

STATE OF MINNESOTA MUNICIPAL BOARD

Suite 165 Metro Square 7th & Robert Streets St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

February 12, 1979

July 16, 1979

STATE OF MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF STATE FILED JUL 1 8 1979 Frant Anderson Strong #31990

Mr. Mark Winkler Deputy Secretary of State c/o Donna Scott . State Office Building Saint Paul, Minnesota

RE: Municipal Board Docket Number A-2156 (OA)-3 - Sartell

Dear Mr. Winkler:

The subject order of the Minnesota Municipal Board makes the following changes in the population of the named units of government:

The population of	City of Sartell
is increased by	55 to 3,108
The population of	Town of Sauk Rapids
is decreased by	55 to 697
A new municipality	named
보기는 그렇게 되게	with a population of
The	

has been dissolved.

February 12, 1979

Official date of the Order February 12, 1979, Effective date July-15,-1979 We will send you official confirmation of the pop. adjustment in July.

C.C. Commissioner

Department of Revenue c/o Wallace O. Dahl, Director Tax Research Division 205 Centennial Building

Hazel Reinhardt State Demographer 101 Capitol Square Building Patricia D. Lundy Assistant Executive Director

Please be advised this order is effective February 12, 1979. We understand the State Planning Agency has issued an updated population for the City of Sartell which would supersede this adjustment ADMIN 1000 (Rev. 1/78)

DEPARTMENT Minnesota Municipal Board

STATE OF MINNESOTA

Office Memorandum

TO ': All Parties

DATE: July 16, 1979

FROM : Patricia D. Lundy

ratricia D. Lundy J

Assistant Executive Director

PHONE: 296-2458

SUBJECT: Sartell A-2156 (OA)-3

On February 12, 1979 the Minnesota Municipal Board ordered that if the City of Sartell's waterwell designated as #4 had the capacity to produce at least 500 gallons of water per minute for at least two successive weeks by July 15th, 1979 the property described in said order would be annexed to the City of Sartell. The order further stated that if the City engineer did not certify such well capacity to the Board by July 15, 1979 the annexation would be denied.

You are hereby advised that the Sartell City Engineer has filed the required certification and the order annexing the property to the City of Sartell is therefore effective February 12, 1979.

PDL:mm



STATE OF MINNESOTA MUNICIPAL BOARD

Suite 165 Metro Square 7th & Robert Streets St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

February 12, 1979

Mr. Mark Winkler Deputy Secretary of State c/o Donna Scott State Office Building Saint Paul, Minnesota

RE: Municipal Board Docket Number 2156(OA)-3 - Sartell

Dear Mr. Winkler:

The subject order of the Minnesota Municipal Board makes the following changes in the population of the named units of government:

The population of <u>City</u>	of Sartell		
is increased by	55 to	3,108	
The population of Town	of Sauk Rapi	lds	
is decreased by	55 to	697	
A new municipality named			
has been created with a	population of		
The			

has been dissolved.

Official date of the Order Feb. 12, 1979, Effective date July 15, 1979 We will send you official confirmation of the pop. adjustment in July.

C.C. Commissioner

Department of Revenue c/o Wallace O. Dahl, Director Tax Research Division 205 Centennial Building

Hazel Reinhardt State Demographer 101 Capitol Square Building Patricia D. Lundy

Assistant Executive Director

STATE OF MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF STATE FILED FEB 1 5 1979

few Chestrem Show

BEFORE THE MUNICIPAL BOARD

OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA

STATE OF MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF STATE EILED FEB 15 1979 Dew anderson Street

Gerald J. Isaacs Robert W. Johnson Thomas J. Simmons Roy Herbst John Kosloske

Secretary of State Chairman Vice Chairman Member Ex-Officio Member Ex-Officio Member

IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT RESOLUTION) BETWEEN THE CITY OF SARTELL AND THE TOWNSHIP OF SAUK RAPIDS FOR THE ORDERLY ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN LAND TO) THE CITY OF SARTELL

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing before the Minnesota Municipal Board pursuant to Minnesota Statutes 414, as amended, on February 18, 1977 and April 22, 1977, at Sartell, Minnesota. The hearing was conducted by William A. Neiman, Executive Secretary, pursuant to Minnesota Statutes 414.01, Subd. 12. Also in attendance were County Commissioners Roy Herbst and John Kosloske, ex-officio members of the Board. The record was reopened and a hearing on January 22, 1979 at Sartell, Minnesota was conducted by Robert W. Johnson, pursuant to Minnesota Statutes 414.01, Subd. 12, for the limited purpose of taking testimony concerning the ability of the City of Sartell to produce sufficient water to service the area in question. Also in attendance was County Commissioner Roy Herbst, ex-officio member of the Board. The City of Sartell appeared by and through Dale Mossey, and the Township of Sauk Rapids appeared by and through Robert Sefkow. Testimony was heard and records and exhibits were received.

After due and careful consideration of all evidence, together with all records, files and proceedings, the Minnesota Municipal Board hereby makes and files the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. That a joint resolution for orderly annexation was adopted in 1972 by the City of Sartell and the Township of Sauk Rapids and duly filed with the Minnesota Municipal Board.

2. A resolution was filed by one of the signatories to the joint resolution, City of Sartell, on January 17, 1977, requesting annexation of certain properties within the orderly annexation area. The resolution contained all the information required by statute including a description of the territory subject to annexation which is as follows:

"All that part of Oakridge Addition, Oakridge 2nd Addition and Oakridge 3rd Addition, according to the plats on file and of record, together with all that part of the North one half of Sections 15 and 16, Township 36 North, Range 31 West, Benton County, Minnesota bounded by the following described lines: On the North, by the North line of said sections 15 and 16; On the East, by the East line of the Northwest quarter (NW%) of said section 15; on the West, by the centerline of the Mississippi River; on the South, by the following described line, beginning at the intersection of the East-West quarter section line of said Section 16 and the centerline of said Mississippi River; thence in an Easterly direction along said Quarter Section line and along the East-West Quarter Section line of said Section 15 to the intersection with the South-Westerly right of way line of the Burlington Northern Railroad; thence in a Northwesterly direction, along said right of way line, to a point of intersection with the Southeasterly right of way line of Ridge Road, as platted in said Oakridge 2nd Addition, extended Southwesterly; thence in a Northeasterly direction, along said Southeasterly right of way line and said line extended, to a point of intersection with the South line of blocks 5 and 6 of said Oakridge 3rd Addition; thence in a Northeasterly direction along said South line, to a point of intersection with the centerline of West Highview Drive as platted in said Oakridge 3rd Addition; thence in a Southeasterly direction, along said centerline; to a point of intersection with the Northerly right of way line of North Highview Drive, as platted in said Oakridge 3rd Addition, extended Westerly; thence in an Easterly direction, along said Northerly right of way line and said line extended, said right of way line also extend Easterly, to a point of intersection with the East line of the Northwest quarter (NW%) of said Section 15 and there terminating. Less and except the Westerly 485.00 feet of the Easterly 30 acres and the Northerly 435.09 feet of said Easterly 30 acres of the Northeast quarter of the Northwest quarter (NE% NW%) of said section 15. Also less and except Lot 1, Block 1, Oakridge 3rd Addition except the Easterly 89.20 feet thereof. Also less and except all that part of Government Lot one, said Section 16, Lying Northeasterly of Benton Drive (formerly known as state Trunk Highway No. 10). Containing 140 acres, more or less.

- 3. Due, timely and adequate legal notice of the hearing was published, served and filed.
- 4. The Board has made a preliminary finding that the area proposed for annexation should be decreased so as to include only that area which is in need of municipal services or will be in need of them within a reasonable time.

5. Geographic Features

- a. The area subject to annexation is unincorporated and abuts the City of Sartell.
- b. The total area of the territory subject to annexation is approximately 140 acres, the entire area designated for orderly annexation. The decreased area is approximately 89 acres.
- c. The degree of contiguity of the boundaries between the annexing municipality and the decreased property is as follows: more than 50%.
- d. The natural terrian of the decreased area, including general topography, major watersheds, soil conditions, rivers, lakes and major bluffs is as follows: fairly level except a steep, downward slope in a portion of the area.

6. Population Data

- a. The City of Sartell
 - 1) Past population growth: 1,323 as of 1970.
 - 2) Present population: 3,053
 - 3) Projected population: continued growth to 3,500 people in 1985.
- b. The decreased area subject to annexation
 - 1) Past population growth: steady growth
 - 2) Present population: approximately 55 persons
 - 3) Projected population: growth is likely to continue as the area is fully developed.
- c. The township of Sauk Rapids: present population 752.

7. Development Issues

a. What, if any, are the comprehensive plans for the development of the decreased property proposed for annexation and/or the annexing municipality, including development projected by the state planning agency? There are rogeneral plans other than the anticipated construction of several homes; much of the area is already developed.

- b. What land use controls are presently being employed.
 - 1) In the City of Sartell:
 - a. Zoning Yes; city plans include having the annexed area automatically designated R-1 upon annexation.
 - b. Subdivision regulations Yes
 - c. Housing and building codes State Building Code
 - d. Other Planning Commission, comprehensive plan, inspector
 - 2) In the decreased area to be annexed:
 - a. Zoning Yes, zoned by Sauk Rapids Township as residential.
 - b. Subdivision regulations No
 - c. Housing and building codes State Building Code
 - d. Other Most of the area is platted, Planning Commission, building inspector
- c. Does the City require future growth space? Yes. If so, will the decreased area subject to annexation provide the City of Sartell with necessary growth space? Yes, some lots do remain available for development.
- d. The present pattern of physical development in the decreased area subject to annexation is:
 - a) Residential Yes, most of the decreased area is residential, already developed (including 20 homes), or available for residential use.
 - b) Industrial A small area near the river is intended for industrial use, including present use location of an auto-wrecking yard.
 - c) Commercial No
 - d) Institutional No

8. Governmental Services

a. Presently, the Township of Sauk Rapids provides the decreased area subject to annexation with the following services:

1) Water - No

5) Street Improvements - Yes

2) Sewer - No

- 6) Street Maintenance Yes
- 3) Fire Protection Yes by 7) Recreational Yes contract with the City of Sauk Rapids. Fire rating is 10.
- 4) Police Protection County sheriff and a constable.
- b. Presently, the City of Sartell provides its citizens with the following services:
 - 1) Water Yes

5) Street Improvements - Yes

2) Sewer - Yes

- 6) Street Maintenance Yes
- 3) Fire Protection Yes
- 7) Recreational Yes
- 4) Police Protection Yes, 24-hour service.
- 8) Other Administrative services, a good insurance, fire rating (6), city engineer street lights, inspector
- c. Presently, the City of Sartell provides the decreased area subject to annexation with the following services:
 - 1) Water No; however, water lines are on the northern boundary of the area.
 - 2) Sewer No; however, sewer lines are on the northern boundary of the area.
 - 3) Fire Protection No
 - 4) Police Protection On request of County sheriff.
 - 5) Street Improvements No
 - 6) Street Maintenance No
 - 7) Recreational Yes
- subject to annexation include the following: all services, including sewer and water, can be extended within a reasonbale time, most services immediately. Utilities are generally not extended except upon request of the property owners. In an effort to upgrade the water supply, the City of Sartell has contracted for construction in the spring of well number 4, with a projected yield of 800-1000 gallons of water per minute. A yield of 500 gallons of water or more per minute would augment the present water supply sufficiently to service the needs of the proposed annexation area. There are no other wells presently contracted for construction.

- e. There are existing or potential pollution problems which are: there have been some difficulties with several of the septic tank systems. Further, future development and simply the passage of time might create sewage problems in this intensively developed area. The following additional services will help resolve this situation: city sewer which is available, upon petition, to the decreased area.
- f. That the City of Sartell is capable of and it is practical for it to provide to the decreased area proposed for annexation the listed municipal services within the next five years, the water being derived from well number 4 is to be available by spring of 1979.

9. Fiscal Data

- a. In the City of Sartell, the assessed valuation trend is increasing, the mill rate as of 1978 is 24.08 and the present bonded indebtedness is O.
- b. In the decreased area subject to annexation, the assessed valuation trend as of 1976 is rising, the mill rate as of 1977 is 3.40 and the present bonded indebtedness is 0.
- c. The mill rates in the following units of government are:
 - 1) County 23.26 as of 1978.
 - 2) School Districts 54.15 as of 1978.
 - 3) Township 3.44 as of 1978.
- d. Will the annexation have any effect upon area school districts? No, the district is the same.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Minnesota Muricipal Board duly acquired and now has jurisdictior of the within proceeding.
- 2. The decreased area subject to annexation is now or is about to become urban or suburban in nature.
- 3. The City of Sartell is capable of providing the services required by the decreased area described herein within a reasonable time, the water from well number 4 is to be available by the spring of 1979.

- 4. The mill levy of the annexing municipality on the decreased area proposed for annexation should be increased in substantially equal proportions over a five-year period.
- 5. An order should be issued by the Minnesota Municipal Board annexing the decreased area described herein, subject to the conditions contained therein.

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: That, if the City of Sartell water well designated as number 4 has had the capacity to produce at least 500 gallons of water per minute for at least two successive weeks by July 15, 1979, the following described property lying in the Township of Sauk Rapids, County of Benton, State of Minnesota, be and the same hereby is annexed to the City of Sartell the same as if it had originally been made a part thereof:

That part of Oakridge Addition, Oakridge 2nd Addition and Oakridge 3rd Addition, according to the plats on file and of record, together with all that part of the north one half of Sections 15 and 16, Township 36 North, Range 31 West, Benton County, Minnesota bounded by the following described lines; on the North, by the North line of said Sections 15 and 16; on the East, by the following described line, beginning at the intersection of the South line of Block 5 said Oakridge 3rd Addition and the Southwesterly right of way line of West Highview Drive as platted in said Oakridge 3rd Addition; Thence in a Northwesterly direction, along said right of way line, 1000.41 feet; thence in a Northeasterly direction to the most Southerly corner of Lot 2, Block 1, said Oakridge 3rd Addition; thence in a Northeasterly direction, along the Southeasterly line of said Lot 2, a distance of 113.05 feet; thence in a Northerly direction, along the most Easterly lot line of said Lot 2, a distance of 145.00 feet; thence in an Easterly direction, along a line parallel to the North line of said Section 15, a distance of 30.80 feet; thence in a Northerly direction along a line 90.80 feet Easterly of and parallel to the East line of Lot 1, Block 1, said Oakridge 3rd Addition, to a point of intersection with the North line of said Section 15 and there terminating; on the west, by the certerline of the Mississippi River; on the South, by the following described line, beginning at the intersection of the East-West quarter section line of said Section 16 and the centerline of said Mississippi River; thence in ar Easterly direction along said quarter section line and along the East-West quarter section line of said Section 15 to the intersection with the Southwesterly right of way line of the Burlington Northern Railroad; thence in a Northwesterly direction, along said right of way line, to a point of intersection with the Southeasterly right of way line of Ridge Road, as platted in said Oakridge 2nd Addition, extended Southwesterly; thence in a Northeasterly direction, along said Southeasterly right of way line and said line extended, to a point of intersection with the South line of blocks 5 and 6 of said Oakridge 3rd addition; thence in a Northeasterly direction along said South line, to a point of intersection with the Southwesterly right of way line of West Highview Drive as platted in said Oakridge 3rd addition and there terminating. Less and except that part Lot 1, Block 1, Oakridge 3rd Addition already within the corporate limits. Also less and except all that part of government Lot one, said Section 16, lying Northeasterly of Benton Drive (formerly known as State Trunk Highway No. 10) except the Northerly 295 feet of the Easterly 295 feet of said government Lot one. Containing 89 acres, more or less.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED: That the production of city well number 4 shall be certified to the Municipal Board, by an affidavit of the Sartell City Engineer.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED: That if City well number 4 has not had the capacity to produce at least 500 gallons of water per minute for at least two successive weeks as certified to the Board by the City of Sartell Ergineer's affidavit by July 15, 1979, the annexation petition is denied without prejudice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED: That the mill levy of the City of Sartell on the property herein ordered annexed shall be increased in substantially equal proportions over a period of five years to equality with the mill levy of the property already within the City.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED: That the population of the City of Sartell be and the same hereby is increased by 55 for all purposes until the rext Federal Census, the new total being 3,108.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED: That the population of the Town of Sauk Rapids be and the same hereby is decreased by 55 for all purposes until the next Federal Census, the new total being 697.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED: That the 30 day appeal period as allowed by the M.S. 414.07, Subd. 2 shall commence running as of July 15, 1979.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED: That the effective date of this order is February 12, 1979.

Dated this 12th day of February, 1979...

MINNESOTA MUNICIPAL BOARD Suite 165 Metro Square St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

Terrence A. Merritt Executive Director