

STATEMENT OF NEED AND REASONABLENESS

- 2925.0100 Subp. 8 Rationale: Definition amended to include employees which some of the institutional foster homes have.
- Evidence: Definition added to these rules to include these homes - 2925.0100 Subp. 2a. Special conditions added to these rules which include these people-2925.4100, A, E and F.
- Subp. 13 Rationale: Repealed because it was felt by the DOC that the provisional license was not needed.
- Evidence: In the three years of regulating Group Foster Homes, no homes have received the provisional license who did not qualify for a license.
- Subp. 15 Rationale: The term resident was deleted from the rule because it was felt that the term was more institutional and that there were better terms such as youth or child which more accurately describe both the relationship and the type of facility the child was placed in.
- Evidence: Department of Corrections preference.
- Subp. 22a Rationale: Amendment added because there is a need to differentiate between the institutional group homes which have more than eight children and the ma pa homes which are smaller and more like a family. The larger homes are more institutional like in nature and need to be regulated with some different and additional rules.
- Evidence: The added rules are found in these amendments 2925.4100. As you add numbers, risks increase, the need for additional help in supervision increases and the overall responsibility increases. At the time the original rule was written, these kinds of group homes were not considered.
- Subp. 23 Rationale: The term waiver is replaced with the term variance to be consistent with the Administrative Procedures Act and other rules of the Department of Corrections.
- Evidence: Minnesota Statutes 14.05, Subdivision 4.

CORR.
2925.0200 INTRODUCTION

Rationale: Update the legal reference and reduce some of the unneeded language making the statement more consistent with other rules of the Minnesota Department of Corrections.

Evidence: Rules Governing Adult Corrections Facilities, Rules Governing Juvenile Detention Facilities; Rules Governing Residential Facilities; Minnesota Rule Drafting Manual, 1984, p. 29.

Subp. 2, 3 and 4 Rationale: The deletion of unnecessary material. References in Introduction.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Drafting Manual, 1984, p. 29. Introduction 2925.0200.

CORR.
2925.0500 Rationale: The deletion of unnecessary material.
Subp. 1

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Drafting Manual, 1984, p. 29.

Subp. 2 Rationale: Editing changes. Eliminate unnecessary words and deleting descriptive and permissive materials which have nothing to do with regulation. The outline of program was deleted because it was felt this goes beyond what a ma and pa type group foster home should be required to do. The annual T.B. checks were eliminated to be consistent with other rules of DOC.

Evidence: Minnesota Rules Drafting Manual, 1984, p. 29. Decision made by DOC staff at the time that rule amendments were being considered. T.B. checks evidenced in Rules Governing Adult Detention Facilities, Adult Halfway House Rules, Juvenile Residential Facilities Rules, Juvenile Detention Facilities Rules.

CORR.
2925.0600 RENEW A LICENSE
Subp. 1

Rationale: Editing changes as recommended by the revisor of statutes and the deletion of unnecessary structure and description.

Evidence: Minnesota Rules Drafting Manual, 1984, p. 18.

Subp. 2. Rationale: The rules regarding mantoux testing are deleted in this section and re-established in another section of the proposed rule. Also, the rules which regulate prior inspections and health rules has been updated and are viewed as more practical and realistic. While regular fire inspections have been reduced in frequency, it was felt that life threatening conditions may exist. For this reason, the commissioner needs to be able to request additional fire safety inspections any time he determines that unsafe conditions may exist in a group foster home.

Evidence: Proposed amended rules governing group foster homes, 2925.1900. Within the Inspection and Enforcement Unit with regard to the necessity for regular inspections both fire and health in the foster homes, it was felt annual inspections were not necessary. There have been instances when it was felt that unsafe conditions may have existed in some homes and therefore qualification needed to be included allowing for exceptitons.

CORR.
2925.0800

Rationale: The amendments deleted unnecessary words as well as unnecessary statements about avoiding delays. As the statement now reads, changes in buildings, key people, or major renovation will require a new licensing evaluation. The deletion of "procedure and policy" recognize that all ongoing viable organizations have changes in policy and procedure and that such changes should not require a new license every time.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Drafting Manual, 1984, p. 29. Other changes made after evaluating the concepts in preparation for the amending of these rules.

Subp. 2 Rationale: Deletion of the requirement that modifications of the structure require the commissioner of corrections approval. It is felt that annual inspections will be done on the facility and that the facility parents are required to, at the time of relicensure, show any changes that may have occurred. Further, it is the personal home of the group home parents and presumptive to think that they cannot remodel or modify their home without permission of the commissioner.

Evidence: Proposed Rules Governing Group Foster Homes 2925.0600, Subp. 1.

Subp. 3 Rationale: Edited changes recommended by the Revisor of Statutes.

Evidence: Minnesota Rules Drafting Manual, 1984, p. 18.

- Subp. 5 Rationale: Edit change
 Evidence: Minnesota Rules Drafting Manual, 1984, p. 18, p. 29.
- Subp. 6 Rationale: Editing change.
 Evidence: Minnesota Rule Drafting Manual, 1984, p. 18 and p. 29.
- Subp. 7 Rationale: Statement that binds the Commissioner of Corrections to issuing a license if the applicant is found to be in substantial compliance with the rules.
 Evidence: DOC staff evaluated the existing rules and felt that if an applicant meets the standards, they should receive a license.

CORR.
2925.100 LANGUAGE CHANGE TO BE CONSISTENT WITH MINNESOTA STATUTES, CHAPTER 14

Rationale: With recommendations made by the Revisor of Statutes Office.

Evidence: Minnesota Statutes 14.05, Subdivision 4; Minnesota Rule Drafting Manual, 1984, p. 18.

CORR.
2925.1200 NOTICE TO APPLICANT OF COMMISSIONERS ACTION

Rationale: Editing changes as recommended by the Revisor of Statutes Office. Also a change in procedure recommended by the Department of Corrections Inspection and Enforcement Unit.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Drafting Manual, 1984, p. 18.

CORR.
2925.1400 RESTRICTION OF USE OF GROUP FOSTER HOME

Rationale: Editing as recommended by the Revisor of Statutes Office.

Evidence: Minnesota Rules Drafting Manual, p. 18.

CORR.
2925.1800 GROUP FOSTER PARENT QUALIFICATIONS

- Subp. 1 Rationale: Deleted from rules because the rule was not measurable or objective and felt to be poor.
- Evidence: Conclusion drawn by the Inspection and Enforcement Unit, DOC.
- Subp. 2 Rationale: Editing plus the deletion of a statement which is covered by Minnesota Statute and not useful to the rules and group foster homes.
- Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, 1984, p. 18.
- Subp. 4 Rationale: Minor editing to eliminate useless language. Also, changes made per recommendation of the Revisor of Statutes Office.
- Evidence: Minnesota Rule Drafting Manual, 1984, p. 18.

CORR.
2925.1900 HEALTH OF PERSONS LIVING IN GROUP FOSTER HOME

- Rationale: Editing changes which eliminate annual mantoux test. This change gets this consistent with all other rules of the DOC. The annual T.B. test is obsolete.
- Evidence: Minnesota Department of Health. Rules Governing Adult Detention Facilities; Rules Governing Juvenile Detention Facilities; Rules Governing Juvenile Residential Facilities.

CORR.
2925.2000 TRAINING FOR GROUP FOSTER PARENTS

- Rationale: Editing change as recommended by the Revisor of Statutes Office.
- Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, 1984, p. 29.
- Subp. 2 Rationale: Editing change which does not change the rule but in fact broadens it potential. Deletes unnecessary words.
- Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, 1984, p. 29.
- Subp. 3 Rationale: Repealed because it was not a rule but rather a suggestions.
- Evidence: Decision of the DOC at time of considering amendments to these rules.

CORR.
2925.2100 VACATIONS FOR GROUP FOSTER PARENTS

Subp. 1 Rationale: Repealed because it was felt that the leave of absence is unnecessary. If Group Foster parents want to drop out of the business of foster caring for a period of time, they can easily do that. The relicensing process is simple enough that it should not require a special rule addressing this issue.

Evidence: Decision made by DOC staff at the time these rules were being evaluated for amendment.

Subp. 2. Rationale Editing changes as recommended by the Revisor of Statutes Office.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Drafting Manual, 1984, p. 18.

CORR.
2925.2200 PROGRAM FOR GROUP FOSTER PARENTS

Subp. 1 Rationale: Editing change.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, 1984, p. 18.

Subp. 2 Rationale: Editing change as recommended by the Revisor of Statutes Office.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Drafting Manual, 1984, p. 18.

Subp. 3 Rationale: Editing change deleting unnecessary words.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, 1984, p. 29.

Subp. 4 Rationale: Editing change to clarify and to be consistent with the recommendations of the Revisor of Statutes Office.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Drafting Manual, 1984, p. 18, p. 29.

CORR.
2925.2300 HOUSE RULES

Subp. 1 Rationale: Section repealed because it was felt that the rules were dictating program and that this was an inappropriate role for rules to play.

Evidence: Decision made by DOC staff at the time of reviewing potential amendments to the rules.

Subp. 2 Rationale: Editing changes to clarify the rule.

Evidence: Recommended by DOC staff at the time of considering rule amendments.

CORR.
2925.2400 EMERGENCY PLANS

Rationale: Editing changes as recommended by the Revisor of Statutes Office.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, 1984, p. 18, p. 29.

CORR.
2925.2500 DISCIPLINE

Subp. 1 Rationale: Deleted because it was felt by the DOC that this regulation dictated program and that this is an inappropriate role for rules to play.

Evidence: Decision of DOC staff at the time these rules were considered for amendment.

Subp. 3 Rationale: Amended based on advice of Revisor of Statutes Office.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, p. 18, p. 29.

Subp. 4 Rationale: Amended based on advice of Revisor of Statutes Office

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, p. 18, p. 29.

CORR.
2925.2600 SECURITY PROCEDURES

Subp. 1 Rationale: Editing changes which clarify the rule. Deleted the monitoring of movement because it was felt to be unnecessary and repetitive.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, p. 29. Decision made by DOC when considering amendments to the rules.

Subp. 2 Rationale: Editing as recommended by the Revisor of Statutes Office.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, p. 18.

CORR.
2925.2700 PERSONAL COMMUNICATION

Subp. 1 Rationale: Editing changes to be consistent with the Revisor of Statutes Office recommendations.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, p. 18.

Subp. 2 Rationale: A substantive change which says that foster parents do not have the right to read the mail of foster children. It is felt that these children are not in institutions, and as such have the same rights of privacy with their mail as do other citizens.

Evidence: Decision made by DOC staff when considering amendments to these rules.

Subp. 3 Rationale: Editing changes to make the rule clearer to understand.

Evidence: Decision made by DOC when considering amendments to these rules.

CORR.
2925.2800 PERSONAL POSSESSIONS

Rationale: A substantive change as well as some editing changes. Basic concept is that group foster parents do not have the right to shake down their foster children or those areas of the home which are considered theirs.

It is felt that if the child is suspected of having items considered to be contraband or illegal, the same search and seizure rules apply to them as to others in the free world.

Evidence: Decision made by DOC at the time of considering amendments to these rules.

CORR.
2925.2900 PUBLIC REPORTS, STATEMENTS OR APPEARANCES

Rationale: Editing changes to be consistent with recommendations made by the Revisor of Statutes Office.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, 1984, p. 18, p. 29.

CORR.
2925.3100 BEDROOMS

Subp. 1 Rationale: Editing change to be consistent with the Revisor of Statutes Office recommendations.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, 1984, p. 18, p. 29.

Subp. 2 Rationale: Editing change to be consistent with the Revisor of Statutes Office recommendations.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, 1984, p. 18, p. 29.

- Subp. 3 Rationale: Editing change to be consistent with the Revisor of Statutes Office recommendations.
Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, 1984, p. 18, p. 29.
- Subp. 4 Rationale: Editing change to be consistent with the Revisor of Statutes Office recommendations.
Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, 1984, p. 18, p. 29.
- Subp. 5 Rationale: Editing change to be consistent with the Revisor of Statutes Office recommendations.
Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, 1984, p. 18, p. 29.
- Subp. 6 Rationale: Editing change to be consistent with the Revisor of Statutes Office recommendations.
Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, 1984, p. 18, p. 29.

CORR.

2925.3300 BATHROOM FACILITIES

- Rationale: Editing change to be consistent with the Revisor of Statutes Office recommendations.
Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, 1984, p. 18, p. 29.

CORR.

2925.3500 DINING ROOMS

- Rationale: Editing change to be consistent with the Revisor of Statutes Office recommendations.
Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, 1984, p. 18, p. 29.

CORR.

2925.3600 ENVIRONMENT: GENERAL

- Subp. 1 Rationale: Editing change to be consistent with the Revisor of Statutes Office recommendations.
Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, 1984, p. 18, p. 29.
- Subp. 2 Rationale: Editing change to be consistent with the Revisor of Statutes Office recommendations.
Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, 1984, p. 18, p. 29.
- Subp. 4 Rationale: Editing change to be consistent with the Revisor of Statutes Office recommendations.
Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, 1984, p. 18, p. 29.

CORR.
2925.3700 HEALTH CARE AND MEDICAL SERVICES

Subp. 1 Rationale: Editing change to be consistent with the Revisor of Statutes Office recommendations.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, 1984, p. 18, p. 29.

CORR.
2925.3800 NUTRITION AND FOOD

Rationale: To be in conformance with other DOC rules. Also, it was felt that the reference was not pertinent to the rules.

Evidence: Rules Governing Adult Detention Facilities; Rules Governing Juvenile Detention Facilities; Rules Governing Juvenile Residential Facilities.

Subp. 2 Rationale: To be in conformance with the other DOC rules. Also it was felt that the reference was not pertinent to the rules.

Evidence: Rules Governing Adult Detention Facilities; Rules Governing Juvenile Detention Facilities, Rules Governing Juvenile Residential Facilities.

Subp. 3 Rationale: Editing changes consistent with recommendations made by the Revisor of Statutes Office.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, 1984, p. 18, p. 29.

CORR. 2925.3900 FOSTER
2925.3900 FOSTER YOUTH'S RECORDS MAINTAINED BY SUPERVISING AGENT, COORDINATOR OR SPONSORING AGENCY.

Subp. 1 Rationale: Editing change to be consistent with the Revisor of Statutes Office recommendations.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, 1984, p. 18, p. 29.

Subp. 2 Rationale: Editing change to be consistent with the Revisor of Statutes Office recommendations.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, 1984, p. 18, p. 29.

Subp. 3 Rationale: Editing change to be consistent with the Revisor of Statutes Office recommendations.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, 1984, p. 18, p. 29

Subp. 4 Rationale: Editing change to be consistent with the Revisor of Statutes Office recommendations.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Making Manual, 1984, p. 18, p. 29.

CORR.

2925.4100

INSTITUTIONAL GROUP HOMES

Rationale: A list of special requirements were added to these rules. This is a substantive change. These rules reflect a number of standards which are felt to be necessary when the numbers exceed eight or ten youth. They are rules found in other rules with the Department of Corrections.

Evidence: Rules Governing Adult Detention Facilities; Rules Governing Juvenile Residential Facilities; Rules Governing Juvenile Detention Facilities.

STATEMENT OF NEED AND REASONABLENESS FOR THE GROUP
FOSTER HOME RULE

CORR. 2925.0100

Rationale: Subpart 8. Definition amended to include employees in some of the homes.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 13. Repealed because it was felt by the DOC that the provisional license was not needed.

Evidence: In the three years of regulating Group Foster Homes, no homes have received the provisional license who did not qualify for a license.

Rationale: Subpart 15. The term resident was deleted from the rule because it was felt that the term was more institutional and that there were better terms such as youth or child which more accurately describe both the relationship and the type of facility the child was placed in.

Evidence: Department of Corrections preference.

Rationale: Subpart 22A. The term treatment group home was added to the rule because there are few large group homes which are covered under this rule and which are not ma and pa type group homes. Specific rules have been added to the proposed amended rule which address these homes specifically.

Evidence: Rules as proposed governing group foster homes 2925.4100 institutional Group Homes.

Rationale: Subpart 23. The term waiver is replaced with the term variance to be consistent with the Administrative Procedures Act and other rules of the Department of Corrections.

Evidence: Minnesota Statutes 14.05, Subdivision 4.

CORR. 2925.0200 INTRODUCTION

Rationale: Update the legal reference and reduce some of the unneeded language making the statement more consistent with other rules of the Minnesota Department of Corrections.

Evidence: Rules Governing Adult Corrections Facilities, Rules Governing Juvenile Detention Facilities; Rules Governing Residential Facilities.

Rationale: Subpart 2. The deletion of unnecessary material.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale. Subpart 3. Deletion of unnecessary material.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 4. Deletion of unnecessary material.

Evidence. Self-evident.

CORR 2925.0500 ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR LICENSE

Rationale: Subpart 1. The deletion of unnecessary material.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 2. Editing changes. Eliminate unnecessary words and deleting descriptive and permissive materials which have nothing to do with regulation.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2925.0600 RENEW A LICENSE

Rationale: Subpart 1. Editing changes as recommended by the revisor of statutes and the deletion of unnecessary structure and description.

Evidence: Self-evident. Minnesota Rules Drafting Manual, 1984, page 18.

Rationale: Subpart 2. The rules regarding mantoux testing are deleted in this section and re-established in another section of the proposed rule. Also, the rules which regulate prior inspections and health rules has been updated and are viewed as more practical and realistic.

Evidence: Proposed amended rules governing group foster homes, 2925.1900. Within the Inspection and Enforcement Unit with regard to the necessity for regular inspections both fire and health in the foster homes.

CORR. 2925.0800 CONDITIONS OF LICENSE

Rationale: Deletion of unnecessary language and structure to the rules.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 2. Deletion of the requirement that modifications of the structure require the commissioner of corrections approval. It is felt that annual inspections will be done on the facility and that the facility parents are required to, at the time of relicensure, show any changes that may have occurred. Further it is the personal home of the group home parents and presumptive to think that they cannot remodel or modify their home without permission of the commissioner.

Evidence: Proposed rules Governing Group Foster Homes 2925.0600, Subpart 1.

Rationale: Subpart 3. Edited changes recommended by the Revisor of Statutes.

Evidence: Minnesota Rules Drafting Manua, 1984, page 18.

Rationale: Subpart 5.. Edit change.

Evidence: Self-evident

Rationale: Subpart 6. Minor editing.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 7. Statement that binds the Commissioner of Corrections to issuing a license if the applicant is found to be in substantial compliance with the rules.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2925.1000 LANGUAGE CHANGE TO BE CONSISTENT WITH MINNESOTA
STATUTES, CHAPTER 14

Rationale: With recommendations made by the Revisor of Statutes Office.

Evidence: Minnesota Statutes 14.05, Subdivision 4; Minnesota Rule Drafting Manual, 1984, page 18.

CORR. 2925.1200 NOTICE TO APPLICANT OF COMMISSIONERS ACTION

Rationale: Editing changes as recommended by the Revisor of Statutes Office. Also a change in procedure recommended by the Department of Corrections Inspection and Enforcement Unit.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Drafting Manua, 1984, page 18.

CORR. 2925.1400 RESTRICTION OF USE OF GROUP FOSTER HOME

Rationale: Minor editing.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2925.1800 GROUP FOSTER PARENT QUALIFICATIONS

Rationale: Subpart 1. Deleted from rules because the rule was not measurable or objective and felt to be poor.

Evidence: Conclusion drawn by the Inspection and Enforcement Unit, DOC.

Rationale: Subpart 2. Editing plus the deletion of a statement which is covered by Minnesota Statute and not useful to the rules and group foster homes.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 4. Minor editing to eliminate useless language. Also, changes made per recommendation of the Revisor of Statutes.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Drafting Manual, 1984, page 18.

CORR. 2925.1900 HEALTH OF PERSONS LIVING IN GROUP FOSTER HOME

Rationale: Minor Editing changes which eliminate annual mantoux test.

Evidence: Minnesota Department of Health. Rules Governing Adult Detention Facilities; Rules Governing Juvenile Detention Facilities; Rules Governing Juvenile Residential Facilities.

CORR. 2925.2000 TRAINING FOR GROUP FOSTER PARENTS

Rationale: Minor editing.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 2. Editing change which does not change the rule but in fact broadens its potential.

Evidence: Self-evident

Rationale: Subpart 3. Repealed because it really was not a rule but rather a suggestion.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2925.2100 VACATIONS FOR GROUP FOSTER PARENTS

Rationale: Subpart 1. Repealed because it was felt that the leave of absence is unnecessary. If Group Foster parents want to drop out of the business of foster caring for a period of time, they can easily do that. The relicensing process is simply enough that it should not require a special rule addressing this issue.

Evidence: Self-evident

Rationale: Subpart 2. Minor editing changes as recommended by the Revisory of Statutes.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Drafting Manua, 1984, page 18.

CORR. 2925.2200 PROGRAM FOR GROUP FOSTER PARENTS

Rationale: Subpart 1. Minor editing change.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 2. Minor editing change as recommended by the Revisor of Statutes.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Drafting Manual, 1984, page 18.

Rationale: Subpart 3. Minor editing change.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 4. Minor editing change.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2925.2300 HOUSE RULES

Rationale: Subpart 1. Section repealed because it was felt that the rules were dictating program and that this was an inappropriate role for rules to play.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 2. Minor editing changes to clarify the rule.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2925.2400 EMERGENCY PLANS

Rationale: Minor editing changes.

Evidence: Self-evident

CORR. 2925.2500 DISCIPLINE

Rationale: Subpart 1. Deleted because it was felt by the DOC that this regulation dictated program and that this is an inappropriate role for rules to play.

Evidence: Self-evident

Rationale: Subpart 3. Minor editing.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 4. Minor editing

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2925.2600 SECURITY PROCEDURES

Rationale: Subpart 1. Minor editing changes which clarify the rule.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 2. Weapons. Minor editing.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2925.2700 PERSONAL COMMUNICATION

Rationale: Subpart 1. Minor editing changes.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 2. A substantive change which says that foster parents do not have the right to read the mail of foster children. It is felt that these children are not in institutions, and as such have the same rights of privacy with their mail as do other citizens.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 3. Minor editing changes.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2925.2800 PERSONAL POSSESSIONS

Rationale: A substantive change as well as some editing changes. Basic concept is that group foster parents do not have the right to shake down their foster children or those areas of the home which are considered theirs. It is felt that if the child is suspected of having items considered to be contraband or illegal, the same search and seizure rules apply to them as to others in the free world.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2925.2900 PUBLIC REPORTS, STATEMENTS OR APPEARANCES

Rationale: Minor editing changes.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2925.3100 BEDROOMS

Rationale: Subpart 1. Minor editing change.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 2. Minor editing change

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 3. Minor editing change.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 4. Minor editing change.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 5. Minor editing change.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 6. Minor editing change.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2925.3300 BATHROOM FACILITIES

Rationale: Minor editing change

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2925.3500 DINING ROOMS

Rationale: Minor editing change.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2925.3600 ENVIRONMENT: GENERAL

Rationale: Subpart 1. Minor editing change.

Evidence: Self-evident

Rationale: Subpart 2. Minor editing change.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 4. Minor editing change.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2925.3700 HEALTH CARE AND MEDICAL SERVICES

Rationale: Subpart 1. Minor editing change.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2925.3800 NUTRITION AND FOOD

Rationale: To be in conformance with other DOC rules. Also it was felt that the reference was not pertinent to the rules..

Evidence: Rules Governing Adult Detention Facilities, Rules Governing Juvenile Detention Facilities, Rules Governing Juvenile Residential Facilities.

Rationale: Subpart 2. To be in conformance with the other DOC rules. Also it was felt that the reference was not pertinent to the rules.

Evidence: Rules Governing Adult Detention Facilities, Rules Governing Juvenile Detention Facilities, Rules Governing Juvenile Residential Facilities.

Rationale: Subpart 3. Minor editing changes.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2925.3900 FOSTER YOUTH'S RECORDS MAINTAINED BY SUPERVISING AGENT, COORDINATOR OR SPONSORING AGENCY

Rationale: Subpart 1. Minor editing change.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2925.3900 (Continued)

Rationale: Subpart 2. Minor editing change.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 2. Minor editing change.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 4. Minor editing change.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2925.4100 INSTITUTIONAL GROUP HOMES

Rationale: A list of special requirements were added to these rules. This is a substantive change. These rules reflect a number of standards which are felt to be necessary when the numbers exceed eight or ten youth. They are rules found in other rules with the Department of Corrections.

Evidence: Rules Governing Adult Detention Facilities, Rules Governing Juvenile Residential Facilities, Rules Governing Juvenile Detention Facilities.