

March 19, 2025

The Honorable Liz Bolden Assistant Majority Leader Minnesota Senate 3205 Minnesota Senate Building St. Paul, MN 55155

Re: SF 2691

Dear Senator Bolden:

The Legal Services Advocacy Project (LSAP) writes in support of SF 2691. LSAP is a statewide division of Mid-Minnesota Legal Aid, providing policy, legislative, and administrative advocacy on behalf of Legal Aid statewide. Legal Aid's clients include Minnesotans who have low incomes, elderly Minnesotans and Minnesotans with disabilities.

Of particular importance are the provisions in SF 2691 that restore the "reasonable rent" standard that was inexplicably eliminated in a dubious court decision and that address the serious problems that Legal Aid clients have experienced with the digital payment platforms.

The Legislature Intended that Rent Increases in Manufactured Home Parks Must Be Reasonable

In 1982, the Minnesota Legislature created Chapter 327C which governs, in conjunction with Chapter 504B, manufactured home lot rentals. One critical element provision of the 1982 law recognized that, since "mobile" homes are not really mobile and, unlike renters of apartment units who can easily move, leases signed at the outset govern throughout. However, certain changes can be made but they cannot constitute "a substantial modification of the original agreement."

When these rules of the road were created, the Legislature made clear that rents were one of those things that could change but that rents had to be reasonable, providing that "[a] reasonable rent increase made in compliance with section 327C.06 is not a substantial modification of the rental agreement." This reasonableness standard stood firm for 28 years until Minnesota Court of Appeals strangely ignored this unequivocal legislative intent.

In the 2010 case that turned the legislature's intent on its head, a resident association brought an action against an owner of a manufactured home park, claiming that proposed rent increase of about 25% violated Minnesota law. Through a tortured reasoning route, the connected dots that do not exist, claiming that, though the reasonableness requirement was unequivocally articulated in section 327.02, the word "reasonable" is not in section 327C.06 (the section describing rent increases), thus thwarting clear legislative intent and harming residents.

The language on Line 3.24 that provides – in section 327C.06 – that "[a] rent increase must be reasonable" restores justice, fairness, and, importantly, the original legislative intent to strike the balance between resident rights and park owner requirements to cover their costs and meet their obligations as responsible lessors.

Park Owners Using Digital Payment Platforms Must Offer an Alternative

More and more lessors are requiring lessees to use online, digital platforms ("portals") to pay rent, communicate repair requests, and post documents, like the lease. With the rapid rise in these digital platforms has been the concomitant rise in technological problems that render the portals inoperable. What used to be personal is now impersonal and digital, and when systems go down, rent payments cannot be made and tenants have little options.

Worse, these portals are operated not by the landlords themselves but by third party operators who have no legal or contractual relationship with the tenants. When there is a problem, the burden is often shifted to the tenant to contact the third-party vendor to get the problem fixed. These conditions are unacceptable and need to be addressed.

SF 2691 addresses this problem by ensuring that when these systems don't work, alternatives must be provided and that the failures of these systems cannot result in adverse actions against the tenant for problems that they had no part in creating and no ability to overcome are paramount in the bill.

In sum, thank you for authoring SF 2691 and bringing these two issues facing residents of manufactured home parks — restoring a reasonable rent standard and addressing the mounting and frustrating problems with portals — to the forefront.

Sincerely,

Ron Elwood

Supervising Attorney

Ron Elwark