

Marathon Petroleum Company LP

301 St Paul Park Road St Paul Park, MN 55071 Tel: 651.459.9771

March 2, 2023

Dear Chair Latz and Members of the Senate Judiciary and Public Safety Committee,

Marathon Petroleum Corporation (MPC) is a leading, integrated, downstream energy company headquartered in Findlay, Ohio. The company operates the nation's largest refining system. MPC's marketing system includes branded locations across the United States, including Marathon brand retail outlets. MPC also owns the general partner and majority limited partner interest in MPLX LP, a midstream company that owns and operates gathering, processing, and fractionation assets, as well as crude oil and light product transportation and logistics infrastructure.

Our St. Paul Park Refinery (SPPR) is located along the Mississippi River and part of the Twin Cities community. Originally built in 1939, it has a crude oil refining capacity of 105,000 barrels per calendar day (bpcd). The refinery manufactures gasoline, distillates, asphalt, heavy fuel oil, propane and refinery-grade propylene, which is produced using sweet crude from the Bakken region in North Dakota as well as various grades of Canadian sweet and heavy sour crude. Products are delivered from the refinery by pipeline, truck, rail, and barge.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on Senate File 10. SPPR is one of the two refineries affected by the bill in this state. MPC opposes this legislation due to our concerns about its unintended consequences, including its legality, reduced flexibility to meet operational needs, the potential for reducing jobs for Minnesota residents, and the safety implications for our operations. These issues are outlined below, and MPC is asking for careful consideration of the legality of the bill around 3 key points.

First, SF 10 is preempted by the National Labor Relations Act, which protects the rights of employees to either engage in or refrain from union activity. SF 10 requires that 65 – 85% of refinery contractors be apprenticeship trained. For an apprenticeship program to formally register in this state, Minnesota statute requires a written statement from the union specifying that it has no objection to the registration. See MN Stat. § 178.035; 29 C.F.R. § 29.3(j).

On these grounds, the unions determine which programs can qualify as state registered apprenticeships. With the bill requiring a certain percentage of apprenticeship-trained contractors and with the union deciding which programs qualify as apprenticeships or not, SF 10 prevents workers from choosing to refrain from union activity for employment as refinery contractor.

Another big concern regarding the bill is around Subdivision 4: Civil actions for damages against the refinery by a person injured by a violation. Respectfully, we request consideration that Subdivision 4 be stricken from the bill on the grounds that injury remains vague and undefined, which may lead to frivolous lawsuits from groups and individuals that overburden the courts.

The last point of consideration would be that SF 10 is preempted by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA), which provides that it "shall supersede any and all State laws [that] relate to any employee benefit plan[,]" which, as defined by ERISA, includes an apprenticeship program. As previously stated, SF 10 mandates the use of an apprenticeship program registered with a state agency for a majority of contract workers, which means that it mandates the use of certain types of employee benefit plans. Because SF 10 mandates use of



Marathon Petroleum Company LP

301 St Paul Park Road St Paul Park, MN 55071 Tel: 651.459.9771

certain types of employee benefit plans, it limits the choice of employee benefit plans otherwise allowed and available under ERISA. Our position on this issue is supported by the law in the 8th Circuit which has found preemption in a similar situation.

While there are clear concerns around the legality of the bill, there are broader consequences the committee should consider. The bill could lead to contractor shortages, eroding refineries' operational excellence by negatively impacting current and future facility workforces. Specifically, the bill sets a precedent of the legislature mandating who private businesses can hire, and reduces refineries' abilities to choose the safest, most technically-advanced contractors to meet operational needs. As such, this legislation would negatively impact SPPR's current contract employees who would not meet this requirement, and their families that call Minnesota home, as well MPC's ability to ensure the best future workforce possible to meet our commitment to safety.

Thank you for your consideration of these points. Although MPC strongly opposes the passage of this bill in its current form, we look forward to continuing conversations and efforts to make this bill workable for Minnesota refineries, employees, and citizens.