April 12, 2023

VIA EMAII

Jacob, Representative Kim Hicks, Representative Andy Smith Drazkowski, Representative Tina Liebling, Representative Duane Quam, Representative Steven Rochester Area Legislative Caucus: Senator Liz Boldon, Senator Carla Nelson, Senator Steve

House Education Finance Chair Representative Cheryl Youakim Senate Education Finance Chair Senator Mary Kunesh

Boards and School Districts - Revenue Impact RE: Rochester Public School Board Legislative Committee Feedback on Bills Impacting School

Dear Legislators:

supplements the information we provided by letter on April 11, and includes the impact of proposed to provide additional feedback about how proposed legislation will impact our District. This letter information about mandates and other provisions that will increase costs to the District. As members of the Rochester Public School (RPS) Board Legislative Committee, we are writing again legislation modifying the education funding formula. It is important to compare this information to the

EDUCATION OMNIBUS BILLS - POTENTIAL NEW REVENUE

Looking at the revenue side of the District's budget, potential new revenue from a proposed formula increase and cross subsidy aid is insufficient to meet the proposed new mandates, Pupil Count = 16,900: meaning revenue increases will not help students. For example, assuming our Average Daily

House education omnibus bill

TOTAL	40% special education cross subsidy aid (FY24) 25% English language learner cross subsidy aid (FY24)	4% formula increase (FY24) With formula NOT tied to the rate of inflation	Senate education omnibus bill	TOTAL	48.7% special education cross subsidy aid (FY24) 0% English language learner cross subsidy aid (FY24)	4% formula increase (FY24) With formula after FY25 tied to the rate of inflation
\$12,863,400	\$6,376,000 \$719,900	\$5,767,500		\$13,626,000	<u>\$7,858,500</u>	\$5,767,500

considering our local input and for all you do for education in our community, We have also included the chart attached to yesterday's letter for further reference. Thank you for

Sincerely,

ATTACHMENT

COMMITTEE BUT NOT CURRENTLY IN EITHER EDUCATION OMNIBUS BILL TOTAL POTENTIAL FISCAL IMPACT ON RPS, INCLUDING BILLS PASSED OUT OF

TOTAL IF ALL BILLS \$25,397,000 PASS IN THIS FORM	Added Prep Time \$1,015,000 This would require (HF827/SF680)	\$25/hour minimum \$9,601,000 This will have com salary requirement impact state specia (HF1348/SF1318)	Health Insurance \$6,000,000 Assumes the follow (HF1348/SF1318) premium and 85%	TRA's 60/30 plan \$3,106,000 (HF2222/SF1938)	Unemployment insurance - adding non-teaching staff staff non-teaching staff staff staff for potential to wipe ou have planned to set increases in next yellanguage to provide levy authority, if new mandate would help manage this change	Earned Safe & Sick \$230,000 Cost of a new ber (was HF19/SF34) consideration of this benefit would reduce staff to bal	PF&ML \$565,000 Cost of a new ben (was HF2/SF2) this benefit would reduce staff to bal	Proposal Fiscal Impact Notes
	This would require an estimated 10 new staff in the district	This will have compound effects locally and will impact state special education funding	Assumes the following: 100% of single premium and 85% of family		As currently worded, this is a 100% new mandate with no funding (no state aid, no levy authority). For RPS, this has the potential to wipe out all or most of what we have planned to set aside for teachers' salary increases in next year's budget. Adding language to provide state aid or permitting levy authority, if needed, for this new mandate would help the District better manage this change.	Cost of a new benefit, without consideration of the likelihood offering this benefit would cause RPS to have to reduce staff to balance our budget	Cost of a new benefit, without consideration of the likelihood offering this benefit would cause RPS to have to reduce staff to balance our budget	