

STATE OF MINNESOTA MUNICIPAL BOARD

Suite 165 Metro Square 7th & Robert Streets St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

December 16, 1982

Secretary of State c/o Donna Scott State Office Building Saint Paul, Minnesota

RE: Municipal Board Docket Number D-176 Oslo

The subject order of the Minnesota Municipal Board makes the following changes in the population of the named units of government:

The population ofCity of Oslo			
is increased by <u>No Change</u>			
The population of Town of Oak Park			
is decreased byNo Change			
A new municipality named			
has been created with a population of			
The			
has been dissolved.			
Official date of the Order December 16, 1982			

C.C. Commissioner
Department of Revenue
c/o Wallace O. Dahl, Director
Tax Research Division
205 Centennial Building

R. Thomas Gillaspy, Ph.D. State Demographer 101 Capitol Square Building Patricia D. Lundy
Assistant Executive Director

STATE OF MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF STATE FILLED

Joan Andrew Howe

13/292

BEFORE THE MUNICIPAL BOARD OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA

Robert J. Ferderer Robert W. Johnson Kenneth F. Sette Chairman Vice Chairman Member



IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION)	FINDINGS OF FACT,
RESOLUTION FOR THE DETACHMENT CERTAIN LAND FROM THE CITY OF)	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW,
PURSUANT TO MINNESOTA STATUTES)	AND ORDER

On December 6, 1982, the Minnesota Municipal Board received a petition by all of the property owners for the detachment of certain land from the City of Oslo.

A resolution for the detachment of the same land was received from the City of Oslo on the 6th day of December, 1982.

After review of the petition and resolution, the Minnesota Municipal Board hereby makes and files the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- I. That a petition was duly filed with the Municipal Board by the requisite number of property owners and a resolution for the detachment of the same property was filed by the City of Oslo.
- II. The petition and resolution contained all the information required by statute including a description of the territory proposed for detachment which is as follows:

That part of Government Lot Three (3) in Section Five (5) Township One Hundred Fifty-four (154) North of Range Fifty (50) West of the Fifth Principal Meridian lying south of the Soo Line railroad tracks.

- III. The area proposed for detachment is situated within the City of Oslo and abuts the municipal boundary.
 - IV. The area proposed for detachment is 5.72 acres.
- V. The area proposed for detachment is rural in character and not developed for urban residential, commercial, or industrial purposes.
 - VI. There are no buildings on the concerned area.
 - VII. There are no municipal improvements in the area proposed for detachment.
 - VIII. There is no population in the area subject to detachment.
 - IX. The area abuts the Township of Oak Park.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I. The Minnesota Municipal Board duly acquired and now has jurisdiction of the within proceeding.

- II. The area subject to detachment is rural in character and not developed for urban residential, commercial, or industrial purposes.
- III. The detachment would not unreasonably affect the symmetry of the detaching municipality.
- IV. The area subject to detachment is not needed for reasonably anticipated future development.
- V. The remainder of the municipality can continue to carry on the functions of government without undue hardship.
- VI. An order should be issued by the Minnesota Municipal Board detaching the area described herein.

ORDER

- I. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: That the property described herein be, and the same hereby is, detached from the City of Oslo and made a part of the Township of Oak Park, the same as if it had originally been made a part thereof.
- II. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED: That the effective date of this order is December 16, 1982.

Dated this 16th day of December, 1982.

MINNESOTA MUNICIPAL BOARD 165 Metro Square Building St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

Patricia D. Lundy Assistant Director 3/202

RESOLUTION OF DISTRICT COURT JUDGES TO MERGE WITH COUNTY COURT

BE IT RESOLVED that a majority of the District Court Judges of the Tenth Judicial District favor a merger of the District Court and the County Courts, on the following terms and conditions:

That any District Court Judge sitting as a District Court Judge on July 1, 1982, and during that Judge's tenure in office, shall not be required to preside over any matter the jurisdiction of which is with the County Court as of June 30, 1982, as referenced in M.S. Chapter 487. The District Court Judge shall preside over any concurrent jurisdictional matter and any gross misdemeanor and any actions at law in which the amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000, except proceedings for dissolution, annulment and legal separation, and other actions related thereto; proceedings under the Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Act; proceedings for adoption and change of name; and proceedings for the determination of paternity or parentage of and establishment and enforcement of child support payments for an illegitimate child. A District Court Judge sitting as of July 1, 1982, may hear any traditional county court matter only with that Judge's consent.

That any County Court Judge sitting as a County Court Judge on January 7, 1983, shall not be required to preside over any matter jurisdiction of which is with the District Court as of June 30, 1982. The County Court Judge shall preside over any concurrent jurisdictional matter and any gross misdemeanor and any actions at law in which the amount in controversy does not exceed \$15,000. That any County Court Judge in office as of January 7, 1983, may hear any traditional District Court matter only with that Judge's consent.

That any person assuming a District Court judicial position or a County Court judicial position after January 7, 1983, shall preside over any matter in any court as that Judge may be so assigned.

For the purpose of this Resolution and the rights, duties and obligations outlined herein, the term "traditional county court matter" shall be deemed to include proceedings for dissolution, annulment and legal separation and actions related thereto; proceedings under the Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Act;

proceedings for adoption and change of name; and proceedings for the determination of paternity or parentage of and establishment and enforcement of child support payments for an illegitimate child.

For the purpose of this resolution and the rights, duties and obligations outlined herein, the term "traditional district court matter" shall be deemed to not include proceedings for dissolution, annulment and legal separation and actions related thereto; proceedings under the Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Act; proceedings for adoption and change of name; and proceedings for the determination of paternity or parentage of and establishment and enforcement of child support payments for an illegitimate child.

No amendment of this Resolution shall be valid, nor shall it alter the rights, duties and obligations of the District Court Judges or County Court Judges, sitting as of January 7, 1983, as those rights, duties and obligations are outlined herein.

The effective date of this Reorganization shall be one year following the certification of this Resolution and a Concurring Resolution of the Judges of the County Court, to the Minnesota Secretary of State.

	Hon. Carroll E. Larson
,	Hon. John F. Thoreen
	non: bom r. moreen
<	
	Hon. Thomas G. Forsberg
•	Hon. John F. Dablow
1	Solta on Ton Ganouss.
/	Hon. Esther M. Tomljanovich
	Dan Krumeyes
	Hon. Daniel M. Kammeyer
	Theres I la
	Thomas W. Spence

Dated: Jan 7, 1983-T.f.

RESOLUTION OF COUNTY COURT JUDGES TO MERGE WITH DISTRICT COURT

BE IT RESOLVED that a majority of the County Court Judges of the Tenth Judicial District favor a merger of the District Court and the County Courts, on the following terms and conditions:

That any District Court Judge sitting as a District Court Judge on July 1, 1982, and during that Judge's tenure in office, shall not be required to preside over any matter the jurisdiction of which is with the County Court as of June 30, 1982, as referenced in M.S. Chapter 487. The District Court Judge shall preside over any concurrent jurisdictional matter and any gross misdemeanor and any actions at law in which the amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000, except proceedings for dissolution, annulment and legal separation, and other actions related thereto; proceedings under the Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Act; proceedings for adoption and change of name; and proceedings for the determination of paternity or parentage of and establishment and enforcement of child support payments for an illegitimate child. A District Court Judge sitting as of July 1, 1982, may hear any traditional county court matter only with that Judge's consent.

That any County Court Judge sitting as a County Court Judge on January 7, 1983, shall not be required to preside over any matter jurisdiction of which is with the District Court as of June 30, 1982. The County Court Judge shall preside over any concurrent jurisdictional matter and any gross misdemenaor and any actions at law in which the amount in controversy does not exceed \$15,000. That any County Court Judge in office as of January 7, 1983, may hear any traditional District Court matter only with that Judge's consent.

That any person assuming a District Court judicial position or a County Court judicial position after January 7, 1983, shall preside over any matter in any court as that Judge may be so assigned.

For the purpose of this Resolution and the rights, duties and obligations outlined herein, the term "traditional county court matter" shall be deemed to include proceedings for dissolution, annulment and legal separation and actions related thereto; proceedings under the Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Act;

proceedings for adoption and change of name; and proceedings for the determination of paternity or parentage of and establishment and enforcement of child support payments for an illegitimate child.

For the purpose of this resolution and the rights, duties and obligations outlined herein, the term "traditional district court matter" shall be deemed to not include proceedings for dissolution, annulment and legal separation and actions related thereto; proceedings under the Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Act; proceedings for adoption and change of name; and proceedings for the determination of paternity or parentage of and establishment and enforcement of child support payments for an illegitimate child.

No amendment of this Resolution shall be valid, nor shall it alter the rights, duties and obligations of the District Court Judges or County Court Judges, sitting as of January 7, 1983, as those rights, duties and obligations are outlined herein.

The effective date of this Reorganization shall_be one year following the certification of this Resolution and a Concurring Resolution of the Judges of the District Court, to the Minnesota Secretary of State.

ANOKA COUNTY PINE COUNT Edward E. Coleman WRIGHT COUNTY WASHINGTON COUNTY Thomas G. Armstrong CHISAGO COUNTY