State of Minnesota

MEMORANDUM

TO: Donna Scott, Office of the DATE: Dec. 31, 1987 Secretary of State

FROM: Shirley Footh, Judicial Office of the Governor M PHONE: 296-0055

Signature date

RE: WITHDRAWAL OF RETIREMENT ORDERS

The judicial retirement orders for the following judges are not in compliance with Minnesota Statutes making them ineffective. We hereby request that they be withdrawn.

Elliott O. B	loe	17	December	1987
Hyam Segell		17	November	1987

The retirement orders will be reissued at the appropriate time. Pertinent correspondence regarding these decisions is attached.

Thank you for your courtesy.

Judge

CC:

- 1 M

Judy Rehak, Supreme Court Doug Mewhorter, State Retirement Chief Judge, Judicial District Two Chief Judge, Judicial District Seven John R. Tunheim, Office of the Attorney General Kenneth Raschke, Office of the Attorney General

-- 137920

STATE OF MINNESOTA Office Memorandum

51 00006 (E. 14 Bf.

DATE: December 31, 1987 TO: LYNN ANDERSON Chief Operating Officer Office of Governor Perpich

FROM : JOHN R. TUNHEIM Chief Deputy J.R. Attorney General

PHONE : 296-2351

DEPAR MENT : ATTORNEY GENERAL

SUBJECT : ORDERS CONCERNING THE RETIREMENT OF JUDGES HYAM SEGELL AND ELLIOT BOE

Your office has brought to our attention recent gubernatorial orders filed for the disability retirement of Judges Hyam Segell and Elliot Boe. (Attached.) The order governing Judge Segell was dated November 17, 1987, and specified a retirement date of March 31, 1988. The order affecting Judge Boe was dated December 17, 1987, and specified a retirement date of April 1, 1988. Each of the orders were based on the voluntary application of the judge.

In our view these orders may not be given effect as written because they are in conflict with Minn. Stat. § 490.121, subd. 14, which provides:

Subd. 14. "Disability retirement date" means the last day of the first month after which the governor determines, upon voluntary application by the judge or otherwise, that a judge suffers from a disability.

Pursuant to this definition, an order may not be issued in November or December to affect a disability retirement in March or April. Thus, the orders in question, to the extent that is their intent, are ineffective and must be withdrawn. They could be re-issued based upon a disability determination made at an appropriate future time or reissued with the appropriate statutorily mandated retirement dates.

While we might presume that judges are aware of the statutes governing judicial retirement, it would be well advised in the future to call this subdivision specifically to the attention of any judge submitting application for disability retirement as of a particular future date. Indeed, the concept of planned disability retirement is rather at odds with the concept of disability as embodied in Minn. Stat. § 490.121, subd. 13.

December 31, 1987

Governor Rudy Perpich

We are also aware of a previous order of a similar nature respecting Judge David Leslie directing retirement in November of 1987. Since that date is now past, there would seem little purpose to be served in addressing that order at this time.

-2-

If we can be of any further assistance upon this matter, please feel free to contact me.

J.R.T.

Attach.

r,

STATE OF MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF STATE

`1988

Jour come

Secretary of State

#37920