An Equal Opportunity Employer



## STATE OF MINNESOTA MUNICIPAL BOARD

Suite 165 Metro Square 7th & Robert Streets St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

May 28, 1985

Secretary of State c/o Donna Scott State Office Building St. Paul, Minnesota 55155

Re: Municipal Board Docket Number: D-191 Vesta

The subject order of the Minnesota Municipal Board makes no changes in the population of the City of Vesta.

**6** 

Official date of the Order is May 28, 1985.

un Patricia D. Lundy

Assistant Director

PDL:sg

cc: Commissioner Department of Revenue c/o Wallace Dahl, Director Tax Research Division 205 Centennial Building

> R. Thomas Gillaspy, Ph.D. State Demographer 101 Capitol Square Building

STATE OF MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF STATE FILED JUN 3 1985 Joans Andersons Showe Secretary of State

#36061

Phone: (612) 296-2428

D-191 Vesta

Ť

STATE OF MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF STATE FILED JUN 3 1985 Gran Anderson Harme Secretary of State

OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA

BEFORE THE MUNICIPAL BOARD

Robert J. Ferderer Kenneth F. Sette Richard A. Sand Chairman Vice Chairman Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION FOR ) THE DETACHMENT OF CERTAIN LAND ) FROM THE CITY OF VESTA PURSUANT ) TO MINNESOTA STATUTES 414.06 )

FINDINGS OF FACT CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing before the Minnesota Municipal Board pursuant to Minnesota Statutes 414, as amended, on September 27, 1984 at Vesta, Minnesota. The hearing was conducted by Terrence A. Merritt, Executive Director, pursuant to Minnesota Statutes 414.01, Subdivision 12. The petitioner was represented by Robert L. Gjorvad and the City of Vesta was represented by Brian L. White, City Attorney. Testimony was heard and records and exhibits were received.

After due and careful consideration of all evidence together with all records, files and proceedings, the Minnesota Municipal Board hereby makes and files the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The amended petition was duly filed with the Minnesota Municipal Board on August 24, 1984 by the sole property owner requesting the detachment from the City of Vesta.

2. The petition contained all of the information required by statute including a description of the property proposed for detachment which is as follows:

W 1/2 of SW 1/4 of NW 1/4 of Section 15, Township 112 North, Range 38 West, except the following described tract; commencing at the Southwest corner of the Southwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 15, Township 112, Range 38; thence East 15 rods (247.5 feet); thence North 15 rods (247.5 feet); thence West 15 rods (247.5 feet); thence South 15 rods (247.5 feet) to the point of beginning, approximately 1.40 acres.

3. The area proposed for detachment is located within the City of Vesta and abuts the municipal boundary.

4. The area proposed for detachment is approximately 19 acres in size.

5. The City of Vesta is approximately 443 acres in size.

6. The area proposed for detachment is located north of County Road 30 and east of the road which is the northerly extension of County Road 10.

7. The area proposed for detachment is presently used as farmland. It has no commercial, industrial, or urban residential use.

8. The area proposed for detachment has no population, no buildings, and no public improvements on it.

9. The area proposed for detachment is not presently serviced by any streets, lights, or city plowing.

10. The area proposed for detachment is a portion of a larger agriculturally used tract of land owned by the petitioner. Both the area proposed for detachment and the area immediately north and east of that land are farmed

11. The City of Vesta provides its residents with lights, road maintenance, and water service.

12. The County Sheriff patrols the city for police protection at no extra charge to the city.

13. The City of Vesta provides its residents with fire protection through a volunteer fire department. This fire department also provides protection to

the Vesta and Underwood Townships at a cost of \$70 per section.

14. The City of Vesta is proposing to construct a waste water treatment facility. If the area proposed for detachment is allowed to detach, the city still intends to go forward with the waste water treatment facility. Since there are no buildings in the area proposed for detachment, the projected cost for assessment per building for the remainder of the structures within the City of Vesta will not change for the sewer service, as there were no structures in the detachment area to figure in when computing the over-all cost.

Present city sewage disposal is a county drain tile constructed in approximately 1916, which also drains 4 or 5 farms north and west of the city. The tile eventually empties into the Redwood River.

15. The area proposed for detachment is approximately .5% of the City of Vesta's assessed valuation.

16. The area proposed for detachment presently pays \$253.11 in taxes to the City of Vesta.

17. The mill levy for the Town of Vesta is 5.03. The mill levy for the City of Vesta is 57.92.

Presently the tax per acre for the property immediately east of the area proposed for detachment is \$1.48 per acre, while it is \$13.32 per acre for the land in the area proposed for detachment.

18. The population of the City of Vesta in 1980 was 360 and its present population is 345.

19. The property owner has no plans to develop the area proposed for annexation for residential, commercial, or industrial purposes.

20. The area proposed for detachment abuts the Town of Vesta.

-3-

21. The detachment of the area proposed for detachment would not unreasonably impact the symmetry of the City of Vesta.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

-4-

1. The Minnesota Municipal Board duly acquired and now has jurisdiction of the within proceeding.

2. The detachment would not unreasonably affect the symmetry of the City of Vesta.

3. The remainder of the municipality can continue to carry out the functions of government without undue hardship should the area proposed for detachment be detached.

4. The area proposed for detachment is rural in character and not developed for urban residential, commercial, or industrial purposes.

5. An order should be issued by the Minnesota Municipal Board approving the petition for detachment of the area described herein.

## ORDER

1. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: That the property described in Findings of Fact 1 herein is hereby detached and made a part of the Town of Vesta the same as if it had been originally made a part thereof.

2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED: That the effective date of this order is May 28, 1985.

Dated this 28th day of May, 1985.

36061

MINNESOTA MUNICIPAL BOARD 165 Metro Square Building St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

Merit essence.

Terrence A. Merritt Executive Director

#36061