04 - 0440

## **Report to the Legislature**

Revised 03/24/04

February 1, 2004

As required by Minnesota Laws 1<sup>st</sup> Special Session, Chapter 6, Article 2, Section 7, Subdivision 2(b)

Minnesota Board of Teaching

## **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR** Minnesota Board of Teaching

## **Dr. George Maurer**

#### **Report to the Legislature**

#### REPORT ON THE 2001-03 STATE ADMINISTRATION AND PERFORMANCE ON THE BASIC SKILLS EXAMINATION FOR TEACHER LICENSURE (Pre Professional Skills Tests: Reading, Writing, and Mathematics)

#### As required by Minnesota Laws 1<sup>st</sup> Special Session Chapter 6, Article 2, Section 7, Subdivision 2(b)

#### FOR MORE INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dr. Richard L. Simms, Teacher Educator SupervisorMinnesota Department of EducationTELEPHONE:(651-582-8885FAX:(651) 582-8809E-MAIL:richard.simms@state.mn.us

1500 Highway 36 West Roseville, MN 55113-4266 TTY: (800) 627-3529 OR (651) 582-8201

Upon request, this report can be made available in alternative formats.

#### **LEGISLATION**

#### **REPORT ON THE**

#### 2001-03 STATE ADMINISTRATION AND PERFORMANCE ON THE BASIC SKILLS EXAMINATION FOR TEACHER LICENSURE

This report is submitted in accordance with Minnesota Laws 1<sup>st</sup> Special Session, Chapter 6, Article 2, Section 7, Subdivision 2(b):

The Board of Teaching shall report annually to the education committees of the legislature on the total number of teacher candidates during the most recent school year taking the skills examination, the number who achieve a qualifying score on the examination, the number who do not achieve a qualifying score on the examination, the distribution of all candidates' scores, the number of candidates who have taken the examination at least once before, and the number of candidates who have taken the examination at least once before and achieved a qualifying score.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. BACKGROUND	PAGE
Adopting Teacher Licensure Examinations	1
• Description of the Pre-Professional Skills Tests (PPST)	2
Setting Minnesota Qualifying Scores	2
Nonstandard Test Administrations	4
Assurance of Cultural Sensitivity	5
• Report to the Legislature 1994 – Cultural Sensitivity	6
Opportunities for Remediation and Assistance	7
II. FINDINGS 2001-03 For each test the following data is provided Frequency by Gender & Ethnicity Median and Mean Scores Pass Rates & Failure Rates Scores for All State Users	9
• Pre-Professional Skills Test: READING	10
• Pre-Professional Skills Test: WRITING	13
• Pre-Professional Skills Test: MATHEMATICS	16
• Retakes on the PPST Table 1 – Reading Table 2 – Writing	19
Table 3 - Mathematics	

i

#### BACKGROUND

The Minnesota Board of Teaching is committed to establishing and maintaining quality standards for the licensing of teachers who teach in Minnesota public schools. As part of these standards, specific and selected examinations serve as important instruments in the licensing process. The principal purpose of the examinations of basic skills is to determine objectively if prospective teachers have adequate skills in reading, writing, and mathematics to meet the needs of the learners whom they serve, regardless of grade or subject taught.

#### ADOPTING TEACHER LICENSURE EXAMINATIONS

The 1985 Minnesota Legislature directed the Minnesota Board of Teaching to require persons applying for initial teaching licensure on April 4, 1988, and thereafter, to successfully complete an examination of skills in reading, mathematics, and writing.

In 1986, the Minnesota Board of Teaching released a request for proposals (RFP) seeking bidders for the development, validation, field-testing and administration of a statewide testing program for the issuance of teaching licenses.

The goal of the request for proposals was to produce a statewide examination system to:

- 1. Ensure that candidates for licensure demonstrate proficiency in each described skill area of reading, writing, and mathematics.
- 2. Identify specific areas of performance for individual diagnosis and remediation.
- 3. Provide test performance data to assist Minnesota institutions of higher education in modifying and strengthening their programs for preparing teachers for licensure in Minnesota.

In 1987, the Minnesota Board of Teaching adopted the Pre-Professional Skills Tests (PPST) developed by Educational Testing Service (ETS) as the examinations of reading, mathematics, and writing required for initial teacher licensure. Minimum qualifying scores were set at 173 for reading, 169 for mathematics, and 172 for writing.

> In December 2002, the Board of Teaching adjusted the mathematics qualifying score to

171. This score becomes effective September 1, 2003, for first time applicants. Data

included in this report uses the 169 qualifying score for mathematics.

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE PRE-PROFESSIONAL SKILLS TESTS (PPST)

The Pre-Professional Skills Tests (PPST) of Reading, Mathematics, and Writing, developed and administered by Educational Testing Service, are designed to assess basic proficiency in reading, mathematics, and writing. The PPST does not provide predictive measures of success in teaching.

- The <u>Reading</u> test assesses literal comprehension, the ability to understand written messages and how they are organized, and the ability to make reasoned judgments about the nature and merit of written messages.
- The <u>Mathematics</u> test measures competencies acquired in the course of studying mathematics at least through high school. The test covers such skills as comparing and ordering numbers, interpreting graphic material, using ratios and percents, reasoning quantitatively, using measurement concepts and other fundamental mathematical skills.
- The <u>Writing</u> test assesses the ability to use grammar and language appropriately and to communicate effectively in writing with a specific aim or purpose in mind. (No essay topic requires any specialized knowledge other than an understanding of how to write effectively.)

#### SETTING MINNESOTA QUALIFYING SCORES

The Minnesota Board of Teaching used documentation from the field-testing study and the validity study conducted in 1986 to determine the appropriateness of the Pre-Professional Skills Tests and to set the performance standards for initial teacher licensure.

In the procedures to establish qualifying scores, Educational Testing Service conducted a field study in Minnesota. The field study population, along with national data, provided comparable data for two primary reference groups. Both populations represent first-time examinees that were tested under standard conditions and fell into one of two populations.

The establishment of statewide minimum passing scores on the PPST required for Minnesota licensure was completed and reported in the Minnesota Validity/Standard Setting Study: Pre-Professional

<u>Skills Tests (PPST)</u> conducted in 1987 by Educational Testing Service (ETS). An important phase in establishing and conducting the Minnesota PPST validity/standard setting study included selecting and convening a 30-member study panel comprised of representative Minnesota educators. Their assignment was to review the PPST questions and estimate the performance of minimally qualified applicants for initial teacher licensure.

The validity study panel was composed of 15 teachers/administrators who served on the schoolbased subpanel to review and evaluate the job-relatedness of the PPST questions, and 15 teacher educators who served on the college-based subpanel to review and evaluate the content appropriateness of the PPST questions. Criteria for selection of the teacher/administrator panelists included: geographic region, size of district enrollment, instructional level and subject area specialty, ethnicity, and gender. Criteria for selection of the college-based panelists included: type of institution, size of teacher education program, ethnicity of student body, geographic region, panelist ethnicity, and gender. To assure that panelists represented the desired diversity and characteristics of Minnesota, thirteen percent of the panelists were persons of color.

After the systematic review of summarized standard setting study data, which represented the professional judgments of Minnesota educators from representative educational institutions at elementary through college/university levels, a decision was made by the Minnesota Board of Teaching to set the qualifying scores at Reading 173, Mathematics 169, Writing 172.) In December 2002, the Board of Teaching adjusted the mathematics qualifying score to 171. This score becomes effective September 1, 2003, for first time applicants.

ETS does not assign grade equivalency to the Praxis I examinations (reading, writing, math). However, a minimum of a high school education is presumed. ETS conducts a national study of the job relatedness. In validating the tests in Minnesota, panelists (teachers and administrators) review each question to determine how important is the knowledge or skill covered by each question for the job of a beginning teacher.

The Minnesota scores were set by the Board of Teaching and were derived from the panels' judgments (a study value). The performance of various reference groups were considered when setting the qualifying scores, including Minnesota graduates, gender, and race/ethnicity. The following is extracted from the validity study and might help with this question:

What advantages and disadvantages should be considered in setting higher qualifying scores? Setting a higher passing score has certain potential advantages as well as disadvantages that should be considered. By setting a higher score, one would hope to improve professional standards. Higher professional standards may also have strong public appeal in terms of addressing current nationwide concerns about the need for excellence in education. However, in setting a higher score, student enrollment in teacher preparation programs as well as the supply of future teachers might be diminished. Also, research suggests that some minority groups are adversely affected by the setting of high passing scores.

#### What advantages and disadvantages should be considered in setting lower qualifying scores?

In general, the lower the qualifying score is set, the less the risk of rejecting qualified candidates who happen to score below the study values. At the same time, however, the greater risk is that unqualified candidates will exceed the adjusted qualifying score. Advantages in setting a lower passing score would be to increase the number of students entering teacher preparation programs. Thus, the supply of applicants for teaching positions would not be drastically affected and efforts at affirmative action might be more successfully pursued.

#### NONSTANDARD TEST ADMINISTRATIONS FOR EXAMINEES WHO HAVE DISABILITIES

When special accommodations are requested and documented, Educational Testing Service coordinates and arranges appropriate accommodations through individual testing sites in Minnesota (54 locations include, colleges/universities, community colleges, and computer-based sites). Educational Testing Service publishes a test registration bulletin which describes procedures for examinees to follow when requesting special accommodations for taking its examinations. The most commonly requested nonstandard test accommodations include assistance of a reader, a person to record answers, additional testing time, a sign language or oral interpreter, an individual testing room, enlarged print and Braille test

books, and large-block answer sheets. The applicant directly through Educational Testing Service requests special accommodations.

The tests are administered in Minnesota on six national testing dates spread throughout the year. Candidates may also make appointments to take the tests on computer. Test takers arrange individual test times and dates based on availability.

Nonstandard test accommodations (extended time) may be available for test takers whose primary language is not English. Tests takers who meet ETS requirements will be allowed 50 percent additional testing time.

#### ASSURANCE OF CULTURAL SENSITIVITY

Educational Testing Service conducts procedures responsive to a goal of cultural sensitivity in tests and services. The ETS Standards for Quality and Fairness states: *All ETS products and services including individual test questions, tests as a whole, and publications in print and other media must not contain language, symbols, words, phrases, or examples that are generally regarded as sexist, racist, or otherwise potentially offensive, inappropriate, or negative toward any group.* 

#### Sensitivity Review

Educational Testing Service conducts a sensitivity review procedure on the academic skills assessments. Each form of the PPST and the CBT has undergone ETS sensitivity review procedures. *The Sensitivity Review ensures:* 

- Tests do not contain language or symbols that may reinforce stereotypes.
- Tests will not contain inflammatory or highly controversial topics. If material must be used, it should be handled in a conscientious, balanced, sensitive, and objective manner.
- Tests do not contain language that is inappropriate in tone. A patronizing, insulting, elitist, or inflammatory tone is unacceptable.
- Tests will not contain inappropriate underlying assumptions, in particular, ethnocentric, elitist, and/or gender-based beliefs and language that are not germane to the domain being tested.
- Tests ensure gender balance and reflect an appropriate balance of males and females.

 Recognition of population diversity. No racial/ethnic group should be represented to the exclusion of others in tests.

#### **Differential Item Functioning**

An additional procedure performed by Educational Testing Service to ensure fairness and cultural sensitivity in test development and scoring is the Differential Item Functioning (DIF). Differential Item Functioning is an empirical measure based on the actual test performance of examinees in different groups. Differential Item Functioning occurs when people of approximately equal knowledge and skill in different groups perform in substantially different ways on a test question. Measures of DIF help to identify questions that may be biased because group differences in relevant knowledge and skill have been taken into account to the extent allowed by the matching process.

DIF is performed at the time of test assembly and at the time of scoring to determine whether or not any difference in difficulty or performance can be attributed to or related to group membership. If any given question is determined to have been missed by any group or selected population and a determination has been made that the item is unfair to group membership, the *item is removed from the scored portion of the test before the question affects the reported scores of any examinee.* 

#### **REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE 1994 - CULTURAL SENSITIVITY**

The 1993 legislature requested that the Board of Teaching, with the assistance of organizations representing diverse cultures, work to assure that questions contained in the skills examinations in reading, writing, and mathematics are culturally sensitive. The following organizations provided members from their respective groups to assist the Board:

6

Council on Asian-Pacific Minnesotans Council on Black Minnesotans Minneapolis Public Schools Minnesota Association of Colleges for Teacher Education Minnesota Education Association Minnesota Federation of Teachers Minnesota Indian Scholarship Committee St. Paul Public Schools Spanish Speaking Affairs Council The committee developed the following principles:

- Test items in the basic skills examination of reading, writing, and mathematics must be culturally sensitive. Tests must undergo scrutiny at multiple points which include content and item analysis for cultural sensitivity at the time of item development and at review of item performance on various populations.
- 2. Testing centers must provide environments and procedures, which facilitate fairness in test taking arrangements and test administration.
- Legislation requiring that colleges, universities, and school districts provide appropriate assistance to individuals needing it should be maintained and enhanced to include requirements for a diagnostic component.
- 4. Persons who have successfully completed approved teacher preparation programs outside of the state of Minnesota, and have been granted a provisional license to teach, must be provided sufficient time to demonstrate, and, when necessary, to develop the skills needed to successfully complete the basic skills examination for teacher licensure.
- 5. Students enrolled in Minnesota colleges and universities with Board of Teaching approved teacher preparation programs must be provided with sufficient time to demonstrate, and, when necessary, to develop the skills needed to successfully complete the basic skills examination for initial teacher licensure.

#### **OPPORTUNITIES FOR REMEDIATION AND ASSISTANCE**

Colleges and universities with Board approved teacher preparation programs must provide remedial assistance to persons enrolled in their institutions who do not pass any part of the skills examination. The 1994 Minnesota legislature amended Minnesota Statute §125.05, subd. 1(b), to:

[Board To Issue Licenses], to require school districts to provide similar, appropriate, and timely remedial assistance in the academic areas in which the person did not achieve a passing score.

This legislation is to assure that (1) persons who have successfully completed approved teacher preparation programs outside of the state of Minnesota and have been granted a provisional license to teach

have sufficient time to demonstrate, and, when necessary, to develop the skills needed to successfully complete the basic skills examination for initial teacher licensure; and (2) persons enrolled in Minnesota colleges and universities with Board of Teaching approved teacher preparation programs are provided with sufficient time to demonstrate, and, when necessary, to develop the skills needed to successfully complete the basic skills examination for initial teacher licensure.

## FIRIDINGS

For each test the following data is provided:

• Frequency by Gender & Ethnicity

o Median and Mean Scores

o Pass Rates & Failure Rates

o Scores for All State Users

o Pass/Fail Rates by Teacher Preparation Institution

NOTE: The reporting of information by variables of gender and race/ethnicity are voluntary and thus, not all examinees reported data and not all examinees took all three examinations.

## TEST INFORMATION

Test Code 0710 TEST N	AME Pre Professional Skills Test:
SCORE RANGE 150-190	Reading
MN Qualifying Score 173	

#### 2001-03 ALL MINNESOTA EXAMINEES (Most recent year)

	GENDER ( (n=10,1	COUNT (097)			ETHNICH (n=10	<u>Y COUNI</u> (025)		
	Females	Males	African American	Asian	Hispanic	Native American	Other	White
n	7,457	2,640	157	212	129	62	168	9,297
%	74	26	1.6	2.1	1.3	.6	1.7	92.7

SCORE	Median	<u>Mean</u>
Females	179	178
Males	180	179
African American	173	173
Asian	172	173
Hispanic	177	175
Native American	177	176
Other	182	180
White	180	178

PASS RATE	Number	Number	Percent
	Passing 🚽	Failing	Passing
Females	6,409	1,048	86
Males	2,324	316	88
African American	93	64	59
Asian	126	86	59
Hispanic	92	37	71
Native American	48	14	77
Other	146	22	87
White	8,163	1,134	88
Total Ethnicity	8,668	1,357	87

ALL STATE USERS .	PASSING
	ŚCORE
MS, MT, NE	170
AR, CT, DC, LA, PA	172
KY, MN, ND, OH, OK	.173
NV, NH, OR, TN, WV	174
AK, DE, SC, VI, WI	175
GA, IN, NC	176
VT	177
VA	178

MN Recommended study value was 175. Qualifying score was set 1 standard error of measurement below SV.

PB	PASS/FAIL COUNTS AND PERCENTS BY INSTITUTION BY GENDER BY RACE & ETHNICITY PRAXIS & PREPROFESSIONAL SKILLS TESTS READING - TEST CODE 0710																	
INSTITUTION	Fen N	nale %	Mi	ale %	Afr Ame N	ican rican %	As	ian %	His	Danic %	Nai Ame N	tive rican %	O:	ther %	WI	ru tite %	TO N	FAL %
Augsburg College	123	90	56	92	6	86	Ē	F	$\square$	100	$\square$	50	$\left[ \frac{1}{1} \right]$	F-50	160	03	178	02
Not Pass	13	10	5	8		14		100			$\overline{1}$	50	1	50	105	7	16	8
Bethany College																		
Pass		64		100	<b> </b> '	<b>↓</b> ]	<u> </u>	<b>[]</b>	i)	<u>ا</u>	Į	[]	['	Ī]	8	67	8	67
Not Pass	4	0	╞───┤	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	╞───┩	┝───┥	┍━━━━┥	<b></b>	<u> </u>	<b> </b>	<b> </b>	<b> </b>	<u> </u>	33	4	33
Betner Conege     Pass	184	97	63	94		100	6	100	2	67			2	100	233	96	244	96
Not Pass	6	3	4	6		<u> </u>				33	[]				9	4	10	4
Carleton College				$\Box$			$\Box$		$\Box$		$\square$			$\Box$				
Pass	<u>`9</u>	100	7	100	1	100		100	<u> </u>	- ]		-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	14	100	16	100
Not Pass	لنسا	┢━━━┛	<u>نـــــ</u>	<b></b>		<u>   </u>	<u>لــَـــا</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	╞╾╾┙	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<b> </b>
College of St. Banedict-St. Johns							11		( )		1 1			1 1				i 🛛
Pass	175	92	55	98		100	4	100	(1)	100		100	3	100	219	93	229	93
Not Pass	16	8	1	2	<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			-	[]	17	7	17	7
College of St. Catherine											.			Π				1
Pass	190	90	5	63	2	100	5	83	3	100	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	3	100	182	88	195	89
Not Pass	22	10	3	37		-		17	<u> </u>						24	12	25	11
College of St.																		
Scholastica		<u> </u>	L.			<u>↓.                                    </u>	$\vdash$	il	<b>⊢</b>	⊢	<b>↓</b> ]	<u> </u> '		1	1			
Pass     Not Pass		7	13	81	<u> </u>	<u>                                      </u>	ليتسا	<u>   </u>	<u>⊢-</u> +	<u> </u>	<u>––</u> –	<u> </u>	┢╍╧┙		61	90	62	90
INUL Fass University of Saint		<u> </u>				<u> </u>	┝┷┷┥	<del>ب آ</del>	╞╧╌┥	<del>ب آ</del>	<u>ب</u>	<u>                                     </u>	┢═┛	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	10	┢──┤	
Thomas				1 1		1	()	[ ]	1_]	1	1 _!		Į – 1	1 1	.	1 1		1
Pass	221	94	107	92	7	64	10	59	3	75	<u> </u>	-	14	88	286	97	320	93
Not Pass	15	6	9	8	4	36	7	41		25	<u> </u>	- '	2	12	10	3	24	7
Concordia College-		(		( I		<u>ا</u> آ	[] !	( )	Ē †	1	ī '	<u>ا</u>		· ٦	( · · )	1		
Moorhead	124	95	47	98	<b> </b> '	<u>├</u> י	$\left  \frac{1}{2} \right $	67	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u> '	<u> </u>	-1	100	168	97	171	96
Not Pass	6	5		2		<u>                                      </u>		33	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				6	3	$\frac{1}{7}$	4
Concordia University-Saint Paul																		
Pass	83	73	29	78	2	22	5	26		33	4	80	6	75	94	88	112	74
Not Pass	31	27	8	22	7	78	14	74	2	67		20	2	25	13	12	39	26
Gustavus Adolphus	<b>(</b> '	[ • !	1 1	1		1 . 1	1 1	[ · · ]	[ ]	1 1	1 '	!		1 '	[ !	1 7		1
College .	124	93	36	97		100	5	83	$ _2 $	100	<u> </u>	<u>├</u> -'	4	1 80	147	94	159	94
Not Pass	10	7	1	3			$\left  \frac{1}{1} \right $	17			- 1	<u>-</u> -!	$\frac{1}{1}$	20	9	6	11	6
Hamline University		<del>                                      </del>	<b>[</b>			1	<u> </u>	<b></b>		; ;		<u>├───</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	<b> </b> ;	
Pass	156	96	58	89	4	50	6	86	5	100	1	100	7	88	188	96	211	94
Not Pass	6	4	7		4	50		14	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		1	12	7.	4	13	6
Macalester College		<u> </u>	<u>'</u> '	$\prod$	Ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	$\square$	Ē	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			100
Pass	20	100	51	100	2	100	<u>                                      </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> '</u>	<u> '</u>		2	100	21	100	25	100
Not Pass		╞───┘	<b> </b> '	<b> </b>	<u> </u>	<u> </u> '	<u>ب</u>	<u> </u>	╞╾═┛	<u> '</u>	<u> '</u>		<u> </u>	<u>  - '</u>	<u> </u> '			<u> </u>
College	<b>[</b> ]	!	l`_!	[]		'	[_]	[]	[_]	('	[]			!	[]	1		
Pass	222	91	104	93	4	-80	1	100	2	67	2	100	3	100	310	92	322	92
Not Pass	21	9	8 '	7	1	20	· · /	· - '	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$	33	· - '	•	-	-	26	8	28	8

												_						
Northwestern College																		
Pass	128	93	34	89	2	100	2	100	1	50	_		2	100	153	93	160	93
Not Pass	10	7	4	11	-	-	-	-	1	50	-	-	-	-	12	7	13	7
North Central University	·					·												
Pass	32	97	2	67	-	-	-	-	1	100	-			-	33	97	34	94
Not Pass	1	. 3	1	33	1	100	-	•	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	3	2	6
Saint Mary's University																		
Pass	116	85	39	85	-	· -	-	-	1	100	• •	-	7	100	142	85	150	85
Not Pass	20	.15	7	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	15	26	15
Saint Olaf College				100		100		100	<u> </u>			100						
Pass	151	99	57	100	1	100	2	100	3	75	1	100	· 1	100	197	100	205	99
Not Pass	1	1	-	-		-	-	-		25	-	-	-	-	-			1
Crown College	64	81		64										100	66	96	69	91
Pass     Not Pass	12	16	4	36			4	100		100				.100	11	14	16	19
Bemidii State		10						100	-	100								17
University										ľ								
Pass	206	68	135	83	-	-	2	67	1	100	5	42	6	100	325	74	339	73
Not Pass	97	32	27	17	4	100	1	33	-	-	7	58	-	-	112	26	124	27
Metropolitan State University																		
Pass	31	80	12	100	2	50	2	25	2	100	1	100	2	100	34	100	43	84
Not Pass	8	20	-	-	2	50	6	75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	16
Minnesota State University Mankato												1						
Pass	439	78	165	81	5	46	3	43	4	36	1	100	9	90	578	80	600	79
Not Pass	123	22	39	19	6	54	4	57	7.	64	-	-	1	10	142	20	160	21
Minnesota State University Moorhead																		
Pass	311	79	106	81	1	100	3	60	2	50	4	100	1	100	406	80	417	79
Not Pass	84	21	25	19	•	-	2	40	2	50	-	-	-	-	105	20	109	21
St. Cloud State University																		
Pass	539	82	160	84	2	33	4	36	7	78	4	67	7	78	674	83	698	82
Not Pass	122	18	31	16	4	67	7	64	2	22	2	33	2	22	136	17	153	18
Winona State University																		
Pass	382	81	112	84	<u> </u>	-	4	100	5	71	2	100	2	67	478	82	491	82
Not Pass	89	19	21	16	2	100	-	· -	2	29	-	-	1	33	105	18	110	18
Southwest State University			·															
Pass	125	79	43	80	1	100	1	25	2	67	1	100	2	100	159	79	166	78
Not Pass	34	21	11	20			3	75	1	33	-	-	-	•	42	21	46	22
University of Minnesota Dubath																		
Ivinnesota-Duluth	312	80	122	02		100	6	75		20		100	5	02	122	01	115	00
Pass     Not Dacc	313	11	10	93 7		100	2	25	4	20		100	5	03	422	71	443	90
University of			10				-	2.5		20				17	43	, ,		10
Minnesota-MSP/St. Paul																		
Pass	616	96	236	92	19	73	28	67	14	82	3	100	26	93	758	98	848	95
Not Pass	26	4	19	8	7	27	14	33	3	18	-	-	2	7	19	2	45	- 5
University of Minnesota-Morris																		
Pass	112	93	44	98		-	1	50	· 1	100	-	-	-	-	153	96	155	95
Not Pass	8	7	1	2	. 1	100	i	50		÷	-	-	-	-	7	4	9	5

## TEST INFORMATION

 Test Code
 0720
 TEST NAME
 Pre Professional Skills Test:

 SCORERANCE
 150-190
 150-190
 Writing

 MN Qualifying Score
 172
 2001-03 ALL MINNESOTA EXAMINEES

2001-03 ALL MINNESOTA EXAMINEES (Most recent year)

( 	5ENDER CO (n=10.23)	DUNI D			ETHNICITA (n=10,	(COUNI 157)		
	Females	Males	African American	Asian	Hispanic	Native American	Other	White
n	7,457	2,773	169	207	143	58	176	9,404
%	73	27	1.7	2	1.4	.6	1.7	92.6

SCORE	Median	Mean
Females	176	176
Males	175	175
African American	172	172
Asian	173	172
Hispanic	172	173
Native American	173	173
Other	176	176
White	176	176

PASS RATE	Number	Number	Percent
	Passing	Failing 🚈	Passing
Females	6,636	821	89
Males	2,343	430	85
African	108	61	64
American			
Asian	130	77	63
Hispanic	94	49	66
Native	38	20	66
American			
Other	155	21	88
White	8,392	1,012	89
Total Ethnicity	8,917	1,240	88

STATE:	PASSING
(Current Scores)	SCORE
MT	170
CT, DC, LA, OR	171
IN, KY, MN, MS, NE, NV,	172
NH, OH, OK, WV	
AR, DE, NC, PA, SC, TN	173
AK, GA, VT, VI, WI	174
VA	176

MN Recommended study value was 175. Qualifying score was set 1 standard error of measurement below SV.

PR	<u>INS</u> AXIS	<u>P</u> TEFT IS BR	ASS Utili EPR	<u>/FA</u> ON, OFES	<u>EL C</u> BY SION	<u>OUN</u> GENI AÐ SR	<u>TS</u> DEI IILL	<u>AN</u> & B \$ TE	D P Y R sts	ERO <u>ACI</u> WRI	TEN 2 & HNG	TS ETH ETH	BY INI SEC	CHT ODI	<u>Y</u> 072	0		
INSTITUTION	Een	ale.	Ministration	ile —	Afi Am	ican rican		ian.	Hisi	anic	Nat Ame	ive rican	6	her.	W	iire a	TO	AIC
		8.078	F NOT	5 6/ 3		10/ 2	Х. Ат	598. 07	N	0796		20/1		0.45	N.			2 N / 10
	部で書き	4.4X.937		54./93t	2212(2))	1520203695	REAL SEA	<b>25/Q</b> 833		21/023	-3:3-112X/	19-57-9-55	10.00	eazoan	<b>长河人为卫</b> 卫	\$761 <b>.9</b> 82		£46%Q\$\$
Augsburg College	117	02	17			100				100		100		100	1.50		10	
Pass	117	93	. 4/	80		100	-	-	- 1	100	2	100		100	152	89	162	89
Not Pass		• /	12	20			2	100	-	-		-	-		18	11	20	11
Betnany College	7	64													7	58	-7	59
Not Pass	<u>/</u>	36		100		·			-			-			5	42	5	42
Rethel College				.100.														
Pass	174	95	66	93	1	100	6	100	2	50	-	-	2	100	227	95	238	94
Not Pass	10	5	5	7		-			2	50		-		-	12	5	14	6
Carleton College																		
• Pass	9	100	7	100	1	100	1	100	-	-	-	· _	-	· -	14	100	16	100
Not Pass	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
College of St.																		
Benedict-St. Johns		· · ·																
Pass	181	96	58	100		-	4	100	1	100	1	100	. 3	100	229	97	238	97
Not Pass	7	4	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	3	7	3
College of St. Catherine	· · · ·											-						
Pass	194	92	5	63	2	67	5	83	3	75	-	-	3	100	186	93	199	92
Not Pass	16	8	3	37	1	33	1	17	· 1	25		-	-	-	16	7	19	8
College of St. Scholastica			1			**************************************												
• Pass	55	95	15	79			-	-	-				1	100	67	92	68	92
Not Pass	3	5	4	21	-		-	-	-	i	-	· -	-	-	6	8	6	8
University of Saint																		
Thomas		· .	· ·							· .								
Pass	229	97	108	90	11	.73	11	69	7	88	-	-	16	94	285	98	330	95
Not Pass	7	3	12	10	4	27	5	31	1	12	-	-	1	6	7	· 2	18	5
Concordia College- Moorhead																		
Pass	128	95	44	98		-	3.	100	-	-	-	-	1	100	168	96	172	96
Not Pass	7	5	1	2	<u> </u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	4	8	4
Concordia								1										
University-St. Paul									L								[	
Pass	99	79	33	.75	4	33	6	33	2	50	4	80	6	75	110	89	132	78
Not Pass	21	21	11	25	8	67	12	67	2	<u> </u>		20	2		13		- 38	22
Gustavus Adolphus College																		
Pass	124	95	33	89	1	100	3	75	2	100	-	-	6	100	144	94	156	94
Not Pass	6	5	4	11	-	-	1	25	-	<u> </u>	-	-	-	<u> </u>	9	6	10	6
Hamline University																		
Pass	158	97	54	84	7	70	5	83	-3	43	<u>  1</u>	100	6	75	187	97	209	93
Not Pass	5	3	10	16	3	30		17	4	57	-		2	25	5	3	15	7
Macalester College		100		100	<u> </u>	100	<b> </b>	<b> </b>		<b> </b>	<b> </b>	<b>}</b>	-	100		100		100
Pass		100	- 5	100	2	100	-						2	100	21	100	25	100
Not Pass					<u> </u>			<u> </u>	ļ	ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
College		ļ																
Pass	230	94	106	91	3	60	1.	100	3	100	1	100	3	100	322	93	333	93
<ul> <li>Not Pass</li> </ul>	16	6	11	9	2	40	-	<u> </u>			-	-	-	-	23	7	25	7

Northwestern																			I
Pass		132	92	35	92	2	100	2	67	1	50			2	100	158	94	165	93
Not Pa	ss	11	8	3	8	-	-	1	33	1	50	-	-	-	-	11	6	13	7
North Central																		·	
University	<b></b>   -		- 01														<u>`</u>		
Pass		32	94	2	67		-	-	-	1	100	-		-	-	33	94	34	92
• Not Pa	SS	2	. 0	1	33		100		-	_	-	-			~	2	6	3	<u> </u>
Saint Mary's																	1.1		
Pass		126	- 93	44	96	-	-	-	-	1	100	-	-	6	100	157	93	164	93
Not Pa	ss	10	7	2	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	· •	-	+	12	7	12	7
Saint Olaf College																			
Pass		149	99	54	96	1	100	2	100	3	100	1	100	1	100	192	99	200	99
Not Pa	SS	1	1	2	4	-	-	-	-	-	-		4	-	-	3	1	3	. 1
Crown College																			
Pass		67	88	7	54	-	-	2	40	-	-	•	-	2	100	67	86	71	83
Not Pa	ss	9	12	6	46		-	3	60	1	100		-		-	11	14	15	17
Bemidji State																			
University		256	- 80	140	76					- 3	75		25	8	100	382	91	306	70
Pass Not Pa		64	20	45	24		100	- 3	100	- 1	25		75	0	100	90	10	107	21
Metropolitan State		1		43	27		100		100				- 13					107	
University																			
Pass		31	82	·10	91	2	40	2	29	2	100	1	100	2	100	32	100	41	84
Not Pa	ss	7	18	1	9	3	. 60	5	71	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	16
Minnesota State																			
University Manka	to																		
Pass		462	83	162	74	6	67	3	60	8	62	1	100	9	82	592	81	619	80
Not Pa	SS	98	17	57	26	3	33	2	40	5	38		-	2	18	142	19	154	20
Minnesota State University Moorhead																			
Pass		334	84	106	77	1	100	3	60	1	25	2	50	2	100	431	83	440	82
Not Pa	ss	65	16	31	23	-	-	2	40	3	75	2	50	-	-	89	17	96	18
St. Cloud State																			
University																	1 - A		
Pass		570	84	180	81	5.	71	5	42	7	88	4	80	7	70	721	84	749	83
Not Pa	SS	111	16	43	19	2	29	7	58	1	12	1	20	3	30	140	16	154	17
Winona State University																			
Pass		403	89	127	85	-	-	4	100	5	83	2	100	4	100	512	88	527	88
Not Pa	SS	52	11	22	15	2	100	•	-	1	17	-	-	-	-	71	12	74	12
Southwest State																			
University		128	85	42	78		100	- 1	25		67		100	1	22	162	85	168	83
Pass     Not Po		22	05	42	70		100	3	75	$\frac{2}{1}$	33		100	2	67	28	15	34	17
University of	<u>&gt;&gt;</u>		15	. 12	<u> </u>					<u> </u>			[ [			20	15	<u> </u>	
Minnesota-Duluth																			
Pass		307	89	130	88	2	100	6	75	4	80	2	-33	6	86	415	90	435	89
Not Pa	ss	37	11	17	12	-	-	2	25	- 1	20	4	67	1	14	. 46	10	54	11
University of											1	1							
Minnesota-MSP/S	it.																		
Paul											L	<u> </u>						000	
Pass		596	96	236	89	19	68	32	82	14	78		50	24	89	738	96	828	. 94
Not Pa	ss	27	4	28		9	32	17	18	4	22		50	3		31	4	_ 55	6
University of				<b>.</b>								ŀ							
Dace	—₽	117	96	45	98	2	100	1	50	2	100	1	100		-	155	97	161	96
Not Pa	ss	5	4	1	2	-	-	1	50			t :	-	-	-	5	3	6	4
				I	1		1	1	1	1		1 mm	·		<b>.</b>		1		

## TEST INFORMATION

 Test Code
 0730
 TEST NAME
 Pre Professional Skills Test:

 SCORE:RANGE
 150-190
 Mathematics

 MN.Qualifying Score
 169
 2001-03 ALL MINNESOTA EXAMINEES

(Most recent year)

	GENDER ( (n=9,9	COUNT			ETHNICITY (n=9.8	COUNT. 72)		
	Females	Males	African American	Asian	Hispanic	Native American	Other	White
n	7,392	2,552	174	185	135	62	175	9,141
%	74	26	1.8	1.9	1.4	.6	1.8	92.5

SCORE	Median	Mean
Females	180	179
Males	184	182
African American	168	170
Asian	179	178
Hispanic	174	174
Native American	173	174
Other	181	180
White	181	180

	Passing	Failing	Bassing
Females	6,803	589	92
Males	2,450	102	96
African	99	75	57
American			
Asian	159	26	86
Hispanic	106	29	79
Native	42	20	68
American			
Other	158	17	90
White	8,620	521	94
Total	9,184	688	93
Ethnicity			

PASS RATE Number Number Percent

ALL STATE USERS -	PASSING
(Curnent/Scores)	SCORE
MS	•169
LA, MT, ND, VI	170
AR, CT, *MN, NE, OK	171
NH, NV, OH, SC, WV	172
AK, KY, NC, PA, TN, WI	173
DE, DC	174
IN, OR, VT	175
GA	176
VA	178

\*If Score @ 171 for 1 year.

MN Recommended study value was 171. Qualifying score was set 1 standard error of measurement below SV. Effective September 1, 2003, Minnesota math score will be 171.

When score set at 171 the total pass rate for mathematics for 2001-03 would have been 86 percent.

		-		•						11								
		<u>P</u> A	<u>ISS/</u>	FAI	<u>L C(</u>	<u> </u>	<u>JTS</u>	AN	DI	PER (	<u>CEN</u>	<u>JTS</u>	BY					
Ī	NS]	IITI	ЛП	<u>)n i</u>	<u>3</u> Y (	JEN	DE	<u>R B</u>	YR	LAC	E &	ET	EN	ICII	Y			
PRAXIS	I: PF	REPR	OFE.	<u>SSIO</u>	NAL	<u>SKI</u> L	LS 1	EST	5 M/	ATHE	(MA)	<b>FICS</b>	- TE	ST C	ODE	0730		
					Afri	can					Nat	live						
INSTITUTION	Fen	1ale	M	ale	Ame	rican	AS	lan	His	Danie	Ame	rican		her	wh	iite	101	AL
	N	%	N.	2/0	N	%	N .	<u>%</u>	N	-%	N	%	N	%	N	<u>%</u>	N	_%
Augsburg College	123	90	52	87	6	86		100		100				50	165	02	174	00
Not Pass	123	10	- 32	13	1	14	-	- 100	-	- 100	2	100	1	50	105	8	1/4	10
Bethany College																		
Pass	8	73	1	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	9	75	9	75
Not Pass	3	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	3	25	3	. 25
Bethel College																		
Pass	180	. 97	69	100	1	100	5	100	2	67	-	-	2	100	236	98	246	98
Not Pass	<u> </u>	3	-	-			-			- 33		-	-	-	4	2	5	2
Carleton College		100		100		100		100								100		100
Pass	9	100	/	100		100	1	100	-	-						100		100
Not Pass			-		— <u> </u>				-		_	-						
Benedict-St. Johns																		
Pass	188	98	55	100	1	33	4	100	1	100	1	100	3	100	232	99	242	99
Not Pass	3	2	-	-	2	67	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	3	1
College of St. Catherine		·														·		•
Pass	197	94	7	100	1	50	6	100	3	75			3	100	191	94	204	94
Not Pass	13	6			1	50	-	-	1	25	-	-	-	•	11	6	13	6
College of St. Scholastica																		
Pass	52	96	15	94		<u>`-</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	100	63	96	64	96
Not Pass	2	4	1	6	•	-	-	•	-	-	· -	-	-	-	3	4	3	4
University of Saint																		·
Thomas																		
Pass	225	94	110	97	8	5/	12	80	3	60			1/	90	287	98	327	- 95
Not Pass		0	4			45	3			40		-		10		. <u>Z</u>	10	
Moorhead									_									
• Pass	127	97	.44	100			2	67	-			-	1	100	168	98		98
Not Pass	4	3	<u> </u>				1	- 33						-	3		4	
University-Saint Paul																		
Pass	93	78	29	91	5	33	9	60	2	67	2	67	5	63	99	93	122	81
Not Pass	26	22	3	9	10	67	6	40	1	33	1	33	3	37	8	7	29	19
Gustavus Adolphus College																		
Pass	127	96	36	100	1	100	6	100	2	100	-	-	5	100	148	97	162	97
Not Pass	5	4	-	-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	3	5	3
Hamline University																		
Pass	156	95	59	95	4	67	5	83	5	83	1	100	8	89	189	96	212	95
Not Pass	9	5	3	5	2	33	1	17	1	17	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	11	7	4	12	5
Macalester College		100	<u>-</u>		<u> </u>	100						L	L	100		100		100
Pass	21	100	1 3	100	2	100					- <u> </u>		3	100	21	100	26	100
Not Pass Martin Luther	<u> </u>	<u> </u>									<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				-
College	-									100	<u> </u>	100						
Pass	229	97	110	99	3	60		100	3	100	2	100	4	100	321	98	334	98
<ul> <li>Not Pass</li> </ul>	1.7	3	1		2	40	- 1	- 1	- 1	-	- 1	I -	- 1	- 1	1 6	2	8	2

		1					·····				" in the second s		1				r	
Northwestern													·					
College	122	05	27	100		100		100				·		100	1.50		1.68	
Pass	133	- 95	- 37	100	2	100	2	100	-2	- 67	-		2	100	159	96	167	- 96
Not Pass			-	-		-	-		1	. 33					6	4	7	4
Control University				·							1							÷
Receiled Oniversity	22	01	2	.67					1	100					22	02	24	- 00
• I doo	2	- 21		22		100				100			-		- 35	92	. 34	- 10
Voint Marria		, , ,	1	35		100										0	4	10
Salitt Wary S																		
Pace	129	96	42	93		_	-			100			6	100	158	95	165	95
Not Pass	6	- 10	3	7						100				100	150	- 5	105	- 5
Soint Olef Cellere									_									
Saint Olar College	151	100	57	100		100	- 7	100	2	100		100		100	107	100	205	100
Pass Nat Dags	151	100	57	100	<b></b>	100		-100	ڊ	100	1	100		100	197	100	203	100
Not Pass		-				-	-		-			-		-	-			
Crown College		06		100				100				100		100	(0)			
Pass	08	80		100	[	-	1	100		100	1	100	2	100	68	88		8/
Not Pass		14	-	-						100	-	-	-	-	9	12		13
Bemidji State											·							
University	222		145	- 04				100		100		16		100	2(2		276	
Pass	233	82	145	94		-		100	1	100	.)	40		100	362	88	376	86
Not Pass	50	18	10	6	3	100	-	-	-	-	6	54	-	· -	51	12	60	14
Metropolitan State																		
University	- 20		10	100				- 25		100		100		100		0.5		01
• Pass	30	75	12	100	2	40	2	25	2	100	1	100	2	100	33	97	42	81
Not Pass	. 10	25 -	-			60	6	/5		-	-	-	-	-	1	3	10	
Minnesota State																		÷
University Mankato			104					100		-		100						
• Pass	479	88	184	95	8	80	4	100		78	1	100	-7	-78	631	90	658	90
Not Pass	66	- 12	9	5	2	20	-	-	2	22	-	-	2	22	68	10	74	10
Minnesota State																		
University Moorhead						100		100	•									
Pass	351	91	115	93	2	100	6	100	2	50		75		100	452	92	466	91
Not Pass	35	9	9	7	-	· -	-	-	2	50	1	25	-	-	41	8	44	9
St. Cloud State																		
University																		
Pass	587	89	177	97	3	43	9	90	9	75	4	100	9	90	729	92	763	91
Not Pass	71	11	6	3	4	57	1	10	3	25	-	-	1	10	68	8	77	9
Winona State													· .					
University								100		100		100	_	100				
• Pass	439	. 96	125	96		50	4	100	5	100	2	100	3	100	546	96	561	96
Not Pass	18	4	5	4	1	50	-	-	• -	-	-	-	-	-	22	4	23	4
Southwest State																		
University																		
Pass	137	93	44	98		100	3	75	2	67	1	100	2	100	170	94	179	94
Not Pass	10	7	1	2	-	-	1	25	1	33	•	-	-	-	10	6	12	6
University of								•										
Minnesota-Duluth																		
• Pass	317	90	139	98	1	50	7	88	4	80	4	44	6	75	432	94	454	92
Not Pass	35	10	3	2	1	50	1	12	1	20	5	56	2	25	28	6	38	8
University of																		
Minnesota-MSP/St.																		
Paul											L	·			<u></u>			
Pass	620	96	243	98	17	57	34	97	17	90	2	100	27	96	761	98	858	96
Not Pass	27	4	6	2	13	43	1	3	2	10	-	-	1	4	16	2	33	4
University of																		
Minnesota-Morris			l			l					L			L	<u> </u>			
Pass	115	94	44	100		-	1	100	1	50	<u> </u>	-	-	-	156	97	158	96
<ul> <li>Not Pass</li> </ul>	7	6	-	-	1	100	· -	-	1.	50	-	-	-	-	5	3	7	4

## **<u>RETAKES ON THE PPST</u>**

	Table 1 Frequency Distributions and Percentage for All Retakes on the PPS1 by Racial/Ethnic Group 2001-03												
				READIN	NG								
Racial/ Gro	Ethnic Up-	1"At Passed	tempt Eailed	2 <sup>nd</sup> *Att Passed	empt Failed	3'or Atte	more 💷 mpts Failed-	Tõta R	Pass ite				
	Total	and an						Number	Percent				
	Attempts							Passing	Passing				
African American	144	87	57	3	4	3	3	93	65				
Asian	183	117	66 ·	5	13	4	7	126	69				
Hispanic	115	86	29	5	5	1	3	92	80				
Native	57	45	12	2	1	1	1	48	84				
American													
Other	167	145	22			1		146	87				
White	8,880	7,925	955	197	126	41	53	8,163	92				
Totals	9,546	8,405	1,141	212	149	51	67	8,668	91				

		Freq Retakes	iency Distr on the PPS	Fable ibutions a It by Raci	2 nd Percent n/Ethnic C	age for All Froup/2001	03 		
				WRITI	NG				
Racial/ Gro	Éthnic up	E All	tempti Pailed	2 <sup>nd</sup> Λ( Passed	tempt Failed	3 off Adde Passeda	nore opis . Dankd	Tota <u>R</u>	Passa Passa Res <i>t</i> a
	Total Attempts							Number Passing	Percent Passing
African American	156	100	56	7	3	• 1	2	.108	69
Ásian	182	120	62	- 7	11	3	4	130	71
Hispanic	129	88	41	3	5	3	3	94	73
Native American	55	35	20	2		1		38	69
Other	163	144	19	11	1		1	155	95
White	9,028	8,134	894	229	95	29	23	8,392	93
Totals	9,713	8,621	1,092	259	115	37	33 ·	8,917	92

	Table 3 Frequency Distributions and Percentage for All Retakes on the PPST by Racial/Ethnic Group 2001-03												
	MATHEMATICS												
Racial// Gro	Ethnic up	is Aft	empt Failed	2 <sup>nd</sup> Att	tempt Bailed	3 or 1 Afte	more npts Eailed	Fota R	Pass iles				
	Total							Number	Percent				
1	Attempts							Passing	Passing				
African	159	94	65	3	5.	2	5	99	62				
American													
Asian	175	152	23	5	2	2	1	159	91				
Hispanic	123	98	25	7	3	1	1 .	106	86				
Native	59	42	17		3			42	71 .				
American													
Other	170	155	15	3	2			158	93				
White	8,892	8,438	454	142	48	40	19	8,620	97				
Totals	9,578	8,979	599	160	63	45	26	9,184	96				