

SENATE
STATE OF MINNESOTA
NINETY-FOURTH SESSION

S.F. No. 570

(SENATE AUTHORS: HAUSCHILD, Farnsworth, Frentz, Kupec and Hoffman)		
DATE	D-PG	OFFICIAL STATUS
01/23/2025	162	Introduction and first reading Referred to Environment, Climate, and Legacy
03/24/2025	1023	Author stricken Eichorn
04/07/2025	1578a	Comm report: To pass as amended and re-refer to State and Local Government
	1715	Author added Hoffman
05/05/2025	4384	Comm report: To pass and re-referred to Finance Pursuant to Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 4, referred to Rules and Administration
05/13/2025	4946	Comm report: Adopt previous comm report Senate Concurrent Resolution 4 suspended See First Special Session, SF3

1.1

A bill for an act

1.2

relating to environment; modifying permitting efficiency reporting requirements;

1.3

improving the efficiency of the environmental and resource management permit

1.4

application process; requiring the Pollution Control Agency to issue separate

1.5

permits for the construction and operation of certain facilities; modifying the

1.6

expedited permitting process of the Pollution Control Agency; requiring petitioners

1.7

for environmental assessment worksheets to reside in the affected or adjoining

1.8

counties; authorizing local governments to begin reviewing feedlot permit

1.9

applications before environmental review is complete; eliminating scoping

1.10

environmental assessment worksheet requirements for projects requiring an

1.11

environmental impact statement; requiring modification of the state implementation

1.12

plan; requiring reports; appropriating money; amending Minnesota Statutes 2024,

1.13

sections 116.03, subdivision 2b; 116.07, subdivisions 4a, 4d; 116D.04, subdivisions

1.14

2a, 2b.

1.15

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:

1.16

Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2024, section 116.03, subdivision 2b, is amended to read:

1.17

Subd. 2b. **Permitting efficiency.** (a) It is the goal of the state that environmental and

1.18

resource management permits be issued or denied within 90 days for tier 1 permits or 150

1.19

days for tier 2 permits following submission of a permit application. The commissioner of

1.20

the Pollution Control Agency ~~shall~~ must establish management systems designed to achieve

1.21

the goal. For the purposes of this section, "tier 1 permits" are permits that do not require

1.22

individualized actions or public comment periods, and "tier 2 permits" are permits that

1.23

require individualized actions or public comment periods. Goals established in this paragraph

1.24

do not apply to permit applications required due to agency enforcement actions.

1.25

(b) The commissioner ~~shall~~ must prepare an annual permitting efficiency report that

1.26

includes statistics on meeting the tier 2 goal in paragraph (a) and the criteria for tier 2 by

1.27

permit categories. The report ~~is due~~ must be submitted to the governor and to the chairs and

ranking minority members of the house of representatives and senate committees having jurisdiction over environment policy and finance by October 1 August 1 each year and must be posted on the agency's website. The report must include:

(1) for each permit applications application that have has not met the goal, the report must state the reasons for not meeting the goal. In stating the reasons for not meeting the goal, the commissioner shall separately identify delays an explanation of whether the delay was caused by the responsiveness of the proposer, lack of staff, scientific or technical disagreements, or the level of public engagement. The report must specify;

(2) for each permit that has not met the goal, the number of days from initial submission of the application to the day of determination that the application is complete. The report must aggregate;

(3) a summary of the data for the year reporting period and assess an assessment of whether program or system changes are necessary to achieve the tier 2 goal. The report must be posted on the agency's website and submitted to the governor and the chairs and ranking minority members of the house of representatives and senate committees having jurisdiction over environment policy and finance. in paragraph (a);

(4) a statement of the number of tier 2 permits completed within the reporting period and, immediately following in parentheses, a statement of the percentage of total applications received for that tier 2 permit category that the number represents, stated separately for industrial and municipal permits; and

(5) for permits that did not meet the goal due to lack of staff, a combined estimate of the aggregate staff resources that would have been necessary for all affected permits to meet the goal.

(c) The commissioner shall must allow electronic submission of environmental review and permit documents to the agency.

(d) Within 30 business days of application for a permit subject to paragraph (a), the commissioner of the Pollution Control Agency shall must notify the permit applicant, in writing, whether the application is complete or incomplete. If the commissioner determines that an application is incomplete, the notice to the applicant must enumerate of all deficiencies, while citing specific provisions of the applicable rules and statutes, and must advise the applicant on how the deficiencies can be remedied. The applicant shall have five business days to remedy all identified deficiencies before the commissioner determines that the application is complete or incomplete. If the commissioner determines that the application is complete, the notice commissioner must confirm the application's tier 1 or tier 2 permit

status. If the commissioner believes that a complete application for a tier 2 construction permit cannot be issued within the 150-day goal, the commissioner must provide notice to the applicant with the commissioner's notice that the application is complete and, upon request of the applicant, provide the permit applicant with a schedule estimating when the agency will begin drafting the permit and issue the public notice of the draft permit. This paragraph does not apply to an application for a permit that is subject to a grant or loan agreement under chapter 446A.

(e) For purposes of this subdivision, "permit professional" means an individual not employed by the Pollution Control Agency who:

(1) has a professional license issued by the state of Minnesota in the subject area of the permit;

(2) has at least ten years of experience in the subject area of the permit; and

(3) abides by the duty of candor applicable to employees of the Pollution Control Agency under agency rules and complies with all applicable requirements under chapter 326.

(f) Upon the agency's request, an applicant relying on a permit professional must participate in a meeting with the agency before submitting an application:

(1) at least two weeks prior to the preapplication meeting, the applicant must submit at least the following:

(i) project description, including, but not limited to, scope of work, primary emissions points, discharge outfalls, and water intake points;

(ii) location of the project, including county, municipality, and location on the site;

(iii) business schedule for project completion; and

(iv) other information requested by the agency at least four weeks prior to the scheduled meeting; and

(2) during the preapplication meeting, the agency ~~shall~~ must provide for the applicant at least the following:

(i) an overview of the permit review program;

(ii) a determination of which specific application or applications will be necessary to complete the project;

(iii) a statement notifying the applicant if the specific permit being sought requires a mandatory public hearing or comment period;

(iv) a review of the timetable established in the permit review program for the specific permit being sought; and

(v) a determination of what information must be included in the application, including a description of any required modeling or testing.

(g) The applicant may select a permit professional to undertake the preparation of the permit application and draft permit.

(h) If a preapplication meeting was held, the agency ~~shall~~ must, within seven business days of receipt of an application, notify the applicant and submitting permit professional that the application is complete or is denied, specifying the deficiencies of the application.

(i) Upon receipt of notice that the application is complete, the permit professional ~~shall~~ must submit to the agency a timetable for submitting a draft permit. The permit professional ~~shall~~ must submit a draft permit on or before the date provided in the timetable. Within 60 days after the close of the public comment period, the commissioner ~~shall~~ must notify the applicant whether the permit can be issued.

(j) Nothing in this section shall be construed to modify:

(1) any requirement of law that is necessary to retain federal delegation to or assumption by the state; or

(2) the authority to implement a federal law or program.

(k) The permit application and draft permit ~~shall~~ must identify or include as an appendix all studies and other sources of information used to substantiate the analysis contained in the permit application and draft permit. The commissioner ~~shall~~ must request additional studies, if needed, and the permit applicant ~~shall~~ must submit all additional studies and information necessary for the commissioner to perform the commissioner's responsibility to review, modify, and determine the completeness of the application and approve the draft permit.

Sec. 2. Minnesota Statutes 2024, section 116.07, subdivision 4a, is amended to read:

Subd. 4a. **Permits.** (a) The Pollution Control Agency may issue, continue in effect or deny permits, under such conditions as it may prescribe for the prevention of pollution, for the emission of air contaminants, or for the installation or operation of any emission facility, air contaminant treatment facility, treatment facility, potential air contaminant storage facility, or storage facility, or any part thereof, or for the sources or emissions of noise pollution. The Pollution Control Agency may issue separate permits for constructing a

facility described in this paragraph and for its operation, except for a facility required to complete a mandatory environmental impact statement under Minnesota Rules, part 4410.4400. The Pollution Control Agency must prioritize these permits in a manner that minimizes the time required to construct and begin operation of the permitted facility while complying with state and federal requirements.

(b) The Pollution Control Agency may also issue, continue in effect or deny permits, under such conditions as it may prescribe for the prevention of pollution, for the storage, collection, transportation, processing, or disposal of waste, or for the installation or operation of any system or facility, or any part thereof, related to the storage, collection, transportation, processing, or disposal of waste.

(c) The agency may not issue a permit to a facility without analyzing and considering the cumulative levels and effects of past and current environmental pollution from all sources on the environment and residents of the geographic area within which the facility's emissions are likely to be deposited, provided that the facility is located in a community in a city of the first class in Hennepin County that meets all of the following conditions:

(1) is within a half mile of a site designated by the federal government as an EPA superfund site due to residential arsenic contamination;

(2) a majority of the population are low-income persons of color and American Indians;

(3) a disproportionate percent of the children have childhood lead poisoning, asthma, or other environmentally related health problems;

(4) is located in a city that has experienced numerous air quality alert days of dangerous air quality for sensitive populations between February 2007 and February 2008; and

(5) is located near the junctions of several heavily trafficked state and county highways and two one-way streets which carry both truck and auto traffic.

(d) The Pollution Control Agency may revoke or modify any permit issued under this subdivision and section 116.081 whenever it is necessary, in the opinion of the agency, to prevent or abate pollution.

(e) The Pollution Control Agency has the authority for approval over the siting, expansion, or operation of a solid waste facility with regard to environmental issues. However, the agency's issuance of a permit does not release the permittee from any liability, penalty, or duty imposed by any applicable county ordinances. Nothing in this chapter precludes, or shall be construed to preclude, a county from enforcing land use controls, regulations, and ordinances existing at the time of the permit application and adopted pursuant to Minnesota

Statutes 2020, sections 366.10 to 366.181, or sections 394.21 to 394.37, or 462.351 to 462.365, with regard to the siting, expansion, or operation of a solid waste facility.

(f) Except as prohibited by federal law, a person may commence construction, reconstruction, replacement, or modification of any facility prior to the issuance of a construction permit by the agency.

Sec. 3. Minnesota Statutes 2024, section 116.07, subdivision 4d, is amended to read:

Subd. 4d. **Permit fees.** (a) The agency may collect permit fees in amounts not greater than those necessary to cover the reasonable costs of developing, reviewing, and acting upon applications for agency permits and implementing and enforcing the conditions of the permits pursuant to agency rules. Permit fees shall not include the costs of litigation. The fee schedule must reflect reasonable and routine direct and indirect costs associated with permitting, implementation, and enforcement. The agency may impose an additional enforcement fee to be collected for a period of up to two years to cover the reasonable costs of implementing and enforcing the conditions of a permit under the rules of the agency. Any money collected under this paragraph shall be deposited in the environmental fund.

(b) Notwithstanding paragraph (a), the agency shall collect an annual fee from the owner or operator of all stationary sources, emission facilities, emissions units, air contaminant treatment facilities, treatment facilities, potential air contaminant storage facilities, or storage facilities subject to a notification, permit, or license requirement under this chapter, subchapters I and V of the federal Clean Air Act, United States Code, title 42, section 7401 et seq., or rules adopted thereunder. The annual fee shall be used to pay for all direct and indirect reasonable costs, including legal costs, required to develop and administer the notification, permit, or license program requirements of this chapter, subchapters I and V of the federal Clean Air Act, United States Code, title 42, section 7401 et seq., or rules adopted thereunder. Those costs include the reasonable costs of reviewing and acting upon an application for a permit; implementing and enforcing statutes, rules, and the terms and conditions of a permit; emissions, ambient, and deposition monitoring; preparing generally applicable regulations; responding to federal guidance; modeling, analyses, and demonstrations; preparing inventories and tracking emissions; and providing information to the public about these activities.

(c) The agency shall set fees that:

(1) will result in the collection, in the aggregate, from the sources listed in paragraph (b), of an amount not less than \$25 per ton of each volatile organic compound; pollutant regulated under United States Code, title 42, section 7411 or 7412 (section 111 or 112 of

the federal Clean Air Act); and each pollutant, except carbon monoxide, for which a national primary ambient air quality standard has been promulgated;

(2) may result in the collection, in the aggregate, from the sources listed in paragraph (b), of an amount not less than \$25 per ton of each pollutant not listed in clause (1) that is regulated under this chapter or air quality rules adopted under this chapter; and

(3) shall collect, in the aggregate, from the sources listed in paragraph (b), the amount needed to match grant funds received by the state under United States Code, title 42, section 7405 (section 105 of the federal Clean Air Act).

The agency must not include in the calculation of the aggregate amount to be collected under clauses (1) and (2) any amount in excess of 4,000 tons per year of each air pollutant from a source. The increase in air permit fees to match federal grant funds shall be a surcharge on existing fees. The commissioner may not collect the surcharge after the grant funds become unavailable. In addition, the commissioner shall use nonfee funds to the extent practical to match the grant funds so that the fee surcharge is minimized.

(d) To cover the reasonable costs described in paragraph (b), the agency shall provide in the rules promulgated under paragraph (c) for an increase in the fee collected in each year by the percentage, if any, by which the Consumer Price Index for the most recent calendar year ending before the beginning of the year the fee is collected exceeds the Consumer Price Index for the calendar year 1989. For purposes of this paragraph the Consumer Price Index for any calendar year is the average of the Consumer Price Index for all-urban consumers published by the United States Department of Labor, as of the close of the 12-month period ending on August 31 of each calendar year. The revision of the Consumer Price Index that is most consistent with the Consumer Price Index for calendar year 1989 shall be used.

(e) Any money collected under paragraphs (b) to (d) must be deposited in the environmental fund and must be used solely for the activities listed in paragraph (b).

(f) Permit applicants who wish to construct, reconstruct, or modify a project may ~~offer~~ request expedited permitting under this paragraph. An applicant requesting expedited permitting under this paragraph must agree to reimburse the agency for the costs of staff time or consultant services needed to expedite the preapplication process and permit development process through the final decision on the permit, including the analysis of environmental review documents. The reimbursement ~~shall be~~ is in addition to permit application fees imposed by law. ~~When the agency determines that it needs additional resources to develop the permit application in an expedited manner, and that expediting the~~

~~development is consistent with permitting program priorities, the agency may accept the reimbursement.~~ The commissioner must give the applicant an estimate of the timeline and costs to be incurred by the commissioner. The estimate must include a brief description of the tasks to be performed, a schedule for completing the tasks, and the estimated cost for each task. If the applicant agrees to the estimated timeline and costs negotiated with the commissioner, the applicant and the commissioner must enter into a written agreement ~~detailing the estimated costs for the expedited permit decision-making process to be incurred by the agency~~ to proceed accordingly. The agreement must also identify staff anticipated to be assigned to the project. The agreement may provide that, if permitting is completed ahead of the schedule set forth in the written agreement, the commissioner may retain any fees that would have been due if the permitting had taken the time contemplated in the written agreement. Fees retained by the commissioner under this paragraph are appropriated to the commissioner for administering the commissioner's permitting duties. The commissioner must not issue a permit until the applicant has paid all fees in full. The commissioner must refund any unobligated balance of fees paid. Reimbursements accepted by the agency are appropriated to the agency for the purpose of developing the permit or analyzing environmental review documents. Reimbursement by a permit applicant shall precede and not be contingent upon issuance of a permit; shall not affect the agency's decision on whether to issue or deny a permit, what conditions are included in a permit, or the application of state and federal statutes and rules governing permit determinations; and shall not affect final decisions regarding environmental review.

(g) The fees under this subdivision are exempt from section 16A.1285.

Sec. 4. Minnesota Statutes 2024, section 116D.04, subdivision 2a, is amended to read:

Subd. 2a. **When prepared.** (a) Where there is potential for significant environmental effects resulting from any major governmental action, the action must be preceded by a detailed environmental impact statement prepared by the responsible governmental unit. The environmental impact statement must be an analytical rather than an encyclopedic document that describes the proposed action in detail, analyzes its significant environmental impacts, discusses appropriate alternatives to the proposed action and their impacts, and explores methods by which adverse environmental impacts of an action could be mitigated. The environmental impact statement must also analyze those economic, employment, and sociological effects that cannot be avoided should the action be implemented. To ensure its use in the decision-making process, the environmental impact statement must be prepared as early as practical in the formulation of an action.

(b) The board ~~shall~~ must by rule establish categories of actions for which environmental impact statements and for which environmental assessment worksheets must be prepared as well as categories of actions for which no environmental review is required under this section. A mandatory environmental assessment worksheet is not required for the expansion of an ethanol plant, as defined in section 41A.09, subdivision 2a, paragraph (b), or the conversion of an ethanol plant to a biobutanol facility or the expansion of a biobutanol facility as defined in section 41A.15, subdivision 2d, based on the capacity of the expanded or converted facility to produce alcohol fuel, but must be required if the ethanol plant or biobutanol facility meets or exceeds thresholds of other categories of actions for which environmental assessment worksheets must be prepared. The responsible governmental unit for an ethanol plant or biobutanol facility project for which an environmental assessment worksheet is prepared is the state agency with the greatest responsibility for supervising or approving the project as a whole.

(c) A mandatory environmental impact statement is not required for a facility or plant located outside the seven-county metropolitan area that produces less than 125,000,000 gallons of ethanol, biobutanol, or cellulosic biofuel annually, or produces less than 400,000 tons of chemicals annually, if the facility or plant is: an ethanol plant, as defined in section 41A.09, subdivision 2a, paragraph (b); a biobutanol facility, as defined in section 41A.15, subdivision 2d; or a cellulosic biofuel facility. A facility or plant that only uses a cellulosic feedstock to produce chemical products for use by another facility as a feedstock is not considered a fuel conversion facility as used in rules adopted under this chapter.

(d) The responsible governmental unit ~~shall~~ must promptly publish notice of the completion of an environmental assessment worksheet by publishing the notice in at least one newspaper of general circulation in the geographic area where the project is proposed, by posting the notice on a website that has been designated as the official publication site for publication of proceedings, public notices, and summaries of a political subdivision in which the project is proposed, or in any other manner determined by the board and ~~shall~~ must provide copies of the environmental assessment worksheet to the board and its member agencies. Comments on the need for an environmental impact statement may be submitted to the responsible governmental unit during a 30-day period following publication of the notice that an environmental assessment worksheet has been completed. The responsible governmental unit may extend the 30-day comment period for an additional 30 days one time. Further extensions of the comment period may not be made unless approved by the project's proposer. The responsible governmental unit's decision on the need for an environmental impact statement must be based on the environmental assessment worksheet

and the comments received during the comment period, and must be made within 15 days after the close of the comment period. The board's chair may extend the 15-day period by not more than 15 additional days upon the request of the responsible governmental unit.

(e) An environmental assessment worksheet must also be prepared for a proposed action whenever material evidence accompanying a petition by not less than 100 individuals who reside or own property in ~~the state~~ a Minnesota county where the proposed action will be undertaken or in one or more adjoining counties, submitted before the proposed project has received final approval by the appropriate governmental units, demonstrates that, because of the nature or location of a proposed action, there may be potential for significant environmental effects. Petitions requesting the preparation of an environmental assessment worksheet must be submitted to the board. The chair of the board ~~shall~~ must determine the appropriate responsible governmental unit and forward the petition to it. A decision on the need for an environmental assessment worksheet must be made by the responsible governmental unit within 15 days after the petition is received by the responsible governmental unit. The board's chair may extend the 15-day period by not more than 15 additional days upon request of the responsible governmental unit.

(f) Except in an environmentally sensitive location where Minnesota Rules, part 4410.4300, subpart 29, item B, applies, the proposed action is exempt from environmental review under this chapter and rules of the board, if:

(1) the proposed action is:

(i) an animal feedlot facility with a capacity of less than 1,000 animal units; or

(ii) an expansion of an existing animal feedlot facility with a total cumulative capacity of less than 1,000 animal units;

(2) the application for the animal feedlot facility includes a written commitment by the proposer to design, construct, and operate the facility in full compliance with Pollution Control Agency feedlot rules; and

(3) the county board holds a public meeting for citizen input at least ten business days before the Pollution Control Agency or county issuing a feedlot permit for the animal feedlot facility unless another public meeting for citizen input has been held with regard to the feedlot facility to be permitted. The exemption in this paragraph is in addition to other exemptions provided under other law and rules of the board.

(g) The board may, before final approval of a proposed project, require preparation of an environmental assessment worksheet by a responsible governmental unit selected by the

11.1 board for any action where environmental review under this section has not been specifically
11.2 provided for by rule or otherwise initiated.

11.3 (h) An early and open process must be used to limit the scope of the environmental
11.4 impact statement to a discussion of those impacts that, because of the nature or location of
11.5 the project, have the potential for significant environmental effects. The same process must
11.6 be used to determine the form, content, and level of detail of the statement as well as the
11.7 alternatives that are appropriate for consideration in the statement. In addition, the permits
11.8 that will be required for the proposed action must be identified during the scoping process.
11.9 Further, the process must identify those permits for which information will be developed
11.10 concurrently with the environmental impact statement. The board ~~shall~~ must provide in its
11.11 rules for the expeditious completion of the scoping process. The determinations reached in
11.12 the process must be incorporated into the order requiring the preparation of an environmental
11.13 impact statement.

11.14 (i) The responsible governmental unit ~~shall~~ must, to the extent practicable, avoid
11.15 duplication and ensure coordination between state and federal environmental review and
11.16 between environmental review and environmental permitting. Whenever practical,
11.17 information needed by a governmental unit for making final decisions on permits or other
11.18 actions required for a proposed project must be developed in conjunction with the preparation
11.19 of an environmental impact statement. When an environmental impact statement is prepared
11.20 for a project requiring multiple permits for which two or more agencies' decision processes
11.21 include either mandatory or discretionary hearings before a hearing officer before the
11.22 agencies' decision on the permit, the agencies may, notwithstanding any law or rule to the
11.23 contrary, conduct the hearings in a single consolidated hearing process if requested by the
11.24 proposer. All agencies having jurisdiction over a permit that is included in the consolidated
11.25 hearing ~~shall~~ must participate. The responsible governmental unit ~~shall~~ must establish
11.26 appropriate procedures for the consolidated hearing process, including procedures to ensure
11.27 that the consolidated hearing process is consistent with the applicable requirements for each
11.28 permit regarding the rights and duties of parties to the hearing, and ~~shall~~ must use the earliest
11.29 applicable hearing procedure to initiate the hearing. All agencies having jurisdiction over
11.30 a permit identified in the draft environmental assessment worksheet scoping document must
11.31 begin reviewing any permit application upon publication of the notice of preparation of the
11.32 environmental impact statement.

11.33 (j) An environmental impact statement must be prepared and its adequacy determined
11.34 within 280 days after notice of its preparation unless the time is extended by consent of the
11.35 parties or by the governor for good cause. The responsible governmental unit ~~shall~~ must

12.1 determine the adequacy of an environmental impact statement, unless within 60 days after
12.2 notice is published that an environmental impact statement will be prepared, the board
12.3 chooses to determine the adequacy of an environmental impact statement. If an environmental
12.4 impact statement is found to be inadequate, the responsible governmental unit has 60 days
12.5 to prepare an adequate environmental impact statement.

12.6 (k) The proposer of a specific action may include in the information submitted to the
12.7 responsible governmental unit a preliminary draft environmental impact statement under
12.8 this section on that action for review, modification, and determination of completeness and
12.9 adequacy by the responsible governmental unit. A preliminary draft environmental impact
12.10 statement prepared by the project proposer and submitted to the responsible governmental
12.11 unit must identify or include as an appendix all studies and other sources of information
12.12 used to substantiate the analysis contained in the preliminary draft environmental impact
12.13 statement. The responsible governmental unit ~~shall~~ must require additional studies, if needed,
12.14 and obtain from the project proposer all additional studies and information necessary for
12.15 the responsible governmental unit to perform its responsibility to review, modify, and
12.16 determine the completeness and adequacy of the environmental impact statement.

12.17 Sec. 5. Minnesota Statutes 2024, section 116D.04, subdivision 2b, is amended to read:

12.18 Subd. 2b. **Project prerequisites.** (a) If an environmental assessment worksheet or an
12.19 environmental impact statement is required for a governmental action under subdivision
12.20 2a, a project may not be started and a final governmental decision may not be made to grant
12.21 a permit, approve a project, or begin a project, until:

12.22 (1) a petition for an environmental assessment worksheet is dismissed;

12.23 (2) a negative declaration has been issued on the need for an environmental impact
12.24 statement;

12.25 (3) the environmental impact statement has been determined adequate; or

12.26 (4) a variance has been granted from making an environmental impact statement by the
12.27 environmental quality board.

12.28 (b) Nothing in this subdivision precludes a local unit of government from beginning to
12.29 review a feedlot permit application for a feedlot subject to environmental review under this
12.30 chapter.

13.1 Sec. 6. **SCOPING ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT WORKSHEET NOT**
13.2 **REQUIRED FOR PROJECTS THAT REQUIRE A MANDATORY**
13.3 **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT.**

13.4 (a) The Environmental Quality Board must amend Minnesota Rules, part 4410.2100, as
13.5 follows:

13.6 (1) to provide that an environmental assessment worksheet does not need to be prepared
13.7 for a project that falls within a mandatory environmental impact statement category under
13.8 Minnesota Rules, part 4410.4400, or other applicable law; and

13.9 (2) to provide that a scoping process undertaken under Minnesota Rules, part 4410.2100,
13.10 must be completed no later than 280 days after the process begins.

13.11 (b) The board may use the good cause exemption under Minnesota Statutes, section
13.12 14.388, subdivision 1, clause (3), to adopt rules under this section, and Minnesota Statutes,
13.13 section 14.386, does not apply except as provided under Minnesota Statutes, section 14.388.

13.14 Sec. 7. **STATE IMPLEMENTATION PLAN REVISIONS.**

13.15 The commissioner of the Pollution Control Agency must seek approval from the federal
13.16 Environmental Protection Agency for revisions to the state's federal Clean Air Act state
13.17 implementation plan if changes are needed to reflect the requirements of Minnesota Statutes,
13.18 section 116.07, subdivision 4a, as amended by this act.

13.19 Sec. 8. **INTENT.**

13.20 The legislature recognizes the need to retain and grow the state's economy and vital
13.21 infrastructure to keep Minnesota competitive on a national and global level. This growth
13.22 requires innovation and creativity, which will be achieved while protecting our environment
13.23 and natural resources as prescribed under current law. It is therefore the intent of the
13.24 legislature in enacting this bill that the state will meet or exceed efficiency goals, modernize
13.25 existing regulatory systems, and communicate clearly to permit applicants and stakeholders
13.26 to ensure a predictable, transparent, and fair permitting and environmental review process.

13.27 Sec. 9. **REPORT ON USE OF AUTHORITY TO EXTEND TIMELINE FOR**
13.28 **CERTAIN AGENCY ACTIONS.**

13.29 By October 1, 2027, the Board of Water and Soil Resources must report to the chairs
13.30 and ranking minority members of the legislative committees with jurisdiction over
13.31 environment and natural resources policy on the number of extensions under Minnesota

14.1 Statutes, section 15.99, subdivision 3, paragraph (f), that are made for any determination
14.2 under Minnesota Statutes, sections 103G.221 to 103G.2375, between July 1, 2025, and June
14.3 30, 2027. A local government unit must supply the board with information necessary to
14.4 prepare the report required by this section.

14.5 Sec. 10. **APPROPRIATION; MINNESOTA BUSINESS FIRST STOP.**

14.6 \$325,000 in fiscal year 2026 and \$325,000 in fiscal year 2027 are appropriated from the
14.7 general fund to the commissioner of employment and economic development for the
14.8 Minnesota Business First Stop program.