

SF1067 - 0 - Cold Case Homicide Review Process Established

Chief Author: **Jim Carlson**
 Committee: **Judiciary And Public Safety**
 Date Completed: **2/28/2025 4:17:45 PM**
 Lead Agency: **Public Safety Dept**
 Other Agencies:
 Corrections Dept Metropolitan Council
 Natural Resources Dept University Of Minnesota

State Fiscal Impact	Yes	No
Expenditures	X	
Fee/Departmental Earnings		X
Tax Revenue		X
Information Technology	X	
Local Fiscal Impact	X	

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative.
 Reductions shown in the parentheses.

State Cost (Savings)		Biennium		Biennium	
Dollars in Thousands	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
Public Safety Dept					
General Fund	-	2,685	3,141	3,141	3,141
State Total					
General Fund	-	2,685	3,141	3,141	3,141
Total	-	2,685	3,141	3,141	3,141
Biennial Total			5,826		6,282

Full Time Equivalent Positions (FTE)		Biennium		Biennium	
	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
Public Safety Dept					
General Fund	-	11.5	13	13	13
Total	-	11.5	13	13	13

Lead LBO Analyst's Comment

I have reviewed this fiscal note for reasonableness of content and consistency with the LBO's Uniform Standards and Procedures.

LBO Signature: Laura Cecko **Date:** 2/28/2025 4:17:45 PM
Phone: 651-284-6543 **Email:** laura.cecko@lbo.mn.gov

State Cost (Savings) Calculation Details

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative.
 Reductions are shown in parentheses.

*Transfers In/Out and Absorbed Costs are only displayed when reported.

State Cost (Savings) = 1-2		Biennium		Biennium	
Dollars in Thousands	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
Public Safety Dept					
General Fund	-	2,685	3,141	3,141	3,141
Total	-	2,685	3,141	3,141	3,141
Biennial Total			5,826		6,282
1 - Expenditures, Absorbed Costs*, Transfers Out*					
Public Safety Dept					
General Fund					
Expenditures	-	2,865	3,141	3,141	3,141
Absorbed Costs	-	(180)	-	-	-
Total	-	2,685	3,141	3,141	3,141
Biennial Total			5,826		6,282
2 - Revenues, Transfers In*					
Public Safety Dept					
General Fund					
	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
Biennial Total			-		-

SF1067 - 0 - Cold Case Homicide Review Process Established

Chief Author: **Jim Carlson**
 Committee: **Judiciary And Public Safety**
 Date Completed: **2/28/2025 4:17:45 PM**
 Agency: **Public Safety Dept**

State Fiscal Impact	Yes	No
Expenditures	X	
Fee/Departmental Earnings		X
Tax Revenue		X
Information Technology	X	
Local Fiscal Impact	X	

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative.
 Reductions shown in the parentheses.

State Cost (Savings)		Biennium		Biennium	
Dollars in Thousands	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
General Fund	-	2,685	3,141	3,141	3,141
Total	-	2,685	3,141	3,141	3,141
Biennial Total			5,826		6,282

Full Time Equivalent Positions (FTE)		Biennium		Biennium	
	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
General Fund	-	11.5	13	13	13
Total	-	11.5	13	13	13

LBO Analyst's Comment

I have reviewed this fiscal note for reasonableness of content and consistency with the LBO's Uniform Standards and Procedures.

LBO Signature: Laura Cecko **Date:** 2/28/2025 4:08:06 PM
Phone: 651-284-6543 **Email:** laura.cecko@lbo.mn.gov

State Cost (Savings) Calculation Details

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative. Reductions are shown in parentheses.

*Transfers In/Out and Absorbed Costs are only displayed when reported.

State Cost (Savings) = 1-2		Biennium		Biennium	
Dollars in Thousands	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
General Fund	-	2,685	3,141	3,141	3,141
Total	-	2,685	3,141	3,141	3,141
Biennial Total			5,826		6,282
1 - Expenditures, Absorbed Costs*, Transfers Out*					
General Fund					
Expenditures	-	2,865	3,141	3,141	3,141
Absorbed Costs	-	(180)	-	-	-
Total	-	2,685	3,141	3,141	3,141
Biennial Total			5,826		6,282
2 - Revenues, Transfers In*					
General Fund	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
Biennial Total			-		-

Bill Description

The bill creates a formal application process for state and local law enforcement agencies to review and possibly reinvestigate certain cold case homicides within their jurisdictions. Cold cases, for purposes of the bill, are defined as unsolved homicides happening at least three years before the application for review, but not earlier than January 1, 1970.

INITIAL CASE REVIEW

An immediate family member (to include a parent, parent-in-law, grandparent, grandparent-in-law, sibling, spouse, child, or stepchild) of a victim may request a review of a cold case. Each state and local law enforcement agency is to develop a written application form for the making of such requests.

Upon receipt of the written application for review, the head of the state or local law enforcement agency shall review the case file to determine if a full reinvestigation is warranted. The review must not be conducted by a person who previously investigated the homicide. The review must specifically encompass:

Only one case file review shall be undertaken at any one time with respect to the same cold case homicide victim.

An agency requested to review a cold case file has six months to do so. The agency may extend the time period once, for up to six months, if the number of cold case files to be reviewed make it impractical to comply without unreasonably taking resources from other law enforcement activities. If the extension is invoked, written notice must be given to the family member requesting the cold case review.

An agency conducting a case file review must consult with the requesting family member and provide periodic updates. At the conclusion of the review, the agency must meet with the family member to discuss the evidence and explain to the person its decision whether or not to engage in a full reinvestigation of the homicide.

REINVESTIGATION (IF WARRANTED)

The agency must conduct a full reinvestigation of the cold case if the initial case file review reveals that a reinvestigation would result in probative investigative leads. The full reinvestigation must include analyzing all evidence for the purpose of developing probative leads or identifying a likely perpetrator. The reinvestigation must not be conducted by a person who

previously investigated the homicide. The family member who requested the cold case review must be consulted and updated periodically during the reinvestigation.

MULTIPLE AGENCIES / SUBSEQUENT REVIEWS

If an agency's initial case file review determines that reinvestigation is not warranted, another case file review need not be undertaken for five years (barring new evidence). If a reinvestigation is undertaken but does not solve the crime, another reinvestigation or case file review need not be undertaken for five years (barring new evidence).

In a case where more than one agency conducted the initial investigation of a cold case homicide, each agency shall coordinate their case file review or full reinvestigation so that there is only one joint case file review or full reinvestigation occurring at a time.

ANNUAL REPORTING

By January 30 of each year, state and local law enforcement agencies shall report to the Bureau of Criminal Apprehension (BCA) the number of applications they received for cold case reviews, the number of case file reviews conducted, and the results of any reinvestigations. By March 15 of each year, the BCA shall publish a summary of the reports it receives from law enforcement agencies, such summary to be posted on the BCA website and provided to the Legislature.

Assumptions

The BCA is a state law enforcement agency with statewide jurisdiction. BCA estimates that there might be approximately 2,000 Minnesota cold case homicides, as defined in this bill, based on the tracking of these cases by the BCA's Forensic Science Services (FSS) division (see below for more detail).

Data Reporting

BCA would have local law enforcement agencies utilize the Supplemental Reporting System (SRS) for the data reporting provisions of this bill. Updates would need to be made to this system to create the capability for state and local law enforcement agencies to report to the BCA the number of applications they received for cold case reviews, the number of case file reviews conducted, and the results of any reinvestigations, as well as the capability to generate information for the required annual report. BCA anticipates that the required Supplemental Reporting System functionality changes will be similar to changes made to implement No Knock Warrants and Use of Force reporting requirements that were passed in recent years.

To make required changes to add reporting capability through the SRS, the technical team estimated the planning, analysis, development, and testing to complete the system work will take place over the course of 4.5 months and will require an estimated 3,600 hours of expert professional/technical hours which will be a combination of IT contractor and existing BCA Information Technology Specialist (ITS) FTE resources.

BCA File Review and Reinvestigation Assistance

The BCA assisted local law enforcement in the initial investigation of approximately 200 homicides that are now cold cases as defined by this bill. BCA assumes that cold case reviews called for in this legislation will generally and primarily be conducted by the local law enforcement agency where the homicide occurred, but also that BCA will possibly or likely be asked by local agencies to assist with case file reviews and any reinvestigations by as many as 80% of these. Family members might also request that BCA, rather than the local law enforcement agency, conduct the case review (and possible reinvestigation) called for in this bill for those cold cases that BCA worked on initially. Under this bill, family members may request a cold case file review, with the possibility of reinvestigation, every five years. BCA assumes that initial requests for cold case file reviews and reinvestigations will be a significant percent statewide.

For any cold cases in which BCA is tasked with case file review, it is estimated that the analysis will take approximately 160 hours total between a Special Agent (120 hours) and a Criminal Intelligence Analyst (40 hours). Any re-investigation or additional investigation found to be warranted is expected to take minimally an additional 160 hours total between a Special Agent (120 hours) and a Criminal Intelligence Analyst (40 hours).

BCA anticipates that it will receive an average of 30-40 applications for cold case file reviews each year, with a spike of possibly up to 50% occurring in the first year, but this is based on anecdotal information that most families of cold case victims still have a strong desire for closure and would like to see these cases reinvestigated. However, we have no way to accurately assess these numbers. It is also possible that BCA will be requested to assist with reviews and investigations in areas that BCA would not traditionally investigate such as Minneapolis and St. Paul. These assumptions would require at least ten additional FTEs in the roles of Special Agent in Charge, Assistant Special Agent in Charge, Special Agent, and Criminal Intelligence Analyst.

The Special Agent in Charge (SAIC) position will provide overall direction and coordination of requests for case reviews, assign the case reviews, and coordinate with local, state and federal agencies on how the case reviews and subsequent investigations will be assigned for this new unit. The Assistant Special Agent in Charge (ASAIC) position will work with the agents and criminal intelligence analysts and provide front line supervision, both administratively and in the field, for both case review and follow up. Both the SAIC and ASAIC positions will provide administrative duties for the unit along with assuring adherence to policy and accreditation standards.

BCA assumes the Special Agent and Criminal Intelligence Analyst will be broken out as follows (these hours do not include prosecutorial tasks):

Special Agent Hours:

120 special agent (1) hours x 40 case reviews / 2088hrs = 2.3 FTE

120 special agent (2) hours x 40 additional investigation / 2088hrs = 4.6 FTE

Agent Total: 6.9 (rounded down to 6 FTE assuming the SAIC and ASAIC could also assist as needed)

Criminal Intelligence Analyst Hours:

40 analyst (1) hours x 40 case reviews / 2088hrs = .76 FTE

40 analyst (1) hours x 40 additional investigation support / 2088hrs = .76 FTE

Analyst Total: 1.52 (rounded up to 2 FTE)

Forensic Science Services

The BCA Forensic Science Service (FSS) currently provides scientific analysis in criminal cases investigated by the BCA and from all 87 counties of the state of Minnesota. Between 1970 and 2021, the BCA FSS received approximately 3,000 cases involving homicide for forensic analysis. This represents approximately 50% of the reported homicides within that period based on the MN BCA Uniform Crime Report and Historical Index. The submission rate has increased in the last decade to approximately 73%.

The number of homicide cases that remain unsolved in Minnesota that occurred between 1970 and 2021 is estimated to be around 2,000 cases with clearance rates fluctuating over time. This number will be used to represent the current maximum estimated number of cases that may be eligible for review, reinvestigation; and therefore, additional forensic analysis for this fiscal note.

Due to significant developments in DNA technology, the majority of additional forensic testing appropriate for cold cases involves DNA testing with less demand for other forensic disciplines. Anticipated testing on cases identified will be limited to DNA testing and the associated additional resources needed. Other testing such as latent print analysis or firearm examinations may also be needed but will likely not constitute a large increase in testing demand.

Based on the results from a previous cold case review grant project conducted between 2011 and 2013, approximately 30% of the cold case homicides reviewed were determined to have biological evidence remaining and available for testing. The cases reviewed for this project primarily had offense dates before the year 2010. Given the significant increase in awareness for the use of forensic DNA testing, storage and retention of evidence has greatly improved since

then. The rate of evidence availability is much higher in recent years, so BCA is assuming that this could exceed 60% between 2011 and present day. This is evident in the increase in submission rates for testing mentioned above. These percentages were applied to estimated number of unsolved cases since 1970 to determine how many of the 2,000 cases would be likely to have evidence available for biological analysis (30% of 1,637 cases between 1970-2010; 60% of 373 cases between 2011-2021). The total is 715 cases, with new cases added as they might meet the bill's cold case definition.

Current DNA technology used to generate general leads in cold cases across the country typically involve familial DNA searches as well as forensic investigative genetic genealogy (FIGG). It is estimated that at least one of these approaches would be applied to at least half the cases submitted. The BCA FSS is currently able to provide familial DNA searching. The BCA FSS is currently able to consult on cases that may warrant FIGG and will assist in securing external vendors to provide this service. Estimated costs are based on 30 cases being subjected to familial searching and 30 cases being sent to external providers for FIGG per year.

The BCA forensic services personnel will continue to advise law enforcement partners on new forensic procedures and capabilities applicable to cold cases. These activities will require a lead scientist to process the requests from the BCA Investigations Division as well as all external partner agencies across the 87 counties of the state as they meet the requirement to examine whether all appropriate forensic testing and analysis was performed and whether additional testing would produce relevant information. This lead position will also consult on evidence review and testing, coordinate external testing needs, and administratively lead the team assigned to these cases. The team will require an additional two FTEs at a minimum to conduct the DNA evaluation, extraction, profiling, interpretation, and database searches and reporting. The capacity of one FTE when addressing complex cold cases is estimated at 5 cases per month for a total of 60 cases per year. The estimated minimum caseload of 715 cases could be tested over a three-year period with additional case requests anticipated as new cases meet the criteria. It is not possible to predict when these cases will be submitted for additional forensic testing so BCA is assuming a 6-month delay for any cases to be submitted for additional forensic testing as agencies assess their investigations at the local level. This should lead to a rolling submission of cases. The lead scientist position plus 2 additional FTEs are estimated to complete 120 cases per year.

There are absorbed costs that are within the scope of current information technology programming that total \$179,640 in FY 2026.

Expenditure and/or Revenue Formula

Modifications to the Supplemental Reporting System (SRS)

The system development and technical modifications are expected to require approximately 4.5 months to complete and cost approximately \$126,000 for one-time contractor resources and \$180,000 for current BCA ITS FTEs. Work would require the following existing FTEs and additional contract resources:

BCA Case File Reviews, Reinvestigations, and Assistance to Local Agencies

Ancillary costs for vehicles, radios, phones, space, equipment, training, and computers/software are calculated at \$37,000 per year for sworn personnel and \$19,300 for analysts.

Forensic Science Services

Expenditures					
DESCRIPTION		FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029

Position classification:	AMOUNT / FTE				
1 - Special Agent in Charge	\$271,679	\$271,679	\$271,679	\$271,679	\$271,679
1 - Assistant Special Agent	\$249,486	\$249,486	\$249,486	\$249,486	\$249,486
6 - Special Agents	\$231,165	\$1,386,990	\$1,386,990	\$1,386,990	\$1,386,990
2 - Criminal Intelligence Analysts	\$139,884	\$279,768	\$279,768	\$279,768	\$279,768
1 - DNA Forensic Scientist 3	\$163,548	\$81,774	\$163,548	\$163,548	\$163,548
2 - DNA Forensic Scientists	\$129,801	\$129,801	\$259,602	\$259,602	\$259,602
Total, FTE staff		11.50	13.00	13.00	13.00
Subtotal, FTE expenditures		\$2,399,498	\$2,611,073	\$2,611,073	\$2,611,073
Other expenditures:		AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT
Contract developer		\$90,000			
Contract quality assurance		\$36,000			
Training and Continuing Education			\$5,100	\$5,100	\$5,100
DNA Testing Supplies		\$60,000	\$120,000	\$120,000	\$120,000
Familial Searching Supplies		\$15,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000
External Testing		\$25,500	\$255,000	\$255,000	\$255,000
Software Licenses		\$39,000			
Lab/Analysis Equipment		\$30,750			
Subtotal, other		\$296,250	\$530,100	\$530,100	\$530,100
Total, all expenditures		\$2,695,748	\$3,141,173	\$3,141,173	\$3,141,173

Absorbed Costs					
DESCRIPTION		FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
		AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT
Information Technology Specialist 5 (Developers)]		\$105,120			
Information Technology Specialist 5 (Project Manager)		\$26,280			
Information Technology Specialist 4 (Quality Assurance Analyst)		\$48,240			
Total		\$179,640	\$0	\$0	\$0

Long-Term Fiscal Considerations

Local Fiscal Impact

The bill would have an undetermined cost to local law enforcement agencies depending on the number of cold cases they are called upon to reexamine and possibly reinvestigate.

References/Sources

Agency Contact: Drew Evans

Agency Fiscal Note Coordinator Signature: Brian Awsumb

Phone: 651-539-3387

Date: 2/28/2025 4:02:08 PM

Email: brian.awsumb@state.mn.us

SF1067 - 0 - Cold Case Homicide Review Process Established

Chief Author: **Jim Carlson**
 Committee: **Judiciary And Public Safety**
 Date Completed: **2/28/2025 4:17:45 PM**
 Agency: **Corrections Dept**

State Fiscal Impact	Yes	No
Expenditures		X
Fee/Departmental Earnings		X
Tax Revenue		X
Information Technology		X
Local Fiscal Impact		X

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative.
 Reductions shown in the parentheses.

State Cost (Savings)		Biennium			Biennium	
Dollars in Thousands		FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
Total		-	-	-	-	-
Biennial Total				-		-

Full Time Equivalent Positions (FTE)		Biennium			Biennium	
		FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
Total		-	-	-	-	-

LBO Analyst's Comment

I have reviewed this fiscal note for reasonableness of content and consistency with the LBO's Uniform Standards and Procedures.

LBO Signature: Susan Nelson **Date:** 2/14/2025 9:48:25 AM
Phone: 651-296-6054 **Email:** susan.nelson@lbo.mn.gov

State Cost (Savings) Calculation Details

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative. Reductions are shown in parentheses.

*Transfers In/Out and Absorbed Costs are only displayed when reported.

State Cost (Savings) = 1-2		Biennium			Biennium	
Dollars in Thousands		FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
Total		-	-	-	-	-
Biennial Total				-		-
1 - Expenditures, Absorbed Costs*, Transfers Out*						
Total		-	-	-	-	-
Biennial Total				-		-
2 - Revenues, Transfers In*						
Total		-	-	-	-	-
Biennial Total				-		-

Bill Description

SF 1067 is a bill providing for a process to review cold case homicides per the request of certain family members and to potentially conduct reinvestigations of these cases. The bill also requires that each agency submit an annual report to the Bureau of Criminal Apprehension describing actions taken and results achieved.

Assumptions

The department projects that it has very few cold case homicides in general, and that prior to statute change in 2023, if a homicide did occur, it was usually handed over to the Bureau of Criminal Apprehension within the Department of Public Safety for investigation.

Two years ago, the department received the authority to perform investigations through its Office of Special Investigations (OSI), but the only homicides OSI has been tasked with investigating have been overdose deaths so far.

The department does not project that this bill would have a fiscal impact for any other unit's operations within the department.

Expenditure and/or Revenue Formula

The department projects that this bill would have a minimal fiscal impact on department operations.

Long-Term Fiscal Considerations

N/A

Local Fiscal Impact

N/A

References/Sources

Department of Corrections staff

Agency Contact: Mark Besonen

Agency Fiscal Note Coordinator Signature: Mark Besonen

Date: 2/14/2025 9:41:57 AM

Phone: 651-361-7200

Email: mark.besonen@state.mn.us

SF1067 - 0 - Cold Case Homicide Review Process Established

Chief Author: **Jim Carlson**
 Committee: **Judiciary And Public Safety**
 Date Completed: **2/28/2025 4:17:45 PM**
 Agency: **Metropolitan Council**

State Fiscal Impact	Yes	No
Expenditures		X
Fee/Departmental Earnings		X
Tax Revenue		X
Information Technology		X
Local Fiscal Impact		X

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative.
 Reductions shown in the parentheses.

State Cost (Savings)		Biennium			Biennium	
Dollars in Thousands		FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
Total		-	-	-	-	-
Biennial Total				-		-

Full Time Equivalent Positions (FTE)		Biennium			Biennium	
		FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
Total		-	-	-	-	-

LBO Analyst's Comment

I have reviewed this fiscal note for reasonableness of content and consistency with the LBO's Uniform Standards and Procedures.

LBO Signature: Laura Cecko **Date:** 2/28/2025 4:13:35 PM
Phone: 651-284-6543 **Email:** laura.cecko@lbo.mn.gov

State Cost (Savings) Calculation Details

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative. Reductions are shown in parentheses.

*Transfers In/Out and Absorbed Costs are only displayed when reported.

State Cost (Savings) = 1-2		Biennium			Biennium	
Dollars in Thousands		FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
Total		-	-	-	-	-
Biennial Total				-		-
1 - Expenditures, Absorbed Costs*, Transfers Out*						
Total		-	-	-	-	-
Biennial Total				-		-
2 - Revenues, Transfers In*						
Total		-	-	-	-	-
Biennial Total				-		-

Bill Description

Section 1.[299C.85] COLD CASEHOMICIDES; REVIEWS; REINVESTIGATIONS.¿

Subd. 2. Initial review. (a) The head of an agency shall review the case file regarding¿ a cold case homicide within the agency's jurisdiction upon the receipt of a written application¿ submitted by an authorized person to determine if a full reinvestigation of the case would¿ result in either the identification of probative investigative leads or a likely perpetrator. The Initial review includes a number of requirements.

Subd. 3. Application. Each agency shall develop a written application to be used for¿ authorized persons to request a case file review under subdivision 2.¿

Subd. 4. Full reinvestigation. (a) The agency shall conduct a full reinvestigation of the¿ cold case homicide at issue if the review of the case file required by subdivision 2 concludes¿ that a full reinvestigation of the cold case homicide would result in probative investigative¿ leads.

¿ Subd. 5. Consultation and updates. (a) An agency conducting a case file review or full¿ reinvestigation under this section shall consult with the authorized person who filed the¿ written application for review and provide the person with periodic updates during the case¿ file review and full reinvestigation.¿

Subd. 6. Subsequent reviews. (a) If a case file review is conducted and a conclusion is¿ reached not to conduct a full reinvestigation, no additional case file review is required to¿ be undertaken with respect to that case for a period of five years, unless there is newly¿ discovered, materially significant evidence. An agency may continue an investigation absent¿ an authorized person's application.¿

Subd. 7. Withholding information. Nothing in this section requires an agency to provide¿ information to an authorized person that would endanger the safety of any person,¿ unreasonably impede an ongoing investigation, violate a court order, or violate legal¿ obligations regarding privacy.¿

Subd. 8. Multiple agencies. In a case where more than one agency conducted the initial¿ investigation of a cold case homicide, each agency shall coordinate their case file review¿ or full reinvestigation so that there is only one joint case file review or full reinvestigation¿ occurring at a time.¿

Subd. 9. Applicability. This section applies to cold case homicides occurring on or after January 1, 1970.

Subd. 10. Annual report. (a) By January 30 of each year, each agency shall submit to the Bureau of Criminal Apprehension in a manner determined by the bureau a report describing actions taken and results achieved under this section during the previous calendar year.

Assumptions

This bill was reviewed and will have no impact on the Metro Transit Police Department.

Expenditure and/or Revenue Formula

Long-Term Fiscal Considerations

NA

Local Fiscal Impact

NA

References/Sources

Ed Petrie, MT Finance Director 612 812 2714

Agency Contact: Ed Petrie, MT Finance Director 612 812 2714

Agency Fiscal Note Coordinator Signature: Stewart McMullan

Phone: 651-602-1374

Date: 2/13/2025 10:38:29 AM

Email: stewart.mcmullan@metc.state.mn.us

SF1067 - 0 - Cold Case Homicide Review Process Established

Chief Author: **Jim Carlson**
 Committee: **Judiciary And Public Safety**
 Date Completed: **2/28/2025 4:17:45 PM**
 Agency: **Natural Resources Dept**

State Fiscal Impact	Yes	No
Expenditures		X
Fee/Departmental Earnings		X
Tax Revenue		X
Information Technology		X
Local Fiscal Impact		X

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative.
 Reductions shown in the parentheses.

State Cost (Savings)		Biennium			Biennium	
Dollars in Thousands		FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
Total		-	-	-	-	-
Biennial Total				-		-

Full Time Equivalent Positions (FTE)		Biennium			Biennium	
		FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
Total		-	-	-	-	-

LBO Analyst's Comment

I have reviewed this fiscal note for reasonableness of content and consistency with the LBO's Uniform Standards and Procedures.

LBO Signature: Jim Carlson **Date:** 2/18/2025 3:44:40 PM
Phone: 651-284-6540 **Email:** jim.carlson@lbo.mn.gov

State Cost (Savings) Calculation Details

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative. Reductions are shown in parentheses.

*Transfers In/Out and Absorbed Costs are only displayed when reported.

State Cost (Savings) = 1-2		Biennium			Biennium	
Dollars in Thousands		FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
	Total	-	-	-	-	-
	Biennial Total			-		-
1 - Expenditures, Absorbed Costs*, Transfers Out*						
	Total	-	-	-	-	-
	Biennial Total			-		-
2 - Revenues, Transfers In*						
	Total	-	-	-	-	-
	Biennial Total			-		-

Bill Description

This bill would establish a requirement to review cold case files for certain crimes.

This bill would establish a requirement to test evidence involved in certain crime cases.

Assumptions

Department of Natural Resources (DNR) would expect no fiscal costs with the implementation of this legislation. DNR does not investigate homicides as they are turned over to the local sheriff's office, police department, or other state agencies depending on jurisdiction.

Any assisting requests from other agencies would have a minimal cost to the DNR.

Expenditure and/or Revenue Formula

none

Long-Term Fiscal Considerations

none

Local Fiscal Impact

none

References/Sources

none

Agency Contact: Lt. Colonel Robert Gorecki (218) 232-1115

Agency Fiscal Note Coordinator Signature: Tyler Teggatz

Phone: 651-259-5304

Date: 2/18/2025 10:03:53 AM

Email: tyler.teggatz@state.mn.us

SF1067 - 0 - Cold Case Homicide Review Process Established

Chief Author: **Jim Carlson**
 Committee: **Judiciary And Public Safety**
 Date Completed: **2/28/2025 4:17:45 PM**
 Agency: **University Of Minnesota**

State Fiscal Impact	Yes	No
Expenditures		X
Fee/Departmental Earnings		X
Tax Revenue		X
Information Technology		X
Local Fiscal Impact		X

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative.
 Reductions shown in the parentheses.

State Cost (Savings)		Biennium			Biennium	
Dollars in Thousands		FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
Total		-	-	-	-	-
Biennial Total				-		-

Full Time Equivalent Positions (FTE)		Biennium			Biennium	
		FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
Total		-	-	-	-	-

LBO Analyst's Comment

I have reviewed this fiscal note for reasonableness of content and consistency with the LBO's Uniform Standards and Procedures.

LBO Signature: Steve McDaniel **Date:** 2/28/2025 2:23:13 PM
Phone: 651-284-6437 **Email:** steve.mcdaniel@lbo.mn.gov

State Cost (Savings) Calculation Details

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative. Reductions are shown in parentheses.

*Transfers In/Out and Absorbed Costs are only displayed when reported.

State Cost (Savings) = 1-2		Biennium			Biennium	
Dollars in Thousands		FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
Total		-	-	-	-	-
Biennial Total				-		-
1 - Expenditures, Absorbed Costs*, Transfers Out*						
Total		-	-	-	-	-
Biennial Total				-		-
2 - Revenues, Transfers In*						
Total		-	-	-	-	-
Biennial Total				-		-

Bill Description

This bill would establish a requirement to review cold case files for certain crimes and a requirement to test evidence involved in certain crime cases.

Assumptions

The University of Minnesota expects no fiscal impact from this legislation. The University of Minnesota does not investigate cold case homicides as they are turned over to the local police department or other agencies depending on jurisdiction.

Expenditure and/or Revenue Formula**Long-Term Fiscal Considerations****Local Fiscal Impact****References/Sources**

Captain Erik Swanson, University of Minnesota Police Department

Agency Contact: Keeya Steel

Agency Fiscal Note Coordinator Signature: Keeya Steel

Phone: 612-625-5512

Date: 2/28/2025 8:44:47 AM

Email: keeya@umn.edu