## Site Team SMART Goal Southwest Elementary School

## District Outcome: Highest Student Achievement - Math Skills

Site Team Goal: Students will achieve $80 \%$ accuracy for 100 math facts at 5 minute timings at grade level and $80 \%$ of $3^{\text {rd }} \& 5^{\text {th }}$ grade students will score in Levels 3 $4 \& 5$ on the Math section of the Minnesota Comprehensive Assessment tests.

## Benchmarks:

| November | December | January | February | March | April | May |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Staff <br> discussion <br> \& planning | Individual <br> goal setting <br> by students | Implement <br> "Math <br> Fridays" <br> building <br> wide | Inservice to <br> evaluate <br> Math data | Practice <br> tests for 3 <br>  |  <br> gAP <br> grades | Data <br> analysis |

*Monthly = staff updates for classroom progress \& data profiles

## Implications of this New Goal:

| Teachers | Consistent use of mandated curriculum. Daily math lesson taught. Monthly <br> discussion at staff meetings to review student progress and goals. |
| :---: | :--- |
| Non-teaching <br> Staff | Incorporate "Math Fridays" in the computer lab and library. Certificate awards <br> and recognition for Math Facts In A Flash program success. |
| Budget | Staff development for grade level "math strategies" <br> PTC support for math game purchases - classrooms \& library. |
| Technology/ <br> Pedagogyl <br> Facility | Computer lab program support for monthly classroom profiles. <br> District projected time lines for curriculum completion. <br> "Math Buddies" across grade levels. |
| Community | Communicate goal through building "goal" brochure, monthly "math tips" and <br> classroom parent letters. |
| Students | Set individual goals for personal improvement in math skills (80\% target) |

## Site Team SMART Goal - Southwest Elementary School

## District Outcome: Highest Student Achievement - Writing Skills

Site Team Goal: Students will increase writing skill to be at or above grade level as determined by the Minnesota Comprehensive Assessment tests for $5^{\text {th }}$ grade ( a $2 \%$ reduction in the number of students scoring in Level I \& II will be seen over the next three years 2005, 2006 \& 2007 for all writing catagories)

## Benchmarks:

| November | December | January | February | March | April | May |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Staff <br> discussion | Rubistar <br> \& strategy <br> (web based <br> rubric) site <br> training | MCA writing <br> style <br> inservice <br> "Goal" <br> brochure <br> out to <br> parents | "Four <br> Blocks" <br> writing <br> process <br> inservice | Review <br> quarterly <br> writing <br> porffolio <br> process |  |  |

Monthly = Specialty writing projects with "writing buddies"
Implications of this New Goal:

| Teachers | Enhanced activities \& emphasis on writing through specialty projects. <br> Four "standardized" samples per student will create a "writing portfolio" scored <br> on a 1-2-3-4 rubric criteria. <br> Inservice opportunities. |
| :---: | :--- |
| Non-teaching <br> Staff | Set up "writing folders" for K - 5" |
| Budget | Staff development for "The Four Blocks Way the cum folder. |
| Technologyl <br> Pedagogy/ <br> Facility | Rubistar site training - writing rubrics |
| Community | Share goal expectations with P.T.C. and parents through parent letters, a SW <br> brochure and tip sheets. |
| Students | Students will use rubrics to improve writing strategies. |

## Site Team SMART Goal - Southwest Elementary School

District Outcome: Safe Environment - Every student has a right to be educated in a positive environment.

Site Team Goal: Students will show a $10 \%$ reduction in the number of discipline tickets, detention sessions and peer mediations by 2007. (3-4\% reduction/year)

## Benchmarks:

| November | December | January | February | March | April | May |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Classroom <br> discussions |  <br> student <br> Eurveys | Parent <br> information <br> on bullying <br> (Policy | Data <br> analysis | Site team <br> review of <br> "Bullying / | Determine <br> need for <br> specialty |
| Parent <br> Surveys | Principal <br> discussion <br> with <br> students | "Goal" <br> brochure <br> distribution | Sacial <br> Sociagram <br> implementation |  |  |  |

## Implications of this New Goal:

| Teachers | Responsive Classroom strategies \& procedures for playground issues. <br> Weekly student "morning meeting" discussion. <br> Consistent procedure to deal with "lunch / recess" issues. |
| :---: | :--- |
| Non-teaching <br> Staff | Educational support personnel implement responsive classroom strategies for <br> playground issues \& inservice |
| Budget | Staff development for inservice needs |
| Technology/ <br> Pedagogyl <br> Facility | Responsive Classroom philosophy \& strategies become a "standard" at SW |
| Community | Communicate goal through SW Goal Brochure. Parent information through <br> monthly newsletters regarding "Bullying" and social skills. |
| Students | Personal \& classroom goal setting to reach building goal <br> Student surveys 1 1t -5 th |

# Education Committee <br> Chair: Sen. Steve Kelley <br> January 13, 2005 <br> 3 p.m. Room 112 Capitol 

## Minnesota Schools Accountability Achievements

# Northwest Evaluation Association Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) Princeton Schools 

1. Princeton Public Schools and MAP
2. Individual Student Growth Reports and Classroom Achievement Growth Reports

Greg Blodgett
Principal, Princeton Middle School
763-389-6750
greg.blodgett@princeton.k12.mn.us
John Beach
Principal, Princeton North Elementary School
763-389-6802
john.beach@princeton.k12.mn.us

## Princeton Schools - Overview of MAP Use

- Students are tested in grades two through eight
- Tests are given in both reading and math
- We test in the fall and spring
- A small number of students are testing in the winter at the middle school level
- We can use a short version to test when students register to assist in placement and give us additional background information on the student
- The test we use is taken on the computer
- We are able to get valuable data within 24 hours of each testing session
- Teachers, parents and students set goals in the fall for the year
- There are many online tools available for teachers - including a learning continuum, lexile information (readability levels for books), and access to individual, class and grade level achievement and growth reports
- The data are used for differentiating instruction, flexible grouping, student growth, teacher development, predicting success on state tests, selection of supplemental instructional materials, and school improvement planning


## Handouts

Page 3 Individual Student Growth
Page 4 Class Report
Page 5, 6 Understanding Achievement and Growth Target Class Report - Fall and Spring
Page 7, 8 Learning Continuum
Page 9 Lexile Framework
Page $10 \quad$ Cut Scores from 16 other State Assessments
All of this information can be found or linked to from the NWEA web site, which is www.nwea.org.

Sample Portion of Individual Student Growth Report
Reading

| Season/ <br> Year | Grade | Student <br> Score Range | Dist. <br> Avg <br> RIT | Norm <br> Group <br> Avg. | Student <br> Growth | Typical <br> Growth | Student <br> \%ile <br> Range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F04 | 4 | $216-219-222$ | 197 | 198 |  |  | $90-94-97$ |
| S04 | 3 | $214-217-220$ | 199 | 197 | 19 | 9.4 | $86-93-95$ |
| W04 | 3 | $213-216-220$ | 194 | 193 |  |  | $91-96-97$ |
| F03 | 3 | $195-198-201$ | 188 | 189 |  | 11 |  |
| S03 | 2 | $195-198-201$ | 189 | 187 | 11 | 13 | $67-74-80$ |
| F02 | 2 | $184-187-190$ | 174 | 178 |  |  | $62-69-76$ |

## Reading




Word Recognition
High
Literal Comprehension
Interpretive Comprehension
High
Evaluative Comprehension
Literature

## Class Report - Mathematics Spring 2001



## Understanding the Achievement Status and Growth Targets Class Report

This report provides teachers with a summary of initial term test scores and a suggested amount of reasonable anticipated growth based on the student's initial term test scores. The anticipated growth targets are calculated using the 2002 NWEA RIT Scale Norms.


Northwest Evaluation Association
Partnering to help all kids learn

## Understanding the Achievement Status and Growth Summary Class Report

This class report provides each student's term-to-term growth and shows how that growth relates to the student's growth targets. Growth targets come from the 2002 NWEA RIT Scale Norms


## Subject: Reading <br> Goal Strand: Interpretive Comprehension <br> RIT Score Range: 201-210

| Skills and Concepts to Enhance $191-200$ | Skills and Concepts to Develop $201-210$ | kills and Concepts to Introduce $211-220$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Identify Author's Purpose and Yiewpoint | Identify Author's Purpose and Viewpoint | Identify Author's Purpose and Viewpoint |
| - Analyzes when the author's purpose is to persuade in informational text* <br> - Analyzes when the author's purpose is to inform in informational text <br> - Infers viewpoint in literary text <br> - Interprets author's viewpoint in informational text* | - Discriminates when the author's purpose is to persuade in informational text <br> - Discriminates when the author's purpose is to inform in informational text <br> - Infers viewpoint in literary text <br> - Analyzes assertion/viewpoint in informational text* | - Discriminates when the author's purpose is to persuade in informational text <br> - Discriminates when the author's purpose is to inform in informational text <br> - Infers author's viewpoint/attitude in literary text <br> - Analyzes assertion/viewpoint in informational text* |
| Make Predictions, Inferences, and Draw Conclusions | Make Predictions, Inferences, and Draw Conclusions | Make Predictions, Inferences, and Draw Conclusions . |
| - Makes, confirms, and revises predictions in literary text using content (e.g., titles, topic sentences, key words)* <br> - Makes inferences about characters based on characters' actions in the literary work <br> - Makes inferences about the emotions and perspectives of characters in literary text <br> - Makes inferences about characters based on the characters' responses to other characters in the literary work <br> - Identifies future events in literary text using inference <br> - Makes inferences in literary text to identify physical qualities of characters <br> - Makes inferences to identify setting in literary passages <br> - Makes inferences in literary text to identify tone/mood <br> - Makes inferences to identify problem/resolution in literary text* <br> - Makes inferences about events in literary text <br> - Draws conclusions based on information in informational text <br> - Makes inferences based on information in informational text* <br> - Makes, confirms, and revises predictions in informational texts using content (e.g., titles, topic sentences, key words)* <br> - Makes, confirms, and revises predictions using supporting details in informational text | - Predicts, confirms, and revises ideas within literary text* <br> - Makes inferences about characters based on the characters' responses to other characters in the literary work <br> - Makes inferences related to events in literary text <br> - Makes inferences about setting in literary text* <br> - Assesses future events in literary text using inference <br> - Makes inferences in literary text to identify feelings and perspectives of characters <br> - Makes inferences in literary text to identify setting <br> - Infers in literary text to identify tone/mood <br> - Infers to interpret figurative language in literary text* <br> - Makes inferences to identify symbolism in literary text* <br> - Makes inferences about characters based on appearances of characters in literary text* <br> - Makes inferences about characters supported by their responses to other characters in literary text <br> - Makes inferences about characters and their motives based on the characters' actions in literary text* <br> - Draws conclusions from content in informational text <br> - Makes inferences based on content in informational text* | - Predicts, confirms, and revises ideas within literary text* <br> - Makes inferences related to events in literary text <br> - Makes inferences about setting in literary text* <br> - Assesses future events in literary text using inference <br> - Makes inferences in literary text to identify feelings and perspectives of characters <br> - Infers in literary text to identify tone/mood <br> - Infers to identify problem/resolution in literary text <br> - Infers to interpret figurative language in literary text* <br> - Makes inferences to identify symbolism in literary text* <br> - Makes inferences to identify irony, suspense, and foreshadowing in literary text <br> - Makes inferences about characters based on appearances of characters in literary text* <br> - Makes inferences about characters and their motives based on the characters' actions in literary text* <br> - Draws conclusions from content in informational text <br> - Makes inferences based on content in informational text* <br> - Makes, confirms, and revises predictions in informational text using supporting details |
| Summarize and Paraphrase | Summarize and Paraphrase | Summarize and Paraphrase |
| - Summarizes informational text | - Summarizes literary text <br> - Summarizes using informational text | - Summarizes literary text <br> - Summarizes using informational text |

[^0]| Determine Cause and Effect | Determine Cause and Effect | Determine Cause and Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Recognizes cause and effect relationships in literary text <br> - Recognizes cause and effect in informational texa* <br> - Analyzes the effect in a cause and effect rehationship) described in literary text <br> - Amalyzes the cause in a cause and effect relationship described in literary text <br> - Assesses the cause in a cause and effect relationship in informational text ${ }^{\text {¹}}$ <br> - Assesses the effect in a cause and effect relationship in informational text* <br> - Analyzes cause and effect relationships in literary text* <br> - Interprets cause and effect in informational text* | - Determines cause and effect relationships in literary lext ${ }^{4}$ <br> - Determines canse and effed in informational text* <br> - Assesses the effect in a cause and effect relationship described in literary text <br> - Assesses the canse in a camse and effect relationship described in literary lext ${ }^{4}$ <br> - Analyzes the cause in a cause and elfect relationship in informational text* <br> - Assesses the effect in a cause and effect relationship in informational text <br> - Interprets cause and effect relationships in literary lext* <br> - Assesses cause and effect in informational texi* | - Determines cause and effect relationships in literary text ${ }^{4}$ <br> - Determines cause and effect in informational text* <br> - Assesses the effect in a camse and effect relationship described in literary text <br> - Assesses the cause in a canse and effect relationship described in literary text ${ }^{*}$ <br> - Analyzes the cause in a cause and elfect relationship in informational text" <br> - $\Lambda$ ssesses the effect in a cause and effect relationship in informational text <br> - Interprets cause and effect relationships in literary text* <br> - $\Lambda$ sscsses cause and effect in informational text* |
| New Vocabulary: summary | New Vocabulary: assumption, British literature, field guide, instruction, irony, memorandum, narrate | New Vocabulary: resolve |
| New Signs and Symbols: none | New Signs and Symbols: none | New Signs and Symbols: none |

[^1]
## The Lexile Framework

\section*{Text

Level $\quad$ Literature Titles <br> |  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Benchmarks
Tests/Textbooks





1630 The Ameipan Cansitution Cases, comments, questions
1610 The Condidion of Post Publishing
1610 The Condition of Postmodemity Blachvell Publishers

1600L
1570 Aeropatitita
1550 God, IIte of
1530 Plutarch's Livet
1550 Gulure/Power/History: A Reader in Contempon

 Plutarch's Lives
A Modest Proposal

Ancients
On Human Naware
The Decameron

 The Confexsions of Nat Turae
The Legend of Slecepy Hollow
Master Hunpliry's Clock Master Hunphire's' Cl
0
Profile in Courage
 Life in a Me Mieval Caste
0 The Guns of Augus
The Hunchback of Notre Dami 0 The Hunchback of
0 The Sow Leopar
0 The Metiamophos People of the Deer

 The Midwife's Apprentice Dre Rroon Seed
Cold Moumtain





L200111/4.





## 








1550 Culurr//Power/Hisory, A Render in Conternpong

 1500 The Maling of Memory. From Moleades to Mind Doubledy
1450 . Pullasophical Essay;, Hacket Publishing

1450 Philasophical Essays; Hackert Publibin
1440 Gndxhalic Manogzant/Adnistion Tait
 430 Criminal Justice Today, Prentice Hrll
1410 Science Jnd Education The Citadel Press
400 Telt of Engith ar Forl
TOM TOEF of Eng
1390 Gadnate Recrord Examination 380 Lani Sthooi Adinision Tes
330 Scholatic Aptilude Tet
 $G R E$
$C L A A T$

Whatan
1290 Understanding Sociology, Glericoe/McGraw-Hil


, mimm Collyg Tasting Prognm $\cdot$
1170 scholutif Rodirg Inventog.

1130 Modera Biology; Holt, Reinhart \& Winston

 1090 Amor Fortune Frea Man
1070 All Thing Bright ad Beautiful





000 Teto Gemmi/Edtrational Drivtpomm! SAT9-TASK2

## 

950 Bud, Not Buddy
940 All Lic Prety Hoze
830 The Golden Compnas
120 Taking with Artists



${ }_{8}^{800}$ socolet



710 गhe Fiaded

670 The Gial Wha Loved Whad Houes
670 Number the Stars
Holes The Robiber and Me
MC. Figgins, 山e Great .620 M.C. Higgins, the Great
.610 Beat tue Story-Drum, Pum

 The The Alven and Thal
The All Greck to of Sparowhoy 530 Its All Greek to Me
520 John Heary An Ancrican Legend
510 Karents Clunin Letler


## 040 schasuric Reding Inveator, Gomilonn



## 







870 Word 97 ; Glencoe/McGraw-Hill

SATP Adinned 1

490 Hasold and dhe Puppla Crayon
440 All Tuut Should De Put
420 Michac Bisd




820 NAEPTAx:
810 Stanfond Adbierimert Tast
800 Enstgy fromi Water Haroctirt

| SNLEM 15 NAT MEP-Gmdt 4 SAT:9-Inttrmedials 2 |
| :---: |

780 Woidd Explorere' The US \& Caradd; Prentice FLall
770 Wordd Explorer Latin Aniecica; Preatice Hall



"Or course he bites vegethbles All mbibitu bite vegetablen" "He bites hem, Harold, but he doen not eat them. That tomato was oll white




800 One Nand




Thavemand




10 Toanas Spirit, Sclololasicic Inc.

SRLLLerl 12
SAT 9. Primog 2

420 Míchanel Biatl-Bay
420 Angel Cuild, Dragon Clüd
410 San the Minuternion



480 Once Upon A Hippo; Scolt Foreasman
470 Bears Dont Go oo school; Houghtor Miffil
440

440 Ttaveling Stari SRA/McGraw Hill
400 We Are All Alike; Benclumatk Education
400 Athurit New Puppy Alifighastriered

370 AMy Nante lis Nice
360 The Beet Way to Piay
300 Cifford, the Small Red Puppy
320 Mise Nelson la Back



|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |




270 In uha Forest
260 At the Cratrond

260 At Ahe Crotrond
230 The Bay Who Cided Worlf
220 Play Ball, Amalia Bedclia



270 Pazaids: Houghton Mifflin
250 My Farily Yout Family, Silver Burdett Ginn
240 My Pet Pup; Benclanack Education

About the Lexile Framework ${ }^{\circledR}$ for Reading
The Lexile Framework for Reading helps educators, parents and students locate challenging books and articles. The Lexile Framework also helps determine reading ability to match readers to texts. Text difficulty nnd a student's reading ability are measured in the same unit: a Lexile(B), A student's Lexile measure is the position on the Lexile Scale where the reader can expect to have 75 -percent comprehension. Reader measures can be obtained from any test that has been linked to the Lexile Framework. When Lexile mensures for teader and text match, the reader is "targeted." Targeted readers experience confidence, competence and control over text, and will want to read. Other factors (purpose, interest, developmental appropriateness, prior knowledge, text quatity and text support) may be as important na the Lexile text measure when choosing a book for a student. Please note that listed titles are illustrative only, Final determination of the appropriateness of a title rests with the educator or parent. The Lexile Framework has been adopted for testing nand
teading progeams by states and school districts around the country, as well as by the U.S. Department of Education's "America Reads program. For more information about the teading programs by states and school districts around the country, as well as by the
Lexile Framework, contact MetaMetrics, Inc. nt 1-888-LEXILES or www.lexile.com.

021003 MetaMetrics, Inc .


Look to the Lexile logo for nppropriate reading levels.

Cut scores representing "proficient" or "meets standards" level of performance on 16 state assessments

| Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grate 3 mater |  |  |  |  |  | Crade 5 |  |  | Grateg Read |  |  |  | Gfater |  | - | Crade 8 |  | \% | Grede9 |  | - 6 Grade 10 |  |  |
| Stat | 5615 | $\sqrt{616}$ |  | Critiz | $5$ | Shetc |  | $5$ |  | $\text { 3. } 5$ |  | She |  | $5$ |  | Exit | $5$ | $5$ |  |  | $512 \mathrm{y}$ | $5$ | \%ile |
| SEs | 205 | 67 | Ure | 214 | 73 | SE. | 220 | 73 | 32: | 221 | 63 | 80 | 227 | 70 | W4F | 232 | 74 | Hix | 224 | 43 | 88\% | 236 | 77 |
| 314* | 202 | 58 | Ste | 213 | 70 | 4ime | 215 | 59 | C\% | 216 | 46 | W | 226 | 67 | Sc | 230 | 68 | 17 | 224 | 43 | W2: | 227 | 53 |
| 2er | 200 | 51 | -4: | 207 | 53 | Ef: | 214 | 56 | , 1 T | 211 | 35 | 852: | 221 | 50 | S6 | 227 | 58 | 11.: | 221 | 37 | 3i3 | 224 | 44 |
| Wilir | 196 | 42 | 85: | 205 | 46 | Esam | 212 | 50 | 18, | 211 | 35 | Will | 218 | 43 | -c: | 226 | 54 | 46 | 204 | 9 | Wir | 224 | 44 |
| DiE: | 193 | 35 | 105 | 200 | 34 | 3 Cl | 210 | 45 | CiP | 210 | 32 | 4 | 216 | 37 | Werin | 224 | 49 | - |  |  | 15: | 223 | 42 |
| [5\% | 193 | 35 | M1] | 196 | 26 | C 6 | 209 | 42 | Fir | 209 | 30 | 31\% | 215 | 35 | Wipt | 223 | 46 | - |  |  | 36 | 209 | 15 |
| Wer | 193 | 35 | 82: | 196 | 26 | Whis | 207 | 37 | Tix | 208 | 28 | Tix ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 215 | 35 | [1] | 219 | 35 | \% |  |  | \% | 208 | 14 |
| - 4 | 193 | 35 | [3]: | 194 | 22 | 4 L | 207 | 37 | ces | 197 | 11 | 35k | 210 | 24 | Wif: | 219 | 35 | , |  |  | - |  |  |
| Wer | 192 | 32 | 84 | 191 | 18 | 41\% | 206 | 35 | - |  |  | ce: | 206 | 18 | 3: | 219 | 35 | , |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54\% | 191 | 31 | $\cdots$ |  |  | [E] | 206 | 35 | e |  |  | \% |  |  | IE | 218 | 32 |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| 2 | 190 | 29 | $\underline{4}$ |  |  | E20 | 205 | 32 | \% |  |  | $\underline{\square}$ |  |  | Itr | 218 | 32 | - |  |  | - |  |  |
| Fecm | 179 | 13 | - |  |  | Tk | 204 | 30 | $\sim$ |  |  | - |  |  | 7) | 218 | 32 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| 5ers | 179 | 13 | $\cdots$ |  |  | ETi | 197 | 18 | - |  |  | 1 - |  |  | Es | 206 | 12 | $\cdots$ |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |

Mathematics

| 8 | Gtate 3 |  |  | Grate 4 |  |  | Grate |  |  | Grade 6 |  |  | Gradet | \% |  | Grade 8 |  |  | Gradeg |  | Grade 10 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 5fax | 5itite | 515 | 5lth | sytis |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { ET1 } \\ \text { Scover } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cyt } \\ \text { ssisc } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SVIt } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Swit |  | \% lle |
| sc) | 208 | 75 |  | 221 | 83 | Ster | 227 | 76 | Ste | 235 | 78 | 3C\% | 242 | 78 | 4 4 \% | 257 | 89 | 47 | 242 | 47 | 512 | 257 | 73 |
| 92] | 204 | 63 | Max | 218 | 76 | 50\% | 225 | 71 | 85 | 230 | 68 |  | 242 | 78 | - 5 Sici | 251 | 80 | 12\% | 241 | 44 | 314 | 247 | 40 |
| Wex | 203 | 59 | W1FP6 | 200 | 26 | - $\mathrm{m}_{2}$ | 220 | 59 | 151\% | 221 | 47 | - $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | 238 | 71 | - $\mathrm{T}^{2}$ | 248 | 75 | V120 | 240 | 42 | TIT | 247 | 40 |
| 4ijus | 201 | 50 | Stict | 217 | 74 | 3y ${ }^{\text {Y }}$ | 216 | 48 | W ${ }^{2}$ | 219 | 42 | E2 | 225 | 44 | E12t | 240 | 60 | \%ex | 235 | 32 |  | 245 | 33 |
| 7以1 | 200 | 49 | 529 | 212 | 59 | W ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 216 | 48 | 12 | 218 | 40 | 314 | 224 | 42 | 96 | 237 | 53 | 2a |  |  | IIP | 242 | 25 |
| 90, | 199 | 46 | 125 | 205 | 39 |  | 215 | 46 | [17 | 218 | 40 | 5120 | 222 | 38 | \%eff | 235 | 50 |  |  |  | Cob | 233 | 14 |
| 5 272 | 199 | 46 | 1tar | 205 | 39 | WEx | 213 | 41 | Ces | 207 | 19 | Wer | 221 | 35 | 712: | 233 | 46 |  |  |  | Cis | 232 | 13 |
| Wras | 197 | 39 | 345 | 205 | 39 | W15 | 212 | 38 | 3: |  |  | $4{ }^{4}$ | 220 | 33 | W1] | 231 | 42 |  |  |  | 3) |  |  |
| Uter | 197 | 39 | - |  |  | Wilm | 212 | 38 | + |  |  | 6] 6 | 216 | 26 | \% | 231 | 42 | 5 |  |  | - |  |  |
| Wer | 196 | 36 | 3 |  |  | We | 211 | 36 | \% |  |  | + |  |  | 5 | 230 | 40 | \% |  |  | \% |  |  |
| WIT: | 193 | 29 | 5 |  |  | Lic: | 210 | 33 | Ta* |  |  | - |  |  | 178- | 228 | 36 | - |  |  | - |  |  |
| 2ver |  |  | 5 ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | W6 | 209 | 31 | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 13: | 228 | 36 | 3 |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| O+5 |  |  | 203 |  |  | Ceme | 201 | 15 | W |  |  | 1\% |  |  | , ciber | 225 | 31 | - |  |  | 18 |  |  |

- Colorado uses the partially proficient level of performance for NCLB reporting. To maintain consistency we report the level each state uses for NCLB reporting here.
- The Texas estimate is based on the level for proficient performance that will be implemented in 2005.
- Nevada used a criterion-referenced test at grades 3 and 5 and the ITBS at grades 4 and 7 in 2003. They will discontinue use of the ITBS in 2004.


For the past ten years, discussion of and work on a collaborative approach to professional development has been part of negotiations between the school district and the union. Originally, the agreement covered use of staff development in quite traditional ways - providing funding for each teacher to work on an individual plan that included things like attending one-day workshops. In 2001, however, the school district and the union agreed to try a different approach that they called the ISD \#15 Teacher Academy. With that new approach, several things changed. The focus of professional development became student performance and achievement. Most professional development opportunities were provided locally outside of regular instructional time, and a comprehensive new teacher induction program was begun. Additionally, site planning and control of professional development time was increased. District-wide coordination of the effort was provided. The School Board policy on the Teacher Academy has this purpose statement:

Purpose: To increase student learning in every classroom in the district by providing each teacher with the support and tools to his or her effectiveness as a teacher and encourage professional growth throughout the teacher's career (adopted May 2001).

The graphic below shows exactly what we have built as a result of this collaborative effort.


At the center of the Teacher Academy is the American Federation of Teachers' Educational Research and Dissemination program. Accessing this program was vital. It provided the model for effective professional development, the strands - or courses - that we are using, and the training and funding for district teachers to become trainers for each of the courses. In addition to AFT,

Education Minnesota, and local union funding, the district provided additional funding through ESEA Title II professional development funds to expand the program faster than the union support allowed.

The graphic below outlines the strands - or courses - in the Teacher Academy. All but two of these courses are AFT-sponsored. Mentorship Training, and Traits of Effective Writing Instruction are both of locally developed courses that follow the AFT model of basing each course on levelthree research.


Trying to measure the impact of any professional development program is difficult at best. However, an unintended outcome of one of the first Teacher Academy courses has given us an ideal opportunity to examine the impact of this professional development approach. In the spring of 2001, Early Reading Intervention was offered for the first time. A large group of the teachers in the class were concentrated in one of the two primary buildings in the district. As the class ended, these teachers got together and challenged the site management council at the building to implement the identification and instruction methods that were part of the class. Using the University of Oregon Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills, kindergartens were assessed in the spring of 2001. This group becomes the baseline data for what has developed into a building-wide endeavor. The following fall, incoming kindergarteners were assessed on the same standards. Again, they were assessed in the spring of 2002. At the same time, those dergarteners from 2001 had now had a year of instruction based on the University of Oregon del. Their gains from the previous year provide a value-added assessment of progress.

The results for the following two years are also reporíed. In the spring of 2004, a comparison was done of kindergarteners in the all-day, everyday program versus those students in the all-day,

## East Bethel Community School Early Reading Intervention Program Test Results

## Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills

Percentage of students who reached the grade-level standard.



In the lives of children, the significance of early reading achievement cannot be overstated. The impact on the remaining years of school and on adult potential is linked directly to a student's achievement in reading.

In developing the Dynamic Indicators of Beginning Early Literacy, the University of Oregon also developed research that demonstrates the impact of focusing instruction on meaningful teaching approaches to early reading intervention. The graph below indicates the impact over an elementary career. The chart also demonstrates the potential for affecting long-term outcomes for primary students when provided with meaningful early reading intervention.


## Patrick Henry High School - Minneapolis

Our philosophy of excellence is implemented through investment in best practices.

## Three Successful Initiatives

1. Team Prep Hour (Costs .2 per teacher involved - at PHHS that's the equivalent of 6.8 teachers for 2004-05 or $\$ 476,000$ )

- Examining student work together
- Planning and evaluating interdisciplinary units
- Meeting with parents \& students
- Connecting with support staff

2. Small Learning Communities (Costs 6 to 1.0 per SLC for coordinators and teacher leaders - the equivalent of 3.2 teachers for 2004-05 or $\$ 224,000$ )

- SLC Program Coordinators recruit \& support students, families and teachers
- Arts Lead teacher works with Commercial \& Fine Arts (CFA) SLC colleagues on integration strategies
- Engineering tech teacher supports 3 computer labs for Engineering SLC
- IB coordinator is full time position because of IB testing requirements
- SLC Program coordinator work together to ensure that all initiatives are good for the

3. Patrick Henry Instructional Leaders (PHILs) (Costs . 6 per teacher involved - that's 3.6 teachers or $\$ 252,000$ for which we have one fewer assistant principal)

- Data Collection \& Analysis
- Curriculum \& Instruction
- Professional Development
- Student Development
- Mentorship
- Professional Practice School Lead

Presented by Emily Lilja,
elilja@mpls.k12.mn.us
612-668-1973
Patrick Henry H.S., Minneapolis
January 13, 2005
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## Our School

Patrick Henry High School is an academically focused senior high school with four small learning communities (SLC): Engineering, Commercial and Fine Arts, Open, and International Baccalaureate (IB).

- The Commercial and Fine Arts Small Learning Community is for students who want arts integration in all their courses, as well as expanded arts opportunities in visual, performing and graphic arts.
- The Open Small Learning Community is a group of students who share a broad range of academic interests with high standards. It offers individualized learning and inquiry opportunities for those students who are particularly self-motivated and independent. Students may take positions of leadership through mentoring opportunities in community or business organizations.
- The IB program provides an internationally recognized challenging academic program to meet the needs of highly motivated students. Final exams offer an opportunity for students to earn credit at universities.
- The Engineering SLC is a computer assisted design and development program leading to a variety of technology careers. The program uses a nationally known pre-engineering curriculum called "Project Lead the Way". Students can take 5 courses in such as Engineering Design, Digital Electronics, and Computer Integrated Manufacturing..

PHHS teachers have high expectations for all our students. We support this through our commitment to highest quality teaching - demonstrated in our Professional Practice School, which promotes mentoring for new teachers and National Board Certification (NBCT) for experienced professionals. PHHS has 7 NBCT , more than any other school in Minnesota.

Our teachers also provide additional help for students during weekly scheduled tutorials after school. Days for tutorials are listed on our web site. Numerous opportunities before and after school and on weekends.

## Special Highlights ACADEMIC:

- At PHHS in the National Merit Scholarship Program, 1 student is a semi-finalist and 1 student is a commended student. Both are recognized for their academic excellence, and outstanding performance on the PSAT
- . In May 2004, 157 students took 326 IB exams.
- 2 of the 6 students who attempted the IB Diploma were successful.
(continued on next page)


## From the Heart

Henry is a great place to be. There are always so many things happening and so many things to do. You would see students here daily at 8 o' clock at night, not because they have to but because they want to. Students stay to get homework help or participate in a school activity such as clubs and sports.

I remember last year, my junior year, my physics class was reviewing for the big IB test and we were here until 9 o'clock at night! The teacher, Mr. Scheibe, was willing to stay late without getting paid for the extra hours just to help us study to be successful on this test. That's the wonderful thing about Henry, their teachers and staff look forward to your success.

Patrick Henry High School is the only high school I know of that gives students a Minnesota Basics Standard (MBST) Writing Test practice with all of the actual conditions -no talking, the tw hour time frame and similar questions. Then teachers in the school, who volunteer their free time, read and correct the papers. The students can then see how they will do on the real test, and have a chance to work on improvements. This actually was the key reason that I passed the MBST Writing test. I did not pass the practice writing test, but by looking at my test with my teacher, I saw areas for improvement. I passed the real one, the first time. Without the practice test, my teachers wouldn't know where I could improve, and wouldn't be able to help me.

At the end of each year, I am always amazed at what the school has done for me and am a little more appreciative as I return for the next coming school year. The Principal, M1 Paul McMahan is really the star of the school for going out into the world and fighting for the great opportunities that Henry provides for its students. At Henry, students will be successful. Ellina Xiong, Grade 12

## PROGRESS TOWARD OUR GOALS



## Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)No Child Left Behind

The Minnesota Department of Education uses scores on Grade 10 Reading and Grade 11 Math exams, graduation rate and average daily attendance to rate schools as outlined by the federal No Child Left Behind law. The table below shows that all student groups in our school are meeting the state's target rate of adequate yearly progress.

| Adeguate Teaty Rrogress |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 10Reading |  | Grade 11- Math |  |
|  | PHHS | Target | PHHS | Target |
| School | 78.6\% | 76.5\% | 75.5\% | 69.8\% |
| Asian American | 81.0\% | 73.7\% | 83.5\% | 67.0\% |
| Hispanic | N/A | 59.8\% | N/A | 42.3\% |
| African American | 70.6\% | 74.6\% | 58.2\% | 67.3\% |
| White | 92.e\% | 71.5\% | 84.7\% | 63.4\% |
| ELL Students | 73.8\% | 72.7\% | 66.2\% | 64.9\% |
| Special Education | N/A | 68.1\% | N/A | 60.2\% |
| Free/Reduced Lunch | 75.2\% | 75.7\% | 69.8\% | 69.0\% |

Bold: groups not meeting target.

## American College Test (ACT)

This test is taken by juniors and seniors who are interested in going to college. This test is required by most of the Minnesota state colleges and universities. Last year the ACT test was given at PHHS. 169 students took the test, an increase of 50 students over the previous year. 55 juniors and 114 seniors.

| Average Composite Score on ACT 03 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School | District | State |
| School | 18.0 | 19.5 | 22.0 |
| African American | 16.1 | 15.3 | 17.0 |
| Asian American | 16.8 | 17.2 | 19.9 |
| White American | 22.2 | 23.7 | 22.3 |

Minnesota Basic Skills Test (MBST)
The Basic Skills Test is must be passed in order for a student in Minnesota to graduate from high school. At PHHS, the MBST is given to all students who have not yet passed the reading or math test from grade 8. The writing section is administered for the first time in $10^{\text {th }}$ grade. The figures below reflect the percent of students who passed. Almost $3 / 4$ of PHHS students passed the Minnesota Basic Standard Test in writing last spring. We have set a goal to increase the number of students who pass in Math and Reading

| MBST Passing Status by grade | as of $5 / 30 / 04$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading | Math | Writing |
| All students | $\mathbf{7 8 \%}$ | $66 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 9 \%}$ |
| Grade 9 | $65 \%$ | $53 \%$ |  |
| Grade 10 | $79 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $74 \%$ |
| Grade 11 | $84 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| Grade 12 | $93 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $89 \%$ |

## Test of Emerging Academic English (TEAE)

This test is given only to students currently designated as English Language Learners (ELL). It is used to determine which students no longer need these services by the state.
READING

|  | Level 1 |  | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 Proficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PHHS | 5\% |  | 25\% | 61\% | 9\% |
| District | 17\% |  | 35\% | 40\% | 9\% |
| WRITING |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Level 1 | Level 2 | 2 Level 3 | Level 4 | Level 5 Proficient |
| PHHS | 6\% | 3\% | 41\% | 45\% | 4\% |
| District | 18\% | 10\% | 35\% | 31\% | 6\% |


|  |  | gu4 | Xear | P12 | S |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | age Da endanc |  |  | raduat |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2003 | 2004 | Target | 2003 | 2004 | Target |
| All | 68\% | 93\% | 90\% | 91\% | 75\% | 68\% |

You can see that PHHS improved both its attendance and its graduation rate from last year

## School Environment: Safety and Respect

| Percent Agree \&Agree Strongly | Students |  | Staff |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PHISS | District | PHHS | District |
| I feel safe in my school | $64 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| Students trust adults in my school to keep them safe | $67 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| Students in my school show respect for teachers | $33 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| The teachers in this school treat students and other staff with respect. | $85 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $90 \%$ |

## Progress Toward Our Goals AYP and 2-Star Schools

Patrick Henry has been listed as a school that did not meet the Adequate Yearly Progress targets for all of its groups. The state sets these targets for each group of students: African American (Black), Asian American, Caucasian(White), English Language Learners (ELL), and Free and Reduced Lunch (Lunch). If a group of students has too few who score proficient, a school will not have met AYP (ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS) and then the most STARS a school can get is two out of five.

PHHS has two groups of students in reading and one group in math that did not meet the target. Below you can see how our students compared to the targets. On the Grade 10 Reading test, our African American students were only 4\% below the target. And our Free and Reduced Lunch students were only half of one percent below! On the Grade 11 Math test, our African American students scored nine percent below the target.

Our students can do better, and we are focusing our efforts to achieve this. But let's compare how our students did with those in other Minneapolis and nearby suburban schools. Out of 16 Minneapolis and suburban high schools, PHHS was $5^{\text {th }}$ highest in Reading and $7^{\text {th }}$ highest in math for our African American students.

Also, Patrick Henry students had, on average, a higher percent who were proficient than both the district and the state on the Grade 10 Reading and the Grade 11 Math for all our groups. But, wait a minute! Our school score is below the state, even though the groups are all above. How can that be? The numbers are correct. However, Patrick Henry has fewer high scoring students compared to the state of Minnesota. In an average, these high scoring students, bring up the total. Patrick Henry has $14 \%$ white students while the state has $80 \%$

Our teachers are analyzing the students' results so that they can improve scores for this year. We will develop specific instruction in those areas where students have difficulties.

However, we also know that these scores only reflect a small part of what we want students to learn in school. We want to ensure that our students have a balanced education at PHHS. We do not believe that reviewing tests problems endlessly and test-taking strategies will provide students with the skills and knowledge to succeed in their future.

## Teacher Quality

Patrick Henry High School knows that quality teachers, those who possess a thorough knowledge of the subject they teach and who have the ability to effectively communicate this content to their students, are integral to the success of our students and our school. Patrick Henry's staff development activities are designed to develop, support, retain, and recruit quality teachers.

## Literacy is Our Focus

During Summer 2004, 22 teachers from PHHS attended the MPS Literacy Institute.There the participants developed a plan to help teachers strengthen the literacy skills of their students. Our goal is to help students use texts in ways that will lead our students toward their independence as learners.

In the first three weeks of school, your student probably took a 'reading' test, even in math, science and modern language classes. This was so that every teacher would know how well their students could read the text.

Literacy is more than learning to read: A literate person has the skills to read, understand, respond and use many different kinds of materials independently. Our job as educators is to teach techniques to our students that make it possible for them to use texts in ways that give them independence as readers, learners and writers Currently, teachers are learning a variety of instructional strategies that will help them meet their students' specific needs. At the end of the year, students will take a similar test to see if they have improved.

## Supporting Teachers New to PHHS

This year, PHHS has a number of teachers with experience in other schools, who are now teaching in areas that they are licensed in, but may not have taught before. Our mentoring team has been working intensively to support these teachers through special classes, observations, coaching, and making sure they have the necessary resources to teach in their new assignments.

## The Professional Practice School

The Professional Practice School is a collaborative effort among Patrick Henry High School, the University of Minnesota College of Education and Human Development, the Minneapolis Federation of Teachers, and the Minneapolis Public Schools. It supports teachers' success, growth, and retention with a full spectrum of professional development opportunities for all stages of educators' careers. These opportunities include from support for teacher research, weekly lunch discussions of educational topics, support for teachers applying for National Board Certification, and a Leadership Seminar Series.
Patrick Henry also has a unique teacher leadership structure, in which classroom teachers are released parttime to serve in school leadership roles. The Patrick Henry Instructional Leaders (PHILs) provide mentoring, curriculum support, research, student leadership aid, and professional development for staff.

## Factoids

Student enrollment:
1528 (10/16/02)

| Student Race: | Our | School |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| District |  |  |
| American Indian | $1 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| African American | $50 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| Asian American | $31 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Hispanic American | $3 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| White American | $15 \%$ | $26 \%$ |


| Students <br> Receiving ELL | School | District |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| services | $19 \%$ | $23 \%$ |

Qualify for free
or reduced lunch $75 \% \quad 71 \%$
Students who participate in
special education: $17 \% \quad 13 \%$

## Number of Staff

Teachers: 103
Classroom support/staff*: 67
Administrator: 4
*Includes media specialists, reading specialist, assistants, clerical, custodians.

Highlights (continued)

- Students can earn up to 16 college credits in classes at PHHS in 2004-05.
- Named one of the Nation's best public high schools by Newsweek magazine in 2000 and 2003.
Fourth quarter awards for 2004 included:
- 964 students received awards
- 760 - $A$ and $B$ honor roll; 160 improved . 5 points in their grades
- 12 - seniors received the Highest Honors - 3.85 or higher grade point average for all four years.


## College Prep Programs

- Admission Possible worked with 80 juniors and seniors preparing for college admission..
Grants Received
- PHHS was awarded a Comprehensive School Reform Grant for a second year. The $\$ 100,000$ will be used for professional development of teachers in literacy.
- PHHS received an Arts for Academic Achievement grant for $\$ 5000$ for the Arts SLC to integrate artists in the community into the curriculum.
- Brionna Harder won a grant to attend a seminar in Washington D.C. on the Supreme Court.


## Student and Community Activities

- Patrick Henry Student Council has students attending the Minneapolis Citywide Student Council
- Summer Training of 110 Link Leaders (seniors and juniors) who will mentor all freshman in their advisories.
- Last year 6 dances raising money for student activities were sponsored by students leadership.
- Students visited theAl-Amal Islamic School in Fridley and discussed the issues facing Muslim students and how their school supports them.
- 15 students collected macroinvertebrates in Shingle Creek for Hennepin County and presented their findings on water quality at the Third River Summit at the Science Museum of Minnesota.
- 4 students participated in YMCA Beacon: "An inside look at being a Doctor"
- Students went to: U of MN law school seminar, High Tech Girls Society, Camp Ripley intensive technical career job shadows, Opus mentors, Architectural Youth Program, Business career exploration at St Kate's, and the Walkable Communities workshop.
- Students participated in internships in law enforcement, radiation oncology, auto mechanics, construction management, and other areas.
Students also participated in school year or summer internships in law enforcement, physical therapy, environmental advocacy, elementary education,
- The PHHS Varsity basketball team moved to the AAAA division and was runner-up for the State title.
- The after school Political Forums hosted MN Senator Steve Kelly and US Senator Mark Dayton discussing education, as well as numerous other public figures.


## Volunteer Activities

- Recycling kids raked the leaves of senior citizens in the neighborhood with the Camden Neighborhood Association
- Over 100 students worked in the community for Operation Days Work at 50 different businesses and raised almost $\$ 3000$ for international projects.

Reading MCA

| R- PHHS | School | Am. Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | Lunch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04-Target | 76.52 | 0 | 73.66 | 59.83 | 74.63 | 71.50 | 72.66 | 68.09 | 75.70 |
| 04-Rate | 78.62 | N/A | 80.95 | N/A | 70.63 | 92.62 | 73.75 | N/A | 75.23 |
| Safe Harbor | $100 \%-70.63 \%=29.37 \quad 10 \%$ of $29.37 \%=2.94 \%$. Next year African American students target (for Safe Harbor) will be $70.63 \%+2.94 \%=73.57 \%$ at levels 3-5. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $100 \%-75.23 \%=24.67 \% \quad 10 \%$ of $24.67 \%=2.47 \%$. Next year Free/Reduced Lunch students target (for Safe Harbor) will be $75.23 \%+2.47 \%=77.70 \%$ at levels 3-5. |
| Math MCA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M-PHHS | School | Am. Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | Lunch |
| 04-Target | 69.76 | 0 | 66.96 | 42.26 | 67.28 | 63.43 | 64.91 | 60.20 | 69.02 |
| 04-Rate | 75.52 | N/A | 83.49 | N/A | 58.19 | 84.69 | 66.15 | N/A | 69.81 |

MCA - Grade 11 Math - \% Proficient, 2004

| M-PHHS | School | Am. Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | Lunch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04-Target | 69.76 | 0 | 66.96 | 42.26 | 67.28 | 63.43 | 64.91 | 60.20 | 69.02 |
| 04-Rate | 75.52 | N/A | 83.49 | N/A | $\mathbf{5 8 . 1 9}$ | 84.69 | 66.15 | N/A | 69.81 |


| M-Edison | School | Am.Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | Lunch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04-Target | 68.62 | 19.86 | 58.85 | 55.23 | 65.86 | 63.62 | 64.67 | 60.13 | 67.69 |
| 04-Rate | $\mathbf{5 4 . 9 0}$ | N/A | 74.14 | 60.00 | $\mathbf{3 8 . 5 9}$ | 70.69 | $\mathbf{4 9 . 3 0}$ | N/A | $\mathbf{4 9 . 6 7}$ |


| M-North | School | Am.Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | Lunch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04-Target | 68.68 | 0.00 | 64.16 | 9.85 | 67.19 | 44.95 | 63.07 | 62.37 | 67.86 |
| 04-Rate | $\mathbf{5 3 . 8 5}$ | N/A | 64.29 | N/A | $\mathbf{4 6 . 4 6}$ | N/A | $\mathbf{5 9 . 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 1 7}$ |


| M-Roosevelt | School | Am. Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | Lunch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04-Target | 69.17 | 43.88 | 59.06 | 56.72 | 67.18 | 63.83 | 65.57 | 63.17 | 68.12 |
| 04-Rate | $\mathbf{5 9 . 5 1}$ | N/A | 82.26 | 64.58 | $\mathbf{4 8 . 5 2}$ | 69.05 | $\mathbf{5 5 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 6 7}$ |


| M-South | School | Am. Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | Lunch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04-Target | 70.31 | 53.31 | 58.85 | 56.71 | 66.44 | 69.14 | 62.38 | 60.56 | 67.46 |
| 04-Rate | 76.18 | N/A | 79.31 | 69.57 | $\mathbf{5 1 . 4 2}$ | 87.35 | $\mathbf{4 6 . 8 1}$ | N/A | $\mathbf{5 7 . 1 4}$ |


| M-Southwest | School | Am. Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | Lunch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04-Target | 69.65 | 0 | 55.01 | 63.40 | 61.00 | 68.46 | 63.72 | 58.66 | 65.53 |
| 04-Rate | 79.54 | N/A | N/A | $\mathbf{5 1 . 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 1 4}$ | 91.48 | 46.07 | N/A | $\mathbf{5 1 . 9 2}$ |


| M-Washburn | School | Am. Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | Lunch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04-Target | 69.17 | 0 | 56.16 | 54.34 | 67.06 | 65.53 | 62.52 | 60.79 | 67.18 |
| 04-Rate | $\mathbf{6 2 . 3 9}$ | N/A | 85.00 | N/A | $\mathbf{5 0 . 8 8}$ | 73.08 | $\mathbf{4 5 . 4 5}$ | N/A | $\mathbf{5 2 . 5 4}$ | | M-Cooper | School | Am. Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04-Target | 70.26 | 30.73 | 60.00 | 47.31 | 64.89 | 69.48 | 56.69 | 62.52 |
| 04-Rate | 76.14 | N/A | 82.81 | N/A | $\mathbf{5 9 . 1 5}$ | 81.02 | 56.82 | $\mathbf{4 6 . 7 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{6 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 . 9 6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| R-Armstrong | School | Am.Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | FRP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| J4-Target | 70.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 56.26 | 61.49 | 70.15 | 60.35 | 62.10 | 64.81 |
| 04-Rate | 80.90 | N/A | N/A | 69.09 | $\mathbf{5 9 . 7 6}$ | 84.53 | $\mathbf{4 7 . 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 . 2 2}$ |


| M-Hopkins | School | Am.Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | FRP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 04-Target | 71.03 | 21.70 | 60.68 | 54.51 | 62.90 | 70.73 | 57.92 | 64.68 | 63.95 |
| 04-Rate | 90.05 | N/A | 98.57 | N/A | 64.29 | 93.05 | 64.00 | 63.24 | 66.95 |


| M-BC | School | Am.Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | FRP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 04-Target | 69.24 | 15.79 | 63.68 | 49.21 | 65.40 | 66.85 | 64.76 | 57.88 | 67.50 |
| 04-Rate | 70.75 | N/A | 74.55 | N/A | $\mathbf{5 5 . 6 3}$ | 80.53 | $\mathbf{6 4 . 4 9}$ | N/A | $\mathbf{6 1 . 7 0}$ |


| M-Richfield | School | Am.Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | FRP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 04-Target | 69.68 | 0.00 | 57.55 | 55.01 | 63.91 | 68.63 | 60.34 | 58.32 | 65.29 |
| 04-Rate | 75.61 | N/A | 82.61 | N/A | $\mathbf{5 9 . 8 2}$ | 82.09 | $\mathbf{4 8 . 4 4}$ | N/A | $\mathbf{5 5 . 4 1}$ |


| M-St. <br> Anthony | School | Am.Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | FRP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 04-Target | 68.98 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 68.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 04-Rate | 95.49 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 95.53 | N/A | N/A | N/A |


| M-St.Louis <br> Park | School | Am.Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | FRP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 04-Target | 69.84 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 59.83 | 69.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 61.97 |
| 04-Rate | 87.55 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 61.54 | 90.74 | N/A | N/A | 61.43 |


| M-Edina | School | Am.Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | FRP |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04-Target | 71.02 | 0.00 | 59.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 70.87 | 0.00 | 63.24 | 60.40 |
| 04-Rate | 93.37 | N/A | 95.83 | N/A | N/A | 93.57 | N/A | 66.28 | 85.71 |


| M-Columbia <br> Heights | School | Am.Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | FRP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 04-Target | 69.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 57.54 | 68.12 | 58.00 | 0.00 | 64.74 |
| 04-Rate | 77.51 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 67.50 | 80.88 | 64.29 | N/A | 67.54 |


| M-Wayzata | School | Am.Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | FRP |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4-Target | 71.28 | 0.00 | 62.23 | 0.00 | 57.95 | 71.12 | 56.66 | 62.79 | 62.79 |
| 04-Rate | 90.77 | N/A | 96.43 | N/A | $\mathbf{4 7 . 9 2}$ | 92.46 | 61.90 | $\mathbf{5 9 . 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 . 9 6}$ |

# Minneapolis Public Schools and Lyndale Elementary Reading and Math Improvement 

## Presentation to the Minnesota Senate January 14, 2005






Offering a challenging middle school experience for 6th, 7th, and 8th graders

Visit the Washington SHOWCASE!
Thursday, February 10, 2005 6:30 p.m. www.washington.spps.org

## Zechnology Strong Curriculum

Washington's focus on instruction using technology provides students with the advanced training necessary for life in the 21 st century. Our strong commitment to technology enhances learning through the use of many computer applications and individualized instruction in:

- challenge, regular, and
 basic levels of math, English, social studies, and science
- rigorous integrated and traditional math offerings
- digital photography, animation, and video
- physical education and health
- journalism and yearbook production
- visual art (several traditional media)
- family and consumer science
- industrial technology
- French, Hmong, and Spanish (two full years)
- earth and life science including Eco-Education
- Schoolwide Enrichment Model
- writing through the Minnesota Writing Project
- reading through the Read 180 program



## Advanced Rechnology

Washington students gain hands-on computer experience through classroom instruction and special projects.
Technology offerings include:

- Digital art lab with 32 stations equipped with professional software for graphics, photography, animation, and web design.
- Video production program complee with cameras, audio and lighting equipment, and digital editing stations.
- Two multi-purpose computer labs for classroom research projects.
- Two SuccessMaker (CCC) Labs provide individualized instruction in language arts and math skills.
- Electronic Media Center combines print materials with a PC based research
 center.
- Music Technology Lab with 30 electronic keyboards and 20 computer stations for music composition and publishing
- Accelerated Math program used with math classes from sixth to eighth grade.
- Accelerated Reader program electronically tracks students' reading progress as well as qualifies them for individual, class, and grade-level incentives!
- CAMPUS grade system allows parents and students to access to current assignment and grade information from any internet-linked computer.
- Read 180 reading program combines computer, small group instruction, and high-interest directed reading.


## Outstanding Music Program

-Widely recognized Instrumental Music offerings including four different bands from Beginning Band to Concert Band.
-Excellent vocal music program involving hundreds of
 Washington students.

- Music Technology Lab with 30 electronic keyboards and 20 computer work stations for music composition and publishing.


## A Great Place to Learn

- Motivated, hard working staff provides excellent learning opportunities
- Regional, State, and National recognition in National History Day competition
- National Junior Honor Society
- Math Team Division champions for 2003-2004
- Challenges through the Schoolwide Enrichment Model
- Project Good Start - a fun summer orientation program for new students
- Fun and stimulating WINGS after-school program featuring a choice of classes, snack, and transportation home
- State Recognition in the National Geography Bee
- Community service through Fresh Force and Student Council
- Boys Soccer and Girls Badminton City Conference champs




## Why a Sixth Grade?

Washington is one of a few middle schools in Saint Paul where students may choose to go to sixth grade. We have found that many sixth graders are mature enough and ready for the challenges of middle school. In addition to an expanded variety of world languages, music, and technology, sixth graders are often ready for participation in extracurricular activities.
Many of our most successful students started at Washington in the sixth grade and have benefited from three full years at the middle level.

If you have a prospective sixth grader, call us to discuss your options. We can provide you with information to help you make the best decision for your student.

## Visit the Washington SHOWCASE!

Thursday, Tebruary 10, 2005 6:30 p.m.

Washington Technology Magnet School
ST. PAUL, MINNESOTA 55117
Phone 293-8830 Fax 228-4331
SAINT PAUL BOARD OFEDUCATION
John Brodrick
Anne Carroll
Toni Carter
Tom Conlon
Kazoua Kong-Thao
Al Oertwig
Elona Street-Stewart

SAINT PAUL PUBLIC SCHOOLS ADMINISTRATION
Patricia Hanvey, Superintendent
uzMarie Serrano, Area A Superintendent

WASHINGTON TECHNOLOGY MAGNET ADMINISTRATION
Mike McCollor, Principal
Renee Jensen, Assistant Prir
Xong Moua, Assistant Princ

## Stillwater Area Public Schools





## Student Demographics

- Lyndale
- $88 \%$ in Poverty
- $51 \%$ English Language Learners (ELL)
- 16\% Receiving Special Education
- 77\% Enrolled full year
- $90 \%$ Students of Color
- Minneapolis Elementary
- $69 \%$ in Poverty
- 25\% English Language Learners (ELL)
- 16\% Receiving Special Education
. 79\% Enrolled full year
. $73 \%$ Students of Color



## District 834 Facts

- 150 sq.miles $-30+$ miles long
- 13 cities \& 5 townships - population 54,699
- 15 Public Schools:
- 10 elementary, 2 junior high, 1 senior high, 1 ALC, 1 Early Childhood Family Center
- 2 private schools
- 2 charter schools


## District 834 Facts

- 9,132 Enrollment
- 19th largest of 343 districts
- $7 \%$ minorities
- $9.8 \%$ free/reduced lunch
- 14 languages
- $48 \%$ athletics participation (fall '04), 10-12
- $26 \%$ music participation, 10-12
-47\% music participation, 7-9
- $9.9 \%$ special education

"If we could first know where we are..."
- Community Perception of Stillwater Area Public Schools
- Financial Condition - use of funds
- Partnerships to meet goals
- Facility Status
- Demographics/Student Enrollment
- Academic Performance of Students


## Judging Our Current Condition

"If we could first know where we are..."

- Community Perception of Stillwater Area Public Schools
- Financial Condition - use of funds
- Partnerships to meet goals
- Facility Status
- Demographics/Student Enrollment
- Academic Performance of Students of its Schools and Staff
- What grade would you give the Stillwater Area Public Schools?


District 834 Community Perceptions of Its Schools and Staff

- What grade would you give the public schools nationally?




## Judging Our Current Condition

## District 834 Community Perceptions Of Its Schools and Staff

- Our community grades Stillwater Area Public Schools
- Higher than it did in 2001
- Higher than other communities grade their schools
- The job done by Stillwater Area teachers, principals and others has a direct impact on the quality of learning for students.

 Judging Our Current Condition


## District 834 Community Perceptions Of lts Schools and Staff

- Stillwater Area Public Schools earned higher grades from the community in all areas of Instruction, Leadership and Management than in 2001.
"If we could first know where we are..."
- Community Perception of Stillwater Area Public Schools
- Financial Condition - use of funds
- Partnerships to meet goals
- Facility Status
- Demographics/Student Enrollment
- Academic Performance of Students

Finance

- Community Perceptions
- Audit of Financial Statements/ Management Report
- State Report Card Judging Our Current Condition

District 834 Community Perceptions of lts Schools and Staff

Finance

- Stillwater Area Public Schools residents are more informed about the financial management of the District than in 2001.

Judging Our Current Condition
District 834 Community Perceptions of lis Schools and Staff Finance

- 72.6\% voters surveyed in District 834 rated the financial management "Excellent" or "Above Average". (60\% in 2001)





## Audit of Financial Statements

"We found, as we have in the past, the District's financial records to be in excellent condition. This not only provides for an efficient audit at yearend, but should also provide confidence in interim financial data utilized by the District throughout the year."

Management Report, MMKR June 2004


## Audit of Financial Statements

"The large decrease in cash and investments is due to the tax shift and change in the metering of state and payments used to help balance the state budget."


It is the policy of I.S.D. 834 that the Superintendent shall not cause or allow the development of fiscal jeopardy or substantial deviation of actual expenditures from established budget.

## Audit of Financial Statements

Expenditures in 2004 were held $\$ 209,094$ or $.27 \%$ under budget



Judging Our Current Condition

## Audit of Financial Statements

A challenge:
Decrease in unrestricted net assets due to long-term obligation for severance and health benefits payable.

## Judging Our Current Condition

It is the policy of I.S.D. 834 that time, talent, physical and financial resources will be targeted toward raising student achievement.

Policy-School Board Relationship 2.1
District 834 Board of Education April 10, 2003

## Judging Our Current Condition

## Stillwater Area School District

$\checkmark$ More dollars spent on student learning (8/48 metro)
$\checkmark$ Less dollars on operation and administration (47/48 metro)

## Judging Our Current Condition

## Finance

In 2004-05 local taxpayers will pay a larger portion - $25 \%$, of the District's budget compared to $21 \%$ in 2003-04.

## Judging Our Current Condition

Finance

There will be no additional dollars for schools because of the change.

Finance

In 2004-05:

- Local taxpayers will pay more
- State of Minnesota will pay less
- Local schools receive no more funding
"If we could first know where we are..."
- Community Perception of Stillwater Area Public Schools
- Financial Condition - use of funds
- Partnerships to meet goals
- Facility Status
- Demographics/Student Enrollment
- Academic Performance of Students


## Judging Our Current Condition

## Partnerships to Meet Goals

- Early Childhood (Pre-K)
- Early Intervention Network
- Head Start
- Human Services, Inc. (HSI)
- Lakeview Hospital
- University of Minnesota
- Washington County Child Care Resource and Referral
- Washington County Community Services and Public Health

Impacts: Referral services for children with special needs, parent education, staff development and improved school readiness of children Judging Our Current Condition
Partnerships to Meet Goals

- CAREI Institute - University of Minnesota
- Courage St. Croix
- Common Health Clinic
- East Metro Integration District (EMID)
- Family Means
- Human Services, Inc. (HSI)
- Northeast Metro Intermediate District (916)
- Stillwater Youth Service Bureau
- University of Minnesota - Read with Me
- Washington County Social Services and Public Health

Impacts: Improved services for children with special needs, YCAPP Program, improved academic opportunities, improved student achievement

Judging Our Current Condition

## Partnerships to Meet Goals

- Community Education
- Area Athletic Associations
- Courage St. Croix
- Lakeview Hospital
- Local Municipalities
- River Valley Arts Council
- 3M Wizard Program
- Stillwater Area Youth Service Bureau
- Warner Nature Center
- Washington County Workforce Center
- YMCA of Greater St. Paul

Impacts: Improved academic enrichment and recreational opportunities for children and adults, comprehensive services for adult learners

Judging Our Current Condition
"If we could first know where we are..."

- Community Perception of Stillwater Area Public Schools
- Financial Condition - use of funds
- Partnerships to meet goals
- Facility Status
- Demographics/Student Enrollment
- Academic Performance of Students


## Facility lmprovement Plan

- Safe schools
- Responsible stewardship taxpayer property

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Facilities |  |  |  |
| Major Facility Upgrades 2001 to 2005 |  |  |  |
| Year | School | Primary Project | Construction Cost |
| 2001 | Afton-Lakeland Elementary Lake Elmo Elementary Lily Lake Elementary Oak Park Elementary | Renovate ventilation system Renovate ventilation system Renovate ventilation system Renovate ventilation system | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3,270,000 \\ & \$ 2,466,000 \\ & \$ 1,614,000 \\ & \$ 3,292,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2002 | Andersen Elementary <br> Stonebridge Elementary <br> Withrow Elementary <br> Stillwater Area High School - Stadium | Renovate portion of ventilation system Renovate ventilation system Renovate portion of ventilation system Complete the stadium with bleachers \& lighting | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 228,000 \\ \$ 2,620,000 \\ \$ 703,000 \\ \$ 984,000 \end{array}$ |
| 2003 | Stillwater Junior High Oak-Land Junior High | Renovate portion of ventilation system Renovate portion of ventilation system | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,022,000 \\ \$ 398,000 \end{array}$ |
| 2004 | Stillwater Area High School Lake Elmo Elementary Various Schools | Track resurfacing Roofing Flooring | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 90,931 \\ \$ 295,750 \\ \$ 103,754 \end{array}$ |
| 2005 | Marine Elementary - Proposed | Renovate ventiation system | \$2,200,000 |

## Facilities

More than $\$ 20.8$ million to improve school safety and efficiency.
"If we could first know where we are..."

- Community Perception of Stillwater Area Public Schools
- Financial Condition - use of funds
- Partnerships to meet goals
- Facility Status
- Demographics/Student Enrollment
- Academic Performance of Students
- Revised projection shows stable to declining enrollment
- Buildings at or near capacity will be monitored annually
- Changes in students of different grade levels must be monitored annually

"If we could first know where we are..."
- Community Perception of Stillwater Area Public Schools
- Financial Condition - use of funds
- Partnerships to meet goals
- Facility Status
- Demographics/Student Enrollment
- Academic Performance of Students




> Percent of Grade 5 students performing above grade level in math has jumped from $39 \%$ to $71 \%$ since 1998.
Minnesota Comprehensive Assessments-Math 2004


## Judging Our Current Condition

## Math Growth

Students in 5 of 6 classes grew more than the typical growth of students nationally. (2014, 2013, 2011, 2010 and 2009)


## Reading Growth

Students in 3 of 6 classes grew more than the typical growth of students nationally. (2014, 2011, and 2009)

## Judging Our Current Condition

District 834 Community Perceptions About Academic Program
" $75.8 \%$ of voters in the district believe it is extremely or very important that the district provide a program that is more comprehensive and effective than the program funded by the state...even if such a program results in tax increases."
"What we achieve is directly proportional to what we attempt to do."

Unknown

## Student Achievement Priority Stillwater Area Public Schools

Student academic achievement will rank in the top $1 \%$ of public school districts nationwide in 2006.


## Judging Our Current Condition

## Academic Performance of Students

Our students performed 1-3\% better in 2003-04 in MCA reading, writing and math across the District.*

* Exception 7\% increase in $5^{\text {th }}$ grade writing scores.



## Advanced Placement course completion best predictor of college degree completion.



- Percent of Students Who Took One or More Advanced Placement Courses




|  | Judging Our Current Condition |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Academic Performance of Students SAT Test Results |  |  |  |  |
|  | Year | Verbal | Math | \% Tested | Total Class |
|  | 2000 | 576 | 580 | 12.4 | 653 |
|  | 2001 | 599 | 600 | 11.1 | 672 |
|  | 2002 | 589 | 584 | 15.2 | 642 |
|  | 2003 | 576 | 573 | 13.8 | 677 |
|  | 2004 | 590* | 580** | 15.3 | 713 |
|  | *587 State of Minnesota Average Verbal in 2004 **593 State of Minnesota Average Math in 2004 |  |  |  |  |


|  | udgin | rrent Condit |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | atio | rit Schol |  |
|  | YEAR | SEMI-FINALIST |  |
|  | 1993 | 6 |  |
|  | 1994 | 6 | , |
|  | 1995 | 4 | , |
|  | 1996 | 11 |  |
|  | 1997 | 5 |  |
|  | 1998 | 5 |  |
|  | 1999 | 4 | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | 2000 | 3 | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | 2001 | 3 | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | 2002 | 6 |  |
|  | 2003 | 4 |  |
|  | 2004 | 3 |  |
|  | 2005 | 7 |  |
|  | National College Board |  |  |
| 75 |  |  |  |

## Judging Our Current Condition

## Academic Support of Students

- Increased parent access to student information
- Increased after school programming
- Improved school day schedules for reading
- Improved reading content, materials and instruction at all levels
- Improved "high power" content at junior highs


## Judging Our Current Condition

## Academic Support for Students

At the District level:

- District-wide performance targets set
- Policies define achievement focus
- Budget focus to improve student achievement
- Partnerships for enrichments and increased service


## Judging Our Current Condition

Academic Performance of Students

- Improved reading and mathematics scores at all levels
- More students in AP classes - more success on AP tests
- More students taking PSAT, ACT, SAT tests

In 2003-04 the goals of the administrative team were:

1. Place more dollars in instruction than the state/metro average
2. Improve student academic growth in reading, writing, and math each year
3. Improve the financial condition of the district by presenting a balanced budget and increasing unreserved fund balance

In 2003-04 the goals of the administrative team were:
4. Improve perception and knowledge of schools in our community
5. Improve the facilities around the district on a planned basis
6. Develop strategic partnerships that increase service and represent good taxpayer value

All have been achieved in 2004.


- Future Challenges
- Declining student enrollment
- Short term focus - long term vision
- Leadership
- Meeting the needs of all students




## Education Committee

Senator Steve Kelley, Chair
Thursday, January 13, 2005
3:00-5:30 p.m.
Room 112, Capitol

## Agenda

1. Lloyd Styrwoll, Superintendent of Schools, Grand Rapids, $M N$ ( 10 min )

John Beach, Principal, Princeton, $M N$
Greg Blodgett, Principal, Princeton, $M N$
( 10 min )
Mary Wherry, Teacher, St. Francis, MN
Emily Lilia, Teacher, Minneapolis, MN
Sally Vogel, Teacher, Hayfield, MN
(20 min)
Doug Conboy, Superintendent Renville County West, Renville, $M N$ ( 10 min )
5. Ossie Brooks James, Principal, Lyndale School, Minneapolis, $M N$ ( 10 min )
6. Dr. Kathleen Macy, Superintendent, Stillwater, $M N$

Ms. Carolyn Olson, Director of Instructional Support, Stillwater, MN
Mr. Stephen Gorde, Principal, Rutherford Elementary, Stillwater, MN
Ms. Sharon Turner, Teacher, Rutherford Elementary, Stillwater, MN (20 min)
7. Von Sheppard, Principal, Dayton's Bluff, St. Paul, MN

Mike McCollor, Principal, Washington Middle School, St. Paul, MN
( 10 min )

# Parents and Faith Community Demand to Legislators and Governor; "Keep the Promise of Public Schools" 

The 'We the People' coalition will hold a press conference on Wednesday, January 19 at 12 pm in Room 181, State Office Building to define the broken promises that produce a public education funding system that is unable to provide the resources to meet the actual cost of a high quality education for each child in Minnesota. Coalition members will deliver cards to legislators and the governor asking them to Keep the Promise, marking the start of the 'We the People' commitment to discuss these promises with all of our legislators and the governor.

Our democracy makes a promise that all children, including the poor, the immigrants, the students with special needs, the gifted and kids of color will have equal opportunity and access to quality public education. Minnesota's promise is to teach all children well.

This promise is broken when our schools are underfunded. "Today we are at a crossroads. Policy decisions at the state and federal level have disinvested, underfunded and cut funding for public schools. This puts our children and the future of our communities at risk," said Pat Welter, principal and ISAIAH leader.
'We the People' has been initiated by ISAIAH, Parents United for Public Schools, Parents United Network and PTA because we recognize our responsibility to work with our legislators and governor to ensure that the promise of public schools is realized.
"As moms and dads, grandparents, aunts and uncles, we are disappointed and angered by the lack of funding in our schools. In 2001, the state took on the responsibility to fund the lion's share of our public schools, yet never defined a way to pay for it," said Mary Cecconi, Parents United Network. "This broken promise has crippled our public schools' ability to provide the necessary resources for our children. We're coming to tell legislators that this is unacceptable."

## About the 'We the People' Coalition Members

ISAIAH is an interfaith organization of congregations committed to building an equitable and just community through intentional and intensive relationship building, development and involvement of lay leadership and effective participation in the public arena.

Minnesota PTA is the largest and oldest volunteer child and youth advocacy organization in Minnesota and is part of National PTA.

The affiliates, Parents United for Public Schools and Parents United Network are statewide non-profit organizations whose mission is to involve community members with their schools and in the public policy that governs them.

## Patrick Henry High School - Minneapolis

Our philosophy of excellence is implemented through investment in best practices.

## Three Successful Initiatives

1. Team Prep Hour (Costs .2 per teacher involved - at PHHS that's the equivalent of 6.8 teachers for 2004-05 or $\$ 476,000$ )

- Examining student work together
- Planning and evaluating interdisciplinary units
- Meeting with parents \& students
- Connecting with support staff

2. Small Learning Communities (Costs 6 to 1.0 per SLC for coordinators and teacher leaders - the equivalent of 3.2 teachers for 2004-05 or $\$ 224,000$ )

- SLC Program Coordinators recruit \& support students, families and teachers
- Arts Lead teacher works with Commercial \& Fine Arts (CFA) SLC colleagues on integration strategies
- Engineering tech teacher supports 3 computer labs for Engineering SLC
- IB coordinator is full time position because of IB testing requirements
- SLC Program coordinator work together to ensure that all initiatives are good for the

3. Patrick Henry Instructional Leaders (PHILs) (Costs . 6 per teacher involved - that's 3.6 teachers or $\$ 252,000$ for which we have one fewer assistant principal)

- Data Collection \& Analysis
- Curriculum \& Instruction
- Professional Development
- Student Development
- Mentorship
- Professional Practice School Lead

Presented by Emily Lilja, elilja@mpls.k12.mn.us
612-668-1973
Patrick Henry H.S., Minneapolis
January 13, 2005

Patrick Henry High School

## Report to the Community 2004-05

Paul McMahan, Principal Patrick Henry High School 4320 Newton Ave. North Minneapolis, MN 55412
9-12; 8:30 AM-3:00 PM
Phone: 612-668-2000
Email:
Paul.McMahan@mpls.k12.mn.us
Web:http://henry.mpls.k12.mn.us/

## Our School

Patrick Henry High School is an academically focused senior high school with four small learning communities (SLC): Engineering, Commercial and Fine Arts, Open, and International Baccalaureate (IB).

- The Commercial and Fine Arts Small Learning Community is for students who want arts integration in all their courses, as well as expanded arts opportunities in visual, performing and graphic arts.
- The Open Small Learning Community is a group of students who share a broad range of academic interests with high standards. It offers individualized learning and inquiry opportunities for those students who are particularly self-motivated and independent. Students may take positions of leadership through mentoring opportunities in community or business organizations.
- The IB program provides an internationally recognized challenging academic program to meet the needs of highly motivated students. Final exams offer an opportunity for students to earn credit at universities.
- The Engineering SLC is a computer assisted design and development program leading to a variety of technology careers. The program uses a nationally known pre-engineering curriculum called "Project Lead the Way". Students can take 5 courses in such as Engineering Design, Digital Electronics, and Computer Integrated Manufacturing..

PHHS teachers have high expectations for all our students. We support this through our commitment to highest quality teaching - demonstrated in our Professional Practice School, which promotes mentoring for new teachers and National Board Certification (NBCT) for experienced professionals. PHHS has 7 NBCT , more than any other school in Minnesota.

Our teachers also provide additional help for students during weekly scheduled tutorials after school. Days for tutorials are listed on our web site. Numerous opportunities before and after school and on weekends.

## Special Highlights ACADEMIC:

- At PHHS in the National Merit Scholarship Program, 1 student is a semi-finalist and 1 student is a commended student. Both are recognized for their academic excellence, and outstanding performance on the PSAT
- . In May 2004, 157 students took 326 IB exams.
- 2 of the 6 students who attempted the IB Diploma were successful.
(continued on next page)


## From the Heart

Henry is a great place to be. There are always so many things happening and so many things to do. You would see students here daily at 8 o' clock at night, not because they have to but because they want to. Students stay to get homework help or participate in a school activity such as clubs and sports.

I remember last year, my junior year, my physics class was reviewing for the big IB test and we were here until 9 o'clock at night! The teacher, Mr. Scheibe, was willing to stay late without getting paid for the extra hours just to help us study to be successful on this test. That's the wonderful thing about Henry, their teachers and staff look forward to your success.

Patrick Henry High School is the only high school I know of that gives students a Minnesota Basics Standard (MBST) Writing Test practice with all of the actual conditions -no talking, the two hour time frame and similar questions. Then teachers in the school, who volunteer their free time, read and correct the papers. The students can then see how they will do on the real test, and have a chance to work on improvements. This actually was the key reason that I passed the MBST Writing test. I did not pass the practice writing test, but by looking at my test with my teacher, I saw areas for improvement. I passed the real one, the first time. Without the practice test, my teachers wouldn't know where I could improve, and wouldn't be able to help me.

At the end of each year, I am always amazed at what the school has done for me and am a little more appreciative as I return for the next coming school year. The Principal, Mr. Paul McMahan is really the star of the school for going out into the world and fighting for the great opportunities that Henry provides for its students. At Henry, students will be successful. Ellina Xiong, Grade 12

## PROGRESS TOWARD OUR GOALS



## Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)No Child Left Behind

The Minnesota Department of Education uses scores on Grade 10 Reading and Grade 11 Math exams, graduation rate and average daily attendance to rate schools as outlined by the federal No Child Left Behind law. The table below shows that all student groups in our school are meeting the state's target rate of adequate yearly progress.

| Adequate Yearly rrogress |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 10Reading |  | Grade 11- Math |  |
|  | PHHS | Target | PHHS | Target |
| School | 78.6\% | 76.5\% | 75.5\% | 69.8\% |
| Asian American | 81.0\% | 73.7\% | 83.5\% | 67.0\% |
| Hispanic | N/A | 59.8\% | N/A | 42.3\% |
| African American | 70.6\% | 74.6\% | 58.2\% | 67.3\% |
| White | 92.6\% | 71.5\% | 84.7\% | 63.4\% |
| ELL Students | 73.8\% | 72.7\% | 66.2\% | 64.9\% |
| Special Education | N/A | 68.1\% | N/A | 60.2\% |
| Free/Reduced Lunch | 75.2\% | 75.7\% | 69.8\% | 69.0\% |

Bold: groups not meeting target.

## American College Test (ACT)

This test is taken by juniors and seniors who are interested in going to college. This test is required by most of the Minnesota state colleges and universities. Last year the ACT test was given at PHHS. 169 students took the test, an increase of 50 students over the previous year. 55 juniors and 114 seniors.

| Average Composite Score on ACT 03 - |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School | District | State |
| School | 18.0 | 19.5 | 22.0 |
| African American | 16.1 | 15.3 | 17.0 |
| Asian American | 16.8 | 17.2 | 19.9 |
| White American | 22.2 | 23.7 | 22.3 |

Minnesota Basic Skills Test (MBST)
The Basic Skills Test is must be passed in order for a student in Minnesota to graduate from high school. At PHHS, the MBST is given to all students who have not yet passed the reading or math test from grade 8. The writing section is administered for the first time in $10^{\text {th }}$ grade. The figures below reflect the percent of students who passed. Almost $3 / 4$ of PHHS students passed the Minnesota Basic Standard Test in writing last spring. We have set a goal to increase the number of students who pass in Math and Reading

| MBST Passing Status by grade as of 5/30/04 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading | Math | Writing |
| All students | $\mathbf{7 8 \%}$ | $66 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 9 \%}$ |
| Grade 9 | $65 \%$ | $53 \%$ |  |
| Grade 10 | $79 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $74 \%$ |
| Grade 11 | $84 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| Grade 12 | $93 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $89 \%$ |

## Test of Emerging Academic English (TEAE)

This test is given only to students currently designated as English Language Learners (ELL). It is used to determine which students no longer need these services by the state.
READING

|  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 <br> Proficient |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PHHS | $5 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| District | $17 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $9 \%$ |

## WRITING

|  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | Level 5 <br> Proficient |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PHHS | $6 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| District | $18 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $6 \%$ |


| Adequate Yearly Progres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Daily <br> Attendance |  |  | Graduation |  |  |
|  | PHHS |  |  | PHHS |  |  |
|  | 2003 | 2004 | Target | 2003 | 2004 |  |
| All | $68 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ |  |

You can see that PHHS improved both its attendance and its graduation rate from last year

## School Environment: Safety and Respect

| Percent Agree \&Agree Strongly | Students |  | Staff |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I feel safe in my school | PHHS | District | PHHS | District |
| Students trust adults in my school to keep them safe | $64 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| Students in my school show respect for teachers | $67 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| The teachers in this school treat students and other staff with respect. | $33 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $73 \%$ |

## Progress Toward Our Goals AYP and 2-Star Schools

Patrick Henry has been listed as a school that did not meet the Adequate Yearly Progress targets for all of its groups. The state sets these targets for each group of students: African American (Black), Asian American, Caucasian(White), English Language Learners (ELL), and Free and Reduced Lunch (Lunch). If a group of students has too few who score proficient, a school will not have met AYP (ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS) and then the most STARS a school can get is two out of five.

PHHS has two groups of students in reading and one group in math that did not meet the target. Below you can see how our students compared to the targets. On the Grade 10 Reading test, our African American students were only 4\% below the target. And our Free and Reduced Lunch students were only half of one percent below! On the Grade 11 Math test, our African American students scored nine percent below the target.

Our students can do better, and we are focusing our efforts to achieve this. But let's compare how our students did with those in other Minneapolis and nearby suburban schools. Out of 16 Minneapolis and suburban high schools, PHHS was $5^{\text {th }}$ highest in Reading and $7^{\text {th }}$ highest in math for our African American students.

Also, Patrick Henry students had, on average, a higher percent who were proficient than both the district and the state on the Grade 10 Reading and the Grade 11 Math for all our groups. But, wait a minute! Our school score is below the state, even though the groups are all above. How can that be? The numbers are correct. However, Patrick Henry has fewer high scoring students compared to the state of Minnesota. In an average, these high scoring students, bring up the total. Patrick Henry has $14 \%$ white students while the state has $80 \%$

Our teachers are analyzing the students' results so that they can improve scores for this year. We will develop specific instruction in those areas where students have difficulties.

However, we also know that these scores only reflect a small part of what we want students to learn in school. We want to ensure that our students have a balanced education at PHHS. We do not believe that reviewing tests problems endlessly and test-taking strategies will provide students with the skills and knowledge to succeed in their future.

## Teacher Quality

Patrick Henry High School knows that quality teachers, those who possess a thorough knowledge of the subject they teach and who have the ability to effectively communicate this content to their students, are integral to the success of our students and our school. Patrick Henry's staff development activities are designed to develop, support, retain, and recruit quality teachers. Literacy is Our Focus

During Summer 2004, 22 teachers from PHHS attended the MPS Literacy Institute.There the participants developed a plan to help teachers strengthen the literacy skills of their students. Our goal is to help students use texts in ways that will lead our students toward their independence as learners.

In the first three weeks of school, your student probably took a 'reading' test, even in math, science and modern language classes. This was so that every teacher would know how well their students could read the text.

Literacy is more than learning to read: A literate person has the skills to read, understand, respond and use many different kinds of materials independently. Our job as educators is to teach techniques to our students that make it possible for them to use texts in ways that give them independence as readers, learners and writers Currently, teachers are learning a variety of instructional strategies that will help them meet their students' specific needs. At the end of the year, students will take a similar test to see if they have improved.

## Supporting Teachers New to PHHS

This year, PHHS has a number of teachers with experience in other schools, who are now teaching in areas that they are licensed in, but may not have taught before. Our mentoring team has been working intensively to support these teachers through special classes, observations, coaching, and making sure they have the necessary resources to teach in their new assignments.

## The Professional Practice School

The Professional Practice School is a collaborative effort among Patrick Henry High School, the University of Minnesota College of Education and Human Development, the Minneapolis Federation of Teachers, and the Minneapolis Public Schools. It supports teachers' success, growth, and retention with a full spectrum of professional development opportunities for all stages of educators' careers. These opportunities include from support for teacher research, weekly lunch discussions of educational topics, support for teachers applying for National Board Certification, and a Leadership Seminar Series.
Patrick Henry also has a unique teacher leadership structure, in which classroom teachers are released parttime to serve in school leadership roles. The Patrick Henry Instructional Leaders (PHILs) provide mentoring, curriculum support, research, student leadership aid, and professional development for staff.

## Factoids

Student enrollment:
1528 (10/16/02)

|  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Student Race: | Our School | District |
| American Indian | $1 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| African American | $50 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| Asian American | $31 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Hispanic American | $3 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| White American | $15 \%$ | $26 \%$ |

Students School District Receiving ELL services
$19 \% \quad 23 \%$

Qualify for free
or reduced lunch $75 \% \quad 71 \%$
Students who participate in
special education: $17 \% \quad 13 \%$

## Number of Staff

Teachers: 103
Classroom support/staff*: 67
Administrator: 4
*Includes media specialists, reading specialist, assistants, clerical, custodians.

Highlights (continued)

- Students can earn up to 16 college credits in classes at PHHS in 2004-05.
- Named one of the Nation's best public high schools by Newsweek magazine in 2000 and 2003.
Fourth quarter awards for 2004 included:
- 964 students received awards
- $760-A$ and $B$ honor roll; 160 improved .5 points in their grades
- 12 - seniors received the Highest Honors - 3.85 or higher grade point average for all four years.


## College Prep Programs

- Admission Possible worked with 80 juniors and seniors preparing for college admission.
Grants Received
- PHHS was awarded a Comprehensive School Reform Grant for a second year. The $\$ 100,000$ will be used for professional development of teachers in literacy.
- PHHS received an Arts for Academic Achievement grant for $\$ 5000$ for the Arts SLC to integrate artists in the community into the curriculum.
- Brionna Harder won a grant to attend a seminar in Washington D.C. on the Supreme Court.


## Student and Community Activities

- Patrick Henry Student Council has students attending the Minneapolis Citywide Student Council
- Summer Training of 110 Link Leaders (seniors and juniors) who will mentor all freshman in their advisories.
- Last year 6 dances raising money for student activities were sponsored by students leadership.
- Students visited theAl-Amal Islamic School in Fridley and discussed the issues facing Muslim students and how their school supports them.
- 15 students collected macroinvertebrates in Shingle Creek for Hennepin County and presented their findings on water quality at the Third River Summit at the Science Museum of Minnesota.
- 4 students participated in YMCA Beacon: "An inside look at being a Doctor"
- Students went to: U of MN law school seminar, High Tech Girls Society, Camp Ripley intensive technical career job shadows, Opus mentors, Architectural Youth Program, Business career exploration at St Kate's, and the Walkable Communities workshop.
- Students participated in internships in law enforcement, radiation oncology, auto mechanics, construction management, and other areas.
Students also participated in school year or summer internships in law enforcement, physical therapy, environmental advocacy, elementary education,.
- The PHHS Varsity basketball team moved to the AAAA division and was runner-up for the State title.
- The after school Political Forums hosted MN Senator Steve Kelly and US Senator Mark Dayton discussing education, as well as numerous other public figures.


## Volunteer Activities

- Recycling kids raked the leaves of senior citizens in the neighborhood with the Camden Neighborhood Association
- Over 100 students worked in the community for Operation Days Work at 50 different businesses and raised almost $\$ 3000$ for international projects.

Reading MCA

| R- PHHS | School | Am. Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | Lunch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04-Target | 76.52 | 0 | 73.66 | 59.83 | 74.63 | 71.50 | 72.66 | 68.09 | 75.70 |
| 04-Rate | 78.62 | N/A | 80.95 | N/A | 70.63 | 92.62 | 73.75 | N/A | 75.23 |
| Safe Harbor | $100 \%-70.63 \%=29.37 \quad 10 \%$ of $29.37 \%=2.94 \%$. Next year African American students target (for Safe Harbor) will be $70.63 \%+2.94 \%=73.57 \%$ at levels $3-5$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $100 \%-75.23 \%=24.67 \% \quad 10 \%$ of $24.67 \%=2.47 \%$. Next year Free/Reduced Lunch students target (for Safe Harbor) will be $75.23 \%+2.47 \%=77.70 \%$ at levels $3-5$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Math MAA

| M-PHHS | School | Am. Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | Lunch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04-Target | 69.76 | 0 | 66.96 | 42.26 | 67.28 | 63.43 | 64.91 | 60.20 | 69.02 |
| 04-Rate | 75.52 | N/A | 83.49 | N/A | $\mathbf{5 8 . 1 9}$ | 84.69 | 66.15 | N/A | 69.81 |

MCA - Grade 11 Math - \% Proficient, 2004

| M-PHHS | School | Am. Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | Lunch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04-Target | 69.76 | 0 | 66.96 | 42.26 | 67.28 | 63.43 | 64.91 | 60.20 | 69.02 |
| 04-Rate | 75.52 | N/A | 83.49 | N/A | $\mathbf{5 8 . 1 9}$ | 84.69 | 66.15 | N/A | 69.81 |


| M-Edison | School | Am.Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | Lunch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04-Target | 68.62 | 19.86 | 58.85 | 55.23 | 65.86 | 63.62 | 64.67 | 60.13 | 67.69 |
| 04-Rate | $\mathbf{5 4 . 9 0}$ | N/A | 74.14 | 60.00 | $\mathbf{3 8 . 5 9}$ | 70.69 | $\mathbf{4 9 . 3 0}$ | N/A | $\mathbf{4 9 . 6 7}$ |


| M-North | School | Am.Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | Lunch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04-Target | 68.68 | 0.00 | 64.16 | 9.85 | 67.19 | 44.95 | 63.07 | 62.37 | 67.86 |
| 04-Rate | $\mathbf{5 3 . 8 5}$ | N/A | 64.29 | N/A | $\mathbf{4 6 . 4 6}$ | N/A | $\mathbf{5 9 . 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 1 7}$ |


| M-Roosevelt | School | Am. Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | Lunch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04-Target | 69.17 | 43.88 | 59.06 | 56.72 | 67.18 | 63.83 | 65.57 | 63.17 | 68.12 |
| 04-Rate | $\mathbf{5 9 . 5 1}$ | N/A | 82.26 | 64.58 | $\mathbf{4 8 . 5 2}$ | 69.05 | $\mathbf{5 5 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 6 7}$ |


| M-South | School | Am. Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | Lunch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04-Target | 70.31 | 53.31 | 58.85 | 56.71 | 66.44 | 69.14 | 62.38 | 60.56 | 67.46 |
| 04-Rate | 76.18 | N/A | 79.31 | 69.57 | $\mathbf{5 1 . 4 2}$ | 87.35 | $\mathbf{4 6 . 8 1}$ | N/A | $\mathbf{5 7 . 1 4}$ |


| M-Southwest | School | Am. Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | Lunch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04-Target | 69.65 | 0 | 55.01 | 63.40 | 61.00 | 68.46 | 63.72 | 58.66 | 65.53 |
| 04-Rate | 79.54 | N/A | N/A | $\mathbf{5 1 . 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 1 4}$ | 91.48 | 46.07 | N/A | $\mathbf{5 1 . 9 2}$ |


| M-Washburn | School | Am. Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | Lunch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04-Target | 69.17 | 0 | 56.16 | 54.34 | 67.06 | 65.53 | 62.52 | 60.79 | 67.18 |
| 04-Rate | $\mathbf{6 2 . 3 9}$ | N/A | 85.00 | N/A | $\mathbf{5 0 . 8 8}$ | 73.08 | $\mathbf{4 5 . 4 5}$ | N/A | $\mathbf{5 2 . 5 4}$ |


| M-Cooper | School | Am. Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | Lunch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04-Target | 70.26 | 30.73 | 60.00 | 47.31 | 64.89 | 69.48 | 56.69 | 62.52 | 66.05 |
| 04-Rate | 76.14 | N/A | 82.81 | N/A | $\mathbf{5 9 . 1 5}$ | 81.02 | 56.82 | $\mathbf{4 6 . 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 . 9 6}$ |


| R-Armstrong | School | Am.Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | FRP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 04-Target | 70.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 56.26 | 61.49 | 70.15 | 60.35 | 62.10 | 64.81 |
| 04-Rate | 80.90 | N/A | N/A | 69.09 | $\mathbf{5 9 . 7 6}$ | 84.53 | $\mathbf{4 7 . 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 . 2 2}$ |


| M-Hopkins | School | Am.Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | FRP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 04-Target | 71.03 | 21.70 | 60.68 | 54.51 | 62.90 | 70.73 | 57.92 | 64.68 | 63.95 |
| 04-Rate | 90.05 | N/A | 98.57 | N/A | 64.29 | 93.05 | 64.00 | 63.24 | 66.95 |


| M-BC | School | Am.Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | FRP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 04-Target | 69.24 | 15.79 | 63.68 | 49.21 | 65.40 | 66.85 | 64.76 | 57.88 | 67.50 |
| 04-Rate | 70.75 | N/A | 74.55 | N/A | $\mathbf{5 5 . 6 3}$ | 80.53 | $\mathbf{6 4 . 4 9}$ | N/A | $\mathbf{6 1 . 7 0}$ |


| M-Richfield | School | Am.Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | FRP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 04-Target | 69.68 | 0.00 | 57.55 | 55.01 | 63.91 | 68.63 | 60.34 | 58.32 | 65.29 |
| 04-Rate | 75.61 | N/A | 82.61 | N/A | $\mathbf{5 9 . 8 2}$ | 82.09 | $\mathbf{4 8 . 4 4}$ | N/A | $\mathbf{5 5 . 4 1}$ |


| M-St. <br> Anthony | School | Am.Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | FRP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 04-Target | 68.98 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 68.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 04-Rate | 95.49 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 95.53 | N/A | N/A | N/A |


| M-St.Louis <br> Park | School | Am.Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | FRP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 04-Target | 69.84 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 59.83 | 69.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 61.97 |
| 04-Rate | 87.55 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 61.54 | 90.74 | N/A | N/A | $\mathbf{6 1 . 4 3}$ |


| M-Edina | School | Am.Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | FRP |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04-Target | 71.02 | 0.00 | 59.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 70.87 | 0.00 | 63.24 | 60.40 |
| 04-Rate | 93.37 | N/A | 95.83 | N/A | N/A | 93.57 | N/A | 66.28 | 85.71 |


| M-Columbia <br> Heights | School | Am.Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | FRP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 04-Target | 69.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 57.54 | 68.12 | 58.00 | 0.00 | 64.74 |
| 04-Rate | 77.51 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 67.50 | 80.88 | 64.29 | N/A | 67.54 |


| M-Wayzata | School | Am.Ind | Asian | Hispanic | Black | White | LEP | Special | FRP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 04-Target | 71.28 | 0.00 | 62.23 | 0.00 | 57.95 | 71.12 | 56.66 | 62.79 | 62.79 |
| 04-Rate | 90.77 | N/A | 96.43 | N/A | $\mathbf{4 7 . 9 2}$ | 92.46 | 61.90 | $\mathbf{5 9 . 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 . 9 6}$ |
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January 13, 2005

## Improving Student Achievement Through Staff Development



Source: J. Killian, Amazing Impact: Evaluating Staff

## Trends and Common Weak Strands Across Grade Levels

- Reading

MCA scores leveling out for both 3rd and 5th at about $15 \%$ scoring levels I \& IIA
Weak strands found throughout grades 2-5:
Inference
Compare/Contrast
Analysis/Evaluation of Meanings
Vocabulary
Literary passages

- Math

MCA scores leveling out for both 3rd and 5th at about 15-20\% scoring levels I \& IIA
Weak strands found throughout grades 2-5:
Problem solving
Chance \& Data
Data/Categorization

- Writing

Levels I \& IIA make up 20-30\% of 5th grade MCA scores
Weak strands found throughout grades 2-5
Oral language development
punctuation and expression
narrative writing style

## Who are the Struggling Students?

- Those with less than a score of 1420 on any MCA test in any area
- Any student with an NCE score of less than 40 on an area of ITBS
- Any student reading under grade level on STAR reading tests
- Other indicators:
failing subject areas on report cards
having behavior problems
having social problems
having attendance problems


## Possible Staff Development Priorities

Reading

1. inference
2. compare/contrast
3. analysis / evaluation of meanings
4. vocabulary
5. literary passages

Math
6. Problem solving
7. Chance \& Data
8. Data/Categorization

Writing
9. The Writing Process
10.Writing Assessment
11. Who Teaches What and When?
12. Student Management/Discipline
13. Respect/Responsibility
14.Traditional "Climate" activities (Christmas Craft Day, etc..) (Staff development?)
15.Parent Invclvement
16.Community Involvement

## Remember.....Make SMART goals:

S--specific about what is to be accomplished
M--measurable

A--attainable

R--results - or output - oriented
T--timebound

| Staff Development Action Plan 2004-2006 <br> Site: Brownsdale Elementary School |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | Baseline Data | Pertinent Information | Desired Result | Staff <br> Development | Evidence of Teacher Learning \& Improved Student Performance |
| Analyze multiple types of student data to use for writing staff development goals to improve student achievement. | \# of students with $90 \%$ or > attendance <br> \# of students completing homework 5 days a week <br> \# of students with 0 behavior problems per week. <br> \% of parents attending conferences <br> \# of students at or above grade level on STAR test <br> \# of students at 3 , or 4 on all MCA tests <br> \# of students with $75 \%$ or > on ITBS subtests <br> \# of students with no D, F, or P-on report card. | All student data will be recorded and analyzed at benchmark dates during the year in order to check studen progress, then strategies modified as needed. | To improve student performance by the end of the year. | Using the baseline data, write staff development goals for improving student performance. | Teachers will share staff development training with appropriate audience. <br> Staff will apply knowledge learned in staff development in the classroom, and student performance will show improvement over baseline data. |

/ Brownsdale Elementar" -'9ff Development Action Plan 2004-2006

| Goal | Baseline Data | Pertinent Information | Desired Result | Staff <br> Development | Evidence ol , acher Learning \& Improved Student Performance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To improve student respect and responsibility each month as indicated on the " $R \& R$ " monthly awards graph. | \# of student "R \& R" awards given in Sept. | Classroom and administrative records show that incidents of student disrespect and irresponsibility are increasing and we want to decrease them. | Incidents of disrespect and irresponsibility will decrease each month. | All staff will be trained in the "Love and Logic" methodology of working with student behaviors. | Teachers and all building staff will use "love and Logic" techniques with students. <br> The number of " $R \& R$ " rewards will increase each month. |
| To provide opportunities for all staff to receive staff development as needed. | \# of staff participating in staff dev. training opportunities | Allows for continuous improvement for the site. <br> All staff development will be shared with an appropriate audience and evaluated by the attendee and the audience. | Continuous Improvement in students' performance. | Workshops, conventions, study groups, peer coaching, action research, etc., etc. | Evaluations show the staff development was of high quality. <br> Students improve as a result of the staff development. |
| To develop a scope and sequence for writing for K-5 grades. | Make a list of what is being done now. <br> Student writing performance data. | Align the new scope \& sequence with state standards for each grade level. <br> MCAs, ITBS, other indicators. | Improvements in students' performance on baseline indicators. | Collaborative research, planning, and evaluation. | New scope and sequence aligns with standards and each teacher has a copy to implement in the classroom. <br> Teachers meet to discuss the Implementation progress and discuss problems and solutions. <br> Students' writing performance continually improves when compared to baseline data. |


| Goal | Baseline Data | Pertinent Information | Desired Result | Staff <br> Development | Evidence of Teacher Learning \& Improved Student Performance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To provide at risk students academic tutoring to improve their performance over baseline data. | \# of students with 90\% > attendance <br> \# of students completing homework 5 days a week <br> \# of students with 0 behavior problems per week <br> \% of parents attending conferences <br> \# of students at or above grade level on STAR tests <br> \# of students with $75 \%$ or > all ITBS subtests <br> \# of students with no D, F, P-, or "Needs Improvement on report card. | All tutored students baseline data will be recorded and analyzed at benchmark dates during the year in order to check tutored students progress, then strategies modified as needed. | To improve each students performance data on all bench mark indicators by the end of the year. | Collaborative planning research, assessment, and evaluation. | Student performance meets desired result. <br> Surveys given to tutors, students, teachers, committee, parents and other Stakeholders include positive feedback regarding the tutoring program. |

Evaluation



For the past ten years, discussion of and work on a collaborative approach to professional development has been part of negotiations between the school district and the union. Originally, the agreement covered use of staff development in quite traditional ways - providing funding for each teacher to work on an individual plan that included things like attending one-day workshops. In 2001, however, the school district and the union agreed to try a different approach that they called the ISD \#15 Teacher Academy. With that new approach, several things changed. The focus of professional development became student performance and achievement. Most professional development opportunities were provided locally outside of regular instructional time, and a comprehensive new teacher induction program was begun. Additionally, site planning and control of professional development time was increased. District-wide coordination of the effort was provided. The School Board policy on the Teacher Academy has this purpose statement: Purpose: To increase student learning in every classroom in the district by providing each teacher with the support and tools to his or her effectiveness as a teacher and encourage professional growth throughout the teacher's career (adopted May 2001).

The graphic below shows exactly what we have built as a result of this collaborative effort.


At the center of the Teacher Academy is the American Federation of Teachers' Educational Research and Dissemination program. Accessing this program was vital. It provided the model for effective professional development, the strands - or courses - that we are using, and the training and funding for district teachers to become trainers for each of the courses. In addition to AFT,

Education Minnesota, and local union funding, the district provided additional funding through ESEA Title II professional development funds to expand the program faster than the union support allowed.

The graphic below outlines the strands - or courses - in the Teacher Academy. All but two of these courses are AFT-sponsored. Mentorship Training, and Traits of Effective Writing Instruction are both of locally developed courses that follow the AFT model of basing each course on levelthree research.


Trying to measure the impact of any professional development program is difficult at best. However, an unintended outcome of one of the first Teacher Academy courses has given us an ideal opportunity to examine the impact of this professional development approach. In the spring of 2001, Early Reading Intervention was offered for the first time. A large group of the teachers in the class were concentrated in one of the two primary buildings in the district. As the class ended, these teachers got together and challenged the site management council at the building to implement the identification and instruction methods that were part of the class. Using the University of Oregon Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills, kindergartens were assessed in the spring of 2001. This group becomes the baseline data for what has developed into a building-wide endeavor. The following fall, incoming kindergarteners were assessed on the same standards. Again, they were assessed in the spring of 2002. At the same time, those kindergarteners from 2001 had now had a year of instruction based on the University of Oregon model. Their gains from the previous year provide a value-added assessment of progress.

The results for the following two years are also reporied. In the spring of 2004, a comparison was done of kindergarteners in the all-day, everyday program versus those students in the all-day,

## East Bethel Community School Early Reading Intervention Program Test Results

## Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills




In the lives of children, the significance of early reading achievement cannot be overstated. The impact on the remaining years of school and on adult potential is linked directly to a student's achievement in reading.

In developing the Dynamic Indicators of Beginning Early Literacy, the University of Oregon also developed research that demonstrates the impact of focusing instruction on meaningful teaching approaches to early reading intervention. The graph below indicates the impact over an elementary career. The chart also demonstrates the potential for affecting long-term outcomes for primary students when provided with meaningful early reading intervention.


## SAMPLE REPORTS SET

| Pages 1-4 | ... Teacher Report |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |
|  | Reading |
| Pages 5-9 | ... Class Report |
|  | Math |
|  | Reading |
|  | Sorted by Alpha (multiple points) |
| Page 10 | . ASG Report |
| Page 11 | .. Online Individual Student Progress |
|  | Report |
| Page 12 | End-of-Term Individual Student |
|  | Progress Report |
| Page 13 | ..District Summary Report by School |
| Page 14-15 | District Summary Report by Grade |

## School: Nixon Elementary (NWEA Sample District) <br> \section*{Class: 55 Bondy 6thGrade 6}



| Student ID Name | Grd | Test Type | Test Date | RIT | Std Err | RIT Range | \%ile | \%ile <br> Range |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 士 } \\ & \text { ㅌ } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & E \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12341145 Kirsten, J. E. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 200 | 2.9 | 197-203 | 15 | 11-20 | LO | LO | LO | AV | AV | LO | LO |
| 12340465 Tierra, S. Y. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 201 | 3.0 | 198-204 | 17 | 13-22 | LO | LO | LO | AV | LO | AV | LO |
| 12340617 Robert, P. K. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 202 | 2.9 | 199-205 | 19 | 14-24 | LO | LO | LO | LO | LO | AV | LO |
| 12340448 Elesvan, J. E. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 202 | 3.0 | 199-205 | 19 | 14-24 | LO | LO | LO | AV | LO | LO | LO |
| 12341189 Brandon, J. N. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 204 | 3.0 | 201-207 | 22 | 17-28 | LO | AV | LO | AV | LO | LO | LO |
| 12340630 Andy, W. Y. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 205 | 3.0 | 202-208 | 24 | 19-31 | LO | AV | AV | HI | LO | LO | LO |
| 12340549 Jacque, L. N. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 208 | 3.0 | 205-211 | 31 | 24-38 | HI | LO | LO | LO | LO | LO | AV |
| 12340627 Alexandra, K. L. | 5 | S/G | Aug 26 | 210 | 2.9 | 207-213 | 53 | 44-63 | AV | AV | HI | AV | LO | AV | AV |
| 12340599 Christofer, C. E. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 210 | 3.0 | 207-213 | 35 | 28-43 | LO | HI | LO | AV | AV | LO | AV |
| 12341174 Jessica, J. N. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 210 | 2.9 | 207-213 | 35 | 28-43 | LO | LO | LO | AV | AV | LO | LO |
| 12340605 LaDonna, G. E. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 211 | 3.1 | 208-214 | 38 | 31-46 | AV | LO | LO | HI | AV | AV | AV |
| 12340511 Michael, T. R. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 211 | 3.0 | 208-214 | 38 | 31-46 | HI | LO | LO | AV | HI | LO | LO |
| 12340504 Michael, Y. A. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 211 | 2.9 | 208-214 | 38 | 31-43 | LO | AV | LO | AV | LO | HI | LO |
| 12340482 Grace, J. T. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 214 | 2.9 | 211-217 | 46 | 38-54 | HI | AV | AV | LO | LO | AV | AV |
| 12340447 Jazmin, N. S. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 215 | 3.0 | 212-218 | 49 | 40-57 | LO | HI | HI | AV | LO | LO | AV |
| 12340508 Jackquelyn, D. A. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 216 | 2.9 | 213-219 | 51 | 43-60 | HI | AV | HI | HI | LO | AV | AV |
| 12340636 Christina, L. A. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 216 | 3.0 | 213-219 | 51 | 43-60 | HI | LO | AV | AV | AV | HI | AV |
| 12340421 Nathan, T. I. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 216 | 3.0 | 213-219 | 51 | 43-60 | AV | LO | HI | AV | AV | HI | AV |
| 12340530 Aaron, J. A. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 216 | 2.9 | 213-219 | 51 | 43-60 | AV | HI | AV | LO | LO | AV | HI |
| 12341114 Ryan, C. Y. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 216 | 3.0 | 213-219 | 51 | 43-60 | HI | LO | LO | AV | AV | HI | LO |
| 12340437 Nasser, J. N. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 217 | 3.3 | 214-220 | 54 | 46-62 | HI | AV | AV | HI | LO | LO | AV |
| 12340408 Sara, B. Y. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 218 | 3.0 | 215-221 | 57 | 49-65 | HI | AV | HI | AV | LO | AV | AV |
| 12340509 Cierra, J. N. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 218 | 3.0 | 215-221 | 57 | 49-65 | HI | AV | HI | LO | LO | AV | HI |
| 12340981 Keitha, L. N. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 219 | 2.9 | 216-222 | 60 | 51-68 | HI | AV | AV | LO | AV | HI | HI |
| 12340383 Megan, N. L. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 220 | 3.0 | 217-223 | 62 | 54-70 | HI | HI | HI | LO | LO | AV | HI |
| 12340434 Gary, P. K. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 220 | 3.1 | 217-223 | 62 | 54-70 | HI | AV | AV | HI | AV | AV | AV |
| 12340603 Jonathan, K. N. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 221 | 3.0 | 218-224 | 65 | 57-73 | AV | HI | Hi | HI | AV | LO | HI |
| 12340640 Tristan, C. A. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 224 | 3.0 | 221-227 | 73 | 65-79 | HI | AV | HI | HI | HI | AV | AV |
| 12340438 Austin, V. E. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 224 | 3.2 | 221-227 | 73 | 65-79 | AV | AV | AV | HI | HI | HI | AV |
| 12340558 Rache'l, D. N. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 225 | 2.9 | 222-228 | 75 | 68-81 | HI | HI | HI | HI | AV | HI | HI |
| 12340470 Robert, G. Y. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 227 | 2.9 | 224-230 | 79 | 73-85 | HI | AV | HI | LO | AV | HI | HI |
| 12340505 Jon, S. L. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 228 | 3.3 | 225-231 | 81 | 75-86 | HI | HI | HI | HI | HI | HI | HI |
| 12340473 Jordan, N. R. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 229 | 2.9 | 226-232 | 83 | 77-88 | HI | AV | HI | HI | AV | HI | HI |
| 12340476 Megan, M. E. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 229 | 3.0 | 226-232 | 83 | 77-88 | HI | HI | HI | HI | LO | HI | HI |
| 12340506 Alejandra, R. T. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 232 | 3.0 | 229-235 | 88 | 83-91 | HI | HI | HI | HI | HI | HI | HI |
| 12340393 Stacia, J. E. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 233 | 3.0 | 230-236 | 89 | 85-92 | HI | HI | HI | HI | HI | HI | HI |

## Continued on next page...

| lass: 55 Bondy 6thGrade 6 "eacher: Bondy, Bondy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | H号00 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \text { O } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \geq \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student ID Name | Grd | Type | Date | RIT | Err | Range | \%ile | Range |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12340868 Jeremy, K. E. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 234 | 3.0 | 231-237 | 90 | 86-93 | HI | HI | HI | HI | HI | HI | HI |
| 12340458 Melanie, L. E. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 234 | 3.0 | 231-237 | 90 | 86-93 | HI | HI | HI | Hi | HI | HI | HI |
| 12340461 Patrick, N. E. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 234 | 2.9 | 231-237 | 90 | 86-93 | HI | HI | AV | HI | Hi | HI | HI |
| 12340394 Elizabeth, N. N. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 238 | 3.0 | 235-241 | 94 | 91-96 | HI | HI | HI | HI | HI | HI | HI |
| 12340453 Katlynne, K. Y. | 6 | S/G | Aug 22 | 241 | 3.0 | 238-244 | 96 | 94-97 | HI | HI | HI | HI | HI | HI | HI |
| atarg unathar a |  | 56 | 4 tg 2 | '19 | actic | Semmiata | 1 who | 1800tion | rame |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals For: Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High | 25 | 15 | 20 | 19 | 11 | 18 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Avg | 6 | 15 | 9 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 14 |
|  | Students: |  |  | 41 |  |  |  | Low | 10 | 11 | 12 | 8 | 17 | 11 | 10 |
|  | Mean RIT |  |  | 218.5 |  |  |  | Mean | 221.4 | 216.7 | 218.0 | 220.8 | 214.6 | 217.8 | 218.8 |
|  | Std Dev |  |  | 10.8 |  |  |  | Std Dev | 14.4 | 12.7 | 12.3 | 14.2 | 12.8 | 14.0 | 14.3 |
|  | Median RIT |  |  | 217. |  |  |  | Median | 224 | 218 | 221 | 220 | 213 | 220 | 220 |



Continued on next page...


|  |  |  | High | 11 | 12 | 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Totals For: Reading |  |  | Avg | 17 | 17 | 17 |
|  | Students: | 41 | Low | 13 | 12 | 12 |
|  | Mean RIT | 211.4 | Mean | 210.8 | 211.8 | 211.7 |
|  | Std Dev | 12.9 | Std Dev | 13.6 | 13.6 | 14.3 |
|  | Median RIT | 214 | Median | 215 | 211 | 212 |

# Class Report - Mathemawós - Fall 2003 - By Test RIT <br> Nixon Elementary 

School: Nixon Elementary
Teacher: Bondy, Bondy
Class Name: 55 Bondy 6thGrade 6
Math Goals Survey 6+ IN Version 2

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Student ID $\quad$ Name |  |
| 12340603 Jonathan, K. N. |  |
| 12341145 Kirsten, J. E. |  |
| 12340465 Tierra, S. Y. |  |
| 12340448 Elesvan, J. E. |  |
| 12340617 Robert, P. K. |  |
| 12341189 Brandon, J. N. |  |
| 12340630 Andy, W. Y. |  |
| 12340549 Jacque, L. N. |  |
| 12340599 Christofer, C. E. |  |
| 12340605 LaDonna, G. E. |  |
| 12340511 Michael, T. R. |  |
| 12340504 Michael, Y. A. |  |
| 12340482 Grace, J. T. |  |
| 12340447 Jazmin, N. S. |  |
| 12340530 Aaron, J. A. |  |
| 12340636 Christina, L. A. |  |
| 12340508 Jackquelyn, D. A. |  |
| 12340421 Nathan, T. I. |  |
| 12341114 Ryan, C. Y. |  |
| 12340437 Nasser, J. N. |  |
| 12340509 Cierra, J. N. |  |
| 12340408 Sara, B. Y. |  |
| 12340981 Keitha, L. N. |  |
| 12340434 Gary, P. K. |  |
| 12340383 Megan, N. L. |  |
| 12340603 Jonathan, K..N. |  |
| 12340438 Austin, V. E. |  |
| 12340640 Tristan, C. A. |  |
| 12340558 Rache'l, D. N. |  |
| 12340470 Robert, G. Y. |  |
| 12340505 Jon, S. L. |  |

[^2]
# Class Report - Mathematics - Fall 2003 - By Test RIT Nixon Elementary 



Tests shown in gray font are excluded from summary statistics. Either the test occurred outside the testing window for a term, had an invalid score. or was a repeat test for a student within a term

# Class Report - Readiņ-Fall 2003 - By Test RIT <br> Nixon Elementary 

| School: Nixon Elementary <br> Teacher: Bondy, Bondy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class Name: 55 Bondy 6thGrade 6 Reading Goals Survey 6+ IN Version 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 응0000000 |  |
| Student ID Name | Grd | Test Type | Test Date | Term | RIT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std } \\ & \text { Err } \end{aligned}$ | RIT Rng | \%ile | \%ile Rng | Lexile Rng |  |  |  |
| 12340421 Nathan, T | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 |  |  | octor fermi |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12341189 Brandon, J. N. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 174 | 3.4 | 171-177 | 2 | 1-3 | 27-177 | 171-183 | 166-177 | 167-178 |
| 12341189 Brandon, J. N. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 175 | 3.6 | 171-179 | 2 | 2-3 | 53-203 |  |  |  |
| 12340599 Christofer, C. E. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 192 | 3.8 | 188-196 | 11 | 9-16 | 363-513 | 194-208 | 189-202 | 175-189 |
| 12340504 Michael, Y. A. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 193 | 3.3 | 190-196 | 12 | 9-16 | 369-519 | 186-198 | 185-197 | 190-201 |
| 12340603 Jonathan, K. N. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 194 | 3.3 | 191-197 | 13 | 9-17 | 388-538 | 179-191 | 199-213 | 186-197 |
| 12340605 LaDonna, G. E. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 196 | 3.4 | 193-199 | 16 | 12-20 | 428-578 | 195-207 | 192-204 | 183-195 |
| 12341145 Kirsten, J. E. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 199 | 3.3 | 196-202 | 20 | 16-25 | 480-630 | 190-202 | 193-204 | 196-207 |
| 12340530 Aaron, J. A. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 201 | 3.3 | 198-204 | 24 | 19-32 | 524-674 | 182-195 | 205-219 | 198-209 |
| 12340448 Elesvan, J. E. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 202 | 3.3 | 199-205 | 25 | 20-34 | 541-691 | 194-205 | 195-207 | 200-211 |
| 12340482 Grace, J. T. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 203 | 3.6 | 199-207 | 28 | 20-36 | 555-705 | 192-205 | 206-219 | 191-204 |
| 12340508 Jackquelyn, D. A. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 203 | 3.3 | 200-206 | 28 | 22-34 | 552-702 | 203-215 | 185-199 | 201-212 |
| 12341174 Jessica, J. N. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 203 | 3.6 | 199-207 | 28 | 22-36 | 559-709 | 189-203 | 201-213 | 199-212 |
| 12340627 Alexandra, K. L. | 5 | S/G | Aug 22 | FA03 | 204 | 3.3 | 201-207 | 41 | 34-53 | 578-728 | 196-207 | 201-213 | 199-210 |
| 12340511 Michael, T. R. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 205 | 3.3 | 202-208 | 32 | 24-39 | 584-734 | 202-214 | 196-208 | 198-209 |
| 12340421 Nathan, T. I. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 205 | 3.3 | 202-208 | 32 | 25-39 | 589-739 | 195-206 | 200-212 | 202-214 |
| 12340630 Andy, W. Y. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 207 | 3.3 | 204-210 | 36 | 29-44 | 630-780 | 193-206 | 206-218 | 204-215 |
| 12340549 Jacque, L. N. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 207 | 3.3 | 204-210 | 36 | 28-44 | 622-772 | 209-222 | 198-209 | 196-208 |
| 12340408 Sara, B. Y. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 207 | 3.3 | 204-210 | 36 | 29-47 | 630-780 | 199-211 | 198-210 | 207-218 |
| 12340509 Cierra, J. N. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 208 | 3.3 | 205-211 | 39 | 29-47 | 638-788 | 201-213 | 193-206 | 210-222 |
| 12340447 Jazmin, N. S. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 209 | 3.2 | 206-212 | 42 | 34-50 | 667-817 | 203-214 | 201-213 | 206-217 |
| 12340437 Nasser, J. N. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 212 | 3.3 | 209-215 | 50 | 42-59 | 715-865 | 210-222 | 211-223 | 197-209 |
| 12340438 Austin, V. E. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 214 | 3.4 | 211-217 | 56 | 44-64 | 746-896 | 210-223 | 205-217 | 208-219 |
| 12340434 Gary, P. K. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 214 | 3.2 | 211-217 | 56 | 47-64 | 750-900 | 209-221 | 204-216 | 211-222 |
| 12340476 Megan, M. E. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 214 | 3.6 | 210-218 | 56 | 44-67 | 753-903 | 202-216 | 206-219 | 214-225 |
| 12340617 Robert, P. K. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 214 | 3.2 | 211-217 | 56 | 44-64 | 748-898 | 212-224 | 198-211 | 212-224 |
| 12340465 Tierra, S. Y. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 214 | 3.3 | 211-217 | 56 | 47-64 | 754-904 | 210-221 | 210-222 | 206-217 |
| 12340636 Christina, L. A. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 215 | 3.3 | 212-218 | 59 | 50-70 | 777-927 | 214-226 | 208-220 | 206-218 |
| 12340981 Keitha, L. N. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 215 | 3.5 | 212-219 | 59 | 47-67 | 763-913 | 210-223 | 204-217 | 210-222 |
| 12340470 Robert, G. Y. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 216 | 3.3 | 213-219 | 61 | 53-73 | 798-948 | 210-222 | 210-222 | 212-223 |
| 12341114 Ryan, C. Y. | 6 | S/G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 217 | 3.4 | 214-220 | 64 | 53-73 | 803-953 | 210-222 | 217-230 | 206-217 |

[^3]
# Class Report－Reading－Fall 2003 －By Test RIT Nixon Elementary 

| School：Nixon Elementary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class Name： 55 Bondy 6thG Reading Goals Survey 6＋IN | de 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 苞 | 呂 | $\infty$ |
| Student ID Name | Grd | Type | Date | Term | RIT | Err | RIT Rng | \％ile | Rng | Rng | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbb{0}} \\ & \propto 㐅 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 12340558 Rache＇，D．N． | 6 | S／G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 218 | 3.3 | 215－221 | 67 | 59－78 | 832－982 | 213－224 | 216－229 | 209－220 |
| 12340640 Tristan，C．A． | 6 | S／G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 219 | 3.3 | 216－222 | 70 | 61－78 | 842－992 | 211－223 | 218－230 | 211－222 |
| 12340505 Jon，S．L． | 6 | S／G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 220 | 3.3 | 217－223 | 73 | 64－81 | 859－1009 | 217－229 | 213－225 | 212－223 |
| 12340461 Patrick，N．E． | 6 | S／G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 221 | 3.3 | 218－224 | 76 | 67－83 | 879－1029 | 211－222 | 223－236 | 212－223 |
| 12340383 Megan，N．L． | 6 | S／G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 222 | 3.3 | 219－225 | 78 | 70－85 | 894－1044 | 216－228 | 201－215 | 229－244 |
| 12340868 Jeremy，K．E． | 6 | S／G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 224 | 3.3 | 221－227 | 83 | 76－90 | 938－1088 | 217－228 | 217－228 | 222－233 |
| 12340458 Melanie，L．E． | 6 | S／G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 224 | 3.3 | 221－227 | 83 | 73－89 | 925－1075 | 206－220 | 220－231 | 224－236 |
| 12340506 Alejandra，R．T． | 6 | S／G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 226 | 3.3 | 223－229 | 87 | 81－92 | 970－1120 | 216－228 | 221－232 | 224－235 |
| 12340473 Jordan，N．R． | 6 | S／G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 228 | 3.2 | 225－231 | 90 | 85－94 | 1006－1156 | 226－238 | 221－233 | 220－231 |
| 12340393 Stacia，J．E． | 6 | S／G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 233 | 3.2 | 230－236 | 96 | 93－98 | 1103－1253 | 229－241 | 231－243 | 223－234 |
| 12340453 Katlynne，K．Y． | 6 | S／G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 235 | 3.3 | 232－238 | 97 | 95－98 | 1131－1281 | 227－239 | 232－244 | 229－240 |
| 12340394 Elizabeth，N．N． | 6 | S／G | Aug 25 | FA03 | 241 | 3.4 | 238－244 | 99 | 98－99 | 1236－1386 | 234－246 | 233－244 | 238－250 |


|  |  |  | High | 11 | 12 | 12 |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Totals For：Reading |  | Avg | 17 | 17 | 17 |  |
| Students with current，valid scores | 41 | Low | 13 | 12 | 12 |  |
|  | Mean RIT | 211.4 | Mean | 210.8 | 211.8 | 211.7 |
|  | Median RIT | 214 | Median | 215 | 211 | 212 |
|  | Std Dev | $\mathbf{1 2 . 9}$ | Std Dev | 13.6 | 13.6 | 14.3 |

[^4]Tests shown in gray background ommurred prior to the term for which this report is produced

# Class Report - Reading -. Il 2003 - By Student Name <br> Nixon Elementary 

School: Nixon Elementary
Teacher: Bondy, Bondy
Class Name: 55 Bondy 6thGrade 6
Reading Goals Survey 6+ IN Version 2


Goal Performance

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 182-195 | 205-219 | 198-209 |
| 216-228 | 221-232 | 224-235 |
| 196-207 | 201-213 | 199-210 |
| 193-206 | 206-218 | 204-215 |
| 210-223 | 205-217 | 208-219 |
| 171-183 | 166-177 | 167-178 |
| 214-226 | 208-220 | 206-218 |
| 194-208 | 189-202 | 175-189 |
| 201-213 | 193-206 | 210-222 |
| 194-205 | 195-207 | 200-211 |
| 234-246 | 233-244 | 238-250 |

HI-percentile > 66 AV-percentile between 66 and 34 LO-percentile $<34$
Tests shown in gray font are excluded from summary statistics. Either the test occurred outside the testing window for a term, had an invalid score, or was a repeat test for a student within a term
Tests shown in gray background occurred prior to the term for which this report is produced

# Achievement Status and Growth Targets Class Report - Mathematics * Fall 2003 Nixon Elementary 


(* Small Group Summary Display is OFF) statistically reliable

## Mathematics

| Season/ <br> Year | Grade | Student <br> Score <br> Range | Dist. <br> Avg <br> RIT | Norm <br> Group <br> Avg. | Student <br> Growth | Typical <br> Growth | Student <br> \%ile <br> Range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F03 | 6 | $231-234-237$ | 223 | 215 |  |  | $86-90-93$ <br> S03 |
| F02 | 5 | $224-227-230$ | 222 | 216 | 7 | 9.5 | $69-76-81$ |
| $217-220-223$ | 214 | 209 |  |  | $74-81-87$ |  |  |


| Mathematics Goals Performance - Fall 2003 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Num Sense | High |
| Comput | HiAvg |
| Algeb Func | High |
| Geom | High |
| Meas | HiAvg |
| Data Analysis / Stat / Probity | High |
| Prob Solv | High |

## Language Usage

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Season/ } \\ \text { Year } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Grade | Student Score Range | Dist. <br> Avg <br> RIT | Norm Group Avg. | Student Growth | Typical Growth | Student \%ile Range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F03 | 6 | 226-229-232 | 219 | 212 |  |  | 87-92-96 |
| S03 | 5 | 222-225-228 | 219 | 212 | 8 | 5 | 78-86-92 |
| F02 | 5 | 214-217-220 | 213 | 207 |  |  | 67-76-84 |

Language Usage Goals Performance - Fall 2003
Writing Strategies \& Skills HiAvg

Conventions Grammar \& Usage High
Conventions Mechanics of Writ

Reading

| Season/ <br> Year | Grade | Student <br> Score <br> Range | Dist. <br> Avg <br> RIT | Norm <br> Group <br> Avg. | Student <br> Growth | Typical <br> Growth | Student <br> \%ile <br> Range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F03 | 6 | $221-224-227$ | 215 | 210 |  |  | $73-83-89$ |
| S03 | 5 | $216-219-222$ | 214 | 210 | 0 | 5.4 | $59-70-78$ |
| F02 | 5 | $216-219-222$ | 210 | 205 |  |  | $76-83-91$ |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Reading Goals Performance - Fall 2003
Word Recog / Fluency / Vocab
Avg
Reading Comp High
Literary Response \& Analysis
High
Lexile Range: 925-1075

## Explanatory Notes:

## Season/Year

The season ( $F=$ fall, $S=$ spring, $W=$ winter, $U=$ summer) and the year the test was administered.

Student Score Range
The middle number is the RIT score your child received. The numbers on either side of the RIT score define the score range. If retested, your child would score within this range most of the time.
District Average RIT
The average score for all students in the school district in the grade who were tested at the same time as your child.

Norm Group Avg.
The average score observed for students in the 2002 NWEA Norming Study, who were in the same grade and tested in the same season (e.g., fall or spring).

Student Growth
Presents the growth in RITs your child made from the previous fall to the spring of the year in which growth is reported.

Typical Growth
The average growth of students in the 2002 NWEA Norming study who were in the same grade and began the growth comparison period at a similar achievement level.

Student \%ile Range
The number in the middle is your child's percentile rank - the percentage of students in the 2002 NWEA Norming study that had a RIT score less than or equal to your child's score. The number on either side of the percentile rank define the percentile range. If retested, your child's percentile rank would be within this range most of the time.

## Goal Performance

Each goal area included in the test is listed along with a descriptive adjective of your child's score. The possible descriptors are Low ( $<21$ percentile), LoAvg (21-40 percentile), Avg (41-60 percentile), HiAvg (61-80 percentile), and High (>80 percentile).
Lexile Range
The difficulty range of text that can be understood by the student $75 \%$ of the time.

Grade 6


| Student RIT Score | $\mathbf{2 2 9}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Student RIT Range: | $226-232$ |
| Percentile Range: <br> District Avg: | $87-96$ |
| Norm Grp Avg: 212.2 <br> Term Name - Grade: Fall 2003 l |  |

217-227 Writing Strategies \& Skills
230-241 Conventions Grammar \& Usage
225-235 Conventions Mechanics of Writ


| Student RIT Score: | $\mathbf{2 3 4}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Student RIT Range: | $231-237$ |
| Percentile Range: <br> District Avg: | $86-93$ |
| Norm Grp Avg: <br> Term Name - Grade: | 215.2 |
| Fall 2003 |  |

233-248 Num Sense
$218-235$ Comput
$222-238$ Algeb Func
$231-246$ Geom
$218-236$ Meas
$230-246$ Data Analysis / Stat / Problty
$230-246$ Prob Solv


| Student RIT Score | 224 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Student RIT Range: | 221-227 |
| Percentile Range: | 73-89 |
| District Avg: |  |
| Norm Grp Avg: | 210.5 |
| Term Name - Grade: | Fall 2003 |
| Lexile Range: | 925-1075 |
| 206-220 Word Recog / Fluency / Vocab |  |
| 220-231 Reading Comp |  |
| 224-236 Literary Response \& Analysis |  |

# District Summary Re, , rt by School - Fall 2003 <br> NWEA Sample District 

Mathematics
Eagle Elementary

| Math Goals Survey 2-5 IN Version 2 |  |  |  |  |  | Num Sense |  | Comput |  | Algeb Func |  | Geom |  | Meas |  | Data Analysis / Stat / Problty |  | Prob Solv |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Term | Grad | Student Count | $\begin{gathered} t \text { Mean } \\ \hline \quad R I T \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std } \\ & \text { Rove } \end{aligned}$ | Median | Mean | Std Dev | Mean | Std Dev | Mean | Std Dev | Mean | Std Dev | Mean | Std Dev | Mean | Std Dev | Mean | Std Dev |
| Spring 2002 | 2 | 23 | 192.9 | 10.8 | 194 | 190.8 | 13.2 | 183.0 | 12.3 | 195.1 | 14.4 | 198.1 | 12.4 | 195.6 | 14.1 | 197.1 | 14.1 | 192.0 | 16.7 |
| Fall 2001 | 2 | 20 | 182.4 | 8.9 | 182 | 177.5 | 11.6 | 173.6 | 12.6 | 182.5 | 16.3 | 189.1 | 13.3 | 183.7 | 12.3 | 187.3 | 10.1 | 182.4 | 12.3 |
| Spring 2002 | 3 | 28 | 207.1 | 6.4 | 207 | 208.0 | 10.8 | 201.1 | 13.0 | 209.5 | 9.6 | 205.3 | 14.6 | 208.6 | 9.2 | 206.5 | 8.5 | 209.8 | 14.5 |
| Fall 2001 | 3 | 25 | 197.3 | 8.5 | 198 | 198.6 | 10.2 | 189.9 | 15.8 | 194.7 | 14.0 | 199.0 | 11.4 | 197.5 | 12.3 | $\underline{204.6}$ | 18.7 | 195.2 | 12.6 |
| Spring 2002 | 4 | 20 | 214.1 | 12.1 | 219 | 212.7 | 15.7 | 219.6 | 22.3 | 216.9 | 17.2 | 213.4 | 14.0 | 210.2 | 14.1 | 214.3 | 14.4 | 214.2 | 17.8 |
| Fall 2001 | 4 | 19 | 204.5 | 9.7 | 206 | 200.9 | 11.6 | 202.1 | 13.8 | 205.1 | 13.3 | 211.1 | 12.2 | 203.1 | 16.7 | 204.2 | 11.1 | 204.9 | 17.1 |
| Spring 2002 | 5 | 20 | 217.1 | 11.0 | 216 | 219.7 | 16.7 | 216.5 | 14.9 | 215.7 | 11.9 | 220.4 | 10.2 | 212.4 | 14.2 | 222.3 | 15.7 | 213.9 | 13.9 |
| Fall 2001 | 5 | 20 | 210.3 | 9.6 | 213 | $\underline{213.6}$ | 12.4 | 207.1 | 14.9 | $\underline{216.7}$ | 18.6 | 211.5 | 10.0 | 205.9 | 12.2 | 209.6 | 11.4 | 206.9 | 13.6 |
| Fall 2001 | 6 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Math Goals Survey 6+ IN Version 2 |  |  |  |  |  | Num Sense |  | Comput |  | Algeb Func |  | Geom |  | Meas |  | Data Analysis / <br> Stat / Problty |  | Prob Solv |  |
| Term | Grad | Student raint | $\begin{gathered} t \text { Mean } \\ \hline D I T \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std } \\ & \text { nov, } \end{aligned}$ | Median | Mean | Std Dev | Mean | Std Dev | Mean | Std Dev | Mean | Std Dev | Mean | Std Dev | Mean | Std Dev | Mean | Std Dev |
| Fall 2001 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fall 2001 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spring 2002 | 6 | 27 | 228.5 | 13.6 | 230 | 230.3 | 19.5 | 233.1 | 19.9 | 225.4 | 16.2 | 226.9 | 13.7 | 224.5 | 17.8 | 232.5 | 15.9 | 227.4 | 11.6 |
| Fall 2001 | 6 | 18 | 222.4 | 10.1 | 224 | 221.4 | 12.2 | 218.9 | 10.6 | 233.8 | 14.5 | 220.2 | 13.0 | 219.1 | 11.2 | $\underline{226.2}$ | 13.6 | 218.9 | 14.1 |

## Eastland Junior High

District Summary Report by Grade - Fall 2003
NWEA Sample District


Groups with less than students are suppressed because they are not statistically reliable.

* A goal mean in bold italic represents performance that might be considered an area of concern. A goal mean in bold underlined represents relatively' 7 performance.


# District Summary Report by urade and Gender - Fall 2003 NWEA Sample District 

## (Small Group Summary Display is ON)



Groups with less than 10 students are shown as selected, but may not be statistically reliable.

* A goal mean in bold italic represents performance that might be considered an area of concern. A goal mean in bold underlined represents relatively strong performance.


## Schoool Grade

Student Growth
SPRING 2004

| Subject | Fall RIT Mean | Spring RIT Mean | Growth <br> Mean | Growth Range |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | NWEA |
| :--- |
| Growth |
| Target |, | Growth Index |
| :--- |
| (compared to others) | | \% of students <br> meeting <br> Growth Target |
| :--- |
| Reading |


|  | Areas of Concern | Strengths |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Reading |  |  |
| Math |  |  |
| Language |  |  |


©Northwest Evaluation Association 12909 SW $68^{\text {th }}$ Pkwy, Suite 400 Portland, Oregon 97223-8323
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## Monitoring Growth in Student Achievement

In 2002, the Northwest Evaluation Association completed a norming study designed to describe student achievement status and growth along the RIT scales. The study included over 1.05 million students from 323 school districts in 24 states who were administered Achievement Level Tests and Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) tests. These assessment instruments are uniquely designed to provide accurate measurement of student achievement and student growth across time.

Achievement and growth for the students involved in the norming study are described in the tables below and on the back. The mean (average) and median achievement values are based on all students in the study for that season. The median is the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile rank; half the students for the grade scored above this level and half scored below. The mean growth values are based only on students with scores from each of the testing seasons used to estimate growth.
The values in these tables are based on the performance of students from a wide variety of schools and school districts. As we improve education, we expect that students in our districts will achieve at higher levels and grow more rapidly. The RIT scores and mean growth values in these tables should be considered as typical or indicative of student performance at each grade level. They should not be considered as long-term goals, stopping points or expectations.

## Mathematics Achievement and Growth

| Grade | Fall |  | Spring |  | Ending Grade | Mean Growth |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Fall to | Fall to |  | Spring to |
|  | Median | Mean |  |  | Median | Mean | Spring | Fall | Spring |
| 2 | 178 | 177.6 | 189 | 188.2 |  | 2 | 15.7 | n/a | n/a |
| 3 | 191 | 189.7 | 201 | 199.7 | 3 | 11.8 | 13.4 | 12.8 |
| 4 | 201 | 200.4 | 209 | 208.6 | 4 | 8.9 | 12.0 | 9.3 |
| 5 | 209 | 208.9 | 217 | 216.4 | 5 | 8.8 | 9.3 | 9.2 |
| 6 | 216 | 215.2 | 222 | 221.9 | 6 | 8.1 | 7.3 | 6.4 |
| 7 | 222 | 220.9 | 228 | 227.5 | 7 | 6.9 | 8.5 | 6.9 |
| 8 | 228 | 227.2 | 235 | 234.0 | 8 | 7.1 | 7.7 | 8.1 |
| 9 | 231 | 229.8 | 244 | 240.9 | 9 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 7.8 |
| 10 | 235 | 232.7 | 250 | 248.2 | 10 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 2.4 |

Reading Achievement and Growth

| Grade | Fall |  | Spring |  | Ending Grade | Mean Growth |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Fall to | Fall to |  | Spring to |
|  | Median | Mean |  |  | Median | Mean | Spring | Fall | Spring |
| 2 | 179 | 177.7 | 188 | 186.6 |  | 2 | 14.9 |  | n/a |
| 3 | 191 | 188.7 | 199 | 197.2 | 3 | 10.4 | 14.1 | 11.9 |
| 4 | 200 | 198.0 | 206 | 204.3 | 4 | 7.4 | 10.7 | 7.6 |
| 5 | 207 | 205.2 | 212 | 210.3 | 5 | 6.3 | 8.0 | 7.0 |
| 6 | 212 | 210.5 | 217 | 215.2 | 6 | 5.3 | 6.1 | 5.3 |
| 7 | 216 | 214.4 | 221 | 218.9 | 7 | 4.3 | 5.3 | 4.3 |
| 8 | 220 | 218.3 | 225 | 222.8 | 8 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 4.3 |
| 9 | 223 | 221.0 | 226 | 224.2 | 9 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 3.0 |
| 10 | 225 | 223.1 | 226 | 224.3 | 10 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 3.3 |

Language Usage Achievement and Growth

| Grade | Fall |  | Spring |  | Ending Grade | Mean Growth |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Fall to | Fall to |  | Spring to |
|  | Median | Mean |  |  | Median | Mean | Spring | Fall | Spring |
| 2 | 180 | 180.0 | 190 | 189.0 |  | 2 | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |
| 3 | 194 | 191.6 | 201 | 199.0 | 3 | 9.3 | n/a | 10.7 |
| 4 | 203 | 200.9 | 207 | 205.5 | 4 | 6.5 | 9.6 | 7.5 |
| 5 | 209 | 207.3 | 213 | 211.5 | 5 | 5.8 | 6.4 | 6.4 |
| 6 | 214 | 212.2 | 217 | 215.3 | 6 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 4.3 |
| 7 | 217 | 215.2 | 220 | 218.4 | 7 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.6 |
| 8 | 220 | 218.7 | 223 | 221.3 | 8 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.4 |
| 9 | 222 | 220.4 | 224 | 223.4 | 9 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.4 |
| 10 | 224 | 222.2 | 224 | 222.5 | 10 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.2 |


[^0]:    © 2004 NWEA. DesCartes: A Confinuum of Learning is the exclusive copyrighted property of NWEA. Unauthorized use, reproduction, or distribution is prohibited.

    * Both data from test items and review by NWEA curriculum specialists are used to place learning continuum statements into appropriate RIT ranges.

    Blank cells indicate data are limited or unavailable for this range or document version.

[^1]:    © 2004 NWEA. DesCarfes: A Confinuum of Learning is the exclusive copyrighted property of NWEA. Unauthorized use, reproduction, or distribution is prohibited.

    * Both data from test items and review by NWEA curriculum specialists are used to place learning continuum statements into appropriate RIT ranges.

    Blank cells indicate data are limited or unavailable for this range or document version.

[^2]:    Hi-percentile > 66 AV-percentile between 66 and 34 LO-percentile < 34
    Tests shown in gray font are excluded from summary statistics. Either the test occurred outside the testing
    window for a term, had an invalid score, or was a repeat test for a student within a term
    Tests shown in gray background occurred prior to the term for which this report is produced

[^3]:    HI-percentile > 66 AV-percentile between 66 and 34 LO-percentile < 34
    Tests shown in gray font are excluded from summary statistics. Either the test occurred outside the testing
    window for a term. had an invalid score. or was a repeat test for a student within a term
    Tests shown in gray background occurred prior to the term for which this report is produced

[^4]:    HI－percentile＞ 66 AV－percentile between 66 and 34 LO－percentile＜ 34
    Tests shown in gray font are excluded from summary statistics．Either the test occurred outside the testing
    window for a term．had an invalid score．or was a repeat test for a student within a term

