DATE:

January 12, 2007

TO:

Mr. Tom Hanson, Commissioner

Department of Finance

Legislators, per M.S. 16B.307, subd. 2, Distribution list attached

Linda Mitchell, Academies Superintendent

FROM:

SUBJECT:

Asset Preservation Summary Report

Pursuant to Minnesota Statutes 16B.307, Subdivision 2, enclosed is the Asset Preservation (AP) Summary Report. This report is a list of projects funded from AP appropriations during calendar year 2006.

Enclosures

c: Governor Tim Pawlenty Legislative Reference Library (6)

Asset Preservation Summary Report Distribution:

Tom Hanson, Commissioner Department of Finance 4th Floor Centennial Building

The Honorable Richard Cohen, Chair Finance Committee Minnesota State Senate Room 121 State Capitol

The Honorable LeRoy A. Stumpf, Chair E-12 Education Budget Division Minnesota State Senate Room 208 State Capitol

The Honorable Keith Langseth, Chair Capital Investment Committee Minnesota State Senate Room 122 State Capitol The Honorable Loren Solberg, Chair Ways and Means Committee Minnesota House of Representatives Room 443 State Office Building

The Honorable Alice Hausman, Chair Capital Investment Finance Division Minnesota House of Representatives Room 453 State Office Building

The Honorable Mindy Greiling K-12 Education Finance Division Minnesota House of Representatives Room 381 State Office Building

ASSET PRESERVATION SUMMARY REPORT

Minnesota State Academies

This information will be made available in alternate format, for example, large print, Braille, or cassette tape, upon request at TTY 507-332-5400 or by contacting

Minnesota State Academies Voice 507-332-5420 Fax 507-332-5404

Cost to prepare this report: \$600

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	Page 1
Table One, FY06 Funding	Page 2
Appendix 1, M.S. 16B.307	Page 3

Asset Preservation Report Executive Summary

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Minnesota Statutes 16B. 307, subdivision 2, states that the commissioner of an agency that has received an appropriation for asset preservation shall submit:

- A list of the projects in each agency that were funded from the Asset Preservation (AP) during the preceding calendar year
- A list of priority projects for which an AP appropriation will be sought during this year's legislative session.

FUNDING SUMMARY

The Minnesota State Academies received \$2,074,000 million in Asset Preservation funding in FY06. As of today the projects are in design state with construction scheduled for this summer.

The Minnesota State Academies are anticipating requesting additional Asset Preservation funding in the FY07 Legislative session.

TABLE ONE
Minnesota State Academies funded in CY06 using the FY06 AP appropriation

Location	Project Description	Allocation
Deaf Academy	Replace roofs on Smith and Quinn Halls	\$1,080,000
State Academies Campus	Repair Leaking Tunnels	\$345,000
Deaf Academy	Install air conditioning and upgrade lighting Noyes Hall	\$649,000
Total:		\$2,074,000

APPENDIX 1

16B.307 ASSET PRESERVATION APPROPRIATIONS.

Subdivision 1. **Standards.** Article XI, section 5, clause (a), of the Constitution requires that state general obligation bonds be issued to finance only the acquisition or betterment of public land, buildings, and other public improvements of a capital nature. Money appropriated for asset preservation, whether from state bond proceeds or from other revenue, is subject to the following additional limitations:

- (a) An appropriation for asset preservation may not be used to acquire new land nor to acquire or construct new buildings, additions to buildings, or major new improvements.
- (b) An appropriation for asset preservation may be used only for a capital expenditure on a capital asset previously owned by the state, within the meaning of generally accepted accounting principles as applied to public expenditures. The commissioner of administration will consult with the commissioner of finance to the extent necessary to ensure this and will furnish the commissioner of finance a list of projects to be financed from the account in order of their priority. The legislature assumes that many projects for preservation and replacement of portions of existing capital assets will constitute betterments and capital improvements within the meaning of the Constitution and capital expenditures under generally accepted accounting principles, and will be financed more efficiently and economically under this section than by direct appropriations for specific projects.
- (c) Categories of projects considered likely to be most needed and appropriate for asset preservation appropriations are the following:
- (1) projects to remove life safety hazards, like building code violations or structural defects. Notwithstanding paragraph (a), a project in this category may include an addition to an existing building if it is a required component of the hazard removal project;
- (2) projects to eliminate or contain hazardous substances like asbestos or lead paint;
- (3) major projects to replace or repair roofs, windows, tuckpointing, mechanical or electrical systems, utility infrastructure, tunnels, site renovations necessary to support building use, and structural components necessary to preserve the exterior and interior of existing buildings; and (4) projects to renovate parking structures.
- (d) Up to ten percent of an appropriation subject to this section may be used for design costs for projects eligible to be funded under this section in anticipation of future asset preservation appropriations.
- Subd. 2. **Report.** By January 15 of each year, the commissioner of an agency that has received an appropriation for asset preservation shall submit to the commissioner of finance, the chairs of the legislative committees or divisions that currently oversee the appropriations to the agency, and to the chairs of the senate and house of representatives Capital Investment Committees, a list of the projects that have been funded with money under this program during the preceding calendar year, as well as a list of those priority asset preservation projects for which state bond proceeds fund appropriations will be sought during that year's legislative session.

History: 2006 c 258 s 30