



April 17, 2024

Dear Chair Champion and Senate Jobs & Economic Development Committee Members,

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on behalf of the Minnesota Business Partnership (MBP) regarding SF5430, amending the paid leave law passed last session. The Minnesota Business Partnership is an organization comprised of business leaders from Minnesota's largest employers, employing almost half a million workers across the state, united by the mission of ensuring Minnesota is economically competitive.

First, we would like to thank the Department of Employment and Economic Development's paid family medical leave team for continued dialogue and discussion throughout the past several months. While the bill before you today does not make the necessary changes to ensure workability and smooth implementation - continuing these conversations will be important for our members as we learn how best to navigate this new paid leave program.

There are two key sections of the original paid leave program bill that have been modified or completely removed. These changes are concerning as they may not support the program's successful implementation. The two sections in question are:

**Sec. 7 - Covered Employment**

We appreciated Senator Mann accepting the original language last session in the PFML legislation. However, the proposed shift from a calendar year to a calendar quarter in SF5430 will make administration and tracking much more complex, particularly for employees who mainly work outside of Minnesota but occasionally visit their Minnesota headquarters for meetings or projects. This raises numerous questions about the employees' covered status and payroll tax implications. We urge the bill's author to reverse this change in SF5430.

**Sec. 24 – Intermittent Leave**

The inclusion of intermittent leave language late in last year's session was crucial for employers, providing more assurance in terms of administration and workforce management. MBP members view the complete removal of the intermittent leave language from SF5430 as a major setback. We hope this language will be reinserted into the bill so we can continue addressing the more technical aspects of the plan, ensuring a smooth implementation process for both Minnesota employers and employees.

There are additional technical areas where we look forward to collaborating with the bill's author, Senator Mann. We are eager to work together to streamline this plan for the employers who choose to participate in the state plan and for the employees who will hopefully benefit from it.

After waiting months for the paid leave "fix" bill, we had hoped for more substantial changes to the paid leave plan to safeguard employees already receiving exceptional benefits from their employers. We believe a state-run paid leave program can be implemented without affecting the many Minnesota employees who already enjoy these benefits.

Thank you,

Gavin Hanson  
Fiscal and Economic Policy Director  
**Minnesota** Business Partnership