KRB/KA

22-07086

SENATE STATE OF MINNESOTA NINETY-SECOND SESSION

S.F. No. 3989

(SENATE AUTHORS: NEWMAN, Coleman, Pratt and Howe)							
DATE	D-PG	OFFICIAL STATUS					
03/14/2022	5314	Introduction and first reading Referred to Transportation Finance and Policy					

1.1	A bill for an act
1.2 1.3	relating to transit; requiring a cost-benefit analysis for proposed guideways; requiring a report; proposing coding for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapter
1.4	473.
1.5	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:
1.6	Section 1. [473.4486] GUIDEWAY COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS.
1.7	Subdivision 1. Definitions. (a) For purposes of this section, the following terms have
1.8	the meanings given.
1.9	(b) "Commissioner" means the commissioner of transportation.
1.10	(c) "Project options" means the proposed guideway and each alternative identified
1.11	pursuant to subdivision 2, paragraph (b).
1.12	Subd. 2. Analysis required. (a) Prior to requesting state or federal funds for a guideway
1.13	project, the commissioner and the Metropolitan Council must jointly perform a cost-benefit
1.14	analysis as described by this section. The commissioner must post the final analysis on the
1.15	Department of Transportation website. The chair of the Metropolitan Council must post the
1.16	final analysis on the council's website. The commissioner and the chair must jointly submit
1.17	a copy of the final report to the legislative auditor and to the chairs and ranking minority
1.18	members of legislative committees with jurisdiction over transportation finance and policy.
1.19	(b) The commissioner and the Metropolitan Council must determine alternatives that
1.20	would serve substantially the same area as the proposed guideway but would provide service
1.21	in a different manner. At a minimum, the following alternatives must be included: an arterial

	03/09/22	REVISOR	KRB/KA	22-07086	as introduced	
2.1	bus rapid transi	t line, a regular	route bus service	e line, and a nontransit optio	on that expands	
2.2	capacity of the road.					
2.3	(c) At a minimum, the analysis must include the following information:					
2.4	(1) for guideway and busway project options, the estimated ridership numbers;					
2.5	(2) for the capacity expansion option, the number of additional vehicles accommodated					
2.6	by the expansio	n;				
2.7	(3) for each project option, an estimate of the increase or decrease of the number of					
2.8	vehicles on the	<u>road;</u>				
2.9	<u></u>			tributable to each project o	ption, including	
2.10	but not limited	to fares, tax on	gasoline, and mo	tor vehicle sales tax;		
2.11	(5) for each	project option,	the estimated on	going maintenance costs, w	hich entity will	
2.12	pay for the cost	s, and the perce	ntage of the cost	s to be paid by each entity;		
2.13	(6) for each	project option,	the estimated fut	ure capital costs, which ent	ity will pay for	
2.14	the costs, and the	ne percentage of	f the costs to be p	baid by each entity;		
2.15	(7) the estim	nated economic	benefit attributab	ble to each project option, in	cluding but not	
2.16	limited to new o	or expanded hou	using units or bus	sinesses, increased freight r	novement, and	
2.17	reduction of sup	oply chain issue	es;			
2.18	(8) for each	project option,	the estimated tim	neline for construction, road	l closures, and	
2.19	detours and an o	estimate on how	v that affects the	surrounding areas;		
2.20	(9) for each	project option,	an estimate of w	hether vehicle collisions wi	Ill increase or	
2.21	decrease due to	a change in the	projected numb	er of vehicles on the road;		
2.22	(10) for each	n project option	, an analysis of w	hether each project option	could be altered	
2.23	or stopped once	construction is	started and the e	estimated costs related to al	teration or	
2.24	stopping;					
2.25	(11) for each	n project option.	, travel time alon	g the route from end to end	and for various	
2.26	points of interes	st in between, ir	ncluding time spe	ent waiting for transit, chan	ging modes of	
2.27	transportation, a	and other time s	spent directly rela	ated to travel but not inside	of a vehicle;	
2.28	(12) for busy	way and guidew	vay project option	ns, how travel time for veh	icles would be	
2.29	affected by any	estimated reduc	ction in vehicle t	raffic; and		
2.30	(13) for each	n project option	, the estimated in	crease or decrease in carbo	on emissions or	
2.31	other environme	ental pollutants.	<u>.</u>			

	03/09/22	REVISOR	KRB/KA	22-07086	as introduced
3.1	The analysis	s must also determi	ine how many miles	of arterial bus rapid tra	nsit, regular route
3.2		or congestion miti	gation construction	could be funded for the	amount proposed

- 3.3 to be spent on the guideway.
- 3.4 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective the day following final enactment and
- 3.5 applies to all guideways seeking state or federal funding on or after that date.