

From January 28 to February 1, 2023, Data for Progress conducted a survey of 858 likely voters in Minnesota using SMS and web panel respondents. The sample was weighted to be representative of likely voters by age, gender, education, race, geography, and voting history. The survey was conducted in English. The margin of error is ±3 percentage points.

N=858 unless otherwise specified. Some values may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

		D	I	R	
[1] The Minnesota state legislature is currently considering a bill that would require the state to generate 100 percent of its energy from carbon-free energy sources by 2040. Carbon-free energy can be generated in a variety of ways, including from wind, hydroelectric, solar, and nuclear power sources.	Strongly support	29%	56%	28%	3%
	Somewhat support	28	34	29	21
	Somewhat oppose	14	5	13	24
	Strongly oppose	26	1	27	50
	Don't know	3	3	3	2
	SUPPORT (TOTAL)	57%	90%	57%	24%
	OPPOSE (TOTAL)	40	6	40	74
	SUPPORT (NET)	+17	+84	+17	-50

Do you support or oppose Minnesota moving to generate 100 percent of its energy from carbon-free energy sources by 2040?

		D	I	R	
[2] Would you support or oppose a new state program that would give cities across Minnesota funding to invest in composting, re-use, and other waste strategies that don't require building new landfills or incinerators?	Strongly support	43%	69%	45%	15%
	Somewhat support	37	27	38	48
	Somewhat oppose	7	1	6	12
	Strongly oppose	7	0	6	17
	Don't know	5	3	6	8
	SUPPORT (TOTAL)	80%	96%	83%	63%
	OPPOSE (TOTAL)	14	1	12	29
	SUPPORT (NET)	+66	+95	+71	+34

		D	I	R	
[3] Minnesota has long standing rules that guide the construction or renovation of buildings to ensure they're safe and energy efficient.	Strongly support	27%	51%	23%	7%
	Somewhat support	33	36	37	25
	Somewhat oppose	14	7	18	18
	Strongly oppose	21	1	19	45
	Don't know	5	5	3	5
	SUPPORT (TOTAL)	60%	87%	60%	32%
	OPPOSE (TOTAL)	35	8	37	63
	SUPPORT (NET)	+25	+79	+23	-31

Would you support or oppose updating Minnesota's building codes so that all new buildings have zero-emissions by 2036?

[4] Currently, gas utility companies in Minnesota charge all existing customers a small fee to subsidize the costs of extending the gas system to new customers. Some have suggested ending these subsidies, which would lower rates for current customers.

Which of the following is closest to your view, even if neither is exactly right?

	D	I	R
Gas extension subsidies should be eliminated and new customers should cover the costs of connecting to the gas system 45%	37%	48%	50%
Gas extension subsidies should remain in place to reduce the costs for new customers connecting to the gas system 38	42	35	39
Don't know 17	20	18	11

[5] Pollution from industry adversely impacts air quality in communities. When permitting new industrial facilities, the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency currently considers the potential pollution from each proposed facility in isolation. Some Minnesota lawmakers have suggested that the agency should also consider the combined public health and environmental impacts of **all surrounding facilities** on the community.

Should the Minnesota state government consider the full context of a community's history facing air pollution from **all surrounding facilities** when issuing air quality permits for new projects?

	D	I	R
Yes, they should 62%	88%	62%	36%
No, they should not 21	3	24	37
Don't know 17	9	14	28

[6] Minnesota legislators are advancing a bill to create a "Minnesota Green Bank". The Green Bank would invest in projects to modernize water infrastructure, build clean energy projects, and prepare communities for extreme weather events. The Green Bank would also invest in statewide clean jobs training.

Do you support or oppose establishing a Minnesota Green Bank?

		D	I	R
Strongly support 27%		51%	25%	5%
Somewhat support 37		42	40	27
Somewhat oppose 11		1	9	22
Strongly oppose 19		1	18	37
Don't know 7		5	7	9
SUPPORT (TOTAL) 64%		93%	65%	32%
OPPOSE (TOTAL) 30		2	27	59
SUPPORT (NET) +34		+91	+38	-27