This document is made available electronically by the Minnesota Legislative Reference Library as part of an ongoing digital archiving project. http://www.leg.state.mn.us/lrl/sonar/sonar.asp

### STATE OF MINNESOTA

### COUNTY OF RAMSEY

# BEFORE THE MINNESOTA BOARD

### OF CHIROPRACTIC EXAMINERS

In the Matter of the Proposed Adoption of Rules of the Minnesota Board of Chiropractic Examiners Relating to Continuing Education STATEMENT OF NEED in Infection Control Including Bloodborne Diseases AND REASONABLENESS

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Subject	page
I. Introduction	1
II. Statement of Board's Authority	1
III. Small Business Considerations	2
IV. Statement of Need and Reasonableness	4
Rule Part	
2500.0100 Definitions	4
Subparts 3a and 7a	4
Subpart 3a Bloodborne Diseases	5
Subpart 7a Infection Control	5
2500.1200 Continuing Education	6
Subpart 2 Biennial Requirement	7
V. List of Persons Involved With the Proposed Rules	9

1

The Legislative Commision to Review Administrative Rules

MAR 1 5 1993

### BOARD OF CHIROPRACTIC EXAMINERS

#### STATEMENT OF NEED AND REASONABLENESS

### I. INTRODUCTION

Laws of Minnesota, 1992, Chapter 559, section 8, amending Minn. Stat. S 214.12, mandates that the Boards of Chiropractic Examiners, Dentistry, Medical Practice, Nursing, and Podiatric Medicine require by rule that their licensees "obtain instruction or continuing education in the subject of infection control including bloodborne diseases."

Working together, and seeking the advice of numerous outside individuals and groups, the boards affected by the legislation reached consensus on three vital components of the mandate: (1) a definition of "bloodborne diseases"; (2) a definition of "infection control"; and (3) the "per year equivalent" of the number of continuing education hours in infection control would be the same for all boards, irrespective of differences in lengths of continuing education and/or renewal cycles.

A list of participants in the process of developing the rules is appended to this statement.

Part II addresses the Board's statutory authority to adopt rules; Part III addresses small business considerations; and Part IV provides a detailed statement of the need and reasonableness of the proposed rules regarding continuing education in infection control.

### II. STATEMENT OF THE BOARD'S STATUTORY AUTHORITY

Statutory authority for the adoption of rules specifically related to continuing education in infection control is found in Minn. Stat. S 214.12, subd. 2 (1992), which states: "The boards listed in section 214.18, subdivision 1, shall require by rule that licensees obtain instruction or continuing education in the subject of infection control including bloodborne diseases." The Board of Chiropractic Examiners is one of the boards listed in section 214.18, subdivision 1.

Minn. Stat. S 148.08, subd. 3 (1992) grants the Board the authority to "promulgate rules necessary ....to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the public...". Inasmuch as the provisions of Laws of Minnesota, 1992, Chapter 559 have as their purpose the promotion of the health and safety of patients and regulated persons, the rulemaking authority in section 148.03, subd. 3 extends also to rulemaking to implement the provisions of Chapter 559.

In addition, Minn. Stat. S 214.24, subd. 4, authorizes the affected boards to adopt rules setting standards for infection control procedures and requires the affected boards to engage in joint rulemaking for this purpose. Because the definitions for "infection control" and "bloodborne diseases" are needed for both continuing education purposes and infection control standards, the definitions should be common to the affected boards and should be identical for both continuing education and infection control standards. For this reason, the affected boards engaged in joint development of the rules for continuing education in infection control.

#### III. SMALL BUSINESS CONSIDERATIONS

Minn. Stat. S 14.115 requires administrative agencies, when proposing a rule or an amendment to an existing rule, to consider various methods for reducing the impact of the proposed rule or amendment on small businesses and to provide opportunity for small businesses to participate in the rulemaking process. It is the Board's opinion that Minn. Stat. Section 14.115 does not apply to this proposed rule amendment.

However, in the event of disagreement with the Board's position, the Board has reviewed the five suggested methods listed in section 14.115, subdivision 2, for reducing the impact of the rule on small businesses. The five suggested methods enumerated in subdivision 2 are as follows:

 (a) the establishment of less stringent compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;

(b) the establishment of less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;

(c) the consolidation or simplification of compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;

(d) the establishment of performance standards for small businesses to replace design or operational standards required

in the rule: and

(e) the exemption of small businesses from any or all requirements of the rule.

As part of its review the Board considered the feasibility of implementing each of the five suggested methods, and considered whether implementing any of the five methods would be consistent with the statutory objectives that are the basis for this rulemaking.

### 1. <u>It would not be feasible to incorporate any of the five</u> <u>methods into these proposed rule amendments.</u>

Methods (a)-(c) of subdivision 2 relate to lessening compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses either by (a) establishing less stringent requirements, (b) establishing less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance with the requirements, or (c) consolidating or simplifying the Since the Board is not proposing any compliance or requirements. reporting requirements for either small or large businesses. it follows that there are no such requirements for the Board to lessen with respect to small businesses. If, however, this proposed amendment is viewed as compliance or reporting requirements for businesses, then the Board finds that it would be unworkable to lessen the requirements for those chiropractors who practice in a solo or clinic setting of fewer than 50 employees, since that would include the vast majority of chiropractors. Method (d) suggests replacing design or operational standards with performance standards for small businesses. The Board's amendments do not propose design or operational standards for businesses, and therefore there is no reason to implement performance standards for small businesses as a replacement for design or operational standards that do not exist. Finally, method (e) suggests exempting small businesses from any or all requirements of the rules. Under the Board's view that these proposed rule amendments do not in any way regulate the business operation of chiropractors, there are no rule requirements from which to exempt small businesses. However, if these proposed amendments are viewed as regulating businesses insofar as they regulate chiropractors, then it would hardly make sense for the Board to exempt from its rule those podiatrists who practice in a solo or clinic setting with fewer than 50 employees, since they constitute the vast majority of chiropractors. For all of these reasons, it is not feasible for the Board to incorporate into its proposed amendments any of the five methods specified in subdivision 2 of the small business statute.

### Reducing the impact of the proposed amendments on small businesses would undermine the objectives of the Minnesota licensing law for chirpractors.

Pursuant to the Minnesota Licensing Law for chiropractors, Minn. Stat. SS 148.01 to 148.105, the Board was created for the purpose sf establishing requirements for Licensure and adopting ethical standards governing appropriate practices or behavior for chiropractors. Pursuant to Minn. Stat. S 148.08, the Board "shall promulgate rules necessary to administer sections 148.01 to 148.105 to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the public, including rules governing the practice of chiropractic and defining any terms, whether or not used in sections 148.01 to 148.105. Given these statutory mandates, it is the Board's duty to establish rules relating to the practice of chiropractic which apply to and govern all applicants and Licensees, regardless of the nature of their practice. As it has been stated above, it is

the Board's position that the proposed amendment will not affect small businesses, and certainly does not have the potential for imposing a greater impact on chiropractors practicing in a large business setting. It has also been explained above that the Board considers it infeasible to implement any of the five suggested methods enumerated in subdivision 2 of the small business Nonetheless, to the extent that the proposed rule statute. amendment may affect the business operation of a chiropractor or a group of chiropractors, and to the extent it may be feasible to implement any of the suggested methods for lessening the impact on small businesses, the Board believes it would be unwise and contrary to the purposes to be served by this rule for the Board to exempt one group of chiropractors - indeed, the majority of chiropractors - from the requirements of this rule. Similarly, the Board believes it would be unwise and contrary to its statutory mandate for the Board to adopt one set of licensure requirements for those chiropractors who work in a large business setting and adopt another, less stringent, set of licensure requirements to be applied to those chiropractors who practice in a solo or small clinic practice. It is the Board's view that this rule amendment must apply equally to all chiropractors, if the public whom they serve is to be adequately protected.

### IV. STATEMENT OF NEED AND REASONABLENESS

### PART 2500.0100 DEFINITIONS

Subparts 3a and 7a are new subparts that define "bloodborne diseases" and "infection control". The definitions are needed because the terms are used in Minn. Stat. S 214.12, subd. 2 (1992) but are not defined in Chapter 214. The subdivision in question requires continuing education in "the subject of infection control including bloodborne diseases" for licensees of the affected boards listed in Part 1. The term "infection control" is also used in sections 214.19, subdivision 4 (reporting personal knowledge of failure by a regulated person to comply with accepted and prevailing infection control procedures); 214.20 (failure to follow accepted and prevailing infection control procedures as a ground for disciplinary action); and 214.24 (inspection of practice regarding compliance with infection control standards and procedures).

Definitions are also needed because the terms are sufficiently vague and subject to multiple interpretation that, left undefined, licensees, vendors of continuing education

programs, and the boards would have difficulty determining whether a given continuing education program in infection control fulfills the statutory requirement.

The definitions are reasonable because they are the product of consensus reached by the affected boards after consultation with the Department of Health, representatives of professional associations, and persons knowledgeable about the state of the art in infection control procedures, particularly as they relate to transmission of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and hepatitis B virus (HBV). Eileen Hanlon, the Rules Writer employed by the affected boards for the purpose of carrying out the infection control provisions of Chapter 559, met individually with representatives of the interested parties and other individuals on the attached list over a period of about six months, performing as liaison between the boards and interested parties as the definitions and number of continuing education Ms. Hanlon met on at least three occasions with hours evolved. members of the Board's Rules Committee. The Rules Committee is empowered by the Board to actively pursue formulation of the rules, including appropriate notification. Suggestions from various interested parties were helpful to the affected boards, particularly with respect to avoiding definitions that would appear to narrow or restrict the perceived intent of the law.

In addition, the boards jointly published in the State Register a Notice of Solicitation of Outside Opinion on September 28, 1992 (Vol. 17, No. 13, pp 678-679). Six written and four telephone responses were received; however, only one phone call related to the chiropractic profession, and that was a request from a continuing education vendor for further data.

It is reasonable to employ definitions that are uniformly applicable to all affected boards and persons regulated by those boards to avoid confusion - if not chaos - that could result from different - and possibly incompatible - interpretations of the terms.

In developing the definitions for "bloodborne diseases" and "infection control", the following dictionaries were consulted: Webster's Third New International Dictionary, 1981 (Merriam-Webster Unabridged Dictionary of the English Language); New Webster's Expanded Dictionary, 1992 Edition; and the American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, 1980 Edition.

With respect to "bloodborne diseases", it is reasonable to include in the definition the means of spreading the diseases (inoculation of or injection of blood or exposure to blood contained in body fluids, tissues, or organs) because "bloodborne" means "blood transported", and "transported" means

"carried from one place to another". Stated another way, the definition would be incomplete without addressing the method of transmitting the diseases from one person to another.

It is reasonable to include "exposure to blood contained in body fluids, tissues, or organs" in the definition as a means of spreading because it has been demonstrated that blood in fluid form (that is, not dried), whether pure blood or blood mixed with other body fluids, is capable of transmitting agents of infection from onbe person to another. Living tissues and organs can be described as being fluid or semi-fluid in nature.

It is reasonable to include the agents of infection in the definition of bloodborne diseases because to be complete the definition must include both the cause of the diseases and the means by which they are transmitted.

It is reasonable to name HIV and HBV specifically as agents of infection because they both are life-threatening agents of infection, because it has been established that they are transmitted by blood, and because Chapter 559 was specifically designed to reduce the likelihood of regulated persons and their patients becoming infected with these viruses.

With respect to infection control, it is reasonable for the definition to include the words "programs, procedures, and methods" to reduce transmission of agents of infection because inclusion of any one of the terms alone may appear to narrow the scope of infection control to a degree not anticipated or intended by the statute. Chapter 559 employs both the word "procedures" and the word "techniques". References to these terms occur in sections 214.19, subd. 4; 214.20; and 214.24, subds. 1, 2, 3, and 4. Dictionary definitions of technique" include "method of manipulation" and "technical method of accomplishing a desired aim". It is, therefore, reasonable to use the term "methods" in the definition, because of the term "technique".

The dictionary definitions of the term "program" include "plan of procedure"; "agenda, draft, plan, outline"; "a schedule or system under which action may be taken toward a desired goal"; and "an organized list of procedures". The term is, therefore, broader in application than the term "procedure" and clearly implies a set of directions established prior to putting procedures into practice. It is, therefore, reasonable to use the term "programs" to ensure that the intention of the legislation is carried out by rule to greatest degree possible.

Use of the term "procedures" is reasonable in the definition

because the term is used in Chapter 559, as stated above. It's dictionary definitions include "a particular course of action"; "a particular way of going about or accomplishing something"; and "a way of performing or effecting something".

It is reasonable to include the purpose of infection control in its definition because there would be no need to employ the term "infection control" if the term itself had no desired outcome. The stated purpose (to reduce the transmission of agents of infection for the purpose of preventing or decreasing the incidence of infectious diseases) is also reasonable because the intention of sections 214.12 and 214.17-214.25 is to promote the health and wellbeing of patients and regulated persons. It is also reasonable to state the purpose (as well as the methods) of infection control so that regulated persons, continuing education program vendors, and the affected boards will all be aware of the reason why infection control is mandated by the statutes.

#### 2500.1200 CONTINUING EDUCATION

The amendment to subpart 2 provides that at least two units of the 40 units of continuing education required in a two-year renewal period must be in the subject of infection control including bloodborne diseases. The amendment is needed to implement the requirement in section 214.12, subd. 2 (see Part 1 - Introduction). The amendment also provides for a starting date of January 1, 1994, to apply to continuing education cycles starting on or after that date. A starting date is needeed so that licensees will have a clear understanding of when they must begin taking continuing education courses in infection control.

The requirement of two units of continuing education in infection control in a two-year period provides a "per year equivalent" of one unit. This requirement is reasonable because it provides the same per year equivalent as agreed upon for all the affected boards.

It is anticipated that adoption of a rule requiring continuing education in infection control would very soon prompt vendors to include the topic in their programs, because licensees tend to gravitate toward programs that meet the practice needs and licensure requirements of the professional. Two units of continuing education in infection control during a continuing education cycle are, therefore, reasonable because licensees are unlikely to find the requirement burdensome or difficult to meet.

A starting date of January 1, 1994 is reasonable because it is the beginning of the first two-year continuing education cycle following adoption of the rule. Proration of required continuing

education units for the first cycle following licensure (when the first cycle is less than two years in length) is addressed in subpart 4, which is not amended.

Signature

Title

Date

# LIST OF PEOPLE INVOLVED WITH CONTINUING EDUCATION RULES

Anderson, Robert - affiliation not specified Barrett, Michelle - Minnesota Podiatric Medical Association Beck, Diane - Association of Practitioners in Infection Control Bennett, Mary Ellen - Association of Practitioners in Infection Control Bergum, Bill - Care Providers of Minnesota: Long-Term Bonnicksen, Gloria - Association of Practitioners in Infection Control Cunningham, Marilyn - Minnesota Nurses Association Danila, Richard - Minnesota Department of Health Dickson, Gail - Minnesota Aids Project Harder, Bob - Minnesota Dental Association Hayes, David - Mayo Clinic Hedberg, Craig - Minnesota Department of Health Horeish, Ag - Association of Practitioners in Infection Control Jurcich, Walter - Minnesota Podiatric Medical Association Kaba, Gail - Seniors Long-term Health Care Kroweck, Kris - Association of Practitioners in Infection Control Lamendola, Frank - Journeywell Leitheiser, Aggie - Minnesota Department of Health Loveland, Jim - Minnesota Department of Health Lundquist, Rhonda - Minnesota Aids Project McDonald, Cynthia - Ombudsman McKenzie, Sandy - Board of Nursing Melrose, Holly - St. Paul-Ramsey Medical Center Mitchell, Peter - Riverside Medical Center

Moen, Mike - Minnesota Department of Health Nelson, Annette - Minnesota Dental Hygienists Association Nemmers, Katie - Minnesota Chiropractic Association O'Brien, Terry - Minnesota Department of Health Osterholm, Mike - Minnesota Department of Health Ouren, Dede - Association of Practitioners in Infection Control Ouren, Deloris - Riverside Medical Center Prentnieks, Mary - Minnesota Medical Association Reier, Dorothy - Minnesota Department of Health Simonson, Jay - Cardiovascular Consultants Stout, Susan - Minnesota Nurses Association Sutherland, Linda - Minnesota Department of Health Teel, Lorraine - Minnesota Aids Project Tripple, Mike - Minnesota Department of Health Van Drunen, Nancy - Association of Practitioners in Infection Control Von Alman, Debbie - Minnesota Dental Assistants Association Von Ruder, Karen - affiliation not specified Winter, Suzanne - Memorial Blood Center of Minneapolis