AH

1.1 1.2	Senator Kiffmeyer from the Committee on State Government Finance and Policy and Elections, to which was re-referred
1.3 1.4 1.5	S.F. No. 2676: A bill for an act relating to transit; requiring the legislative auditor to conduct a special review or program evaluation of the Southwest light rail transit project; appropriating money.
1.6	Reports the same back with the recommendation that the bill be amended as follows:
1.7	Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:
1.8	"Section 1. SOUTHWEST LIGHT RAIL TRANSIT PROJECT REVIEW OR
1.9	EVALUATION.
1.10 1.11	(a) The legislative auditor must conduct a special review, program evaluation, or a combination of the two, of the Southwest light rail transit project.
1.12	(b) If the Legislative Audit Commission selects the Southwest light rail transit project
1.13	for a program evaluation, the legislative auditor is encouraged to include examination of:
1.14	the primary reasons for delays and cost increases in the project; whether the Metropolitan
1.15	Council properly managed the project's schedule and costs; and whether there was sufficient
1.16	Metropolitan Council scrutiny of the route decisions and design choices that have
1.17	subsequently required substantive changes to project costs or plans.
1.18	(c) As part of a special review or program evaluation of the Southwest light rail transit
1.19	project, the legislative auditor is encouraged to:
1.20 1.21	(1) evaluate whether current practices on project cost estimating, contracting, negotiations, management processes, and hiring result in cost overruns or cause schedule delays;
1.22	(2) evaluate whether the Metropolitan Council's posting, interviewing, and hiring process
1.22	for internal staff resulted in qualified and competent project management personnel;
1.24	(3) evaluate whether the current practices on reviewing change orders, determining fair
1.25	pricing, and establishing credits for lump-sum bid prices are reasonable and appropriate;
1.26	(4) identify all changes to the project schedule and evaluate whether the changes were
1.27	reasonable, appropriate, and impact the project completion date;
1.28	(5) evaluate whether current practices on requiring, monitoring, and assuring quality of
1.29	construction and materials is sufficient;
1.30	(6) evaluate whether the methodology used by the Metropolitan Council to calculate the
1.31	requests for additional public funding for the project is reasonable, appropriate, and aligned
1.32	with standard engineering practice;

1

	02/16/22	SENATEE	АН	SS2676R-1	
2.1	(7) evaluate whether the use of con	tingency funds is reaso	nable and approp	vriate;	
2.2	(8) determine the balance in the contingency fund;				
2.3	(9) determine the balance of available funding currently committed by Hennepin County				
2.4	and other regional partners;				
2.5	(10) evaluate potential financial impacts on Hennepin County if the Metropolitan Council				
2.6	requests additional funding from the county beyond the existing contingency funding;				
2.7	(11) determine the revised total project budget and analyze the level of financial risk of				
2.8	any further changes to the project;				
2.9	(12) determine the current overall project timeline and any specific deadlines or				
2.10	benchmark dates, identify any currently proposed schedule changes, and determine whether				
2.11	project changes are on a critical path;				
2.12	(13) evaluate whether current penal	ties for missed deadlin	es or benchmarks	s are	
2.13	appropriate and, where applicable, if the	y have been imposed fo	r previously misse	ed deadlines	
2.14	or benchmarks;				
2.15	(14) make recommendations on how	w the Metropolitan Cou	uncil and Hennep	in County	
2.16	can best avoid additional cost overruns	, minimize delays, mar	1age risks, assure	sufficient	
2.17	construction quality, effectively address further changes, and increase public transparency				
2.18	about the current cost and schedule for	the project's completion	on;		
2.19	(15) review the January 2022 settle	ment between the Metr	opolitan Council	and the	
2.20	project's prime construction contractor and evaluate whether the settlement was necessary				
2.21	and appropriate;				
2.22	(16) evaluate whether the Metropol	itan Council, vendors,	and contractors a	re adhering	
2.23	to established safety standards, practices, and protocols in construction, emergency response,				
2.24	operations, and maintenance; and				
2.25	(17) perform a cost-benefit analysis	of the project.			
2.26	(d) The Metropolitan Council must	not require any vendor	or contractor to	notify the	
2.27	council of any requests or inquiries rece	eived by the vendor or c	ontractor from the	e legislative	
2.28	auditor pursuant to this section. The M	etropolitan Council mu	ist not require any	y vendor or	
2.29	contractor to provide to the council with	h information the vend	or or contractor p	provided to	
2.30	the legislative auditor pursuant to this s	section.			
2.31	(e) The Metropolitan Council must	not discharge, discipli	ne, threaten, other	rwise	
2.32	discriminate against, or penalize an em	ployee of the council r	egarding the emp	loyee's	

2

02/16	5/22
-------	------

AH

- compensation, terms, conditions, location, or privileges of employment because the employee 3.1 participated in a special review or program evaluation described to this section. The 3.2 protections provided under this paragraph are in addition to any remedies or employee 3.3 protections otherwise provided by law. 3.4 (f) The Metropolitan Council must not request or require the reassignment or removal 3.5 of an employee of a contractor or vendor solely based on that employee's participation in 3.6 the review described by this section. The Metropolitan Council must not retaliate or take 3.7 3.8 adverse action against a vendor or contractor based solely on fact that an employee of the vendor or contractor participated in a special review or program evaluation described by 3.9 this section. 3.10 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective the day following final enactment. 3.11 Sec. 2. APPROPRIATION. 3.12 \$200,000 in fiscal year 2022 is appropriated from the general fund to the legislative 3.13 auditor for the purposes of any special review or program evaluation conducted pursuant 3.14 to section 1, including hiring additional staff or contracting with any necessary third-parties. 3.15 3.16 This is a onetime appropriation and is available until June 30, 2023. EFFECTIVE DATE. This section is effective the day following final enactment." 3.17 3.18 And when so amended the bill do pass and be re-referred to the Committee on Finance. Amendments adopted. Report adopted. 3.19 Mary hiffneyn (Committee Chair) 3.20 3.21
- 3.22
- 3.23

February 16, 2022...... (Date of Committee recommendation)