

The question was taken on the passage of the bill and the roll was called. There were 134 yeas and 0 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Abeln	Cohen	Jensen	Munger	Setzepfandt
Adams	Corbid	Johnson	Murphy	Sherwood
Albrecht	Cummiskey	Jude	Neisen	Sieben, H.
Anderson, B.	Dahl	Kahn	Neisen, B.	Sieben, M.
Anderson, D.	Dean	Kaley	Neisen, M.	Simoneau
Anderson, G.	Eckstein	Kalis	Nelson	Skoglund
Anderson, I.	Eken	Kelly, R.	Niehaus	Smogard
Anderson, R.	Ellingson	Kelly, W.	Norton	Spanish
Arlandson	Enebo	Kempe, A.	Novak	Stanton
Battaglia	Erickson	Kempe, R.	Osthoff	Stoa
Beauchamp	Esau	King	Patton	Suss
Begich	Evans	Knickerbocker	Pehler	Swanson
Berg	Ewald	Kostohryz	Peterson	Tomlinson
Berglin	Faricy	Kroening	Petrafeso	Vanasek
Berkelman	Fjoslien	Kvam	Pleasant	Voss
Biersdorf	Forsythe	Laidig	Prahl	Waldorf
Birnstihl	Friedrich	Langseth	Reding	Welch
Brandl	Fudro	Lehto	Rice	Wenstrom
Braun	Fugina	Lemke	Rose	Wenzel
Brinkman	George	Mangan	St. Onge	White
Byrne	Gunter	Mann	Samuelson	Wieser
Carlson, A.	Hanson	McCarron	Sarna	Wigley
Carlson, D.	Haugerud	McCollar	Savelkoul	Williamson
Carlson, L.	Heinitz	McDonald	Scheid	Wynia
Casserly	Hokanson	McEachern	Schulz	Zubay
Clark	Jacobs	Metzen	Searle	Speaker Sabo
Clawson	Jaros	Moe	Searles	

The bill was passed and its title agreed to.

REPORT FROM THE COMMITTEE ON RULES AND LEGISLATIVE ADMINISTRATION

Anderson, I., moved that the report of the Committee on Rules and Legislative Administration and the proposed permanent rules of the House as they appear in the Journal of the House for the Ninth day of the Seventieth Session be now adopted.

CALL OF THE HOUSE

On the motion of Savelkoul and on the demand of 10 members, a call of the House was ordered. The following members answered to their names:

Abeln	Begich	Carlson, D.	Eken	Fudro
Adams	Berg	Carlson, L.	Ellingson	Fugina
Albrecht	Berglin	Casserly	Enebo	George
Anderson, B.	Berkelman	Clark	Erickson	Gunter
Anderson, D.	Biersdorf	Clawson	Esau	Hanson
Anderson, G.	Birnstihl	Cohen	Evans	Haugerud
Anderson, I.	Brandl	Corbid	Ewald	Heinitz
Anderson, R.	Braun	Cummiskey	Faricy	Hokanson
Arlandson	Brinkman	Dahl	Fjoslien	Jacobs
Battaglia	Byrne	Dean	Forsythe	Jaros
Beauchamp	Carlson, A.	Eckstein	Friedrich	Jensen

Johnson	Lehto	Niehaus	Savelkoul	Swanson
Jude	Lemke	Norton	Scheid	Tomlinson
Kahn	Mangan	Novak	Schulz	Vanasek
Kaley	Mann	Osthoff	Searle	Voss
Kalis	McCarron	Patton	Searles	Waldorf
Kelly, R.	McCollar	Pehler	Setzepfandt	Welch
Kelly, W.	McDonald	Peterson	Sherwood	Wenstrom
Kempe, A.	McEachern	Petraleso	Sieben, H.	Wenzel
Kempe, R.	Metzen	Pleasant	Sieben, M.	White
King	Moe	Prahl	Simoneau	Wieser
Knickerbocker	Munger	Reding	Skoglund	Wigley
Kostohryz	Murphy	Rice	Smogard	Williamson
Kroening	Neisen	Rose	Spanish	Wynia
Kvam	Nelsen, B.	St. Onge	Stanton	Zubay
Laidig	Nelsen, M.	Samuelson	Stoa	Speaker Sabo
Langseth	Nelson	Sarna	Suss	

Savelkoul moved that further proceedings of the roll call be dispensed with and that the Sergeant at Arms be instructed to bring in the absentees. The motion prevailed and it was so ordered.

Savelkoul moved to amend the proposed permanent rules of the House, as printed in the Journal of the House for the Ninth day, by adding a rule to read:

"6.13 (HOUSE ETHICS COMMITTEE.) *The House ethics committee shall hear complaints against members, recommend appropriate action to the House, refer matters to other authorities when appropriate, render and keep a file of ethical conduct judgments founded on actual or hypothetical circumstances and take other actions necessary to enforce the code of ethics as set forth in this rule.*

The committee shall be composed of a member of the majority caucus and a member of the minority caucus appointed by the speaker, two citizens who are not members appointed by the majority leader and two citizens who are not members appointed by the minority leader.

The following definitions are provided for the committee's use.

1. An "inherent conflict" is a situation that occurs because a legislator is a parent, homeowner, taxpayer, consumer, or in a similar category of high visibility which has a very low potential for conflicts.

2. A "personally necessary conflict" includes normal employment and other known income producing investments.

3. An "avoidable conflict of interest" is a personal interest, economic or otherwise, which substantially risks impairment of independence and is unnecessarily held by or pursued by a member.

4. A "close economic associate" includes the individual's employer, partners, and associates in business and professional enterprises.

5. A "close relative" and "close relationship" extends to the legislator's spouse and minor children.

6. An "economic interest" means a substantial economic interest distinct from that of the general public or major class of citizens and in any event, means an ownership interest in excess of \$5,000 or five percent or more of the outstanding capital stock of a corporation, an income interest in excess of \$1,000 per year or being an officer or director. "Economic interest" does not include a bank or savings and loan association in which the interest is an account, or an insurance company in which the interest is a policy.

The following guidelines are provided for the committee's use.

A. No member of the House or House employee should have any interest, financial or otherwise, direct or indirect, or engage in any business or transaction or professional activity, or incur any obligation of any nature, which is in substantial conflict with the proper discharge of his duties in the public interest.

B. No member of the House or House employee should use information gained by reason of his official position for his personal gain or benefit.

C. No member of the House or House employee should engage in any transaction as a representative or agent of the state with any business entity in which he has a direct or indirect financial interest that might reasonably tend to conflict with the proper discharge of his official duties.

D. A member of the House or House employee should not by his conduct give reasonable basis for the impression that any person can improperly influence him or unduly enjoy his favor in the performance of his official duties.

E. A member of the House of Representatives, who, as chief author of any measure or bill presented to the members of the House shall disclose any economic interest held by himself, a close economic associate, or his close relative to the legislative committee and to the legislative assembly, and the disclosure shall be recorded in a Journal or minutes affecting the meeting.

F. No member of the House or House employee should use his position for compensation, except in his capacity as a representative for his constituency, to secure or attempt to secure special governmental benefits, privileges or exemptions for himself or for other individuals, corporations or associations.

G. No member of the House or House employee shall act as an agent or attorney for the prosecution of any claim against the state of Minnesota before the legislature or a committee or commission thereof, or to aid or assist in the prosecution or support of a claim other than in the proper discharge of his official duties, or to receive any gratuity in connection with, or any share or interest in, a claim. However, this provision shall in no way prevent a legislator from presenting a claim which is personal to himself.

H. No member of the House or House employee should accept any employment or retainer for appearances before any state board or agency if the appearance is based on a contingent fee, unless the fee is set by statute.

I. No member of the House or House employee or firm or association of which the person is a member, or corporation, ten percent or more of the stock of which is owned or controlled directly or indirectly by the person, shall sell goods or services having a value in excess of \$100 to a state board or agency unless pursuant to an award or contract let after public notice and competitive bidding. This guideline shall not apply to the publication of resolutions, advertisements or other legal propositions or notices in newspapers designated pursuant to law for the purpose and for which the rates are fixed pursuant to law.

J. No member of the House shall solicit or receive anything of value including a favor or a service in return for his vote or action before the House.

K. No member of the House or House employee shall intentionally discriminate against another member of the House or House employee in the proper discharge of his official duties because of race, color, creed, religion, national origin, sex, marital status, disability or political affiliation."

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

Faricy moved that the Savelkoul amendment be referred to the Committee on Rules and Legislative Administration.

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the Faricy motion and the roll was called. There were 100 yeas and 34 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Abeln	Arlandson	Berglin	Brinkman	Corbid
Adams	Battaglia	Berkelman	Byrne	Cummiskey
Anderson, B.	Beauchamp	Birnstihl	Carlson, L.	Dahl
Anderson, G.	Begich	Brandl	Casserty	Eken
Anderson, I.	Berg	Braun	Clark	Ellingson

Enebo	Kalis	Metzen	Rice	Stoa
Faricy	Kelly, R.	Moe	St. Onge	Suss
Fudro	Kelly, W.	Munger	Samuelson	Swanson
Fugina	Kempe, A.	Murphy	Sarna	Tomlinson
George	King	Neisen	Scheid	Vanasek
Gunter	Kostohryz	Nelsen, M.	Schulz	Voss
Hanson	Kroening	Nelson	Setzepfandt	Waldorf
Haugerud	Langseth	Norton	Sherwood	Welch
Hokanson	Lehto	Novak	Sieben, H.	Wenstrom
Jacobs	Lemke	Osthoff	Sieben, M.	Wenzel
Jaros	Mangan	Patton	Simoneau	White
Jensen	Mann	Pehler	Skoglund	Wieser
Johnson	McCarron	Petrafeso	Smogard	Williamson
Jude	McCollar	Prahl	Spanish	Wynia
Kahn	McEachern	Reding	Stanton	Speaker Sabo

Those who voted in the negative were:

Albrecht	Cchen	Fjoslien	Kvam	Rose
Anderson, D.	Dean	Forsythe	Laidig	Savelkoul
Anderson, R.	Eckstein	Friedrich	McDonald	Searle
Biersdorf	Erickson	Heinitz	Nelsen, B.	Searles
Carlson, A.	Esau	Kaley	Niehaus	Wigley
Carlson, D.	Evans	Kempe, R.	Peterson	Zubay
Clawson	Ewald	Knickerbocker	Pleasant	

The motion prevailed and the proposed amendment was referred to the Committee on Rules and Legislative Administration.

Savelkoul moved to amend the proposed permanent rules of the House, as printed in the Journal of the House for the Ninth day, by changing rule 1.3 to read as follows:

"1.3 (ORDER OF BUSINESS.) After the reading of the Journal, the order of business of the day shall be:

- (1) Presentation of petitions or other communications.
- (2) Reports of standing committees.
- (3) Second reading of House bills.
- (4) Second reading of Senate bills.
- (5) Reports of select committees.
- (6) Introduction and first reading of House bills.
- (7) *Motions and Resolutions.*
- ((7)) (8) Consideration of messages from the Senate.
- ((8)) (9) First reading of Senate bills.
- ((9)) (10) Consent Calendar.

((10)) (11) Calendar for the day.

((11)) (12) General Orders.

((12) MOTIONS AND RESOLUTIONS.)".

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the adoption of the amendment and the roll was called. There were 33 yeas and 101 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Albrecht	Dean	Friedrich	Laidig	Savelkoul
Anderson, D.	Erickson	Heinitz	McDonald	Searle
Anderson, R.	Esau	Kahn	Nelsen, B.	Searles
Biersdorf	Evans	Kaley	Niehaus	Wigley
Carlson, A.	Ewald	Kempe, R.	Peterson	Zubay
Carlson, D.	Fjoslien	Knickerbocker	Pleasant	
Casserly	Forsythe	Kvam	Rose	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeln	Corbid	Kelly, R.	Norton	Spanish
Adams	Cummiskey	Kelly, W.	Novak	Stanton
Anderson, B.	Dahl	Kempe, A.	Osthoff	Stoa
Anderson, G.	Eckstein	King	Patton	Suss
Anderson, I.	Eken	Kostohryz	Pehler	Swanson
Arlandson	Ellingson	Kroening	Petrafeso	Tomlinson
Battaglia	Enebo	Langseth	Prahl	Vanasek
Beauchamp	Faricy	Lehto	Reding	Voss
Begich	Fudro	Lemke	Rice	Waldorf
Berg	Fugina	Mangan	St. Onge	Welch
Berglin	George	Mann	Samuelson	Wenstrom
Berkelman	Gunter	McCarron	Sarna	Wenzel
Birnstihl	Hanson	McCollar	Scheid	White
Brandl	Haugerud	McEachern	Schulz	Wieser
Braun	Hokanson	Metzen	Setzepfandt	Williamson
Brinkman	Jacobs	Moe	Sherwood	Wynia
Byrne	Jaros	Munger	Sieben, H.	Speaker Sabo
Carlson, L.	Jensen	Murphy	Sieben, M.	
Clark	Johnson	Neisen	Simoneau	
Clawson	Jude	Nelsen, M.	Skoglund	
Cohen	Kalis	Nelson	Smogard	

The motion did not prevail and the proposed amendment was not adopted.

Savelkoul moved to amend the proposed permanent rules of the House, as printed in the Journal of the House for the Ninth day, by adding a rule to read:

"5.9 In all cases of the Senate adopting an amendment to a bill passed by the House, the House author of that bill shall provide a written summary of additions, changes, or deletions made by the Senate with a reference in each instance to the pages and lines in the bill containing said additions, changes, or deletions.

The summary shall be sufficiently explicit and impartial to inform the House what effect such additions, changes, or deletions will have upon the measure to which they relate."

Anderson, I., moved that the Savelkoul amendment be referred to the Committee on Rules and Legislative Administration.

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the Anderson, I., motion and the roll was called. There were 97 yeas and 37 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Abeln	Cohen	Kalis	Nelson	Spanish
Adams	Cummiskey	Kelly, R.	Norton	Stanton
Anderson, B.	Dahl	Kelly, W.	Novak	Stoa
Anderson, G.	Eckstein	Kempe, A.	Patton	Suss
Anderson, I.	Eken	King	Pehler	Swanson
Arlandson	Ellingson	Kostohryz	Petrafeso	Tomlinson
Battaglia	Enebo	Kroening	Prahl	Vanasek
Beauchamp	Faricy	Langseth	Reding	Voss
Begich	Fudro	Lehto	Rice	Waldorf
Berg	Fugina	Lemke	St. Onge	Welch
Berglin	George	Mangan	Samuelson	Wenstrom
Berkelman	Hanson	Mann	Sarna	Wenzel
Birnstihl	Haugerud	McCarron	Scheid	White
Brandl	Hokanson	McCollar	Schulz	Wieser
Brinkman	Jacobs	McEachern	Sherwood	Williamson
Byrne	Jaros	Metzen	Sieben, H.	Wynia
Carlson, L.	Jensen	Moe	Sieben, M.	Speaker Sabo
Casserly	Johnson	Munger	Simoneau	
Clark	Jude	Murphy	Skoglund	
Clawson	Kahn	Neisen	Smogard	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Albrecht	Dean	Gunter	Nelsen, B.	Searle
Anderson, D.	Erickson	Heinitz	Nelsen, M.	Searles
Anderson, R.	Esau	Kaley	Niehaus	Setzepfandt
Biersdorf	Evans	Kempe, R.	Osthoff	Wigley
Braun	Ewald	Knickerbocker	Peterson	Zubay
Carlson, A.	Fjoslien	Kvam	Pleasant	
Carlson, D.	Forsythe	Laidig	Rose	
Corbid	Friedrich	McDonald	Savelkoul	

The motion prevailed and the proposed amendment was referred to the Committee on Rules and Legislative Administration.

Savelkoul moved to amend the proposed permanent rules of the House, as printed in the Journal of the House for the Ninth day, by changing rule 6.1 to read as follows:

At the end of Rule 6.1, add a new sentence to read: "*Standing committee chairmen shall be appointed by the Speaker, with majority consent by a roll call vote of the respective standing committees at their first scheduled meeting.*".

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the adoption of the amendment and the roll was called. There were 39 yeas and 95 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Albrecht	Erickson	Jude	McDonald	Searle
Anderson, B.	Esau	Kaley	Nelsen, B.	Searles
Anderson, D.	Evans	Kelly, R.	Niehaus	Setzepfandt
Anderson, R.	Ewald	Kempe, R.	Osthoff	Sherwood
Biersdorf	Fjoslien	Knickerbocker	Peterson	Vanasek
Carlson, A.	Forsythe	Kostohryz	Pleasant	Wigley
Carlson, D.	Friedrich	Kvam	Rose	Zubay
Dean	Heinitz	Laidig	Savelkoul	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeln	Clawson	Jensen	Murphy	Simoneau
Adams	Cohen	Johnson	Neisen	Skoglund
Anderson, G.	Corbid	Kahn	Nelsen, M.	Smogard
Anderson, I.	Cummiskey	Kalis	Nelson	Spanish
Arlandson	Dahl	Kelly, W.	Norton	Stanton
Battaglia	Eckstein	Kempe, A.	Novak	Stoa
Beauchamp	Eken	King	Patton	Suss
Begich	Ellingson	Kroening	Pehler	Swanson
Berg	Enebo	Langseth	Petrafeso	Tomlinson
Berglin	Faricy	Lehto	Prahl	Voss
Berkelman	Fudro	Lemke	Reding	Waldorf
Birnstihl	Fugina	Mangan	Rice	Welch
Brandl	George	Mann	St. Onge	Wenstrom
Braun	Gunter	McCarron	Samuelson	Wenzel
Brinkman	Hanson	McCollar	Sarna	White
Byrne	Haugerud	McEachern	Scheid	Wieser
Carlson, L.	Hokanson	Metzen	Schulz	Williamson
Casserly	Jacobs	Moe	Sieben, H.	Wynia
Clark	Jaros	Munger	Sieben, M.	Speaker Sabo

The motion did not prevail and the proposed amendment was not adopted.

Savelkoul moved to amend the proposed permanent rules of the House, as printed in the Journal of the House for the Ninth day, by changing rule 6.4 to read as follows:

“6.4 [COMMITTEE PROCEDURES.] Meetings of all committees of the House shall be open to the public *in accordance with Minnesota Statutes, Section 471.705, the Minnesota open meeting law.*

A majority of members of any standing or special committee shall constitute a quorum.

The Rules of the House shall be observed in the committees wherever they are applicable.

Any member of any committee may demand a roll call on any bill, resolution or amendment before the committee. Only upon

such demand being made shall the roll be called and the vote of each member on the bill, resolution or amendment be recorded, together with the name of the member demanding the roll call.

A committee may reconsider any action so long as the matter remains in the possession of the committee. A committee member need not have voted with the prevailing side in order to move reconsideration.

At any time during the period in which a standing committee has possession of a bill the members of the committee may, by majority vote, order the preparation of a Revisor's Analysis of the bill. The Revisor's Analysis shall consist of a concise description of the terms of the bill and shall be provided by the Revisor of Statutes. If the Revisor's Analysis is ordered at the time the bill is given committee approval, the analysis shall accompany the committee report to the House and shall thereafter be attached to the printed bill by the Chief Clerk.

At any time during the period in which a standing committee has possession of a bill, the members of the committee may, by majority vote, order the preparation of a Fiscal Note. If a Fiscal Note is ordered at the time the bill is given committee approval, the Fiscal Note shall accompany the committee report to the House and shall thereafter be attached to the printed bill by the Chief Clerk. No Fiscal Note shall be ordered for any bill given committee approval within ten days of the end of a regular session in any year.

Neither a Revisor's Analysis nor a Fiscal Note shall be considered a part of a bill or any indication of legislative intent."

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the adoption of the amendment and the roll was called. There were 53 yeas and 80 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Adams	Cohen	Friedrich	Murphy	Searles
Albrecht	Dean	Heinitz	Nelsen, B.	Setzepfandt
Anderson, D.	Eckstein	Hokanson	Niehaus	Sherwood
Anderson, R.	Eken	Jude	Peterson	Vanasek
Berkelman	Erickson	Kaley	Pleasant	Wenstrom
Biersdorf	Esau	Kempe, A.	Prahl	Wieser
Brandl	Evans	Kempe, R.	Rose	Wigley
Byrne	Ewald	Knickerbocker	Sarna	Williamson
Carlson, A.	Faricy	Kvam	Savelkoul	Zubay
Carlson, D.	Fjoslien	Laidig	Scheid	
Carlson, L.	Forsythe	McDonald	Searle	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeln	Anderson, G.	Arlandson	Beauchamp	Berg
Anderson, B.	Anderson, I.	Battaglia	Begich	Berglin

Birnstihl	Hanson	Lehto	Novak	Smogard
Braun	Haugerud	Lemke	Osthoff	Spanish
Brinkman	Jacobs	Mangan	Patton	Stanton
Casserly	Jaros	Mann	Pehler	Stoa
Clark	Jensen	McCarron	Petrafeso	Suss
Clawson	Johnson	McCollar	Reding	Swanson
Corbid	Kahn	McEachern	Rice	Tomlinson
Dahl	Kalis	Metzen	St. Onge	Voss
Ellingson	Kelly, R.	Moe	Samuelson	Waldorf
Enebo	Kelly, W.	Munger	Schulz	Welch
Fudro	King	Neisen	Sieben, H.	Wenzel
Fugina	Kostohryz	Nelsen, M.	Sieben, M.	White
George	Kroening	Nelson	Simoneau	Wynia
Gunter	Langseth	Norton	Skoglund	Speaker Sabo

The motion did not prevail and the proposed amendment was not adopted.

Laidig moved to amend the proposed permanent rules of the House, as printed in the Journal of the House for the Ninth day, by adding a rule to read:

"1.19 [QUESTION TIME.] A period of up to one hour each day that the House convenes shall be set aside for the purpose of answering questions that have been submitted to and reviewed by the Chief Clerk. The questions shall:

- (1) seek information and not be hypothetical;*
- (2) not ask for an opinion or interpretation of a statute or law;*
- (3) not seek to embarrass or abuse an individual or department.*

The Administrative Department to which the question is referred shall have at least 48 hours prior to such session to prepare their response. Responses to questions and supplemental questions shall be limited to five minutes each.

The Speaker shall instruct the Chief Clerk in all matters relating to the Question Time."

Further amend rule 1.3 as follows:

After "(1) Presentation of petitions or other communications." insert "(2) Question Time."

Renumber the remaining order accordingly.

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

Laidig moved to amend the Laidig amendment as follows:

Line 4, after "*Clerk.*" delete "*The questions shall.*".

Delete lines 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9.

The motion prevailed and the amendment to the proposed amendment was adopted.

The question recurred on the adoption of the Laidig amendment as amended and the roll was called. There were 42 yeas and 92 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Albrecht	Carlson, D.	Forsythe	McDonald	Searles
Anderson, B.	Cohen	Friedrich	Nelsen, B.	Suss
Anderson, D.	Dean	Heinitz	Niehaus	Welch
Anderson, G.	Eckstein	Kaley	Novak	Wieser
Anderson, R.	Erickson	Knickerbocker	Peterson	Wigley
Berkelman	Esau	Kostohryz	Pleasant	Zubay
Biersdorf	Evans	Kvam	Rose	
Byrne	Ewald	Laidig	Savelkoul	
Carlson, A.	Fjoalien	Mann	Searle	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeln	Dahl	Kelly, R.	Nelson	Skoglund
Adams	Eken	Kelly, W.	Norton	Smogard
Anderson, I.	Ellingson	Kempe, A.	Osthoff	Spanish
Arlandson	Enebo	Kempe, R.	Patton	Stanton
Battaglia	Faricy	King	Pehler	Stoa
Beauchamp	Fudro	Kroening	Petrafeso	Swanson
Begich	Fugina	Langseth	Prahl	Tomlinson
Berg	George	Lehto	Reding	Vanasek
Berglin	Gunter	Lemke	Rice	Voss
Birnstihl	Hanson	Mangan	St. Onge	Waldorf
Brandl	Haugerud	McCarron	Samuelson	Wenstrom
Braun	Hokanson	McCollar	Sarna	Wenzel
Brinkman	Jacobs	McEachern	Scheid	White
Carlson, L.	Jaros	Metzen	Schulz	Williamson
Casserly	Jensen	Moe	Setzepfandt	Wynia
Clark	Johnson	Munger	Sherwood	Speaker Sabo
Clawson	Jude	Murphy	Sieben, H.	
Corbid	Kahn	Neisen	Sieben, M.	
Cummiskey	Kalis	Nelsen, M.	Simoneau	

The motion did not prevail and the proposed amendment as amended was not adopted.

Carlson, D., moved to amend the proposed permanent rules of the House, as printed in the Journal of the House for the Ninth day, by changing rule 8.1 to read as follows:

"8.1 [APPOINTMENT OF EMPLOYEES.] The Committee on Rules and Legislative Administration shall designate the position of and appoint each employee of the House and set the compensation of each officer and employee. A record of all such appointments, including positions and compensation, shall be

(KEPT IN THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF CLERK AND
SHALL BE OPEN FOR INSPECTION BY THE PUBLIC)
printed in the Journal of the House.

The Committee on Rules and Legislative Administration, by resolution, shall establish the procedure for filling vacancies when the legislature is not in session.

Any employee of the House may be assigned to other duties, suspended or discharged at any time by the Committee on Rules and Legislative Administration.

No employee of the House shall receive any pay, compensation, gratuity or reward over and above the salary named for the position except upon approval of a three-fourths vote of the whole House."

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the adoption of the amendment and the roll was called. There were 43 yeas and 89 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Albrecht	Erickson	Kaley	Nelsen, B.	Searles
Anderson, B.	Esau	Kalis	Niehaus	Setzepfandt
Anderson, D.	Evans	Kempe, R.	Patton	Suss
Anderson, R.	Ewald	Knickerbocker	Pehler	Voss
Biersdorf	Fjoslien	Kostohryz	Peterson	Welch
Carlson, A.	Forsythe	Kvam	Pleasant	Wigley
Carlson, D.	Friedrich	Laidig	Rose	Zubay
Dean	Gunter	McDonald	Savelkoul	
Eckstein	Heinitz	Murphy	Searle	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeln	Clawson	Johnson	Neisen	Simoneau
Adams	Cohen	Jude	Nelsen, M.	Skoglund
Anderson, G.	Corbid	Kahn	Nelson	Smogard
Anderson, I.	Cummiskey	Kelly, R.	Norton	Spanish
Arlandson	Dahl	Kelly, W.	Novak	Stanton
Battaglia	Eken	Kempe, A.	Osthoff	Stoa
Beauchamp	Ellingson	King	Petrafeso	Swanson
Begich	Enebo	Kroening	Prahl	Tomlinson
Berg	Farcy	Langseth	Reding	Vanasek
Berglin	Fudro	Lehto	Rice	Waldorf
Berkelman	Fugina	Lemke	St. Onge	Wenstrom
Birnstihl	George	Mangan	Samuelson	Wenzel
Braun	Hanson	Mann	Sarna	White
Brinkman	Haugerud	McCarron	Scheid	Wieser
Byrne	Hokanson	McCollar	Schulz	Williamson
Carlson, L.	Jacobs	McEachern	Sherwood	Wynia
Casserly	Jaros	Metzen	Sieben, H.	Speaker Sabo
Clark	Jensen	Moe	Sieben, M.	

The motion did not prevail and the proposed amendment was not adopted.

Savelkoul moved to amend the proposed permanent rules of the House, as printed in the Journal of the House for the Ninth day, by adding a rule to read:

“5.9 [FISCAL NOTES.] Each bill shall have attached to it a fiscal note stating the cost to the state or other units of government in the current and next biennium, if the legislation is enacted.”.

and changing Rule 6.4 to read:

“6.4 [COMMITTEE PROCEDURES.] Meetings of all committees of the House shall be open to the public.

A majority of members of any standing or special committee shall constitute a quorum.

The Rules of the House shall be observed in the committees wherever they are applicable.

Any member of any committee may demand a roll call on any bill, resolution or amendment before the committee. Only upon such demand being made shall the roll be called and the vote of each member of the bill, resolution or amendment be recorded, together with the name of the member demanding the roll call.

A committee may reconsider any action so long as the matter remains in the possession of the committee. A committee member need not have voted with the prevailing side in order to move reconsideration.

At any time during the period in which a standing committee has possession of a bill the members of the committee may, by majority vote, order the preparation of a Revisor's Analysis of the bill. The Revisor's Analysis shall consist of a concise description of the terms of the bill and shall be provided by the Revisor of Statutes. If the Revisor's Analysis is ordered at the time the bill is given committee approval, the analysis shall accompany the committee report to the House and shall thereafter be attached to the printed bill by the Chief Clerk.

At any time during the period in which a standing committee has possession of a bill, the members of the committee may, by majority vote, order the preparation of a *new or corrected* Fiscal Note. If a Fiscal Note is ordered at the time the bill is given committee approval, the Fiscal Note shall accompany the committee report to the House and shall thereafter be attached to the printed bill by the Chief Clerk. (NO FISCAL NOTE SHALL BE ORDERED FOR ANY BILL GIVEN COMMITTEE APPROVAL WITHIN TEN DAYS OF THE END OF A REGULAR SESSION IN ANY YEAR.)

Neither a Revisor's Analysis nor a Fiscal Note shall be considered a part of a bill or any indication of legislative intent."

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the adoption of the amendment and the roll was called. There were 32 yeas and 102 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Albrecht	Erickson	Heinitz	McDonald	Searle
Anderson, D.	Esau	Kaley	Nelsen, B.	Searles
Siersdorf	Evans	Kempe, R.	Niehaus	Wigley
Carlson, A.	Ewald	Knickerbocker	Peterson	Zubay
Carlson, D.	Fjoslien	Kvam	Pleasant	
Dean	Forsythe	Laidig	Rose	
Eckstein	Friedrich	Mann	Savelkoul	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeln	Clawson	Kahn	Nelson	Smogard
Adams	Cohen	Kalis	Norton	Spanish
Anderson, B.	Corbid	Kelly, R.	Novak	Stanton
Anderson, G.	Cummiskey	Kelly, W.	Osthoff	Stoa
Anderson, I.	Dahl	Kempe, A.	Patton	Suss
Anderson, R.	Eken	King	Pehler	Swanson
Arlandson	Ellingson	Kostohryz	Petrafeso	Tomlinson
Battaglia	Enebo	Kroening	Prahl	Vanasek
Beauchamp	Faricy	Langseth	Reding	Voss
Begich	Fudro	Lehto	Rice	Waldorf
Berg	Fugina	Lemke	St. Onge	Welch
Berglin	George	Mangan	Samuelson	Wenstrom
Berkelman	Gunter	McCarron	Sarna	Wenzel
Birnstihl	Hanson	McCollar	Scheid	White
Brandl	Haugerud	McEachern	Schulz	Wieser
Braun	Hokanson	Metzen	Setzepfandt	Williamson
Brinkman	Jacobs	Moe	Sherwood	Wynia
Byrne	Jaros	Munger	Sieben, H.	Speaker Sabo
Carlson, L.	Jensen	Murphy	Sieben, M.	
Casserly	Johnson	Neisen	Simoneau	
Clark	Jude	Nelsen, M.	Skoglund	

The motion did not prevail and the proposed amendment was not adopted.

Savelkoul moved to amend the proposed permanent rules of the House, as printed in the Journal of the House for the Ninth day, by adding a rule to read:

"9.6 [BUDGET RESOLUTION.] The House shall, within 60 days after the day of its assembly in regular session in the odd numbered year, adopt a budget resolution. The resolution shall state the maximum amount of money to be spent or otherwise transferred in the next biennium. No bill enacting an appropriation for the next biennium may be passed before the adoption of the resolution. A bill enacting an appropriation for the expiring biennium may be passed before the adoption of the resolution."

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the adoption of the amendment and the roll was called. There were 32 yeas and 101 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Albrecht	Erickson	Heinitz	Nelsen, B.	Searles
Anderson, D.	Esau	Kaley	Niehaus	Setzepfandt
Anderson, R.	Evans	Kenpe, R.	Peterson	Wigley
Biersdorf	Ewald	Knickerbocker	Pleasant	Zubay
Carlson, A.	Fjoslien	Kvam	Rose	
Dean	Forsythe	Laidig	Savelkoul	
Eckstein	Friedrich	McDonald	Searle	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeln	Clawson	Kalis	Nelson	Spanish
Adams	Cohen	Kelly, R.	Norton	Stanton
Anderson, B.	Corbid	Kelly, W.	Novak	Stoa
Anderson, G.	Cummiskey	Kempe, A.	Osthoff	Suss
Anderson, I.	Dahl	King	Patton	Swanson
Arlandson	Eken	Kostohryz	Pehler	Tomlinson
Battaglia	Ellingson	Kroening	Petraseso	Vanasek
Beauchamp	Enebo	Langseth	Prahl	Voss
Begich	Faricy	Lehto	Reding	Waldorf
Berg	Fudro	Lemke	Rice	Welch
Berglin	Fugina	Mangan	St. Onge	Wenstrom
Berkelman	George	Mann	Samuelson	Wenzel
Birnstihl	Gunter	McCarron	Sarna	White
Brandl	Hanson	McCollar	Scheid	Wieser
Braun	Haugerud	McEachern	Schulz	Williamson
Brinkman	Hokanson	Metzen	Sherwood	Wynia
Byrne	Jaros	Moe	Sieben, H.	Speaker Sabo
Carlson, D.	Jensen	Munger	Sieben, M.	
Carlson, L.	Johnson	Murphy	Simoneau	
Casserly	Jude	Neisen	Skoglund	
Clark	Kahn	Nelsen, M.	Smogard	

The motion did not prevail and the proposed amendment was not adopted.

St. Onge, Kostohryz, Eckstein, Lemke, Setzepfandt, Dahl, Kalis, Norton, Patton, Tomlinson and King moved to amend the proposed permanent rules of the House, as printed in the Journal of the House for the Ninth day, as follows:

Rule 4.11, line 4, after the word "desk" add the following: "*the sections to the left and right of the speaker's rostrum north of the side doors.*"

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

Carlson moved to amend the St. Onge amendment by deleting "sections" and insert "section" and after "the section to the" delete "left and".

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

Searle moved that the St. Onge amendment be referred to the Committee on Rules and Legislative Administration.

The motion prevailed and the proposed amendment was referred to the Committee on Rules and Legislative Administration.

The question recurred on the Anderson, I., motion that the proposed permanent rules of the House as printed in the Journal of the House for the Ninth day of the Seventieth Session be now adopted.

The roll was called and there were 132 yeas and 0 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Abein	Corbid	Johnson	Neisen	Sieben, H.
Adams	Cummiskey	Jude	Nelsen, B.	Sieben, M.
Albrecht	Dahl	Kahn	Nelsen, M.	Simoneau
Anderson, B.	Dean	Kaley	Nelson	Skoglund
Anderson, D.	Eckstein	Kalis	Niehaus	Smogard
Anderson, G.	Eken	Kelly, R.	Norton	Spanish
Anderson, I.	Ellingson	Kelly, W.	Novak	Stanton
Anderson, R.	Enebo	Kempe, A.	Osthoff	Stoa
Arlandson	Erickson	Kempe, R.	Patton	Suss
Battaglia	Esau	King	Pehler	Swanson
Beauchamp	Evans	Knickerbocker	Peterson	Tomlinson
Begich	Ewald	Kostohryz	Petrafeso	Vanasek
Berg	Faricy	Kroening	Pleasant	Voss
Berglin	Fjoslien	Laidig	Prahl	Waldorf
Berkelman	Forsythe	Langseth	Reding	Welch
Biersdorf	Friedrich	Lehto	Rice	Wenstrom
Birnstihl	Fudro	Lemke	Rose	Wenzel
Brandl	Fugina	Mangan	St. Onge	White
Braun	George	Mann	Samuelson	Wieser
Brinkman	Gunter	McCarron	Sarna	Wigley
Byrne	Hanson	McCollar	Savelkoul	Williamson
Carlson, A.	Haugerud	McDonald	Scheid	Wynia
Carlson, D.	Heinitz	McEachern	Schulz	Zubay
Carlson, L.	Hokanson	Metzen	Searle	Speaker Sabo
Casserly	Jacobs	Moe	Searles	
Clark	Jaros	Munger	Setzepfandt	
Clawson	Jensen	Murphy	Sherwood	

The motion prevailed and the permanent rules of the House for the Seventieth Session were adopted.

MOTIONS AND RESOLUTIONS

Anderson, I., moved that the names of Prahl, Fugina and Battaglia be added as authors on House Resolution No. 3. The motion prevailed.

Anderson, I., moved that the names of Fugina, Battaglia, and Carlson, D., be added as authors on H. F. No. 105. The motion prevailed.