

## To Be Included in Judiciary and Public Safety Hearing

### Statement of Facts for Public Safety Concerns in opposition of SF 1 -Christine Wiehle

1. we saw public safety concerns this summer on a national level from the man who traveled across the states to Justice Kavanaugh's home with the intent to murder Kavanaugh and his family in the wake of Reversal of Roe decision. This is violent. This is a concern. Government needed to step in.
2. Family Council Research composed a list of over 60 pro life advocacy groups who were victimized in the wake of the reversal for roe everything from vandalism and property destruction, to threats, intimidation, persecution, shunned, marginalized, attacked, and censored. This is direct violence for opposing and threats of intimidation are wrong.
3. there are specific cases including right here in Minnesota where a Minnesota Citizens Concerned for Life office was vandalized, including graffiti, and some broken windows. It was the second time they were targeted in a matter of weeks.

Again, Public Safety is important.

Fundamental rights are a group of rights that have been recognized by the Supreme Court as requiring a high degree of protection from government encroachment. These rights are specifically identified in the Constitution (especially in the Bill of Rights) or have been found under Due Process. So while you are trying to uphold the rights of one group you strip away your own rights and the rights of life to the girls and boys in the womb, vulnerable mothers at the expense of convenience abortion facilities whose agenda is to promote death, and you favor religions such as human secularism.

When it comes to this SF1 bill, and every other bill attached with funding convenience abortions, you are grossly entangling government in religious practices that hurt the community, safety, and well being of tax payers like my self by default and put me and the majority of Minnesotans in violation of their consciences, when it is your job to remain neutral on such affairs (Clause 2, Article 6 of the United States Constitution.)

Government should place

Restrictions on bills such as SF1.

In fact it is your responsibility to uphold the law when a bill is being created that is direct violation of community standards of decency, promotes licentiousness, immorality, and is a risk to public safety.

You are to uphold the First Amendment Establishment Clause, Tenth Amendment, and Oath you took pursuant to article 6 not entangle itself with any religion for the sole purposes of remaining neutral. In a case called *Torcaso v Watkins* that upheld by United States Supreme Court they found that human secularism is a religion. Secular humanist believe that abortion is not immoral, abortion is not murder and that life doesn't begin at conception. These are a set of unproven faith-based assumptions and naked assertions that explicitly linked to convenience abortion to the religion of secular humanism. When a state directly fund convenience abortion and convenience abortion facilities such as planned parenthood. Government actors like yourselves convey to the public and those in opposition that the favored religion is secular humanism. I urge to Remove Subdivision 5 from this bill and reconsider the weight of SF1.

