

STATE OF MINNESOTA

Office of Governor Tim Pawlenty

130 State Capitol \bullet Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard \bullet Saint Paul, MN 55155

Leadership Agreement

July 9, 2005 1:30 AM

EDUCATION

\$800m

Total for schools, including local levies, at \$139.2 million and 4.0%/4.0% each year on the formula.

Agreed upon language for Q Comp at \$78.5 million in aid plus \$9 million in levy with equitable geographic distribution. Get Ready Get Credit language and funding level at \$11.6 million.

Referendum cap raised to 26%; \$200 per student of additional referendum authority to "grandfathered" districts; referendum equalization increased to \$600 per student in FY 2007 and \$700 per student in FY 2008 and later.

Establish a low referendum district equity increase, metro equity increase and modified equity formula to provide statewide equity. The modified equity formula includes \$32 million in school board approved levy as an allowance per pupil for all school districts below the 95th percentile and includes cities of the first class. School districts above the 95th percentile shall receive a levy allowance equal to 50% of the allowance that districts below the 95th percentile receive.

\$10 million aid for early childhood programs.

HHS

\$110m

Over Governor's March budget for 06-07; 08-09 tails also \$110m over Governor's tails

Maintain current law MnCare eligibility. Repeal MnCare cap and restore diabetic supplies.

Fully utilize HCAF balance in both biennia.

TAX

\$86m

Over the Governor's March budget.

Offer assumes Health Savings Account conformity, single sales, and gross premium tax reduction, plus other items including:

\$ 45m

Local Government Aid; \$25m from the general fund and \$20m from the tax relief account to be used only for the current formula or small cities or for adjustments to avoid excess aid.

Current law for political contribution refund program and the renter's credit program.

TRANSPORTATION

\$46m

Over Governor's March budget for transit (\$40m metro and \$6m rural)

Basic funding bill without gas tax or license tab or other tax increases. Senate position on Governor's proposed general fund fee increases.

REVENUE

\$401m

HIF at \$.75 to be referenced in law as "Health Impact Fee." (Includes other tobacco products and floor stocks.) The Health Impact Fee will be carried in the Health and Human Services bill.

\$232m

Net revenue increase only from the Governor's March budget revenues, plus abusive tax shelters (\$57 m) and the gas pipeline case adjustment (\$16 m.)

Executive branch including constitutional offices receives authority to carry unexpended funds forward from FY 2005 to FY 2006.

The Governor agrees not to line item veto provisions specifically referenced in this agreement.

The House and Senate will pass and send to the Governor a bill authorizing continued spending at FY 2005 appropriated levels until midnight, Thursday, July 14, 2005.

Working Groups will make decisions by 5:00 PM on Monday, July 11 or leadership will finish the bills. The bills will pass the House and the Senate by 8:00 PM on Wednesday,

July 13, 2005.

5 armon

9; JC 9 mg

1/9/05 Jun

Matt Enterca

Licensing & Background Checks
Fair Hearings
Am. Indian Child Welfare grants
Prevent Teanage Hemless
Supportive Housing- Homeless
Beter Manage Health Care Rognams
SOS Forensic
Improve Mental Health Coverage
Meth. Treatment

1.113 M 2.419 M (tails at 6 ov's level 2.247 M 10.000 M 4.873 m (+ Saving 3.06) 10.402 M 3.406 M

Dea E Johns
Matt Ell

Sich Day Heredrigge

,600 M

P. 4 94.

ureus by \$7.2 million

House conditions

1) Provider COLA at house level (2.26 in '06 and 2.26 in '07)	\$69M
2) Reduce family planning monies when 1115 waiver implemented	(-\$3.8M)

Agreement must also include following HHS reforms:

3) MN Health Program Integrity Provisions	(-\$6.3M)	
4) MNCare Premium Increases	(-\$ 5M) (-2,5M	1
P5) Subsidized Housing @ \$100	(\$6.2M)	ر
6) Child Care Absent Day limit	(-\$1.5M)	
`	•	

Dea Edm Direct Day 52.4

Matter Direct Day 52.4

MASTER

Property Tax Outstanding Provisions

Side-by-side Page(s)	Provision	House Position	Senate Position
7, 20	Soil & Water district levy authorization	None	Art. 8, Sec. 1-2 & 49. Allows levy if authorized by county.
7, 8, 21	School referendum levy based on income tax	None	Art. 8, Sec. 7-11 & 56. Allows school districts to levy an income tax by referendum
8	MnDOT required to notify county auditor when property is acquired by DOT	None	Art. 8, Sec. 13.
8, 28	Chemical dependency consolidated fund	None	Art. 22, Sec. 4 & 29. Reduces county maintenance-of-effort requirements with regard to chemical dependency spending; appropriates money to the commissioner of human services for the fund.
8	Valuation of land under wind towers	None	Art. 8, Sec. 14. Provides it is classified like neighboring property (was in 2004 DOR. bill)
9	Biomass generation facility personal property exemption – Minneapolis.	None	Art. 8, Sec. 19. Authorizes.
9	Cottage Grove generation facility personal property exemption	None	Art. 8, Sec. 20. Authorizes (was in 2004 DOR bill)
9	Generic generation facility personal property exemption	Art. 2, Sec. 10. Authorizes.	None.
10	Personal rapid transit system	None.	Art. 8, Sec. 21. Property tax exemption.
10	Elderly living facility exemption	None.	Art. 8, Sec. 22. Exempts a particular facility in Minneapolis.
11	Authority for county assessor to replace local assessor	Art. 2, Sec. 11. Requires two-thirds vote of county board	None.

Side-by-side			
Page(s)	Provision	House Position	Senate Position
11	Improvements in assessment quality	Art. 2, Sec. 12, & 50. Requires DOR to develop standards, etc. and more assessor training	None.
11	Limited market value	Art. 2, Sec. 13. Extends phase-out two years, so parameters for pay '06 and pay '07 are 15% max or 25% of EMV/LMV difference. Extends to all types of property.	Art. 8, Sec. 28. Eliminates phase-out and locks-in current parameters (15% max or 25% of EMV/LMV difference) for all subsequent years. Extends LMV to class 1c homestead resorts.
11	Class 1c resort property	Art. 2, Sec. 14. Includes in LMV. Prohibits more than 30% increase over 2003 value.	Art. 8, Sec. 29. Includes in LMV. Prohibits more than 15% increase over 2003 value.
11	Septic system improvements	None.	Art. 8, Sec. 30. Provides for valuation exclusion.
12	Lead hazard reduction	Art. 2, Sec. 15. Authorizes valuation exclusion.	Art. 8, Sec. 31. Authorizes valuation exclusion.
12	Energy-efficient commercial property	None.	Art. 8, Sec. 32. Provides for valuation exclusion.
13	Green acres applications	Art. 2, Sec. 16. Requires county to report rejected applications to DOR.	None.
13-14	Aggregate resource preservation	None.	Art. 8, Sec. 33 & 40. Establishes program for land containing aggregate commercial deposit, similar to Green acres.
14	Polo grounds	None,	Art. 8, Sec. 35. Allows polo grounds to qualify for open space valuation
14-15	Homesteads damaged by mold	Art. 2, Sec. 17. Allows county board to grant valuation reduction and abatement for house that is uninhabitable due to mold	Art. 8, Sec. 36. Requires county board to grant valuation reduction and abatement for house that is uninhabitable due to mold
15	Homestead used for licensed child care	None.	Art. 8, Sec. 37. Provides they are to be classified as homestead (was in 2004 tax bill)
15	Manufactured home taxes	None.	Art.8, Sec. 12. Provides that delinquent taxes to not need to be paid to transfer title to park owner.
15	Manufactured home taxes	Art. 2, Sec. 19. Provides that manufactured homes in parks, resorts, and campgrounds are personal property, even if owned by same AN	None.

Side-by-side Page(s)	Provision	House Position	Senate Position
15-16	Low-income housing	owner. Art. 2, Sec. 20 & 23. Recreates class 4d.	Art. 8, Sec. 41, 42, & 58. Recreates class 4d,
13-10		using deemed units (readily identifiable) only. No change in how properties are valued. Class rate of 1%.	using deemed units plus others. Valuation to be based on restricted rents. Class rate of 0.55%.
16-17	Blind/disabled homesteads	Art. 2, Sec. 21. Increases valuation of class 1b property to qualify for reduced class rate from \$32,000 to \$50,000.	None.
17	Ag homestead valuation	Art. 2, Sec. 22. Increases valuation of ag homestead property to qualify for reduced class rate from \$600,000 to \$750,000.	None.
17	Definition of agricultural products	None.	Art. 8, Sec. 40. Adds "short rotation woody crops" to list of products qualifying for ag classification.
17-18	Disabled veteran homestead	Art. 2, Sec. 24. Provides \$200,000 homestead valuation exclusion to permanently disabled veteran with total service-related disability.	None.
18	Vacant commercial- industrial properties	None.	Art. 8,Sec. 43. Allows city to establish program to encourage redevelopment of blighted commercial properties. Border city. (Was in 2004 bill)
18	Valuation of utility property	None.	Art. 8, Sec. 45. Delays application of new rules regarding method of valuing electric and transmission pipeline utility property.
19	Valuation adjustments	Art. 2, Sec. 25. Removes prohibition against local board reducing value if owner has not allowed assessor access.	None.
19	State general levy	Art. 2, Sec. 26. Apportions levy into separate shares for commercial-industrial and seasonal-recreational	Art. 22, Sec. 10. Locks-in the state rate on commercial-industrial property at the rate in effect in 2002.
19	Joint truth-in-taxation hearings	Article 1 eliminates truth-in-taxation hearings.	Art. 8, Sec. 47 & 48. Authorizes joint hearings for Aitkin County and Nobles County.
20	Cruelty to animals levy	None.	Art. 8, Sec. 50. Provides levy is outside levy

Side-by-side Page(s)	Provision	House Position	Senate Position
	77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77		limits.
20	Regional rail levy on property tax statement	Art. 2, Sec. 27. Provides metro regional rail authority levies will be shown separately on tax statement	None.
20	Petitions involving low- income rental property	None	Art. 8, Sec. 52. Allows owners of low-income rental property to include multiple parcels when petitioning for valuation reduction.
20	Notice to homeowners with delinquent taxes	Art. 2, Sec. 28. Requires the county to send information to certain homeowners with delinquent taxes.	None.
21	Rent constituting property taxes	Art. 2, Sec. 29-32 & 34. Provides that property tax refunds for renters will be based on actual property taxes.	None.
22	St. Louis County nursing homes	Art. 2, Sec. 39. Requires St. Louis County to subsidize all public nursing homes if it subsidizes any.	Art. 8, Sec. 71. Extends date for local approval of special taxing district for nursing home.
23	HRA levies	None.	Art. 22, Sec. 12. Provides that HRA levy limit is based on current assessment year rather than previous.
23	St. Paul airport	Art. 2, Sec. 40. Clarifies St. Paul airport is exempt from fiscal disparities.	None.
23	Uncompensated care reimbursement	None.	Art. 8, Sec. 59. Provides reimbursement to hospitals for uncompensated health care costs from fiscal disparities pool.
24	Hennepin County public defender reimbursement	None.	Art. 8, Sec. 60. Provides reimbursement to Hennepin county for public defender costs from fiscal disparities pool.
24	LUP land PILT payments	Art. 2, Sec. 41-45. Establishes LUP lands @ \$.75 per acre.	Art. 8, Sec. 62-66. Establishes LUP lands @ \$3.00 per acre.
24	Report on assessment	Art. 2, sec. 49; requires DOR report	
24	Lakeview cemetery	None.	Art. 22, Sec. 22. Increases levy authorization from \$15,000 to \$25,000.
25	Dakota County Regional Rail authorization.	None.	Art. 22, Sec. 27. Allows Dakota County regional rail authority to develop and maintain

Side-by-side			The second of the second of the second of	
Page(s)	Provision		House Position	Senate Position
				a bus rapid transit system.
26	Education reserve	None.		Art. 8, Sec. 73. Establishes account and
	account			provides that state levy revenues over base
				amount will be deposited
26-27	Pollution Control	None.		Art. 8, Sec. 74. Requires study by DOR.
	exemption.			
27	Land-value tax	None.		Art. 8, Sec. 76. Provides that a city may elect
				to levy its commercial industrial tax on land
				only.
27	Study of fees	None.		Art. 8, Sec. 78. Requires DOR to do a study
				on state agency fees.

Outstanding Issues in the Property Tax Aids and Credits Area as of May 24, 2005 (H.F. 785 – the 3rd engrossment, Article 3) (H.F. 785 – the Senate Unofficial engrossment, Articles 4, 8,14, 22)

Summary	Topic	Whose provision?
Page		
LGA progr	am changes:	
28	Change the IPD used in calculating the LGA city "need"	Senate; Art. 22, sec. 14
28	Allowing the tax effort rate to decrease if the percent of "unmet" need paid under the LGa formula reaches 100%	House; Ar. 3, sec. 8
28	Grant extra LGA payments to the cities of Osseo, Hutchinson, and Champlin	Senate; Art. 22, sec. 15
28	Allow the "regional center aid" portion of LGA to change with city population changes	Senate; Art. 22, sec. 15
28-29	Adjust the LGA measure of "ability to raise revenue" to include what a first class can raise with a one-half percent sales tax	House; Art. 2, sec. 13
28-29	Remove taconite aids from the LGA measure of "ability to raise revenue"	Senate; Art. 22, sec. 17
29	Do not allow percent of "unmet need" paid by LGA formula to exceed 100 percent	Both; different House Art. 3, sec. 13; Sen. Art. 22, sec. 20

29 Change LGA appropriation (House – down \$17.5 million for one	Both; different House Art. 3, sec. 16; Sen.
year; Senate – up \$86 million in first year and ongoing inflation	Art. 22, sec. 20
increases)	
Other aids and credits	
Extend the market value credit reductions for cities for two more	Both; House Art. 3, sec. 20; Sen. Art. 4, sec.
years (Pay 2005 and 2006) – reduces spending	2
Reduces market value credit amounts to areas with low net tax	Senate; Art. 8, sec. 44
rates- reduces spending	
Reinstates County criminal justice aid for 2 years at a \$15 million	Senate; Art. 22, sec. 19
annual appropriation.	

Income Tax/Federal Update Article – Outstanding items

Summary	Description Description	Which bill?
page		
Federal Upd	ate	
45-51	Senate does not do HSAs, House does not do standard deduction in 2007, 2008 (coordinate with provisions in both on refundable credits, composite return)	both; different
Revenue rais	sers	
32-33, 39	Foreign operating corporations	Senate
33, 39	Disallow deduction for fines, fees, penalties	Senate
34	Income tax rate increases	Senate
69	Tax deferred comp (Benda)	Senate
Cost items –	subtractions	
33	organ donor expenses	both; similar; staff recc.
Cost items –	credits/refunds	
34	Transit pass as refund	Senate
34-5	Dairy investment credit	both; similar
35	Carsharing credit	Senate
35-36	RAIN credit	Senate
36	Marriage penalty relief, dependent care and working family credits	House
36-37	Long-term care credit, no offset for itemized deduction	House
37	K-12 credit eliminate family cap, allocate money among children, modify phaseout	both; different

37-38,44	Historic structure credit	Senate
Cost items -	- other	
34	PRT income tax exemption	Senate
38-9	AMT relief	both; different
39-40,44	Single sales apportionment	House
44	Estate tax QTIP election	House
Other		
30-1	Priorities for general fund balance	Senate
31	Local use tax info on income tax form	House
32	Definition of nonresident (factors in determining domicile)	House
40-43	Checkoffs (fiscal note \$\$)	both; different
44-5	Corporate tax study	Senate

Outstanding Issues in the Sales and Use Tax Area as of May 24, 2005 (H.F. 785 – the 3rd engrossment, Article 7) (H.F. 785 – the Senate Unofficial engrossment, Articles 3, 7, 15, and 21)

Summary Page	Topic	Whose provision?
Revenue rai	sers:	
52	Exemption for cigarettes (replaced with wholesales tax)	Both -
53	Reverses a Sprint case on telecommunications equipment	Senate
59 ff	Sales and use tax compliance initiatives	Senate
64-5	Taxes souvenir clothing	Senate
Reduces rev	enues:	
52	Exemption for milk sold in vending machines	House
52,53,54	Personal Rapid Transit (PRT) exemptions	Both
53	Upfront exemption for small business capital equipment purchases	House
53-4	Exemption for MNSCU tickets and admissions	House
60	Exemption for geothermal systems	Senate
60	Exemption for biomass stoves	Senate

Summary Page	Topic	Whose provision?
50	Commuter rails exemptions	Senate
50	Exemption for inputs to movies and television production	Senate
60	Exemption for public safety radio systems	Senate
60	Donated meals to a nonprofit for fundraising purposes	Senate
60-62,65	Construction exemptions for: Waste recovery facility St. Mary's Duluth hospital Joint powers biomass energy facility Chatfield wastewater treatment facility Poultry litter biomass generation facility Thief River Falls community center Hydroelectric generating facility	Senate
61	MVST exemption for state and local government purchases of fuel efficient vehicles for 3 years	Senate
64-5	Exempts meals served at a nonprofit daycare	Senate
No impact on	state revenues:	•
55	Local sales tax for first class cities	House
55	General local option sales tax for outstate cities for regional projects	House
57	Repeal of the one-year lapse before re-imposing a local tax	House
57	Aid adjustments for refunds after a local tax terminates	House
57	Notification requirements for local use taxes	House
57,59	Modify and extend Rochester local sales tax authority	Both
58	Mankato sales tax extension	Both
58-9	St. Cloud area sales tax extension and expansion	Both
59	Bemidji sales tax provision	Both
61	Individual authorizations to increase a local sales tax in the following places: • Hermantown	Senate

Summary Page	Topic	. (1.5)		Whose pi	ovision?
62-64	Authorizes a one-half percent local options sal	les tax in t	the	Senate	
	following places:				
	Albert Lea				
	 Baxter 				a ja
	Beaver Bay				
	• Cloquet			W. C.	*
	 Clearwater 			•	
	 Medford 				
	 Park Rapids (one percent) 				
	Waseca (one percent)				
	• Willmar		and the street		The grant of the Argin.
	Winona (one percent)				
	Mower County				
	Worthington				
65	Clarification of St. Paul sales tax to pay off bo	onds		Senate	

	Special Taxes	
Summary	Provision Description	
Page		Source Bill
Revenue Rai	sers	
66	Card club fee	House
70	Move cigarette sales tax to the wholesale level	Both
71	Reverse CUNA decision – insurance premiums tax	Senate
Revenue Los	sers	
71	Reduce premiums tax on life insurance	Both
Provisions w	rith no general fund cost	
67	MinnesotaCare tax – blood and blood components	Senate
67	MinnesotaCare tax – exemption from use tax on drugs	House
67	MinnesotaCare – exempt Tricare	House
67	MinnesotaCare – exempt FEHBA	Both
67	MinnesotaCare – transfer (passthrough) authority for	House (Senate HHS has PBMs)

1,1	providers and pharmacy benefits managers	
69-70	Out of state tobacco retailers	Senate
71	Sylvan town gravel tax	House
71	Authorizes compacts on retaliatory premiums tax	Senate

List of Outstanding Items: Economic Development

6/25/2005

Provisions with No Cost (FY06-07):

- 1. Senate Changes to the business subsidy law (Art. 9, sec. 1 to 5)
- 2. Senate Transfer of ownership of I-394 Parking Ramps to Minneapolis (Art. 9, sec. 7)
- 3. House JOBZ changes from DEED bill (Art. 9, sec. 1, 4, 5, 6, 10 18, 20, 21; Senate has some of these provisions in a separate bill)
- 4. House JOBZ prevailing wage rules (Art. 9, sec. 5, 12)
- 5. Senate TIF urban renewal (Art. 9, sec. 12, 18)
- 6. Senate TIF for job training (Art. 9, sec. 13, 14, 15, 17)
- 7. Senate TIF for mixed income developments (Art. 9, sec. 19)
- 8. Senate TIF permit pooling exemptions into hazardous substance and affordable housing accounts (Art. 9, sec. 21)
- 9. House Prohibition on use of TIF for casinos (Art. 9, sec. 8 and 9)
- 10. House Allow carryforward of unused bioscience zone incentives
- 11. Senate Detroit Lakes TIF (Art. 9, sec. 30)
- 12. Senate Elgin, Eyota, Byron, and Oronoco qualify as "small cities" under TIF (Art. 9, sec. 31)
- 13. Senate Ramsey TIF (Art. 9, sec. 35)

- 14. Senate St. Michael TIF (Art. 9, sec. 36)
- 15. Senate Winona TIF (Art. 9, sec. 39)
- 16. Senate Rosemont TIF (Art. 24, sec. 9)
- 17. Senate JOBZ expenditure limits and audits (Art. 9, sec. 40)
- 18. House Repeal JOBZ aid (Art. 9, sec. 25)
- 19. House Repeal bioscience property tax exemption (Art. 9, sec. 25)

Provisions with Fiscal Cost:

- 1. House JOBZ benefits for aerial photography business (Art. 9, sec. 5).
- 2. Both Additional allocation for the Border City Zones (both bills, identical)
- 3. House Taylors Falls border city development zone authority (Art. 9, sec. 23)

Miscellaneous Article - Outstanding items

	mung items					
Description	Which bill?					
ers						
Repeal political contribution refund \$\$	House					
Petrofund fee exemption extension	Senate					
Taxpayer assistance grants (VITA)	both; different					
Duluth environmental cleanup	Senate					
Aid payment shifts	Senate					
Deferred maintenance aid	Senate					
HESO; Rochester higher ed	Senate					
Public employers must allow American flag patch/pin	House					
Delegations of authority	both; different					
	Petrofund fee exemption extension Taxpayer assistance grants (VITA) Duluth environmental cleanup Aid payment shifts Deferred maintenance aid HESO; Rochester higher ed Public employers must allow American flag patch/pin					

89, 91	Fee and tax	both; different
89	Nongame wildlife checkoff notification	House
89	Taxpayer bill of rights; clarification (MBNA)	Senate
90	Misrepresentation of employee status	Senate
90	Referendum on gambling	House
91-2	Tax reform commission	House
92	Transfer from tax relief account and repeal	House
92-3	Clean Water Legacy	Senate

Senate Article 11: Minerals, pp. 93 ff.

- 1 Senator moves to amend S.F. No. as follows:
- Page ..., after line ..., insert:
- 3 "Sec. Minnesota Statutes 2004, section 287.20,
- 4 subdivision 2, is amended to read:
- 5 Subd. 2. [CONSIDERATION.] (a) "Consideration" means
- 6 generally the total monetary value that is given in return for a
- 7 conveyance of real property in this state and includes all
- 8 lump-sum payments, all prior or future installment payments that
- 9 are required under the agreement between the parties, and the
- 10 fair market value of any property taken, or to be taken, in
- 11 exchange.
- 12 (b) Consideration does not include the reasonable and
- 13 lawful amounts of interest paid for the privilege of paying the
- 14 purchase price in installments and the fair market value of any
- 15 items of intangible personal property that are conveyed by the
- 16 taxable instrument.
- 17 (c) Consideration does not include the amount paid for the
- 18 personal property located on the real property being conveyed
- 19 and transferred as a part of the total consideration, except
- 20 that the amount paid for the personal property located on the
- 21 real property being conveyed must be included if the real
- 22 property being conveyed is a one-, two-, or three-unit
- 23 residential structure.
- 24 (d) When a conveyance of real property is made pursuant to
- 25 a contract for deed, the consideration is the price for the real
- 26 property reflected in the contract; except that, subject to the
- 27 limitations under section 287.221, if the contract for deed, or
- 28 other agreement entered into as a condition to the seller
- 29 executing the contract, requires the property to be improved
- 30 during the term of the contract and the price of the real
- 31 property as reflected in the contract does not include the
- 32 consideration for the required improvements, then the
- 33 consideration is the price for the real property as reflected in
- 34 the contract and the consideration for the required improvements
- 35 added during the term of the contract.
- (e) "Total consideration" has the same meaning as

- 1 consideration.
- 2 (f) "Consideration, exclusive of the value of any lien or
- 3 encumbrance remaining at the time of sale" or "net
- 4 consideration" means the amount of consideration as reduced by
- 5 the amount outstanding under any lien that attached to the real
- 6 property prior to the time of sale and that is not released or
- 7 satisfied as a result of the sale.
- 8 (g) Except in the case of a gift, when the amount of the
- 9 consideration for a conveyance includes something other than
- 10 money or promises to pay money, the consideration for that
- 11 conveyance is rebuttably presumed to equal the fair market value
- 12 of the real property being conveyed.
- 13 [EFFECTIVE DATE.] This section is effective for deeds that
- 14 are both executed and recorded after July 31, 2005."
- Page ..., after line ..., insert:
- "Sec. Minnesota Statutes 2004, section 287.20, is
- 17 amended by adding a subdivision to read:
- 18 Subd. 3a. [DESIGNATED TRANSFER.] "Designated transfer"
- 19 means any of the following:
- 20 (1) a transfer between (i) an entity owned by a sole owner,
- 21 and (ii) that sole owner;
- 22 (2) a transfer between (i) an entity in which a husband, a
- 23 wife, or both are the sole owners, and (ii) the husband, wife,
- 24 or both;
- 25 (3) a transfer between (i) an entity with multiple
- 26 co-owners, and (ii) all of the co-owners, so long as each of the
- 27 co-owners maintains the same percentage ownership interest in
- 28 the transferred real property, whether directly or through
- 29 ownership of a percentage of the entity;
- 30 (4) a transfer between (i) a revocable trust, and (ii) the
- 31 grantor or grantors of the revocable trust; or
- 32 (5) a transfer of substantially all of the assets of one or
- 33 more entities pursuant to a reorganization, as defined in
- 34 section 287.20, subdivision 9.
- 35 For purposes of this definition of designated transfer, an
- 36 interest in an entity that is owned, directly or indirectly, by

- 1 or for another entity shall be considered as being owned
- 2 proportionately by or for the owners of the other entity under
- 3 provisions similar to those of section 267(c)(1) and (5) of the
- 4 Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended through December 31,
- 5 2004.
- 6 [EFFECTIVE DATE.] This section is effective August 1, 2005."
- 7 Page ..., after line ..., insert:
- 8 "Sec. Minnesota Statutes 2004, section 287.20,
- 9 subdivision 9, is amended to read:
- 10 Subd. 9. [REORGANIZATION.] "Reorganization" means the
- 11 transfer of substantially all of the assets of a corporation, a
- 12 limited liability company, or a partnership not in the usual or
- 13 regular course of business if at the time of the transfer the
- 14 transfer qualifies as: (i) a corporate reorganization under
- 15 section 368(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended
- 16 through December 31, 2000 2004; or (ii) a transfer pursuant-to
- 17 the from a partnership to another partnership when the
- 18 transferee is treated as a continuation of an-existing
- 19 partnership the transferor under section 708 of the Internal
- 20 Revenue Code of 1986, as amended through December 31, 2000 2004.
- 21 [EFFECTIVE DATE.] This section is effective the day
- 22 following final enactment."
- Page ..., after line ..., insert:
- "Sec. Minnesota Statutes 2004, section 287.21,
- 25 subdivision 1, is amended to read:
- Subdivision 1. [DETERMINATION OF TAX.] (a) A tax is
- 27 imposed on each deed or instrument by which any real property in
- 28 this state is granted, assigned, transferred, or otherwise
- 29 conveyed. The tax applies against the net consideration. For
- 30 purposes of the tax, the conversion of a corporation to a
- 31 limited liability company, a limited liability company to a
- 32 corporation, a partnership to a limited partnership, a limited
- 33 partnership to another limited partnership or other entity, or a
- 34 similar conversion of one entity to another does not grant,
- 35 assign, transfer, or convey real property.
- 36 (b) The tax is determined in the following manner: (1)

- 1 when transfers are made by instruments pursuant to (i)
- 2 consolidations or mergers, consolidations, or (ii) sales, or
- 3 transfers-of-substantially-all-of-the-assets-of-the-entities-as
- 4 defined-in-section-287-207-subdivision-97-pursuant-to-plans-of
- 5 reorganization designated transfers, the tax is \$1.65; (2) when
- 6 there is no consideration or when the consideration, exclusive
- 7 of the value of any lien or encumbrance remaining thereon at the
- 8 time of sale, is \$500 or less, the tax is \$1.65; or (3) When the
- 9 consideration, exclusive of the value of any lien or encumbrance
- 10 remaining at the time of sale, exceeds \$500, the tax is .0033 of
- 11 the net consideration.
- 12 (c) If, within six months from the date of a designated
- 13 transfer, an ownership interest in the grantee entity is
- 14 transferred by an initial owner to any person or entity with the
- 15 result that the designated transfer would not have been a
- 16 designated transfer if made to the grantee entity with its
- 17 subsequent ownership, then a tax is imposed at .0033 of the net
- 18 consideration for the designated transfer. If the subsequent
- 19 transfers of ownership interests were reasonably expected at the
- 20 time of the designated transfer, the applicable penalty under
- 21 section 287.31, subdivision 1, must be paid. The deed tax
- 22 imposed under this paragraph is due within 30 days of the
- 23 subsequent transfer that caused the tax to be imposed under this
- 24 paragraph. Involuntary transfers of ownership shall not be
- 25 considered transfers of ownership under this paragraph. The
- 26 commissioner may adopt rules defining the types of transfers to
- 27 be considered involuntary.
- 28 (d) The tax is due at the time a taxable deed or instrument
- 29 is presented for recording, except as provided in paragraph
- 30 (c). The commissioner may require the tax to be documented in a
- 31 manner prescribed by the commissioner, and may require that the
- 32 documentation be attached to and recorded as part of the deed or
- 33 instrument. The county recorder or registrar of titles shall
- 34 accept the attachment for recording as part of the deed or
- instrument and may not require, as a condition of recording a
- 36 deed or instrument, evidence that a transfer is a designated

- 1 transfer in addition to that required by the commissioner. Such
- 2 an attachment shall not, however, provide actual or constructive
- 3 notice of the information contained therein for purposes of
- 4 determining any interest in the real property. The commissioner
- 5 shall prescribe the manner in which the tax due under paragraph
- 6 (c) is to be paid and may require grantees of designated
- 7 transfers to file with the commissioner subsequent statements
- 8 verifying that the tax provided under paragraph (c) does not
- 9 apply.
- 10 [EFFECTIVE DATE.] This section is effective for deeds that
- 11 are both executed and recorded after July 31, 2005."

Schotton Army

1 moves to amend .. F. No., as follows: Page .., after line .., insert: 2 3 "Sec. ... Minnesota Statutes 2004, section 272.02, is amended by adding a subdivision to read: 4 5 Subd. 68. [ELDERLY LIVING FACILITY.] An elderly living facility is exempt from taxation if it meets all of the 6 7 following requirements: (1) the facility is located in a city of the first class 8 with a population of more than 350,000; 9 10 (2) the facility is owned and operated by a nonprofit 11 organization; (3) the facility consists of 157 living units; 12 (4) the facility is located across the street from a public 13 park and a community college; 14 15 (5) the residents of the facility must be at least 62 years of age; 16 (6) the facility provides the residents with social and 17 18 physical support; and (7) the residents of the facility meet the income test for 19 qualified low-income housing projects under 42(g) of the 20 Internal Revenue Code. 21 22 Provided that the property continues to meet all of the 23 above criteria, the property is exempt for the term of the

facility's financing, as of May 1, 2005, or January 1, 2030,

24

1 whichever is later."

COMPEDENCE

					HOUSE	HF 785		SE	NATE HF 785 U	nofficial Engros	sment	GOV		CONFERENCE		
HF House Au	hor SF Senat	Lin e Author #	e Changes to General Fund Tax Revenue (dollars in thousands)	House FY2006-07	Change from Base FY2006-07	House FY2008-09	Change from Base FY2008-09	Senate FY2006-07	Change from Base FY2006-07	Senate FY2008-09	Change from Base FY2008-09	Change from Base FY2006-07	CONF FY2006-07	Change from Base FY2006-07	CONF FY2008-09	Change from Base FY2008-09
-			TAX REVENUE					Andrew and a first		11000000000000						
			Income Tax													
			Income tax provisions with interactions					-		miestramentes per la contraction	eransan memerantan internasiona					
			Tax rates Raise third rate to 8% & add new 10.65% bracket					1,044,500	1,044,500	1,042,400	1,042,400		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
0711 Abrams	0461 Rest	3	AMT exemptions & phaseouts <u>House</u> : increase exemption to \$42K in 2005, \$45K in 2006, \$50K in 2007, then index for inflation; <u>Senate</u> : increase exemption to \$66.3K and start of exemption phaseout to \$248.6K eff. 2005, index both for inflation starting 2007	(15,000)	(15,000)	(39,800)	(39,800)	(45,000)	(45,000)	(60,500)	(60,500)					
.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	······		AMT Add a dependent exemption					(23,100)	(23,100)	(29,700)	(29,700)					
0711 Abrams	0461 Rest		AMT full deduction for charitable contributions House: eff. 2006; Senate; eff. 2005	(3,300)	(3,300)	(8,100)	(8,100)	(6,300)	(6,300)	(8,100)	(8,100)					
			Interaction effects (Senate includes interaction with increase in married joint standard	1,100	1,100	4,100	4,100	(18,500)	(18,500)	(13,900)	(13,900)					
			deduction)						anaiparia na marinina ani	animonomiono, in	minimizero mangina manang					
447 (447) 447 (544) 447 (447) 447 (447)	d films - Naga films films films films films films		Other income tax provisions		``````````````````````````````````````											
			Subtract expenses related to organ donation (up to \$10,000) Only			.,,		and an an an an an an a					,			
0020 Paulsen	0322 Scheid	9	House allows subtraction for parent's lost wages if organ donor is a denendent	(213)	(213)	(235)	(235)	(209)	(209)	(230)	(230)					
0682 Severson	0734 Vicker	man 10	Exempt National Guard pay for active service in Minnesota	(84)	(84)	(84)	(84)	(84)	(84)	(84)	(84)	(84)			, incommentation	
2004 tax b			Military pay Subtract active duty military service out of state	(1,930)	(1,930)	(1,970)		(1,930)	(1,930)	(1,970)	(1,970)					
1098 Clark	254 Berglii			(1,530)	(1,230)	(1,270)	(1,570)		naminamany ny namana je		angreenizarana margarita manga					
2305 Eken	2182 Skoe	12	Tax deferred wages earned in MN & paid to nonresident (Benda)	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	**********************		,	\$4,100	\$4,100	\$4,600	\$4,600				,	,,,
0389 Vandeveer 1054 Knoblach	0700 Bachm 0558 Ortma		K-12 Credit <u>House</u> : Starting 2005 repeal family cap & repeal need to allocate costs to specific child; starting in 2006, start phaseout at 185% of median family income; <u>Senate</u> : Repeal family cap (2006)	(3,445)	(3,445)	(6,100)	(6,100)	(450)	(450)	(960)	(960)					
2361 Knoblach		14	Working family credit subtract earned income of lower-earning spouse in calculating phaseout (eff. 1/1/06)	(5,800)	(5,800)	(12,500)	(12,500)									
2361 Knoblach		15	Dependent care credit subtract earned income of lower-earning spouse in calculating phaseout (eff. 1/1/06)	(3,300)	(3,300)	(6,000)	(6,000)									
GOV		¥ 16	Long-term care insurance credit eliminate deduction offset	(630)	(630)	(760)	(760)				manananan andaran andaran andaran	(630)				
0719 Urdahl	0516 Sams	17	10% investment credit for dairy farms <u>House</u> & <u>Senate</u> have different annual caps on total credit	(1,900)	(1,900)	(2,000)	(2,000)	(2,900)	(2,900)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(2,900)				
1369 Thissen 1640 Magnus	1932 Anders 1564 Bakk	on 18	25% investment credit for regional angel investment funds					(10,000)	(10,000)	0	0	(10,000)				
1731 Lanning	1659 Pogem	iller 19	10% income tax credit for historic structures rehabilitation			,		(3,840)	(3,840)	(5,520)	(5,520)					
GOV			Require quarterly withholding for nonres partnerships & S-corps	14,050	14,050	1,950	1,950	14,050	14,050	1,950	1,950	14,050	14,050	14,050	1,950	1,950
***************************************		. * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Peguire 20% withholding on payments to self employed construction				nunununununundnimin	- mirministration of the second	4.000		4.000	4,000	4,000	4.000	4,000	4,000
GOV .		A 21	subcontractors					4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
				*************************	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				amahansasaranananan		ining pagagan da ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang an					
		23	Federal Conformity (income tax and corporate franchise tax)								minimumanananananan				,	
0682 Severson	0734 Vicken	24	Military Family Tax Relief Act of 2003	(1,010)	(1,010)	(690)	(690)	(1,010)	(1,010)	(690)	(690)	(1,010)				'
0943 Lanning 0002 Bradley 0009 Wilkin	1008 Wergir 0376 Fischb 0099 LeClai	ı	Medicare Act of 2003 Health Savings Accounts only	(8,200)	(8,200)	(7,000)	(7,000)				and the second s	(8,200)	(8,200)	(8,200)	(7,000)	(7,000)
0135 Knoblach	0194 Reiter		Medicare Act of 2003 Exclude fed subsidies to employers	(0,200)	(-,)				manamanda manamana d			(9,700)				
Charles and Charle	an finan finan finan finan finan finan finan in		Working Families Tax Relief Act of 2004 Conform to higher federal		***************************************			ranananana Garianana	anna ann an a		ejanamiyan ijalarin ingiliyi					
1789 Abrams 1906 Simon		27	standard deduction for married joint (<u>House</u> : 2005 & 2006 only; <u>Senate</u> all tax years 2005-2008)	(56,400)	(56,400)	0	0	(65,200)	(65,200)	(22,400)	(22,400)					
0770 Abeler 0780 Ruud	0456 Jungba 0397 Kelley	uer 28/	Working Families Tax Relief Act of 2005 Deduction for \$250 teacher	(2,400)	(2,400)	0	0	(2,400)	(2,400)	0	0	(2,400)				
		281	Working Families Tax Relief Act of 2005 Other provisions (Conference: Only includes combat pay for WFC)	(1,650)	(1,650)	(245)	(245)	(1,650)	(1,650)	(245)	(245)	(1,650)				
GOV		29	American Job Creation Act of 2004 (selected items)	20,765	20,765	37,085	37,085	20,765	20,765	37,085	37,085	20,765	20,765	20,765	37,085	37,085
		30	Public Law 109-7 Exempt disaster mitigation grants	(30)	(30)	(35)	(35)				aradis diradis adalah diradis adalah ada					
as the start has the start as the start as		31	Subtotal: Federal Conformity	(48,925)	(48,925)	29,115	29,115	(49,495)	(49,495)	13,750	13,750	(2,195)	12,565	12,565	30,085	30,085

		HOUSE HF 785					NATE HF 785 U	nofficial Engros	sment	GOV CONFERENCE				
. L	ine Changes to General Fund Tax Revenue (dollars in thousands)	House FY2006-07	Change from Base FY2006-07	House FY2008-09	Change from Base FY2008-09	Senate FY2006-07	Change from Base FY2006-07	Senate FY2008-09	Change from Base FY2008-09	Change from Base FY2006-07	CONF FY2006-07	Change from Base FY2006-07	CONF FY2008-09	Change from Base FY2008-09
	32 Income Tax Impact of Changes in Property Tax Levies	F 12000-07	F 1 2000-07	F.1.2008-03	1 1 2000-05	F 1 2000-07	F12000-07	F 1 2000-03	F 1 2 0 0 3 - 0 3	F12000-07	F 1 2000-07	F12000-07	F12000-09	F 1 2000-07
میں	Change in income tax revenue due to state rate freeze for C/I and local property tax freeze: Senate only.		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(3,400)	(3,400)	27,700	27,700		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	sandinsansansansansansansansa
0872 Sykora	Change in income tax revenue due to property tax increases (House: K- 12 bill property tax change)	(4,880)	(4,880)	(17,630)	(17,630)		any sign in sing and sing sing sing sing sing sing sing sing		and the state an		(6,100)	(6,100)	(21,900)	(21,900)
	35 Corporate Franchise Tax			***************************************		~~~~								
	Phase in single sales apportionment over 3 years (78% in 2007, 95% in						- manamanani manani							***************************************
0705 Lenczewski 0760 Abrams 0645 Rest 1387 Hornstein 1082 Pogemiller	2008, 100% in 2009 and after) FOC definition change, limit deemed dividend deduction, repeal royalty	(2,300)	(2,300)	(72,000)	(72,000)		minimum minimum.		umanan an an an an an an an an	(2,300)	(2,300)	(2,300)	(27,000)	(27,000)
1387 Hornstein 1082 Pogemiller 2305 Eken 2182 Skoe	subtraction. (Governor: FOC definition only)					230,600	230,600	199,500	199,500	3,100	3,100	3,100	1,400	1,400
	38 Disallow deduction for corporate fines and fees					150	150	150	150			***************************************		
	39 Replace transit pass credit with refunds (to include nonprofits)					(1,400)	(1,400)	(2,400)	(2,400)					
	40 Car sharing expense credit			······································		(90)	(90)	(320)	(320)					
	41 Credit for corporate contributions to K-12 scholarship funds								nacian has a share as me has me	(3,500)				
	42 43 SUBTOTAL: Income and Corporate Franchise Taxes	(76,557)	(76,557)	(132,014)	(132,014)	1,130,702	1,130,702	1,162,866	1,162,866	(459)	25,315	25,315	(11,465)	(11,465)
	44								,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		, , , , , , , , , , ,			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	45 Sales and Use Tax							amaisansin arangan	arang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang					·····
GOV	Require up-front payment of sales tax on leased vehicles (10/1/05) House under 11,000 lbs; Senate & Gov under \$10,000 lbs	39,390	39,390	6,330	6,330	.38,670	38,670	6,224	6,224	38,670	38,670	38,670	6,224	6,224
GOV	Rental vehicles tax rate Repeal 12/31/05 expiration date for special 12.7% sales tax rate	18,590	18,590	29,700	29,700	18,590	18,590	29,700	29,700	18,590	18,590	18,590	29,700	29,700
GOV 4	8A Collect cigarette sales tax at wholesale (House \$0.20/pack, Senate \$0.21/pack; CONF \$0.255/pack) payment shift	5,400	5,400	0	0	5,700	5,700	0	0	5,800	6,900	6,900	0	0
GOV 4	8B increase in tax					1,540	1,540	0	0					
	SC compliance impact	4,950	4,950	5,400	5,400	4,950	4,950	5,400	5,400	4,950	6,310	6,310	6,310	6,310
	49 Require state venders to collect sales tax	3,410	3,410	8,710	8,710	3,410	3,410	8,710	8,710	3,410	3,410	3,410	8,710	8,710
GOV	Define industrial production to exclude gas pipelines	20,830	20,830	21,430	21,430	20,830	20,830	21,430	21,430	20,830	20,830	20,830	21,430	21,430
1807 Nelson, P. 1735 Tomassoni	Provide upfront capital equipment exemption for small manufacturers (ceff. 1/1/06)	(4,940)	(4,940)	(2,690)	(2,690)	0	0	0	0					
	Ready-to-eat meats & seafood Repeal 12/31/05 expiration date for exemption	(1,120)	(1,120)	(1,655)	(1,655)	(1,120)	(1,120)	(1,655)	(1,655)			******************************		
	Exempt artistic events at MNSCU & private colleges	(137)	(137)	(142)	(142) (65)	0		0	(65)					
	Exempt certain solar energy systems (eff. 8/1/05)	(90)	(90)	(65)	(280)	(90)	(90)	(65)	(65)					
	55 Exempt milk sold in vending machines	(264)	(264)	(280)	(280)									
	Exempt geothermal equipment		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			(2,000)	(2,000)	(2,300)	(2,300)				.,,	
	Exempt pellet burning biomass fuel stoves					(640)	(640)	(740)	(740)	*************************				r
	Reverse Sprint case: tax telecom. wire, fiber, poles, conduit					3,060	3,060	3,310	3,310					,
	Tax event souvenir clothing					1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700					j
	Exempt certain inputs for movie & TV production					(940)	(940)	(490)	(490)					I
	Exempt state & local government fuel-efficient vehicles					(34)	(34)	(20)	(20)					1
0498 Knoblach 0529 Kleis (Exempt inputs for public safety radio systems (capped total)					(3,050)	(3,050)	(1,750)	(1,750)					1
	Exempt diesel fuel for commuter rail					(20)	(20)	0	0					1
(Catholic Charities food service exemption					(66)	(66)	(16)	(16)					1
	55 Construction materials exemptions											,,,,		
173 Olson 0117 Solon 6	Personal Rapid Transit (PRT)	0	0	(200)	(200)	0	0	(200)	(200)					i
2323 Bernardy 0268 Betzold (Commuter rail [NEW ESTIMATE 7/7/05]	***************************************				(1,000)	(1,000)	(7,200)	(7,200)					
843 Demmer 0719 Kiscaden 6	Waste recovery facilities		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			men, mininterior in instru	amanamanantahiningta		um,mummmumsäilimmäh					
	Olmstead County					(745)	(745)	(881)	(881)					l
** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** ****	70 Red Wing				.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(70)	(70)	(13)	(13)					
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	71 Minneapolis					(260)	(260)	0	0					
	72 St. Mary's Hospital (Duluth)					(138)	(138)	0	0					
	73 Turkey litter biomass facility					(800)	(800)	0	0					
1206 Rukavina 1190 Tomassoni	Laurentian Energy biomass facility	I		ļ	11	(434)	(434)	0	0					i

			Secretary and the secretary an	HOUSE	HF 785	DOMESTIC CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF T	SE	NATE HF 785 U	nofficial Engross		GOV	m .	CONFERENCE		
HF House Author SF Senate Author	Line or #	Changes to General Fund Tax Revenue (dollars in thousands)	House FY2006-07	Change from Base FY2006-07	House FY2008-09	Change from Base FY2008-09	Senate . FY2006-07	Change from Base FY2006-07	Senate FY2008-09	Change from Base FY2008-09	Change from Base FY2006-07	CONF FY2006-07	Change from Base FY2006-07	CONF FY2008-09	Change from Base FY2008-09
		Sales Tax construction materials exemptions (cont'd)	:							of Ares.					
	75	Thief River Falls arena (retroactive)					(350)	(350)	0	0					ſ
3 Ellison 2163 Pogemiller	76	Crown Hydro (Minneapolis)		*************************		***************************************	(165)	(165)	0	0					[
7 Davids 0848 Kierlin	77													,,,,	
		Chatfield wastewater treatment plant		·			(160)	(160)							
8 Abrams 2260 Rest	70	Streamlined Sales Tax provisions Exempt all nonprescription drugs	(15 670)	(15 570)	(17 300)	(17 200)	(15.570)	(16 670)	(17.200)	(17 200)		(15.570)	715 570)	(18 200)	(17.2
			(15,570)	(15,570)	(17,300)	(17,300)	(15,570)	(15,570)	(17,300)	(17,300)		(15,570)	(15,570)	(17,300)	(17,3
	81	Exempt all mobility enhancing medical devices; exempt all other durable medical equipment only if for home use	16,260	16,260	18,530	18,530	16,260	16,260	18,530	18,530		16,260	16,260	18,530	18,5
	82	Increased tax revenue from voluntary payments	40,630	40,630	110,410	110,410	40,630	40,630	110,410	110,410		40,630	40,630	<u>110,410</u>	110,4
	83	Subtotal: Streamlined Sales Tax provisions	41,320	41,320	111,640	111,640	41,320	41,320	111,640	111,640		41,320	41,320	111,640	111,6
	84	SUBTOTAL: Sales Tax	127,339	127,339	178,178	178,178	127,688	127,688	172,784	172,784	92,250	136,030	136,030	184,014	184,0
	86	Other General Fund Taxes						in the second							
GOV		Alcoholic beverages Enact 2.5% gross receipts tax (eff. 1/1/06, when sales tax rate on alcohol falls from 9% to 6.5%)	83,950	83,950	125,100	125,100	83,950	83,950	125,100	125,100	83,950	83,950	83,950	125,100	125,10
4 Abrams 0401 Moua	00	Life insurance Reduce premiums tax to 1.5% (<u>House</u> : eff. 1/1/08; Senate: eff. 1/1/06; GOV: phase in over 4 years)	0	0	(12,600)	(12,600)	(11,700)	(11,700)	(17,700)	(17,700)	(4,500)	(4,500)	(4,500)	(13,700)	(13,7
Abrams 0401 Moua		Insurance 1.26% rate if sell both property & casualty (CUNA)			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		330	330	500	500					<i>[</i>
GOV ·		Insurance Subject stop-loss policies to premiums tax eff. 1/1/06	5,100	5,100	9,900	9,900	5,100	5,100	9,900	9,900	5,100	5,100	5,100	9,900	9,9
The the test to the test of the test to the test to the test of the test to the test to the test of th		Statewide property tax Freeze tax rate at (higher) 2002 rate on C/I					196,700	196,700	377,000	377,000					/ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4 Hausman 1002 Anderson		Statewide property tax Abatement (Great River School, St. Paul)	(15)	(15)	0	0	(15)	(15)	0	0		(15)	(15)	0	1
	93	Statewide property tax Delays 1st half payment for seasonal rec		m.m.m.m.m.m.m.m			(925)	(925)	925	925					ſ
2004 tax bill	94	Estate taxQualified Terminal Interest Property (QTIP) (eff 1/1/07)	0	0	(10,100)	(10,100)				. 540 - 40 - 40 - 40 - 40 - 40 - 40 - 40				.,	1
GOV		Allow liquor tax quarterly or annually payment if liability is small	(25)	(25)	0	0	(25)	(25)	0	0	(25)	(25)	(25)	0	1
GOV	96	Base fur tax quarterly payments on actual quarterly revenues	(25)	(25)	0	0	(25)	(25)	0	0	(25)	(25)	(25)	0	Ĺ
7 Brod 1024 Rosen 7 Peppin 1024 Rosen	97	Bioscience Zone allow carryover of 2004-05 unspent balance	(100)	(100)	0	0					(1,000)				
Magnus 1560 Bakk Seifert 2162 Frederickson	98	JOBZ Exempt airplanes & aerial camera equip. from sales tax	(670)	(670)	(160)	(160)									i
Abrams Rest	99]	International Trade Zone (*in Public Finance bill)		0	(2,800)	(2,800)	(700)	(700)	(2,100)	(2,100)	(700)				
en til en ti En en til en	101	SUBTOTAL: Other Tax Provisions	88,215	88,215	109,340	109,340	272,690	272,690	493,625	493,625	82,800	84,485	84,485	121,300	121,3
		GENERAL FUND TAX REVENUE	138,997	138,997	155,504	155,504	1,531,080	1,531,080	1,829,275	1,829,275	174,591	245,830	245,830	293,849	293,8
	104									na najawa na sa					1
40 The City City City City City City City City	105	NON-TAX REVENUE						1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1						i
		Cigarette fee at 75 cents per pack (eff. 8/1/05)										367,199	367,199	370,066	370,0
	105B	Other tobacco products tax from 35% to 90% >> 7090										31,124 38,307	_38, 307	42,799	42,7
) Lenczewski		Card club franchise fee (15% of gross revenue)	8,440	8,440	8,620	8,620				nunanan ing ananana		2110	teraction		
ور ووي ووي ووي ووي ووي ووي ووي ووي ووي و		Tax Shelter Compliance	40,240	40,240	9,440	9,440	62,560	62,560	6,990	6,990		56,800	56,800	6,000	6,0
	108	Transfer from Tax Relief Account to General Fund	3,408	3,408	0	0						20,000	20,000	. 0	
et anther than than the disputation than the state and say than the	·····-	GENERAL FUND NON-TAX REVENUE	52,088	52,088	18,060	18,060	62,560	62,560	6,990	6,990	0	482,306	482,306	418,865	418,8
	110	GENERAL FUND TOTAL REVENUE (Tax & Non-Tax)	191,085	191,085	173,564	173,564	1,593,640	1,593,640	1,836,265	1,836,265	174,591	728,136	728,136	712,714	712,7

Negative numbers represent a cost to the state and positive numbers represent a gain to the general fund

Total without cig & tobacco, SSTP, transfer from Tax Relief Account, and enacted provisions:

261,360

>40

			_			HOUSE	HF 785		SE	NATE HF 785 U	nofficial Engros	sment	GOV		CONFERENCE		
			Γ	Changes to General Fund Expenditures		Change from		Change from		Change from	Senate	Change from	Change from		Change from		Change from
HF House Author	· CF Con	ate Author	Line #	(dollars in thousands)	House FY2006-07	Base FY2006-07	House FY2008-09	Base FY2008-09	Senate FY2006-07	Base FY2006-07	FY2008-09	Base FY2008-09	Base FY2006-07	CONF FY2006-07	Base FY2006-07	CONF FY2008-09	Base FY2008-09
THE TRUBE FRANCE	BI BUI	ate Audioi		(base in regular font, change items in italics)													
			113	REFUNDS													
				Regular Homeowner Refund (base)	\$350,000	50	\$404,000	\$0	\$350,000	50	\$404,000	\$0	\$0	\$350,000	\$0	\$404,000	S(
************************	0315 Day	*************		Utility personal property tax exemption - Faribault	0		26	26		0	26	26	0	0	0	26	20
	1784 Mur			Utility personal property tax exemption - Cannon Falls	0	0	160	160	0		160	160	0	0	0	160	160
0600 Beard	0634 Rob	ling		Utility personal property tax exemption - Shakopee	0	0	70	70			70	70	0	0	0	70	76
1691 Westrom	1465 Kub	ly	118	Utility personal property tax exemption poultry litter biomass facility - Benson (extension)	0	0	30	30	0	0	30	30	0	0	0	30	36
				Utility personal property tax exemption biomass facility - Mpls		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			0	0	15	15					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
1499 Simpson	1476 Sam	s		LMV for small resorts 1c. <u>House</u> : 30% of '03 value or 40% of difference between '03 & '05 value. <u>Senate</u> : 15%, 25%	9	9	18	18	120	120	240	240					
				Class 1c resorts. House: reduces 1st tier class rate to .55% up to		***************************************									***************************************		
0972 Howes 1509 Moe	0779 Skoe	2		\$300k, next \$1.5 mil is 1%. Senate: reduces 1st tier class rate to .55%	31	31	62	62	42	42	84	84	0				
1509 Moe			1	up to \$600k, up to \$1.6 mil at 1% (both eliminate box)													
1908 Vandeveer	2196 Bela	inger		4d. <u>House</u> ; reduces class rate to 1.0%. <u>Senate</u> ; reduces class rate to 55% and market value based on restricted use	420	420	870	870	0	0	2,720	2,720	0				
1514 Heidgerken	1931 Fisch	hbach		Sauk River Watershed Levy . <u>House</u> : \$100k increase. <u>Senate</u> : 0.01% of market value increase (*Public Finance bill)	0	0	0	0	7	7	20	20		7	7	20	20
2407 Abrams	1366 Poge	emiller		Met Council Bus Bonds - \$64 mil (*Public Finance bill)	0	0	131	131	0	0	131	131		0	0	131	13.
0169 Rukavina	0417 Murj	phy		Property tax exclusion for disabled veterans or spouse (up to \$200,000 of market value is excluded from taxation)	(1,020)	(1,020)	(2,200)	(2,200)	0	0	0	0					
2284 Nomes	2258 Lars	on	126	Increases the first tier of market value from \$32,000 to \$50,000 for disabled homesteads (class 1b)	(16)	(16)	(32)	(32)	0	0	0	0	0				
1640 Magnus	1564 Bakl			Repeals property tax exemption for Biotech Zones	(14)	(14)	(110)	(110)	0	0	0	0					
0872 Sykora	er't naarthaart van thaartaan tha		128	Education Finance Bill increase in K-12 levies - PTR increase	2,800	2,800	9,100	9,100	0	0	0	Ő	3,695	3,695	3,695	11,125	11,12
	2214 Skoe	2	129	Increases first tier of market value for Ag hmstd to \$750,000	225	225	450	450			0	0					
0785 Krinkie	0318 Poge	miller	130	Property Tax Levy Freeze (beginning in pay 07 & after)		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			0	0	(59,210)	(59,210)					
************************				Regular Renter Refund (base)	302,100	0	308,100	(101.000)	302,100	0	308,100	0	0	302,100	0	308,100	
		, , , , , ,		Percent of Rent based on actual property taxes	(66,400)	(66,400) 0	(123,800) 23,980	(123,800) 0	13,860		23,980	0	(30,400)	13,860		23,980	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
				Targeting Refund Sustainable Forest Land Credits	13,860 4,073		4,172		4,073		4,172		l	4,073		4,172	
			135	Subtotal - Property Tax Refunds	606,068	(63,965)	625,027	(115,225)	670,202	169	684,538	(55,714)	(26,705)	673,735	3,702	751,814	11,56
Frank			136												······································		
0890 Vandeveer	M. 2000. 2000. 2000. 2000. 2000. 2000. 2000. 2000. 2000. 2000. 2000. 2000. 2000. 2000. 2000. 2000. 2000. 2000.		137	Limited Market Value(LMV) . <u>House</u> : all property incl.,delays sunset 2	(31)	(31)	(7,803)	(7,803)	29	29	(7,743)	(7,743)					
a				vrs. <u>Senate</u> : Makes LMV permanent at 2005 level (&1c)	(31)	(31)	(7,003)	(7,003)	roitmaspionimum minimum.		(7,743)	(/5/43)			***************************************		
			138					(0.000)					(10 500)	11 000		0.000	
0660 GOV				Repeals Political Contribution Refund	500	(10,500)	30,000	(9,900)	11,000 30,000		9,900 30,000	0	(10,500)	11,000 30,000		9,900 30.000	
			140	Tax Refund Interest	30,000		30,000		30,000	V.	30,000		<u></u>	30,000		30,000	
				LOCAL AIDS					distriction designation of the	arture de la comparta de la compart	eraturumumaninini	***************************************	***************************************				
		*************	143	Local Government Aid (LGA base)	873,276	0	873,116	0	873,276	0	873,116	0	0	873,276		873,116	
				LGA. House: About 90% unmet for all cities; 1/2 cent sales tax													
				authority for 1st class cities offset in LGA formula. <u>Senate</u> : White Bear Lake in FY06, increases LGA funding in FY07 & after	(17,500)	(17,500)	0	0	86,105	86,105	188,000	188,000		45,105	45,105	90,000	90,00
الرزيمين معار معن مدر معن مدر مدر مدر مدر مدر				County Program Aid (CPA base)	409,572	0	409,572	0	409,572	0	409,572		0	409,572		409,572	
Vandeveer	Betz	old	146	Transfer to County Aid from Courts (Washington & Anoka)	199	199	266	266	199	199	266	266		199	199	266	26
Vandeveer	Betz		147	Reduction to District Court base budget	(199)	(199)		(266)	(199)	(199)	(266)	(266)		(199)	(199)	(266)	(26)
Authoritation of the state of t	non non contraction		140	Appropriation to County Chemical Dependency Treatment Fund (CDTF) for County MOE Reduction - (DHS)				1299	3,220	3,220	5,689	5,689	-		J		
				Fund (CDTF) for County MOE Reduction - (DHS) Restores County Criminal Justice Aid for FY06-07 only					30,000	30,000							

		HOUSE HF 785						fficial Engrossment			CONFERENCE	FERENCE	
	Changes to General Fund Expenditures	House Base	House	Change from Base FY2008-09	Senate FY2006-07	Change from Base FY2006-07	Senate FY2008-09	Change from Base FY2008-09	Change from Base FY2006-07	CONF FY2006-07	Change from Base FY2006-07	CONF FY2008-09	Change from Base
HF House Author SF Senate Author	# (dollars in thousands)	FY2006-07 FY2006-)7 FY2008-09	FY2008-09	FY2006-07	F Y 2006-07	FY2008-09	F Y 2008-09	FY2006-07	FY2006-07	FY2006-07	FY2008-09	FY2008-09
	LOCAL AIDS (continued)												
	50 County Transition Aid	2,320	0 464	0	2,320	0	464	0	0	2,320		464	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	51 Public Defender Cost	1,000	0 1,000	0		0	1,000	0	0	1,000	***************************************	1,000	***************************************
1	52 Local Impact Note Cost (DOF, MDE)	428	0 428	0	428	0	428	Ö		428		428	
1	53 Court Takeover Aid	3,135	0 0	0	3,135	0	0	0	0	3,135		0	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
1	54 Court MOE Aid	1,506	0 0	0	1,506	0	0	0	0	1,506		0	
1	55												
	56 Disparity Reduction Aid (DRA) School	17,390	0 17,472	0	17,390	0	17,472	0	0	17,390		17,472	
1	57 Disparity Reduction Aid (DRA) Non-School	21,962	0 21,962	<u>0</u>	21,962	0	21,962	0	0	21,962	*	21,962	
	58 Subtotal - DRA	39,352	0 39,434	0	39,352	0	39,434	0		39,352		39,434	
	59				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			menimentum in inverge					
	60 Indian Casino Aid	1,370	0 1,370			0	1,370	0	J	1,370		1,370	
	61 Repeals JOBZ Aid			(215)	0	0	215					215	
*	62				· aranamananananana	e manamananananananananananananananananan	a ariamana ariang ariang ar	ana pananganana ana manangan					
	63 PROPERTY TAX CREDITS										***************************************		
	64 Homestead Market Value Credit School	119,565	0 112,182	0	119,565	0	112,182		1	119,565		112,182	
	65 Homestead Market Value Credit Non-School (base)	479,720	0 451,452	0	479,720	0	451,452		0.7.7.00	479,720		451,452	
	66 Extends reductions to MV credit for cities in FY06-07		300) 0	0	(35,300)	(35,300)	e eresietsiersegerierturesiersiertu	0	(35,300)				
	67 Change MV credit calculation for fractional hmstds	(500)	500) (1,000)	(1,000)	(500)	(500)	(1,000)	(1,000)	(500)	(500)	(500)	(1,000)	(1,000
	68 Market Value Credit Savings from .7 Eff. Tax Rate (ETR)	<u> </u>	0 0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	(2,880)	<u>(2,880)</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u>0</u>	
	69 Subtotal - Hmstd Market Value Credit	563,485 (35	800) 562,634	(1,000	563,485	(35,800)	559,754	(3,880)	(35,800)	598,785	(500)	562,634	(1,000
	70	10.055	0 10,046	1	10,075		10,046	ware and the second		10,075		10,046	
Taranamananamanamanamanamanamanamanamanam	71 Agricultural Market Value Credit School 72 Agricultural Market Value Credit Non-School	10,075 37,382	0 10,046	ļ	37,382	ļ	37,382		<u>-</u>	37,382			
	72 Agricultural Market Value Credit Non-School 73 Subtotal - Agricultural Market Value Credit	37,382 47,457	0 37,382 47,428	<u>Z</u>	37,382 47,457	<u> </u>	47,428		1	37,38 <u>2</u> 47,457		37,382 47,428	
	74 Subtotal - Agricultural Market Value Credit	4/,45/	4/,440	X	1		47,420		J	4/145/		47,420	
1	75 Border City Disparity Credit School	1,397	0 1,530	0	1,397	0	1,530	0	0	1,397		1,530	
	76 Border City Disparity Credit Non-School	9,207	0 10,150	0	9,207	0	10,150	0	0	9,207		10,150	
1	77 Subtotal - Border City Disparity Credit Total	10,604	0 11,680	Ō	10,604	0	11,680	0	0	10,604		11,680	
	78												
	81 Prior Year Credits	806	0 806	0	806	0	806	0	0	806		806	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	82 Wetlands Reimbursement Credit	2	0 2	0	2	0	2	0	0	2		2	***************************************
	83 Senior Property Tax Deferral Reimbursement (base)	533	0 833	0	533	0	833	0	0	533		833	
657 GOV/DOR 11	84 Include special assessments & charges for Senior Deferral	19	19 57	57	19	19	57	57	19	19	19	57	57
1													***************************************
	86 TACONITE TAX RELIEF AREA (TTRA) AIDS & CREDITS 87 Taconite Reimbursement (School)			+		0			1	1 100	***************************************		
	88 Supplemental Taconite Homestead Credit (Non-School)	1,122	0 1,122	ļ <u>.</u>	1,122	U	1,122		<u>V</u> .	1,122 10,006	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1,122 10.006	***************************************
	88 Supplemental Taconite Homestead Credit (Non-School) 89 Taconite Replacement Aid (Non-School)	10,006 16,500	0 10,006 0 16,600	ļ	10,006 16,500		10,006 16,600		ļ	16,500		16,600	
	90	10,500	10,000	ļ	10,500	ļ.,	10,000			10,500		10,000	
	PI LOCAL PENSION AIDS			 							JANJA UN JANJANJANJANJANJANJANJANJANJANJANJANJANJ		
	92 Aid to Police & Fire	175,870	0 205,560	n	175,870		205,560	~~~~~	0	175,870		205,560	
	93 Additional Amortization Aid	17,158	0 203,300	1	17,158		17,158		1	17,158		17,158	. * > 14 * 111 * 11
	94 Local Police/Fire Amortization Aid	3,854	0 3,854	1	3,854	n	3,854	N. T.	1	3,854		3,854	
	95 Redistributed Amort, Aid - TRFA	2,872	0 2,872	1	2,872		2,872	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	1 0	2,872		2,872	······
	96 Local Police/Fire Supplemental Amort. Aid	1,500	0 1,500	1	1,500	1	1,500			1,500	,,,,,	1,500	
	97 Firefighters Relief Reimbursements	1,070	0 1,070	1	1,070		1,070	·	0	1,070		1,070	***************************************
	98 Public Employees Retirement Assoc. (PERA) Aid	29,168	0 29,168	1	29,168	0	29,168		0	29,168		29,168	
	2 HOLD ZAMPO CONTROL TO THE TABLE OF THE	2/3/20		†X	 	iniminum	 		1	1		201100	

				HOUSE HF 785					nofficial Engros	sment	GOV CONFERENCE				
HF House Author SF Senate Aut	Line	Changes to General Fund Expenditures (dollars in thousands)	House FY2006-07	Change from Base FY2006-07	House FY2008-09	Change from Base FY2008-09	Senate FY2006-07	Change from Base FY2006-07	Senate FY2008-09	Change from Base FY2008-09	Change from Base FY2006-07	CONF FY2006-07	Change from Base FY2006-07	CONF FY2008-09	Change from Base FY2008-09
The state of the s		OTHER EXPENDITURES							140-4-11 Nr. 30 E.		1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -				
	200	PILT to DNR Owned Lands (Moved to DNR budget)													
	201	PILT to DOT Owned Lands	16	0	18	0	16	0	18	0	0	16		18	
169 Walker 1216 Rest	202	Taxpayer Assistance Grants	250	250	0	0	250	250	400	400	0	0			
1551 Anderson, I 0468 Saxhaug	203	isenale: \$3/acre.	42	42	87	87	295	295	614	614	0	0			
041 Lanning 0955 Langseth	<u>204</u>	Border Cities : Allocates money to Western border city enterprise zones: cities can limit to pix reduction or a shorter duration	1,500	1,500	0	0	1,500	1,500	0	0					
511 Nelson 1495 Nienow	<u>205</u>	Border Cities: Authorizes Taylors Falls border city zone powers, allocates \$100k to zone (referendum)	65	65	35	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	÷		1
	206	School Debt Service Levies (on alternative ANTC)			,		4,752	4,752	7,662	7,662		0			1
	207	Deferred Maintenance Aid @\$13.25 ppu for FY06-07					20,990	20,990	0	0		0			I
	<u>208</u>	Appropriation for Buy-Back of Education aid payment shift - (DOF)					0	0	25,000	25,000		0			
	209	Appropriation to Education Reserve Account		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			24,961	24,961	475,800	475,800		0			
	<u>210</u>	One-time Rochester appropriation for the Rochester higher education development account - (HESO)					2,000	2,000	0	0		0			
	211	One-time Duluth appropriation for environmental clean-up of Lake Superior & long-term community health care - (DTED)					320	320	0	0		0			
	212	Appropriation to Clean Water Legacy Account in the Environmental Fund					34,500	34,500	120,000	120,000		0			
	213														i
	214	Property Tax Aids and Credits, General Fund Total	\$2,834,895	(\$125,920)	\$2,885,098	(\$133,964)	\$3,134,125	\$173,310	\$3,774,947	\$755,885	(\$72,986)	\$3,009,141	\$48,326	\$3,119,681	\$100,6
	215	Expenditures above or (below) the Governor	(\$52,934)		(\$52,046)		\$246,296	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$837,803						

215 | Expenditures above or (below) the Governor | (\$52,934) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$52,034) | (\$5

TARGET:	\$2,973,829	\$13,014
 Amount over	(under) target:	\$35,312

HF House Author SF Senate Author	Line # (dollars in thousands)		House FY2006-07	Change from Base FY2006-07	House FY2008-09	Change from Base FY2008-09	Senate FY2006-07	Change from Base FY2006-07	Senate FY2008-09	Change from Base FY2008-09	Change from Base FY2006-07	CONF FY2006-07	Change from Base FY2006-07	CONF FY2008-09	Change from Base FY2008-09
	ระวงกระราชการการการการการการการการการการการการการก			.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	***************************************		mgnyhalinghagalmalaan.	anamanan kankananan		mananan pananan anapaman			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		***************************************
	216 HEALTH CARE ACCESS FUND			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	***************************************		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
GOV	217 Subject stop-loss policies to insurance premiums tax		3,100	3,100	6,000	6,000	3,100	3,100	6,000	6,000	3,100	3,100	3,100	6,000	6,000
GOV	218 Exempt TRICARE payments from MnCare Provider Tax		(3,140)	(3,140)	(3,080)	(3,080)	0	0	0	0	(3,140)				
	219 Total Health Ca	re Access Fund	(40)	(40)	2,920	2,920	3,100	3,100	6,000	6,000	(40)				
	222			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				- 45							
	223 HIGHWAY USERS TAX DISTRIBUTION FUND														***************************************
	224 Exempt state & local govt fuel-efficient vehicles from M						(21)	(21)		(12)					
aan tiisaa t	225 Require up-front payment of sales tax on leased vehicles	s (10/1/05)		0	(80)	(80)	0		(84)	(84)	0		0	(84)	(84
	Pay refunds for air ambulance fuel from State Airports F then HUTDF)	und (rather					30	30	- 30	-30	30	30	. 30	30	30
	227	Total HUTDF	0	0	. (80)	(80)	9	9	(66)	(66)	30	30	30	(54)	(54
	228														
	229 METRO AREA TRANSIT FUND				***************************************		namananan anan anan an			mananananananananan					***************************************
	230 Exempt state & local govt fuel-efficient vehicles from M						(15)	(15)	(9)	(9)					
	231 Require up-front payment of sales tax on leased vehicles	s (10/1/05)	0	0	(60)	(60)	0	0	(60)	(60)	0	0	0	(60)	(60
	232 Total Metro Are	a Transit Fund	0	0	(60)	(60)	(15)	(15)	(69)	(69)	0	0	0	(60)	(60
	233								1						
	234 GREATER MN TRANSIT FUND														
	235 Exempt state & local govt fuel-efficient vehicles from M						(2)	(2)	L	(1)					
The fire the	236 Require up-front payment of sales tax on leased vehicles		0	U	(4)	(4)	(2)	(2)	(4)	(4)	0	0	0	(4)	(4
	237 Greater MI	N Transit Fund		0.1	(4)	(4)	(2)	(2)	(5)	(5)	0	<u> </u>	0	(4)	
	238														
	239 STATE AIRPORTS FUND				************************	**************************	· meransueransaeranisaeranis	supupupupun mangan supupupun		eringing algorithm and a control					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
DOR	Exempt aviation fuel for air ambulances (pay refunds fro	om Airports					(30)	(30)	(30)	(30)	(30)	(30)	(30)	(30)	(30

Omnibus Tax Bil. AF 785 and HF 785UE (SF1209/SF1683/SF2206)

UP L. .(ED: 7/9/2005

						HOUSE HF 785			SENATE HF 785 Unofficial Engrossment				GOV		CONFERENCE			
_HF_Hot	se Author	SF	Senate Author	Line	Changes to General Fund Tax Revenue (dollars in thousands)	House FY2006-07	Change from Base FY2006-07	House FY2008-09	Change from Base FY2008-09	Senate FY2006-07	Change from Base FY2006-07	Senate FY2008-09	Change from Base FY2008-09	Change from Base FY2006-07	CONF FY2006-07	Change from Base FY2006-07	CONF FY2008-09	Change from Base FY2008-09
				241	SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS (income tax checkoffs)					7-2040704			Nigazija da cenjar					
1175 Zell	ers (0092	Kleis	242	Account for Veterans of the War on Terrorism					590	590	690	690					
0229 Nev	vnan (0946	Dille	243	Military Families Relief Account		unknown	unknown	unknown									
0526 Day 0887 Van		1236	Bachmann	244	Public Safety Officer Survivor and Memorial Account	-	unknown	unknown	unknown									
0919 Cox		195	Neuville	245	K-12 Education Account		unknown	unknown	unknown									,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
0919 Cox		195	Neuville	246	Higher Education Account		unknown	unknown	unknown									
0919 Cox	1	195	Neuville	247	Transportation Account		unknown	unknown	unknown									
0919 Cox	1	195	Neuville	248	Health Care Account		unknown	unknown	unknown		24.00							
0919 Cox		195	Neuville	249	Nursing Home Assistance Account		unknown	unknown	unknown			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						
0919 Cox	1	195	Neuville		Environmental Clean Water Account		unknown	unknown	unknown	1410 043411 413 41 41			rada jagonak yan ili ya sa					
0919 Cox	1	195	Neuville	251	Wastewater Infrastructure Account		unknown	unknown	unknown								·	

HEALTH & HOUSING ALLIANCE

PROMOTING EXCELLENCE AND INNOVATION IN OLDER ADULT SERVICES

MHHA Position on Institutions of Purely Public Charity Provision (House Article 4, Section 6)

CONCERN: The provision, as originally proposed by the Minnesota Department of Revenue (DOR), could create confusion and result in inconsistent application of the *North Star* factors in determining tax exempt status for "assisted living" facilities.

GOAL: Neither broaden nor narrow the exemption from current law and practices, but provide clarifying language to avoid confusion and unintended consequences.

BACKGROUND: Determining the property tax status of "assisted living" facilities has been extremely difficult for both assessors and providers. In 2000, the Minnesota Health & Housing Alliance worked cooperatively with the DOR and others to clarify property tax exemptions for assisted living facilities through the development of a bulletin. The bulletin outlines for assessors what activities must be present in order to satisfy each of the North Star factors used to determine exempt status as an Institution of Purely Public Charity (IPPC). Under factor 3 (whether the recipients of the "charity" are required to pay for the assistance received in whole or in part) the bulletin states that assisted living facilities must participate in government assistance programs such as Alternative Care Grants (AC), Elderly Waiver (EW), and Group Residential Housing (GRH) to satisfy that factor. The language proposed by the DOR specifically states that these types of government assistance programs are not "gifts or donations," which are required to satisfy North Star factor 2 (whether the entity involved is supported by donations and gifts in whole or in part).

We concur with the DOR that government programs should not be considered gifts or donations. However, assessors and assisted living providers are likely to be confused by a bulletin that requires participation in government assistance programs to satisfy one factor, and a statute that prohibits the consideration of government assistance programs to satisfy another factor.

SOLUTION: We support the Senate position that includes clarifying language developed by the DOR in cooperation with a broad group of older adult services organizations including the Minnesota Health & Housing Alliance, Care Providers of Minnesota and the Good Samaritan Society. "The items described in clauses (1) and (2) may, however, be considered when making other determinations related to an exemption under this subdivision, including, without limitation, for the purpose of determining whether the recipient of housing or housing services is required to pay in whole or in part for the housing."

Answer grow

May 17, 2005

Senator Larry Pogemiller State Capitol Room 235 St. Paul, Minnesota 55155

Dear Senator Pogemiller:

The University of Minnesota's Academic Health Center relies on revenue from its dedicated portion of the state's cigarette tax – currently 6.5¢. That critical revenue helps cover the cost of our health professional education and research programs. Previously, the state had used revenue from the tobacco settlement endowments to support these programs. The endowments, established in 2000, were set up to provide \$25 million annually to the Academic Health Center.

When the tobacco endowments were liquidated to balance the state budget two years ago, the state opted to turn to the cigarette tax, in lieu of general fund monies, to help cover the costs of these essential programs. The legislature, at the time, thought that cigarette sales and funding for the AHC would actually increase. Instead, cigarette sales have decreased, and so has funding for AHC programs, falling in the most recent Department of Revenue estimates to \$20.9 million for next fiscal year.

With the proposal to significantly increase the cigarette tax, cigarette sales are expected to fall further. We want to be clear that we support the reduction in smoking as a good thing – for individuals, their families, and the state. It has the unintended, and unfortunate, byproduct, however, of seriously reducing funding for medical research at the University and for educating the state's next generation of health professionals.

Maintaining the AHC's needed revenue with a cigarette tax increase of \$1 per pack requires that 9.5¢ of the tax be dedicated to the University's Academic Health Center. That's based on Department of Revenue projections that such an increase would reduce sales by another 18 percent. At 9.5¢ per pack, the AHC would receive \$25 million annually as originally intended by the state.

We urge you, as you consider raising the state's cigarette tax, to keep the University of Minnesota's Academic Health Center whole and provide \$25 million in critical funding for our education and research programs.

Sincerely,

Frank B. Cerra Senior Vice President for Health Sciences

Research Department

Thomas Todd, Director

600 State Office Building St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-1206 651-296-6753 [FAX 651-296-9887] www.house.mn/hrd/hrd.htm



Minnesota House of Representatives

June 30, 2005

TO: Representative Ron Abrams

FROM: Joel Michael, Legislative Analyst, 651-296-5057

RE: Winona's Analysis of the Feasibility of Using Abatement for the Pelzer Street Project

As you requested, this memo responds to the letter, dated June 29, 2005, from Jerry Miller, mayor of the City of Winona, on the feasibility of using an economic development abatement for the Pelzer Street bridge project, rather than extending the duration of the city's Riverfront tax increment financing district.

The city requested legislation to extend the duration of the TIF district to pay for a gap in the financing of the project. The TIF district was approved in 1980 and, absent special legislation, will decertify at the end of this year. H.F. 1698 (Pelowski) and the Senate tax bill (Article 9, section 39) would authorize the city to extend the TIF district by 15 years (until 2020).

The financing gap that the city hopes to cover with the TIF district extension is \$3.5 million. The city plans to issue bonds to cover this (as well as the basic project, I assume). The amounts of the debt service payments on the bonds, and the revenues from the TIF district and abatements by the city and county are summarized in the table below. This information is from Mr. Miller's letter.

Options to Cover \$3.5 million Gap									
Annual debt service 10-year bond issue	•	\$465,000							
Annual debt service 15-year bond issue		348,000							
Increment for payable 2005		478,625							
Abatement – city		170,260							
Abatement – county		251,164							
Abatement – combined city and county		\$421,424							

I don't know, but assume that the abatement amounts are calculated to be the city and county taxes on the amount of the captured value of the TIF district. (This was the authority that was contained in the House Public Finance bill that was dropped under the Senate amendments and thus not enacted into law.)

The city claims abatement will not work financially for two reasons:

- 1. It won't generate sufficient revenue to pay the debt service on the bonds for the \$3.5 million gap.
- 2. Winona County typically does not approve abatements. The letter cites two instances when the county has refused to fund city proposed abatements.

Sufficiency of the Revenue. With regard to the first point, the numbers provided by city suggest that a combined city-county abatement for 15 years would be sufficient to pay off bonds covering the \$3.5 million gap. As indicated in the table, the abatement would generate about \$421,000 per year, while the debt service on a \$3.5 million 15-year bond issue would be \$348,000. The city's letter implies that only a 10-year abatement is permitted by law. This is not correct. The law generally allows a 15-year duration for abatements. Minn. Stat. § 469.1813, subd. 6 (2004), as amended by 2005 Minn. Laws, ch. 152, art. 1, § 17. If the school district does not participate in the abatement, the duration can be increased to 20 years. *Id.* (Even before the 2005 legislation, a city-county abatement could have been done for a 15-year period.) Thus, it seems clear that a combined city and county abatement would generate enough revenue to cover the gap. With school district participation, 10 years likely would be sufficient.

County participation. It does appear to be clear that county participation is essential to making an abatement work financially. However, it is also clear that a TIF district extension will affect the county and its taxpayers in a manner equivalent to an abatement, if the abatement applies to the amount of tax capacity equal to the TIF district's captured tax capacity. Moreover, a special law providing for extension of the TIF district duration would require approval by both the county and school district. Minn. Stat. § 469.1782, subd. 2 (2004). If the county board is unwilling to approve the abatement, it should be equally unwilling to approve the TIF district extension since the financial and economic effects are largely indistinguishable. In the case of the TIF district extension, the county is forgoing tax base and so must impose a higher tax rate to generate revenues equal to its levy. In the case of an abatement with the TIF district added to its tax base, the county has a broader tax base and, thus, can increase its levy by the abatement levy

Section 469.1782, subdivision 2, implements this provision for TIF district extensions by designating the city, county, and school district as "affected local government units." (The policy rationale for this is that each unit is forgoing tax base through the TIF district extension, so each governmental unit should be required to approve.) The constitutional provision only allows exemptions from local approval requirements by general law. The Winona provision by its terms is a special law. Thus, in my opinion, this would not comply with the constitutional requirement to eliminate the need for local approval by the county and school district. A change would need to be made in the general law (i.e., section 469.1782, subdivision 2).

¹ In addition, a TIF district extension will capture the school district's taxes. Depending upon the levies involved this will increase the property taxes paid by school district taxpayers, decreases school revenues, or increase state aid. Analysis of the specific situation would be necessary to determine the precise effect.

² The Senate tax bill provision and H.F. 1698 both attempt to exempt the duration extension from this provision. I don't believe that the exception would be constitutional. The Minnesota constitution provides:

The legislature may enact special laws relating to local government units, but a special law, unless otherwise provided by general law, shall become effective only after its approval by the affected unit expressed through the voters or the governing body and by such majority as the legislature may direct. Minn. Const. art. XII § 2 (emphasis added).

without raising its tax rate (above the rate that would apply under the TIF district extension). In either case, county property taxpayer would pay the same tax rate and taxes.

Finally, it may be worth noting that the city is requesting a 15-year duration extension, while the numbers provided by the city suggest that 10 years would be sufficient to cover the \$3.5 million gap. If the city is granted and collects increment for the full 15 years, this would allow the city to impose a lower levy to pay the overall project bonds and would, thereby, shift more of the project's cost to county and school district taxpayers outside of the city.

Please let me know if you would like additional information on this matter.

JM/ks



503 East Highway 12 • PO Box 522 Litchfield MN 55355-0522 320-693-3231 or 1-800-232-6257 Fax 320-693-2980

June 24, 2005

Senator Steve Dille 103 State Office Building St. Paul MN 55155

Senator Dille

Here are two of the issues that are making JOBZ totally unworkable for Meeker Co-op Light & Power:

1. Sales Tax Exemption

• When Meeker worked with DEED in putting together our JOBZ application, DEED informed us that all of our supplies (poles, wire, bucket trucks, etc.) would be exempt from sales tax as long as those supplies first came into our facility. Now we are being informed by the Department of Revenue that this is not the case and that these materials are not exempt from sales tax because we are not using them on site. Meeker's JOBZ sales tax savings over the next 10 years (2005-2015) would be \$1,748,265. If we can only take the sales tax exemption on supplies exclusively used on site, the savings is reduced to \$54,340.

2. Prevailing Wage

• DEED informed us that we were not required to pay prevailing wage for the construction of our facility. In fact, I have a copy of the information printed from their web site. We had our facility bid for construction without prevailing wage and are currently under construction. To apply prevailing wage now would be both a huge financial, as well as, an administrative burden on the Co-op.

As you can imagine, there are many dollars to be saved by our members over the life of the JOBZ program. This building is being built with these savings calculated into the financial construction and debt payments. We acted and worked in good faith in signing onto the JOBZ program. To take away the promised tax benefits now would place a huge burden on the members of the co-op. As you are well aware, the co-op is unique in that these savings are passed on to all of our members and so, remain in Minnesota. This is a huge concern for us and any help you can provide in a favorable outcome is appreciated.

Thank you for your attention and concern to this matter.

Sincerely,

Tim Mergen

CEO/General Manager

. OLD Websile for VEFV

Prevailing Wage Requirements for JOBZ Projects

O: Do statutes require businesses that benefit from the JOBZ program to require contractors to pay prevailing wages to construction workers during construction of a business facility?

A: A review of relevant statutes suggests that for some businesses the answer is yes. Minnesota Statutes 116J.871 provides guidance on prevailing wages as it relates to economic development assistance. Subdivision 1(b) defines economic development, in part, to be, "financial assistance provided to a person directly or to a local unit of government or nonprofit organization on behalf of a person who is engaged in the manufacture or sale of goods and services." Subdivision 1(c) defines financial assistance, in part, to be, "a reduction, credit, or abatement of a tax assessed under chapter 297A where the tax reduction, credit or abatement applies to a geographic area smaller than the entire state and was granted for economic development related purposes." Subdivision 2 states that, "A state agency may provide financial assistance to a person only if the person receiving or benefiting from the financial assistance certifies to the commissioner of labor and industry that laborers and mechanics at the project site during construction, installation, remodeling, and repairs for which the financial assistance was provided will be paid the prevailing wage rate as defined in section 177.42, subdivision 6."

What this means to us is that qualified businesses who are conducting building projects. and claiming sales tax exemptions for JOBZ-related construction materials and supplies should require construction companies to comply with Minnesota's prevailing wage statute. The methodology would be for subzone communities to place the prevailing wage requirement in their business subsidy agreements. The business that benefits from JOBZ would put the prevailing wage requirement in its bid packages and construction contract and notify the Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry (DOLI) of its action. Compliance activities will be the responsibility of the business on the local level and

This request does not apply retroactively for projects that were bid prior to May 2004 or were under construction as of May 2004. It also does not apply to any business that is not claiming JOBZ sales tax exemptions on construction materials or supplies.

Business Subsidy Agreement language and process guidance is available on the DEED Meeker Sell into this area as our project wor bid Prior Ito May 04

HP LASERJET 3200

Tim Mugen Co-go

JUN 27 2005 2:24PM

- Senator moves to amend H.F. No. 1976, in conference committee, as follows:
- 4 Page 204, after line 17, insert:
- 5 "Sec. 96. Minnesota Statutes 2004, section 297A.68,
- 6 subdivision 37, is amended to read:
- 7 Subd. 37. [JOB OPPORTUNITY BUILDING ZONES.] (a) Purchases
- 8 of tangible personal property or taxable services by a qualified
- 9 business, as defined in section 469.310, are exempt if the
- 10 property or services are primarily used or consumed in a job
- 11 opportunity building zone designated under section 469.314.
- 12 (b) Purchase and use of construction materials and supplies
- 13 for construction of improvements to real property in a job
- 14 opportunity building zone are exempt if the improvements after
- 15 completion of construction are to be used in the conduct of a
- 16 qualified business, as defined in section 469.310. This
- 17 exemption applies regardless of whether the purchases are made
- 18 by the business or a contractor.
- 19 (c) The exemptions under this subdivision apply to a local
- 20 sales and use tax regardless of whether the local sales tax is
- 21 imposed on the sales taxable as defined under this chapter.
- 22 (d) This subdivision applies to sales, if the purchase was
- 23 made and delivery received during the duration of the zone.
- (e) Notwithstanding the restriction in paragraph (a), which
- 25 requires items purchased to be primarily used or consumed in the
- 26 zone, purchases by a qualified business that is an electrical
- 27 cooperative located in Meeker County of equipment and materials
- 28 used for the generation, transmission, and distribution of
- 29 electrical energy are exempt under this subdivision."
- Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal
- 31 references
- 32 Amend the title accordingly