FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE April 7, 2004

Contact: Jeff Davis 651.633.6773

New Group of Minnesota Citizens Stand Strong in Defense of Marriage

St. Paul, Minnesota (April 7, 2004) — Today a non-partisan grassroots group of Minnesota citizens announced plans to encourage State DFL Senators to support a Constitutional Amendment defining marriage as "the union of one man and one woman". The group is called "Minnesota Citizens in Defense of Marriage". Its mission is to encourage Minnesota Senators to let the voters decide whether the Minnesota State Constitution should define marriage as "the union between one man and one woman".

Minnesota Citizens in Defense of Marriage has announced an Internet-based, grass-roots petition drive to gauge citizen support for the "Defense of Marriage" constitutional amendment (which would constitutionally define marriage as between one man and one woman), enable voters to directly contact their legislators and to sign petitions publicly declaring an intent to financially support candidates who support letting the people decide this issue.

The group has also organized two unique petition drives: "35 for 35" and "Multitudes for Marriage". The "35 for 35" Petition seeks the signatures of 35 citizens who will publicly declare an intention to contribute \$250 directly to 35 Senate candidates who support the Defense of Marriage Amendment (at \$250 per candidate, such contributions would total \$8,750 per contributor). Citizens who sign the "Multitudes for Marriage" petition can show their support by declaring an intention to contribute as little as \$5 directly to candidates who support the Defense of Marriage Amendment.

Minnesota Citizens in Defense of Marriage is a volunteer-run, grass-roots organization that does not itself make contributions directly to candidates or conduct independent expenditures. The organization is not controlled by or associated with any candidate or campaign committee. The ballot question is described by founder Jeff Davis as "an outlet through which voters can encourage Minnesota' State Senate to let the people decide."

"In the 5 days since we began contacting people, we have already obtained 7 commitments on our '35 for 35' petition", says Jeff Davis, the group's founder. "We expect the level of interest to increase significantly over the next few days as people become aware of our efforts. Most Minnesotans believe in protecting the traditional definition of marriage, as evidenced by the Star Tribune poll of April 6, 2004. But it appears our DFL Senators are not listening. We have heard rumors that they are blocking e-mails on this topic and allowing their voicemail boxes to remain full in order to stem the flood of communications they are receiving from their constituents on this issue. We are intent on sending a clear message to these DFL Senators — support this Amendment or prepare to be voted out of office in 2006".

The group has established a website <u>www.mnmarriage.com</u> that provides background on the issue, encourages people to take action and provides petition signature forms.

Exhibit E

35 for 35 Petition Form

Supporting a Minnesota Defense of Marriage Amendment

Amendment d	letting the voters decide or efining marriage as "the ueing recognized as marriage	nion of one man and one w		
Name:				
Address:				
City:		State:	Zip:	
Phone:	Fax:	email:		
"the union of one m	nnesota Senate fails to pass a an and one woman, with no y May 17, 2004, then			
	ly support the campaigns of Senators during the 2006 M	_	who will run in opposition t	O
and the second s	e \$250 per candidate (a total gn committees, provided the		• • •	
May we include you □Yes □No	ır name on our publicly avai	lable list of individuals that	have indicated their suppor	ť:
Signature:				
		y of this form to (501) 647-7 lping to defend marriage	7108	

www.mnmarriage.com

This form does not constitute a binding contract and is not authorized or approved by any candidate or candidate's committee. I understand that Minnesota Citizens in Defense of Marriage does not collect, deliver or otherwise facilitate campaign contributions to any political candidates.

Multitudes for Marriage Petition Form

Supporting a Minnesota Defense of Marriage Amendment

					- A
Name:	The Property of the Control of the C				
•	THE STATE OF THE S				4
Address:	- Figure				
			· ·	54 ¹	***
city: _		y di	State:	Zip:	
	San San		State.	. Zip	3.5
hone:	Fax	c	email:		
the eve he unior	nt the Minnesota Senate n of one man and one wo valent by May 17, 200	e fails to pass a Constitutionan, with no other rela	tional Amendment tionship being reco	gnized as marr	iage of its
nthe eve he unior gal equi ntend to e incum	nt the Minnesota Senate of one man and one we valent by May 17, 200 of financially support the bent DFL Senators duri	c fails to pass a Constitutionan, with no other related, then	tional Amendment itionship being reco	gnized as marr ho will run in vided these car	iage of its
the evene union gal equinon tend to e incum	nt the Minnesota Senate of one man and one we valent by May 17, 200 of financially support the bent DFL Senators duri	c fails to pass a Constitutionan, with no other related, then	tional Amendment tionship being reconstitutionship being reconstitution being reconstitu	gnized as marr ho will run in o vided these car contribute (che	opposition
the evene union gal equinon tend to e incum	nt the Minnesota Senate of one man and one we valent by May 17, 200 of financially support the bent DFL Senators duri	c fails to pass a Constitutionan, with no other related, then	tional Amendment tionship being reconstitutionship being reconstitution being reconstitu	gnized as marr ho will run in o vided these car contribute (che	opposition
nthe even he union gal equinated to e incum ablicly stated in the stated	nt the Minnesota Senate of one man and one we valent by May 17, 200 of financially support the bent DFL Senators duri upport this definition of per candidate, for a total per candidat	c fails to pass a Constitutionan, with no other relative to the relative to th	tional Amendment tionship being reconstitutionship being reconstitution being reconstitu	gnized as marr ho will run in o vided these car contribute (che	opposition
nthe even he union gal equinated to e incum ablicly stated in the stated	nt the Minnesota Senate of one man and one we valent by May 17, 200 of financially support the bent DFL Senators duri	c fails to pass a Constitutionan, with no other relative to the company of the company of the company of the constitution of t	senate candidates we senate election, prolatform. I intend to \$50 per candidate, \$100 per candidate,	gnized as marr ho will run in o vided these car contribute (che	opposition
the even he union gal equinated to e incum ablicly significant sig	nt the Minnesota Senate of one man and one we valent by May 17, 200 of financially support the bent DFL Senators during upport this definition of per candidate, for a tota of per candidate,	c fails to pass a Constitute oman, with no other related, then	stional Amendment trionship being reconstitutionship being reconstructions and the second sec	ho will run in vided these car contribute (che or a total of \$1 for a total of \$	opposition didates eck one).
the evente union gal equinated to e incum ablicly state of the state o	nt the Minnesota Senator of one man and one we valent by May 17, 200 of financially support the bent DFL Senators during upport this definition of per candidate, for a total of per candi	c fails to pass a Constitute oman, with no other related, then	senate candidates we senate election, prolatform. I intend to \$50 per candidate, \$100 per candidate, of individuals that h	ho will run in vided these car contribute (che or a total of \$1 for a total of \$	opposition didates eck one).
intend to e incum ablicly signification \$5	nt the Minnesota Senator of one man and one we valent by May 17, 200 of financially support the bent DFL Senators during upport this definition of per candidate, for a total of per candi	c fails to pass a Constitute oman, with no other related, then	senate candidates we senate election, prolatform. I intend to \$50 per candidate, \$100 per candidate, of individuals that h	ho will run in vided these car contribute (che or a total of \$1 for a total of \$	opposition didates eck one).

This form does not constitute a binding contract and is not authorized or approved by any candidate on candidate's committee. I understand that Minnesota Citizens in Defense of Marriage does not collect, deliver or otherwise facilitate campaign contributions to any political candidate