	% Ineligible
Pennington	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	.0	0	0.00%	Ö	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Pine	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	. 0	0.00%
	121-150	Ó	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Pipestone	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
• .	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	Ö	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Polk '	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	ō	Ō	0.00%	O O	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	<u> </u>	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	Ö	0.00%	- O	0.00%
Pope	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
1 opo	61-90	<u>o</u>	o I	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	<u> </u>	Ö	0.00%	<u>, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i</u>	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	<u>o</u>	<u> </u>	0.00%	Ō	0.00%
Ramsey	46-60	1	1	12.50%	0	0.00%
канњеу	61-90	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		12.50%	Ő	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	<u> </u>	0	0.00%	Ö	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	<u> </u>	Ő	0.00%	Ő	0.00%
Red Lake	46-60	· 0	0 ·	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	o i	o l	0.00%	Ő	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	ů,	0.00%	<u>o</u>	0.00%
Redwood	46-60	1	0	0.00%	1	33.33%
	61-90		, o	0.00%	- -	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
				111172		

Distribution of processing days County Number of Applicants % Eligible Ineligible % Ineligible Day Eligible Renville 46-60 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 0 0.00% 61-90 0 0.00% 91-120 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 121-150 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 151-180 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 181+ 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 46-60 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% Rice 61-90 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 91-120 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 121-150 0 0 0 0.00% 0.00% 151-180 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 181+ Rock 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 46-60 0.00% 0 0.00% 61-90 0 0 91-120 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 1 100.00% 121-150 1 151-180 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 181+ 0 0 0.00% 0.00% 0 0.00% Roseau 46-60 0 0 0 0 0.00% 61-90 0 0.00% 91-120 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 121-150 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 151-180 0 0.00% 0.00% 0 0 0 0.00% 181+ 0 0 0.00% 0 0 0.00% 0.00% St. Louis 46-60 0 61-90 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 91-120 0.00% 0.00% 0 0 0 121-150 1 0 0.00%] 100.00% 0.00% 0 0.00% 151-180 0 0 0.00% 181+ 0 0 0.00% Ö Scott 46-60 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 61-90 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 91-120 121-150 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 151-180 0 0 0 0.00% 181+ 0 0 0.00% 0 Sherburne 0.00% 0 0.00% 46-60 0 0 0 0.00% 61-90 0 0 0.00% 91-120 0.00% 0.00% 0 0 0 0 0 0.00% 121-150 0.00% 0 151-180 0 0 0.00% 0 0.00%

Distribution of Processing Days Over 45 by County for MA Applicants Not Identified as With a Disability, Blind or Over Age 65

9

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0.00%

0.00%

0.00%

0.00%

0.00%

0.00%

0.00%

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

181+

46-60

61-90

91-120

121-150

151-180

181+

Sibley

s.

0.00%

0.00%

0.00%

0.00%

0.00%

0.00%

0

0

0

0

0

0

Distribution of Processing Days Over 45 by County for MA Applicants Not Identified as With a Disability, Blind or Over Age 65

County	Day	Number of Applicants	Distribution of Eligible	% Eligible	Incligible	% Ineligible
Stearns	46-60	0	0	0.00%	· 0	0.00%
	61-90	0	O	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	.0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Steele	46-60	0	0.	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Stevens	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	Ö	Ö	0.00%	Ö	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	Ō	Ö	0.00%	Ő	0.00%
Swift	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
V WIII	61-90	<u> </u>	ŏ	0.00%	Ő	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	o l	, O	0.00%	Ő	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	<u> </u>	ő	0.00%	o o	0.00%
Todd	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
load	61-90		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	33.33%		33.33%
	91-120	0		0.00%		0.00%
		0	0		0	
	121-150			0.00%	<u>o</u>	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	<u>o</u>	O	0.00%	<u>o</u>	0.00%
Traverse	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	O	<u>o de la companya de</u>	0.00%	O	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	<u>o</u>	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Wabasha	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%		0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Wadena	46-60	0	0	0.00%	· 0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

8

Q

Distribution of Processing Days Over 45 by County for MA Applicants Not Identified as With a Disability, Blind or Over Age 65

County				processing days		
	Day	Number of Applicants	Eligible	% Eligible	Ineligible	% Ineligible
Waseca	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	· 0	0.00%
	121-150	Ö	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	O	0.00%
Washington	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	Ó	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	. 0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	. 0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Watonwan	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	O	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	- 0	0.00%
Wilkin	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	O	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181#	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Winona	46-60	0.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
•	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0 -	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Wright	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	.0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Yellow Medicine	46-60	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	61-90	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	91-120	0	0.	0.00%	0	0.00%
	121-150	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151-180	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	181+	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
STATE TOTAL	46-60	3	2	4.65%	1	2.33%
	61-90	10	4	9.30%	6	13.95%
	91-120	4	0	0.00%	4	9.30%
	121-150	2		2,33%		2.33%
	151-180	1	1	2.33%	0	0.00%
	181+	1		2.33%	0	0.00%
	1911			2.00/0	×	0.0070

,

Summary of Medical Assistance (MA) Policy Changes related to the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 (DRA)

- A person must document citizenship and identity in order to be eligible for MA. People who receive or previously received Supplemental Security Income (SSI) or Social Security Disability (SSDI), Medicare recipients, and children receiving IV-E foster care or adoption benefits are exempt from citizenship and identity documentation requirements.
- The "look-back" period for uncompensated transfers made by a person or the person's spouse prior to a request for MA payment of long-term care services is expanded from 36 months to 60 months. The 60 month look-back period will be phased in beginning in February 2009. Starting with an increase to 37 months in February 2009, the look-back period will increase by one month, each month until it reaches 60 months in January 2011.
- The first month of the penalty period for uncompensated transfers changes from the month following the month in which the uncompensated transfer is made to the first month in which a person requests MA payment for long-term care services and is otherwise eligible for MA payment of long-term care services but for the penalty period. For enrollees, the first month of the penalty period is the first month that the county agency can give advance notice of a penalty period or up to 3 calendar months following the report or discovery of an uncompensated transfer, whichever is sooner.
- A person who requests MA payment of long-term care services must disclose any interest the person or the person's spouse has in an annuity.
- A person and a person's spouse must name the state a preferred remainder beneficiary of certain annuities in an amount not to exceed the amount of MA paid on behalf of the annuitant.
- A person who has more than \$500,000 in home equity is not eligible for MA payment of long-term care services. The home equity limit may be waived if the person demonstrates a hardship.
- States may develop Long-Term Care Partnership programs. Minnesota is in the process of implementing a partnership program. For more information can be found on the DHS public website at: www.dhs.state. mn.us/dhs16_137036

.L