The rest of testimony – SF1462

To Whom it May Concern,

I have attached 6 affidavits on our family's story. My mother died under guardianship and my father is now under guardianship. He is 93 with dementia and has been isolated from family and friends for over two years. We do not even know where he is. We have been trying to fight it through the courts but to no avail. There are three evil-doers that are involved in this. Dad's attorney, the guardian and her husband. The nefarious three have victimized the family, isolated our father, medicated him and liquidated his estate, including the sale of mom and dad's home..

Thank you,

Geralyn Lambou

On August 4, 2009, my 76 year old mother, who has Alzheimer's, was removed from her home under the direction of a county attorney. My brother was told by a county social worker that they were placing my mother on a 72 hour hold. August 4th was a Tuesday – the 72 hour hold would have expired on Friday. The county had all day Wednesday, all day Thursday and almost all day Friday to make contact with the family, but they did not even attempt to contact family. The very next morning, less than 24 hours later a county social worker, along with the county attorney, filed a Petition for Emergency Guardianship – appointing the county social worker as guardian.

They chose to not contact any family members, even though they had 72 hours in which to make contact. My sister is a RN and she had Power of Attorney, but that did not matter. My mother has 6 children – none of whom were contacted regarding the Emergency Guardian Petition. How is it possible that a county social worker and a county attorney could believe that this was proper behavior?

They threw my mother in a nursing home, even though it is a documented fact that people with dementia should not be removed from their surroundings. They never once contacted family to ask what would be in my mother's best interest. My mother suffered horribly at the nursing home the county chose. After only 3 weeks, my mother was hospitalized for an infection, she had a fractured wrist and she had bruising to all sides of her body, including a baseball sized bruise to her left breast.

A hearing on the appropriateness of the Emergency Guardian must be held within 5 days. The family never received notice of this hearing. It was by pure luck that my sister and I found out about the hearing and attended at the last minute. Once there, we BEGGED to be allowed co-guardians of our mother with the county social worker. Had we arrived a few minutes later, the hearing would have been over and we would have "lost" our mother to a county social worker.

On October 8, 2009 my sister and I obtained Permanent Guardianship over our mother and the county social worker's guardianship was terminated. During the three-month Emergency Guardianship that the county forced upon my mother, she lost approx. \$25,000. She also suffered a severe emotional/mental decline, from which she has never recovered. Even after all of this, we consider ourselves lucky, because our nightmare is over. There are others out there who have been battling abusive guardianships for years.

-Clarice Sunderland

My Son Jon Doe and nephew John Doe were kidnapped

due to a lawsuit filed against physicians and neither one of these young men have been seen again and they were placed in fraudulent guardianships.

Jane Doe was kidnapped and her estate was stolen and she was placed in a fraudulent guardianship, as well. Also, we represent clients in the United States that has contacted USA Citizens Guardianship Task Force LLC, that have lost their families due to lawsuit settlements or potentially lawsuit settlements money 🐻 and the victims are forced in this guardianship program against their will. Families are being murdered under this program among other federal/state crimes and no one is being held accountable or Indicted for these federal and state crimes committed against the ward and their families. Even when they have been reported to law enforcement agencies. We are Petitioning for a Congressional hearing for our victims.

Sincerely,

USA Citizens Guardianship Task Force LLC

From: **Poppy Helgren** <<u>helgrenp@veterans.nv.gov</u>> Date: Thu, Mar 24, 2022, 12:24 PM Subject: Predatory Guardianships To: <u>us.senior.advocate@gmail.com</u> <<u>us.senior.advocate@gmail.com</u>>

I wish to briefly share the story of my 92 year old father, Lester Moore, a Korean War Era Navy Veteran, who was placed in a guardianship in CA by a Probate Judge in Ventura, CA, who ignored his 1993 Family Trust that clearly stated I was the successor trustee. This Fraudulent Guardianship allowed the guardian to liquidate his \$3.5 million estate and isolate him from his entire family that all lived out of state. My father died February 2, 2021 of medical negligence, specifically septic shock, that was brought on by a bowel obstruction, brought on by fecal impaction. This occurred when my father's home was sold and he was dumped in a Group Home by the Guardian. I was notified by email of his death.

I can clearly say that this should never have happened. And the root cause is the greed that is prevalent in the Probate Court with the predators that are involved.

Cell phone 702 415-1015

Ernestine Frank's childhood was that of most of her era. Hard workers and close family that suffered but gained insight from the Great Depression and WWII. She went off to Bowling Green Business College were she majored in business.

Upon her return she was employed by GMAC and held down two other part time jobs. She met her future husband Charles Watts Franks at one of her part time jobs at Walgreens. Charles asked her to pick out a bathing suit for him then he asked her out. They were married soon after and spent the next 53 years raising their three sons and serving their country at Pensacola Navel Air Station in the Civil Service. Ernestine was in Cost Accounting and moved up quickly to a supervisor position. Charles was a top metalsmith of over 33 years. If a job was to hard for someone else the word was "Put Franks on it."

They saved their money and invested it wisely and put their children through the best schools to ensure they would get the best education. They were quite involved with their children from band to football games to going on over seas trips to England and China. Ernestine was even the manager for her middle sons rock in roll band in the 70s. Ernestine and Charles' life was devoted to their boys and always went the extra mile for them.

In 2011 Ernestine health became more of a concern of her children. Safety measures were implemented for Ernestine. Her home care turned into 24/7 care, 8 video cameras that sent notifications if any movement was detected inside or out at nighttime, and video conference with her on her iPad. Home improvements were also made including a stair lift, ramps, guard rails inside and outside, grab handles, and a glass front storm door.

In 2012 one of her children was told by a attorney that the best care for Ernestine was to be in a Guardianship. Not being fully aware of how life could change he proceeded to set in motion the wheels that he would later find out stripped her of all her rights and dignity, isolated her from her children and the outside world but also sacked her life savings. Her attorney fees are closing in on \$100,000.00 in just a years time. Ernestine faces the end of her days with the prospect of being alone but if she lives long enough she will find her loneliness to be in a state run institution because she is broke.

The only crimes Ernestine committed was working hard and saving her money. She and her husband contributed greatly to the city of Pensacola and in the end it was the city's elected and legal representatives that turned their back and took advantage of her vulnerability. Her civil rights were stripped away by a three panel committee that lied to the court about her capacity. The Dr. that did evaluate her just two days before her court date opinion after a four hour evaluation was she was of sound mind to pick her guardian and understood the ramifications of such a decision. His opinion did not fit what the court wanted the out come to be so it was discarded.