

ETHICS 3-31-03

- Erickson - Pugh
- Davids - Murphy
- Rhodes - Mahoney

1. 7:34 pm

2. Pugh - Minutes of 3/24/03
(all)

3. Deb McKnight - explained subpoena process per Law. Noted Statute — $\frac{2}{3}$ vote to authorize and majority vote for less than 7 days.

4. Davids — has Committee ever denied a subpoena.

> Davids — move we issue per statute.

Explained — we should issue given gravity of possible consequences — need

Pugh — limitation on numbers issued?

Deb — Statute does not limit — previously a list had been submitted — thus number was known

Ethics

3-31-03

(2)

Pugh - recalled 1996 —
also noted the procedure proposed

Use of subpoenas different now
vs. 1996 —

Legal implications — if it were
purely committee, I doubt we
would issue

Dauids — # vs time available —
so what?

Mahoney — grasping issue —
open-ended to call anyone —
"fishing expedition" —
we have record of comments,
and journal — what is it
all for? opening up to call
a lot of people.

Murphy — purpose of subpoena

Deb — exld
— committee subpoena or Mr. Anderson?

Deb — history

Murphy - who would be subpoenaed?

BT - responded - who Anderson said he wanted to subpoena

Murphy - purpose?

Dauids - someone has been charged - shameful to deny subpoenas not credible.

> Chair - "respondent" "complainants"

Murphy - don't understand need for subpoenaed?

> Chair - read Rule # 4 (verbatim)

Dauids - per # 4 - perhaps no need for subpoenas

Mahoney - do we have a list?

Pugh - Rule 4 does not speak of compelling - handed out info (from the Latz letter)

2 MINUTES

Anderson — need subpoenas
w/out witnesses

2nd time. this has happened

Noted Rule 8 — frivolous complaint

Need complainants to explain
why they filed.

History — confront ~~adverser~~ 'adverser'

— Latz — we have not received
~~requested~~ information
on requested
Ellison — agrees.

BT — Notice was given to complainants
of this meeting — request
of respondent

— Pugh — comments about process —
don't see need now to issue
subpoenas

5

March 31, 2003

vote — tied — failed

David's — move — on procedure

Pugh — OK

Rhodes — could expand time
as a committee

w/draw after Chair advised