FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE April 7, 2004

Contact: Jeff Davis 651.633.6773

New Group of Minnesota Citizens Stand Strong in Defense of Marriage

St. Paul, Minnesota (April 7, 2004) -- Today a non-partisan grassroots group of Minnesota citizens announced plans to encourage State DFL Senators to support a Constitutional Amendment defining marriage as "the union of one man and one woman". The group is called "Minnesota Citizens in Defense of Marriage". Its mission is to encourage Minnesota Senators to let the voters decide whether the Minnesota State Constitution should define marriage as "the union between one man and one woman".

Minnesota Citizens in Defense of Marriage has announced an Internet-based, grass-roots petition drive to gauge citizen support for the "Defense of Marriage" constitutional amendment (which would constitutionally define marriage as between one man and one woman), enable voters to directly contact their legislators and to sign petitions publicly declaring an intent to financially support candidates who support letting the people decide this issue.

The group has also organized two unique petition drives: "35 for 35" and "Multitudes for Marriage". The "35 for 35" Petition seeks the signatures of 35 citizens who will publicly declare an intention to contribute \$250 directly to 35 Senate candidates who support the Defense of Marriage Amendment (at \$250 per candidate, such contributions would total \$8,750 per contributor). Citizens who sign the "Multitudes for Marriage" petition can show their support by declaring an intention to contribute as little as \$5 directly to candidates who support the Defense of Marriage Amendment.

Minnesota Citizens in Defense of Marriage is a volunteer-run, grass-roots organization that does not itself make contributions directly to candidates or conduct independent expenditures. The organization is not controlled by or associated with any candidate or campaign committee. The ballot question is described by founder Jeff Davis as "an outlet through which voters can encourage Minnesota' State Senate to let the people decide."

"In the 5 days since we began contacting people, we have already obtained 7 commitments on our '35 for 35' petition", says Jeff Davis, the group's founder. "We expect the level of interest to increase significantly over the next few days as people become aware of our efforts. Most Minnesotans believe in protecting the traditional definition of marriage, as evidenced by the Star Tribune poll of April 6, 2004. But it appears our DFL Senators are not listening. We have heard rumors that they are blocking e-mails on this topic and allowing their voicemail boxes to remain full in order to stem the flood of communications they are receiving from their constituents on this issue. We are intent on sending a clear message to these DFL Senators — support this Amendment or prepare to be voted out of office in 2006".

The group has established a website <u>www.mnmarriage.com</u> that provides background on the issue, encourages people to take action and provides petition signature forms.

Exhibit E

35 for 35 Petition Form

Supporting a Minnesota Defense of Marriage Amendment

Ameno	support letting the voters decide on vident defining marriage as "the uninship being recognized as marriage	on of one man and one	woman, with no other	
Name: _				
Address: _				
City:		State:	Zip:	
Phone:	Fax:	email:		
"the union	t the Minnesota Senate fails to pass a Coof one man and one woman, with no of alent". by May 17, 2004, then			
	financially support the campaigns of up ent DFL Senators during the 2006 Min		who will run in opposition to	o
	contribute \$250 per candidate (a total o campaign committees, provided the ca			
May we inc □Yes □	clude your name on our publicly availal No	ble list of individuals that	t have indicated their support	?
Signature: _			Date:	

Please fax a signed copy of this form to (501) 647-7108 Thank you for helping to defend marriage

www.mnmarriage.com

This form does not constitute a binding contract and is not authorized or approved by any candidate or candidate's committee. I understand that Minnesota Citizens in Defense of Marriage does not collect, deliver or otherwise facilitate campaign contributions to any political candidates.

Multitudes for Marriage Petition Form

Supporting a Minnesota Defense of Marriage Amendment

ame: _				1	
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1944 - J. G. G. 1944 1971 - J. G. 1944 1971 - J. G. 1981			
ddress:					- Fre
		· Sair	*		
ity: _		4	State:	Zîp:	A
	John They				فينس محدد
		•			
the evene union al equi	nt the Minnesota Senate n of one man and one wo valent: by May 17, 200 of financially support the	fails to pass a Constitutionan, with no other rel. 4, then	itional Amendment lationship being reco	oill defining magnized as marri howill run in o	age of it
ne union gal equi	nt the Minnesota Senate n of one man and one wo valent by May 17, 200	fails to pass a Constitutionan, with no other rel	tional Amendment ationship being reco	oill defining magnized as marri	age obit
the event union tall equi	nt the Minnesota Senate n of one man and one wo valent by May 17, 200 of financially support the bent DFL Senators during	fails to pass a Constitutionan, with no other relational than the campaigns of up to 35 ag the 2006 Minnesota marriage within their passes.	stional Amendment lationship being reconstant candidates when the senate candidates when the senate election, prolatform. I intend to	pill defining magnized as marri ho will run in covided these can	oppositio
the eve e union al equi ntend to incum	nt the Minnesota Senate n of one man and one wo valent by May 17, 200 of financially support the bent DFL Senators during	fails to pass a Constitutionan, with no other relational than the campaigns of up to 35 ag the 2006 Minnesota marriage within their passes.	stional Amendment lationship being reconstant candidates when the senate candidates when the senate election, prolatform. I intend to	pill defining magnized as marri ho will run in covided these can	oppositio
the event union the control of the c	nt the Minnesota Senate n of one man and one wo valent by May 17, 200 of financially support the bent DFL Senators during	fails to pass a Constitutionan, with no other relational than the campaigns of up to 35 ag the 2006 Minnesota marriage within their passes.	stional Amendment lationship being reconstant candidates when the senate candidates when the senate election, prolatform. I intend to	pill defining magnized as marri ho will run in covided these can	oppositio
the evene union gal equinated to incumblicly states the states of the st	nt the Minnesota Senate n of one man and one wo valent? by May 17, 200 of financially support the bent DFL Senators during	fails to pass a Constitutionan, with no other relational to the comparison of up to 35 and the 2006 Minnesota marriage within their pall of \$175	stional Amendment lationship being reconstant candidates when the senate candidates when the senate election, prolatform. I intend to	pill defining magnized as marri ho will run in covided these can	oppositio
the event union al equinate of the combine of the c	nt the Minnesota Senate of one man and one wo valent? by May 17, 200 of financially support the bent DFL Senators during upport this definition of per candidate, for a tota 0 per candidate, for a to	fails to pass a Constitutionan, with no other relational to the comparison of up to 35 and the 2006 Minnesota marriage within their pal of \$175 all of \$350 all of \$700	Senate candidates w Senate election, pro- latform. I intend to \$50 per candidate, f \$100 per candidate,	poill defining magnized as marriant with the contribute (che contribute of \$10 for a total of \$10 for a tota	opposition didate cek one) 750
the event union al equinate of the control of the c	nt the Minnesota Senate of one man and one wo valent by May 17, 200 of financially support the bent DFL Senators during upport this definition of per candidate, for a tota of per candidate, for a total of per candidate,	fails to pass a Constitutionan, with no other relationan, with no other relational campaigns of up to 35 and the 2006 Minnesota marriage within their public of \$175 and of \$350 and of \$700 and of \$7	Senate candidates w Senate election, pro- latform. I intend to \$50 per candidate, f \$100 per candidate,	poill defining magnized as marriant with the contribute (che contribute of \$10 for a total of \$10 for a tota	opposition didate cek one) 750
the evene union gal equinated to incum blicly specific \$10 \$10 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$2	nt the Minnesota Senate of one man and one wo valent? by May 17, 200 of financially support the bent DFL Senators during upport this definition of per candidate, for a tota 0 per candidate, for a to	fails to pass a Constitutionan, with no other relationan, with no other relational campaigns of up to 35 and the 2006 Minnesota marriage within their public of \$175 and of \$350 and of \$700 and of \$7	Senate candidates w Senate election, pro- latform. I intend to \$50 per candidate, f \$100 per candidate,	poill defining magnized as marriant with the contribute (che contribute of \$10 for a total of \$10 for a tota	opposition didate cek one) 750

www.mnmarriage.com

This form does not constitute a binding contract and is not authorized or approved by any candidate on candidate's committee. I understand that Minnesota Citizens in Defense of Marriage does not collect, deliver or otherwise facilitate campaign contributions to any political candidates: