FEBRUARY ELEVEN 19 88

Mr. John R. Foley, Secretary-Minnesota Conservation Comm., Wabasha, Minnesota.

Dear Sirt

Acknowledging the receipt and filing of a resolution suspending W. T. Cox as Commissioner of Conservation, as of this date at the hour of 12:45 P. H.

Secretary of State by Arnold I. Gandrud, Assistant Office Supervisor.

58%

THE PRECEDING DOCUMENT(S) HAVE BEEN REFILMED TO ENSURE LEGIBILITY



PEBRUARY ELEVEN 19 53

Mr. John R. Foloy, Secretary-Minnesota Conservation Comm., Wabasha, Minnesota.

Dear Sir:

Adknowledging the receipt and filing of a resolution suspending W. T. Cox as Commissioner of Conservation, as of this date at the hour of 12,45 P. N.

Yours very truly,

Secretary of State by Arnold I. Gandrud, Assistant Office Supervisor.

SPR

MHEREAS, under and pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 186, Laws of 1931, the Conservation Commission is charged with the duty of formulating and directing the policies of the Department of Conservation Exercise and

WHEREAS, the said Commission is authorized to employ a Conservation Commissioner for a term of six years, removable at any time by the Commission for cause, said Conservation Commissioner to be a person having executive ability and experience and special training and skill in conservation work, and

WHEREAS, the said Conservation Commissioner, when so employed, is the administrative head of the Department of Conservation and is responsible to the Commission for the execution of its policies, and

WHEREAS, the Conservation Commission on the 21st day of August, 1931, employed one, W. T. Cox, as such Conservation Commissioner, for the term of six years from and after August 20th, 1931, and

WHEREAS, at the time of the adoption of said resolution this Commission sincerely believed that the said E. T. Cox was a person "having executive ability and experience and special training and skill in conservation work", and

WHEREAS, acting on such belief the said Commission, after the employment of the said W. T. Cox, proceeded with its duties of formulating and directing the policies of such Department of Conservation and expected the said W. T. Cox to evidence that executive ability which his long experience in public service might reasonably have enabled him to develop, and

WHEREAS, the said W. T. Cox has continually since his employment, shown almost a complete lack of executive ability, which the law contemplated he should have and which the Commission expected him to have, and as evidence thereof the Commission recites the following examples of his work, consisting of a long and systematic course of studied contempt for and indifference to the Conservation Commission, and its policies, viz:

activities was made to the Commission, orally, at its meeting of September 9th, 1931. That the said W. T. Cox, notwithstanding the most persistent and continuous efforts, on the parttof the Commission, for a period of almost nine months, to get him to make reports of his activities in writing, continuously neglected to do so with the one exception when on July 14th, he reported as set forth in letter, marked Exhibit "A", and made a part hereof. That during the months of August, September, October, November, December in the year 1931, January, February, March, April and May, in the year 1932, the said W. T. Cox, although repeatedly requested by the Commission to make his reports on his activities in writing, refused or neglected to do so, except for occasional letter writing to individual members of the Commission.

2.

That upon his employment he was requested and instricted by the Commission and by Mrs. Jean W. Wittich, the Budget Commissioner, to arrange with Mr. Stafford King, the State Auditor, for the transfer of the Division of Lands and Minerals, and the said W. T. Cox thereafter, at each monthly meeting of the Commission, orally reported to the Commission that satisfactory arrangements for the transfer of these activities to the Department of Conservation were being made, and that they would be completed by December 1, 1931, and that appointment of the Director of Lands and Minerals would be made by him on or about December 15th, 1931. That Mr.Cox lead the Commission to believe that the delay in the transfer of the activities of the Lands and Minerals Division was caused solely and wholly by reason of some minor difficulties regarding the allocation of funds and personnel.

3.

That altho at the November 7th, 1931, meeting of the Commission Mr. Cox was directed to conduct an investigation of the personnel of the several Divisions, for the purpose of determining whether or not the present personnel measured up to the standards desired by the Commission, no report thereon has ever been ma e by Mr. Cox to the Commission, orally or otherwise.

4.

That although on November 27th, 1931, Mr. Cox was directed to secure all available data with reference to the Babbro-Bald Eagle project and the Minnesota Power & Light Company, together with all records or copies thereof available pertaining to such project, and report his findings to the Commission, no such report, or any recommendation has ever been made by Mr.Cox.

5.

That although the Commission supposed, during the months of August, September, October, November, December, and January that Mr. Cox was getting his "bearings", in the Department of Conservation, and for that reason was partially unable to submit any Conservation program during these months, Mr. Cox, during all these months failed totally to submit any proposition or problem of any kind to the Commission for its consideration or approval. That as a matter of fact Mr. Cox has never submitted anything except matters of minor importance, with exception of legislative bills, to the Commission at any meeting of the Commission since his employment. That as a matter of fact he has never recommended or suggested a policy for the Commission to adopt, although he was, as far as the Commission was aware, "a person having executive ability and experience and special training and skill in conservation work".

6.

That at no time since his employment has Mr. Cox, with possibly one or two exceptions, with reference to the Budget, advised or suggested a meeting

of the Commission and has repeatedly told individual members of the Commission and others that everything was progressing satisfactorily and that meetings of the Commission were not necessary.

1 ,

That the said W. T. Cox attempted to establish a censor on the news of the activities of the Commission meetings and for a time the Commission permitted Mr. Vox to release the news of the Commission meetings until it became apparant that Mr. Cox was not releasing news of the Commission activities fully and fairly.

8.

That the only time he submitted any program for action by the Commission was at the April, 1932, and May, 1932, meetings of the Commission; that his program for action by the Commission for the April meeting consisted of notes set forth onpaper, a copy of which is attached hereto, marked Exhibit "B" and made a part hereof, and that his program for action by the Commission for the May meeting consisted of the matters set forth on paper, attached hereto, marked Exhibit "C", and made a part hereof. That at the April meeting Mr. Cox had a copy of hisprogram for each member of the Commission but at the May meeting his program was made on some scrap of paper, of which no copies were made for the different members of the Commission. That these programs were submitted to the Commission when they got to the meeting although Mr. Cox had been repeatedly requested to advise the Commission several days before the meeting of any matters that he had in mind that the Commission should act on so that they would be able to give studied thought to the action that they should take when the Commission met.

9.

That as further evidence of his lack of executive ability the Commission recites that on the request of the Commission for examination of the Budget of the Division of Game and Fish, Mr. Cox submitted a summary of the Budget as set forth on paper marked Exhibit "D", attached hereto and made a part hereof and reported to the Commission that it was impracical to send copies of the entire Budget to the Commission as it would take too long to secure copies. That he presented sucj figures on Exhibit "D" to the Commission without any further explanation or approval and signed a letter of transmittance only after the urgent request of the Commission. That notwithstanding the fact that Mr. Cox reported it was impractical to send copies of the Budget of the Divisions to the members of the Commission, he did submit the semi-angual budgets of the Divisions to the Commission in June, 1932, and thereafter did submit to the Commission the proposed biennial budgets of the Department of Conservation in the fall of 1932. That he, however, has failed and neglected to submit to the Commission the semi-annual budget to the Commission for the period beginning January 1, 1933, and the Commission has never received same or approved them.

10.

That Mr. Cox has repeatedly, falsely, and without any cause whatsoever, accused Mrs. Wittich, the Budget Commissioner, with having obstinately refused the release of moneys for conservation purposes. That when this accusation was called to his attention in the presence of Mrs. Wittich, and in the presence of the Commission he denied the accusation, notwithstanding the fact that he had repeatedly made the charge to the Commission individually and collectively.

That for approximately one year he repeatedly ignored the reasonable requests of the Department of Administration and Finance and in particular Mrs. Wittich, the Budget Commissioner and Director of Personnel.

12.

That he has so repeatedly ignored such requests that the Commission was lead to believe that he could not understand the written and oral requests of the Department of Administration and Finance, on theone hand or had not sufficient ability to grasp their significance and comply intelligently with them on the other hand, which requests are inline with the procedure set up by the Department of Administration and Finance for all the other state departments.

13.

That his failure to comply with the requests of the Department of Administration and Finance has delayed activities in the Department of Conservation in such a way that the public was lead to believe, by Mr. Cox's statements and attitude, that such delays were due to an unwarranted and unreasonable attitude on the part of the Department of Administration and Finance and in particular Mrs. Wittich, the Budget Commissioner and Director of Personnel, whenin truth and in fact such delays were caused by Mr. Cox in refusing or neglecting, or being incapable to comply with their requests.

14.

That ever since the employment of Mr. Cox the Conservation Commission, and all the members thereof, have repeatedly and repeatedly requested him to make a complete and thorough examination of the Game Wardens of the State, eliminating those which were incompetent or not suitable andproper representatives of the State or the Department of Conservation, but that until the month of April, 1932, the said Mr. Cox made practically no change whatsoever in the Game Warden situation.

15.

That on April 16th, 1932, Mr. Cox announced to the public that seven Game Eardens had been dismissed. That the Commission had on October 14th, established a Merit-Non-Rolitical system by the adoption of a Resolution, prepared by Mr. Cox himself, at the request and suggestion of the Conservation Commission, which provided, among other things, as follows: "Wardens will be suspended or dismissed only for cause or inefficiency or neglect of duty. The cause must be stated at the time of suspension or dismissal. The days will be given employees to reply to charges. Appeals from decisions of the Director may be made to the Commissioner first and There shall be a merit board conthen to the Commission, if desired. sisting of the Commissioner of Conservation, Director of Game and Fish and these men are to elect a third. This Board shall hold examinations and examine applicants and certify to the Commissioner the list of eligibles. The Director shall choose from the three applicants rating highest in each district." That notwithstanding that Mr. Cox announced to the public on April 16th, that he had dismissed seven Game Wardens. At the May meeting of the Commission he reported to the Commission that the seven Game Wardens which he announced had been dismissed were not in fact dismissed for the reason he had not followed the proper procedure.

of thirteen Game Wardens, as he said "for the good of the service"; that after such announcement he stated in the Bublic Press that whike these dismissed men had a right to appeal to the Commission there was really no value in their doing so as Mr. Cox, the Commissioner, and Mr. Stewart, the Director of the Division of Game and Fish, would be present at the hearing. This announcement in the Public Press tended to create the impression in the public mind that Mr. Cox's word was final in said matters. notwithstanding the fact that Mr. Cox himself prepared the Resolution establishing the so-called Merit-Non-Political system for employment and discharge of Game Wardens and providing for an appeal to the Commission, was present when it was adopted and participated in the discussion prior to its adoption and recommended its adoption. That notwithstanding the fact that a merit system for the employment, discipline and discharge of same Wardens has been adopted as a policy by this Commission, providing among other things for an appeal to the Commission from the decision of the Conservation Commission, in two cases of appeals to the Commission by Game Wardens who had been dismissed Mr. Cox failed to transmit a record of the testimony taken in one appeal and failed and refused to transmit exhibits referred to in the tostimony in the other appeal. That such action on the part of Mr. Cox prevented the Commission frompassing on the appeals of the two Game Wardens. That said Wardens had been dismissed and had appealed to the Commission to review their cases but such review could not be had by reason of the autionof Mr. Cox aforesaid.

17.

That notwithstanding the fact that the Commission directed Mr. Cox to make a careful survey of the possibilities of the Whitelater Area at iss February meeting and resort to the Commission on the desirability and feasibility of acquiring land therein for public Game Refuges and Public Shooting and Fishing Grounds, and other Conservation activities, he has never reported thereon, inwriting, except on one occasion with reference to the Budget therefor and then only after urgent, insistance by members of the Commission. That notwithstanding the fact that the Commission at its April meeting adopted resolutions directing him to provide in the annual Budgets of the Division of Game and Fish for an allotment of \$25,000.00 for further acquisition of lands in s id area and \$8000.00 for the examination and improvement thereof, he entirelyignored such Resolution, in the Budget of the Division of Game and Fish, submitted to the Secretary of the Commission on June 3rd, 1932, and provided for the same only after his attention was vehemently called to his omission.

18.

The Commission has pepeatedly urged Mr. Cox to employ an assistant who has executive ability; that at the July 1932, meeting the Commission approved the appointment by Mr. Cox of an assistant to aid him but he has failed to make an appointment.

19.

That on March 22nd, Mr. Cox was requested to present a written report of all his conferences had with Mr. Stafford King, relating to the Division of Lands and Minerals together with his recommendations, in connection therewith; that thereafter and on March 26th, Mr. Cox presented a written report but without any recommendations.

20.

That in the full of 1931, Mr. Cox was informed by the Commission that in the apring of 1932, the Commission wished to make an extensive tour of southwestern Minnesota for the purpose of ascertaining the needs of that section of the State and getting the viewpoint of the men and women

interested in the Conservation problems therein. That in the spring of 1932, the Commission asked Mr. Cox to prepare an itinerary for the Commission and others and was informed that a delegation from New Ulm and Morton wished to confer with the Commission and have a luncheon or banquet at which the public might attend for the purpose of hearing some of the Conservation problems, on the one hand, or presenting to the Commission programs or requests on the other. That Mr. Cox was requested to prepare an extensive itinerary so that that section could be covered in the main by the Commission. Instead of doing as requested by the Commission he prepared a two-day schedule with a visit to the Game Farm and Tracy and Worthington, entirely omitting New Ulm and Morton, whose people had requested meetings there, and other important communities and gave his excuse that he was "too busy"

21.

That a hearing was held at Baudette, Minnesota, on complaint of certainpaper companies, with reference to alleged neglect of the Forest Service in connection with Forest Fires of September 11, 1931; that since the meeting of the Commission in the forepart of May, Mr. Com was aware that such hearing was to be held and that it was to be a two-day hearing and its importance to the men of the Folest Service, to the Paper Companies and to the farmers and settlers of the fireswept area was stressed and **e**mphasized by the members of the Commission upon each other and upon Mr. Cox; that the hearing commenced Tuesday morning, May 31st, that Mr. Cox was present during all that day but took no part in the procedure or in the examination of any of the witnesses; that on Wednesday morning the only part he took was the asking of one question, of minor importance, of one witness and shortly before recess for luncheon Mr. Cox announced to the Chairman and Secretary of the Commission, who were conducting the hearing, that he was "too busy" to continue to be present during the remainder of the hearing, that he had to be at Crookston, onthe night of June 1st, where a State Convention of the I. W.L.A. was being held; that when pressed for an explanation as to why he should desire to absent himself from such an important h aring as was then being conducted in Baudette, the only explanation he made was that he had to see some people at Crookston that evening.

22.

That on June 3rd, 1932, when the restoration of Thief Lake was being celebrated that the presence of the Commission, Mr.Cox and Mr. Stewart was requested, Mr. Cox absented himself on the plea that he was "toobusy" and told some members of the Commission that he had looked over the project the day before, which was Wennesday afternoon, June 1st, during the time when the hearing onthe Forest Service was being conducted by the Chairman and Secretary of the Commission at Baudette, Minnesota, Thatalthough frequently importuned to explain to the Commission how his time is spent and what his conferences consist of that prompts him to say he is "too busy", to attend meetings as set forth, he has never explained nor attempted to explain his activities; that he was asked to keep a diary for a month of his callers and conferences so that he might make a detailed report of his activities to the Commission for one month in order that the Commission might understand his claim of being "too busy" and help him but he has failed to do so. That his only explanation to that the Commission doesn't understand how busy he is, but when asked to go into detail he neglects or refuses to do so.

23,

That at mp tome since August 21, 1931, has Mr. Cox made any suggestions

of any major importance for the improvement of the Department of Conservation except such as has privately been made to him by some member of the Commission or others. That he has a temperament which rebels against working in cooperation with the Commission and while on many occasions he has made some observations on Game and Fish he has almost totally failed to understand his place and duties as the administrative head of the Department of Conservation, consisting not only of the Division of Game and Fish but also of other important Divisions of Lands and Minerals, Forests and Drainage and Maters.

24

That on the 17th day of July, 1932, the said W. T. Sox announced to the public, through the Press, that in an order by him made, "no appointments could be made or employees dismissed in the Divisi ns of Forestry and Game and Fish without his approval". That Chapter 186, Laws of 1931; specifically provides that employees in these Divisions cannot be employed except upon approval of the Conservation Commissioner. That Mr. Cox inmaking the announcement heretofore stated did so for the purpose of creating in the minds of the public the impression that as Conservation Commissioner he was making important changes for the betterment of the service and Department when in truth and in fact Mr. Cox had taken no action in the way of limiting the powers as the Directors to employ and discha ge persons in their Divisions, as announced on July 17th; that it was well known to the Commission, to Mr. Cox and the Directors, that the Directors could employ and discharge persons only on approval of Mr. Cox; that the only purpose of such an announcement in the press was to give further unwarranted uncalled for and colored publicity to Mr. Cox when he had in fact done nothing. That although advised of nearly all of these criticisms publicly and directly in July 1932, he has made no change in the administration of his office or in his attempted cooperation with this Commission. That since the July 1932 meeting of the Commission Mr. Cox has submitted nothing of consequence to the Commission for their advice or counsel or approval at the various me tings of the Commission, except a program on Forestry which was prepared by the Division of Forestry and a program on Drainage and Waters which was prepared by the Division of Drainage and Waters and his Biennial Report to the Commission on January 14th, 1933.

25.

That Mr. Cox has left the State of Minnesota and made trips to Washington and elsewhere without the consent of the Commission and without advising the Commission of the purpose thereof so that the Commission might advise him of the policy and attitude of the Commission on the problems with which he may be concerned on the various trips.

26.

That he has instructed employees of the Department of Conservation to give Mr. Cox all the publicity in connection with departmental activities and to disregard the Conservation Commission as a body entirely.

27. 27.

That on December 31, 1932, Mr. Cox falsely accused the Director of the Division of Game and Fish of holding up the completion of a land purchase in the Whitewater project when as a matter of fact it was his own fault in neglecting to present the proposed purchase to the Executive Council for action.

28

That although requested at the April 1932 meeting of the Commission to submit an eight year program for the Division of Game and Fish he has failed and neglected to do so.

That Mr. Cox has advocated the purchase of certainlands in Anoka and Chisago Counties for Public Shooting Grounds and Game Refuges since the spring of 1932, in public and directly to the Commission; that he was requested in the early summer of 1932, by the Commission, to procure an impartial appraisement of the lands, a statement from the County Boards of each County showing their willingness to have the lands removed from the tax rates of the Counties and to submit in writing a plan and program for the development of such lands for Public Shooting Grounds and Come Refuges with a statement showing the estimated annual cost of maintaining the same. That although Mr. Cox was requested to furnish this information, he failed to furnish the Commission with this information but continued to advocate in public the purchase of these certain lands and finally the Commission, as a last resort set January 13, 1933, for a hearing on the matter and directed Mr. Cox to notify the County Boards to appear and be heard; that on January 13th, 1933, when the matter came un for hearing before the Commission and the Cnoka County Board was present, Mr. Cox, who had publicly advocated the purchase of such land for about one year was absent and the Commission was advised he was in Washington, though the Commission has never had a report from him explaining his absence

And WHEREAS, at the time of employment of Mr. Cox this Commission sincerely believed that he has "special training and skill in Conservation Work", and is unaware of anything that Mr. Cox has done to improve the Forest Service of the State, norwithstanding the fact that his chief special training has been almost entirely in Forestry, and

WHEREAS, he has done nothing of any consequence in matters pertaining to Drainage and Waters, and

WHEREAS, in view of the extensive tax delinquency in the State of Minnesota millions of acres of land will be coming back into the possession of the State and the formulation of a program and policy with reference to the utilization of said land is imperative and necessary and is one of the most, if not the most, important problems confronting this Commission, and

WHEREAS, the Conservation Commission, in view of the foregoing, sincerely believe that the said W. T. Cox is unable to aid the Conservation Commission in the formulating of any such program or policy and further believe that when a sound land use program and policy is formulated by the said Conservation Commission the said W. T. Cox will lack the executive ability to execute them, and

WHERMAS, the Tourist Business of the State of Minnesota has become one of the important large businesses in the State, that Mr. Cox has shown continued apathy and indifference toward the proper development and regulation of this business, and

WHEREAS, the foregoing are examples of his continued and studied effort to ignore and Commission and its policies and refusal to aid it in the formulation of policies and in the execution thereof this Commission now charges the said W. T. Cox with a lack of

8.

executive ability and understanding of the position of Commissioner of Conservation to such an extent that there is sufficient cause for his removal as Commissioner of Conservation, and

WHEREAS, the Commission has during all the months pastprinstakingly avoided adopting hard and fast fules and regulations for the conduct of such Department in order to give the said W. T. Cox as free a hand as possible in the administration of said department, but notwithstanding such fact the said W. T. Cox has demonstrated such a complete lack of executive ability that to continue him in the employ of such Department longer would constitute a grave injustice to the people of the State, and

WHEREAS, certain members of the Commission have advocated his removal since the February 6th meeting of the Commission and again at the July, 1932, meeting thereof, and have felt that he was incompetent as an Executive to fill the post which he is assuming to fill, but the majority of the members of the Commission have felt it advisable to and give him additional and further time to demonstrate his executive ability/for that reason the Commission has refrained from taking more drastic action in connection with Mr. Cox heretofore, and has used every persuasion, counsel and advice it could to help and aid him in understanding the problems and duties of his office and his responsibilities in connection therewith, in the hope that as time went on he would evidence that executive ability, special training and skill which the law contemplates he should have and which the people of the State rightfully expect him to have;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the facts set forth herein are by this Commission considered just cause for the suspension and removal of the said W. T. Cox as the Commissioner of Conservation of the Department of Conservation of the State of Minnesota.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the said W. T. Cox be and hereby is suspended as Commissioner of Conservation of the Department of Conservation of the State of Minnesota forthwith and his services be and hereby are terminated, until the hearing and determination of said charges.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Conservation Commission of the State of Minnesota that a copy of this Resolution, certified to by the Chairman and Secretary of this Commission be served on the said W. T. Cox within ten days after the adjournment of this meeting and the said W. T. Cox be and hereby is given until the said of Manager of Manage

Commission and that the foregoing charges be heard and considered by said

Commission at the State office (Building inthe Caty of St. Paul,

Minnesota, on the grad and of the same on this

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Chairman and the Secretary of this Commission are hereby directed to forthwith file withthe Secretary of States certified copy of this Resolution.

EXHIBIT "A"

July 14, 1932

Minnesota Conservation Commission St. Paul. Minnesota

Gentlemen:-

I am enclosing herewith the monthly reports on the different divisions of this Department.

During the month of June I gave talks on Conservation at Crockston, Alexandria, Tracy, and Worthington, and a number of other places.

On June 22nd, we had a meeting of the Educational Committee all members with the exception of Professor Lange were present. Some of the lessons were gone over in detail and arrangements made to print ten thousand of one of the lessons.

Most of the time during the month was taken up with conferences and administrative duties in the office.

Respectfully yours,

W. T. Cox

WTC/AH

Commissioner of Conservation

58xx

EXHIBIO "B"

Redd over the two options sent in by Dr. Dixon, Rochester, Megarding the Whitewater project.

"Conservation Week", Campaign, April 24th,- 30th

Read letter received from Hon. Stafford King, State Auditor.

Emergency employment in Forestry work, with Mr. Gold of the Civic and Commerce Associati n, Mpls., Minn. 2:00 P. M. (14th)

Mention land projects- vitis Anoka County area?

Get approval of Commission in general to continue removal of rough fish in limited way where and by such means as we deem most advisable.

Make correction in the minutes of the Feb. 4th meeting.

Make corrections in the minutes of the March meeting.

Report on meeting attended at Milwaakee, Wisc. (Mar. 29th & 30th)

Read letter to the Commission written to Hon. Chester Wilson, Assit Attorney General, regarding the Division of Lands, Minerals and Timber, and his reply.

Read le ssons received from Professor Lange and Miss Jennie Hall.

Report on meeting attended at Washington, D. C. (Apr. 4, 5, & 6th)

Wardens laid off.

Exhibit "C"

"Crystal Springs property bought.
Crex Carpet Took extend option with additional concessions

Trip to see proposed Anoka Refuge and Public Shooting ground area.

Baudette,

Southwestern Minnesota trip.

Fudget.

Exhibit "D"

DIVISION OF GAME AND FISH.

Salaries			
Date: 160	Permament Temporary	157,585,00 5,000,00	162,585.00
General			83,675.00
Fish Propagation			15,525.00
State Fish Revolving			6, 365,00
Fins, Feathers & Fur	(Nagazine)		6 _{\$} 950 ₀ 00
Fish Lakes Improvemen	.t		16,920.00
Game Farm			7,380,00
St. Paul Hatchery			11,869,00
Glenwood Hatchery			9, 723,00
Detroit Hatchery			4,670.00
French River Hatchery			6,023,50
Southern Minn. Hatche			4,035.00
Lenesboro Hatchery	:		7,400,00
Tower Field Station			2,685.00
Ranier Field Station			2,750.00
Bemidji Field Station	1		2,420.00
Park Rapids Station	•		2,150.00
Jenkins Station			2,105,00
Cut Foot Sioux Static	.		2,130.00
Purchase of Refuges and Public Shooting Grounds, including three			
areas north of Twin C S. E. Minnesota Scientific Investigat	ities and the	Thitewater Valley impro	vements in 100,000,00 12,500,00 8,000,00 10,000,00
Furchase of Game for stocking state			25,000,00
Air Patrol			2,000,00

514,860.50

We, the undersigned, Chairman and Secretary of The Minnesota Conservation Commission, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the resolution adopted this 11th day of February, 1933, by the Minnesota Conservation Commission.

Dated this / / day of February,

1933.

Chairman of The Minnesota Conservation Commission.

Secretary of The Minnesota Conservation Commission.

5845

FILED

FEB 1 1 1933

Miles de la sunte

12:44 P.M.