

SF2551 - 0 - Genetically Engineered Organisms Provisions

Chief Author: **Judy Seeberger**
 Committee: **Agriculture and Rural Development**
 Date Completed: **2/20/2023 2:30:48 PM**
 Agency: **Agriculture Dept**

State Fiscal Impact	Yes	No
Expenditures		X
Fee/Departmental Earnings		X
Tax Revenue		X
Information Technology		X
Local Fiscal Impact		X

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative. Reductions shown in the parentheses.

State Cost (Savings)	Biennium			Biennium		
	Dollars in Thousands	FY2023	FY2024	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
Biennial Total			-			-

Full Time Equivalent Positions (FTE)	Biennium			Biennium		
	Dollars in Thousands	FY2023	FY2024	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-

LBO Analyst's Comment

I have reviewed this fiscal note for reasonableness of content and consistency with the LBO's Uniform Standards and Procedures.

LBO Signature: Chloe Burns **Date:** 2/20/2023 2:30:48 PM
Phone: 651-297-1423 **Email:** chloe.burns@lbo.mn.gov

State Cost (Savings) Calculation Details

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative. Reductions are shown in parentheses.

*Transfers In/Out and Absorbed Costs are only displayed when reported.

State Cost (Savings) = 1-2		Biennium			Biennium	
Dollars in Thousands	FY2023	FY2024	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
Biennial Total			-			-
1 - Expenditures, Absorbed Costs*, Transfers Out*						
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
Biennial Total			-			-
2 - Revenues, Transfers In*						
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
Biennial Total			-			-

Bill Description

This bill updates M.S. Chapter 18F to align with federal requirements for review and permitting of genetically engineered organisms. In particular, the bill removes the requirement for the Minnesota Department of Agriculture (MDA) to conduct a redundant review and permitting process in addition to the federal process for review and permitting which already has a role for state participation.

Assumptions

The MDA does not have the capacity for review and permitting of genetically engineered organisms and that capacity is not necessary because that role is filled by the USDA APHIS Biotechnology Regulatory Service (BRS). The BRS participates closely with Minnesota on review and permitting and issues 30-40 permits for field trials of new genetically engineered crop varieties in Minnesota each year.

This bill creates no new requirements for the MDA and will not have a fiscal impact on the agency.

Expenditure and/or Revenue Formula

Long-Term Fiscal Considerations

Local Fiscal Impact

References/Sources

Agency Contact: Peder Kjeseth

Agency Fiscal Note Coordinator Signature: Julie Sis

Phone: 651-201-6412

Date: 2/17/2023 4:43:30 PM

Email: julie.sis@state.mn.us