

## Senate Counsel & Research

G-17 STATE CAPITOL  
75 REV. DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. BLVD.  
ST. PAUL, MN 55155-1606  
(651) 296-4791  
FAX (651) 296-7747

JO ANNE ZOFF SELLNER  
DIRECTOR

# Senate

---

## State of Minnesota

### COUNSEL

PETER S. WATTSON  
JOHN C. FULLER  
BONNIE L. BEREZOVSKY  
DANIEL P. MCGOWAN  
KATHLEEN E. PONTIUS  
PATRICIA A. LIEN  
KATHERINE T. CAVANOR  
CHRISTOPHER B. STANG  
KENNETH P. BACKHUS  
CAROL E. BAKER  
JOAN E. WHITE  
THOMAS S. BOTTERN  
ANN MARIE BUTLER

### LEGISLATIVE ANALYSTS

GIEL  
JRY C. KNOPFF  
HEW GROSSER  
DANIEL L. MUELLER  
JACK PAULSON  
CHRIS L. TURNER  
AMY M. VENNEWITZ  
MAJA WEIDMANN

## S.F. No. 378 - Caregiver Support (The A-4 Delete-Everything Amendment)

**Author:** Senator Sheila Kiscaden

**Prepared by:** David Giel, Senate Research (651/296-7178) 

**Date:** February 16, 2005

---

**S.F. No. 378** modifies the ability of employees to use personal sick leave to care for family members. It establishes an Internet-based caregiver support program. It establishes a home care tax credit.

**Section 1 (181.9413)** allows employees to use personal sick leave to care for a spouse, sibling, parent, grandparent, or stepparent. Current law limits use of this benefit to the care of sick or injured children.

**Section 2 (256B.0911, subdivision 3)** requires county long-term care consultation teams to certify that applicants for a home care tax credit satisfy certain requirements. The teams must certify that the care qualifies as personal care assistance services, is needed and provided daily, is appropriate, and has been given a score using the caregiver burden scale under section 4.

**Section 3 (256B.0917, subdivision 6a)** requires the Minnesota Board on Aging to implement an Internet-based caregiver support program. The program is designed to provide needed support to caregivers to plan, purchase, coordinate, monitor, and evaluate the care outcomes of family members they are assisting. Program components are outlined in the bill.

**Section 4 (256B.0917, subdivision 6b)** assigns duties to the Department of Human Services (DHS) with respect to the home care tax credit. The department must develop a scale to score applicants for the credit. The scale must measure the volume and types

of care provided and other aspects of care determined to be pertinent by DHS. Each caregiver applying for a tax credit must obtain a score from DHS, and DHS must limit approvals under this section in order to keep the expenditure for tax credits within the limit of appropriations for that purpose. A timetable for accepting and approving applications is established. DHS is exempt from the Administrative Procedure Act for the purposes of this section.

**Section 5 (290.0676)** establishes the home care tax credit.

**Subdivisions 1 to 4** define terms. A "service recipient" of a caregiver must be at least 65, must be closely related to the caregiver, must not reside in a licensed or registered setting, and must be determined eligible for nursing home placement.

**Subdivision 5** establishes the credit of \$200 per month, up to \$2,400 per year.

**Subdivision 6** sets a variety of limits on the credit. Income guidelines are established. Eligibility is limited to persons approved by DHS. The credit is reduced to \$100 during any month in which the qualifying person receives more than four hours per day of publicly funded home care services.

**Subdivision 7** makes the tax credit refundable.

**Subdivision 8** establishes annual caregiver training requirements.

**Section 6** requires DHS to convene a work group to study the possible integration into the home health care delivery system of the delivery of home health care services using interactive technologies. Study requirements and work group membership are outlined. A report is due by January 15, 2006.

**Section 7** appropriates a blank amount for added long-term care consultation team services, \$950,000 for the Internet-based caregiver support program, and \$4,800,000 for the tax credit.

DG:rdr

Senators Kiscaden, Nienow, Higgins and Lourey introduced--  
S.F. No. 378: Referred to the Committee on Health and Family Security.

1 A bill for an act

2 relating to human services; providing support to  
3 caregivers; appropriating money; amending Minnesota  
4 Statutes 2004, sections 181.9413; 256B.0917,  
5 subdivision 6; proposing coding for new law in  
6 Minnesota Statutes, chapter 290.

7 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:

8 Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2004, section 181.9413, is  
9 amended to read:

10 181.9413 [~~SICK OR-INJURED-CHILD-CARE~~ SICK OR-INJURED-CHILD-CARE LEAVE BENEFITS; USE TO  
11 CARE FOR CERTAIN RELATIVES.]

12 (a) An employee may use personal sick leave benefits  
13 provided by the employer for absences due to an illness of or  
14 injury to the employee's child, parent, grandparent, or  
15 stepparent for such reasonable periods as the employee's  
16 attendance ~~with-the-child~~ may be necessary, on the same  
17 terms upon which the employee is able to use sick leave benefits  
18 for the employee's own illness or injury. This section applies  
19 only to personal sick leave benefits payable to the employee  
20 from the employer's general assets.

21 (b) For purposes of this section, "personal sick leave  
22 benefits" means time accrued and available to an employee to be  
23 used as a result of absence from work due to personal illness or  
24 injury, but does not include short-term or long-term disability  
25 or other salary continuation benefits.

26 [EFFECTIVE DATE.] This section is effective August 1, 2005,

1 and applies to sick leave on or after that date.

2 Sec. 2. Minnesota Statutes 2004, section 256B.0917,  
3 subdivision 6, is amended to read:

4 Subd. 6. [CAREGIVER SUPPORT AND RESPITE CARE PROJECTS.]

5 (a) The commissioner shall establish up to 36 50 projects to  
6 expand the respite care network in the state and to support  
7 caregivers in their responsibilities for care. The purpose of  
8 each project shall be to:

9 (1) establish a local coordinated network of volunteer and  
10 paid respite workers;

11 (2) coordinate assignment of respite workers to clients and  
12 care receivers and assure the health and safety of the client;  
13 and

14 (3) provide training for caregivers and ensure that support  
15 groups are available in the community.

16 (b) The caregiver support and respite care funds shall be  
17 available to the four to six local long-term care strategy  
18 projects designated in subdivisions 1 to 5.

19 (c) The commissioner shall publish a notice in the State  
20 Register to solicit proposals from public or private nonprofit  
21 agencies for the projects not included in the four to six local  
22 long-term care strategy projects defined in subdivision 2. A  
23 county agency may, alone or in combination with other county  
24 agencies, apply for caregiver support and respite care project  
25 funds. A public or nonprofit agency within a designated SAIL  
26 project area may apply for project funds if the agency has a  
27 letter of agreement with the county or counties in which  
28 services will be developed, stating the intention of the county  
29 or counties to coordinate their activities with the agency  
30 requesting a grant.

31 (d) The commissioner shall select grantees based on the  
32 following criteria:

33 (1) the ability of the proposal to demonstrate need in the  
34 area served, as evidenced by a community needs assessment or  
35 other demographic data;

36 (2) the ability of the proposal to clearly describe how the

1 project will achieve the purpose defined in paragraph (b);

2 (3) the ability of the proposal to reach underserved  
3 populations;

4 (4) the ability of the proposal to demonstrate community  
5 commitment to the project, as evidenced by letters of support  
6 and cooperation as well as formation of a community task force;

7 (5) the ability of the proposal to clearly describe the  
8 process for recruiting, training, and retraining volunteers; and

9 (6) the inclusion in the proposal of the plan to promote  
10 the project in the community, including outreach to persons  
11 needing the services.

12 (e) Funds for all projects under this subdivision may be  
13 used to:

14 (1) hire a coordinator to develop a coordinated network of  
15 volunteer and paid respite care services and assign workers to  
16 clients;

17 (2) recruit and train volunteer providers;

18 (3) train caregivers;

19 (4) ensure the development of support groups for  
20 caregivers;

21 (5) advertise the availability of the caregiver support and  
22 respite care project; and

23 (6) purchase equipment to maintain a system of assigning  
24 workers to clients.

25 (f) Project funds may not be used to supplant existing  
26 funding sources.

27 Sec. 3. [290.0676] [MINNESOTA HOME CARE CREDIT.]

28 Subdivision 1. [CREDIT ALLOWED.] (a) An individual is  
29 allowed a credit against the tax imposed by this chapter, equal  
30 to \$200 for each month during the tax year that the individual  
31 is a caregiver for a qualifying person. The maximum credit in a  
32 tax year is \$2,400. The commissioner may require claimants to  
33 certify that the claimant and the qualifying person meet the  
34 requirements of this section. An individual may claim only one  
35 credit in any tax year and only one credit may be claimed for  
36 each qualifying person in any tax year.

1       (b) For a nonresident or part-year resident, the credit  
2 must be allocated based on the percentage calculated under  
3 section 290.06, subdivision 2c, paragraph (e).

4       Subd. 2. [DEFINITIONS.] For purposes of this section, the  
5 following terms have the meanings given.

6       (a) "Qualifying person," means an individual who:

7       (1) is the parent, stepparent, sibling, stepsibling, child,  
8 or stepchild of the taxpayer; and

9       (2) has been screened by a county preadmission screening  
10 team and determined by that team to be eligible for placement in  
11 a nursing facility.

12       (b) "Caregiver" means an individual who:

13       (1) provides assistance with instrumental activities of  
14 daily living for a qualifying person, in either the individual's  
15 home or the qualifying person's home; and

16       (2) attends at least eight hours of (i) caregiver training  
17 or education or (ii) caregiver support group sessions during the  
18 year for which the credit is claimed.

19       (c) "Instrumental activities of daily living" means

20 activities such as preparation of meals, light housekeeping,  
21 personal laundry, handling money, and using the telephone.

22 Instrumental activities of daily living are associated with  
23 independent living and necessary to support activities of daily  
24 living, including eating, transferring, dressing, bathing,  
25 toileting, and ambulation.

26       Subd. 3. [LIMITATIONS.] The credit is reduced to \$100 for  
27 any month in which a qualifying person receives more than four  
28 hours per day on average of state or county-funded home care  
29 services under section 144A.43, subdivision 3, including, but  
30 not limited to, those funded under chapters 256B and 256L.

31       Subd. 4. [CREDIT REFUNDABLE.] If the amount of the credit  
32 that a claimant is eligible to receive under this section  
33 exceeds the claimant's tax liability under this chapter, the  
34 commissioner shall refund the excess to the claimant.

35       Subd. 5. [APPROPRIATION.] An amount sufficient to pay the  
36 refunds required by this section is appropriated to the

1 commissioner of revenue from the general fund.

2 [EFFECTIVE DATE.] This section is effective for taxable  
3 years beginning after December 31, 2004.

4 Sec. 4. [APPROPRIATION.]

5 (a) \$540,000 is appropriated from the general fund to the  
6 commissioner of human services in fiscal year 2006 for the  
7 purposes in section 2 to provide grants to new and existing  
8 projects.

9 (b) \$100,000 is appropriated from the general fund to the  
10 Minnesota Board on Aging in fiscal year 2006 for the purpose of  
11 providing caregiver education on resources and services.

1 Senator ..... moves to amend S.F. No. 378 as follows:

2 Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

3 "Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2004, section 181.9413, is  
4 amended to read:

5 181.9413 [~~SICK OR-INJURED-CHILD-CARE LEAVE~~ BENEFITS; USE TO  
6 CARE FOR CERTAIN RELATIVES.]

7 (a) An employee may use personal sick leave benefits  
8 provided by the employer for absences due to an illness of or  
9 injury to the employee's child, spouse, sibling, parent,  
10 grandparent, or stepparent for such reasonable periods as the  
11 employee's attendance ~~with-the-child~~ may be necessary, on the  
12 same terms upon which the employee is able to use sick leave  
13 benefits for the employee's own illness or injury. This section  
14 applies only to personal sick leave benefits payable to the  
15 employee from the employer's general assets.

16 (b) For purposes of this section, "personal sick leave  
17 benefits" means time accrued and available to an employee to be  
18 used as a result of absence from work due to personal illness or  
19 injury, but does not include short-term or long-term disability  
20 or other salary continuation benefits.

21 [EFFECTIVE DATE.] This section is effective August 1, 2005,  
22 and applies to sick leave used on or after that date.

23 Sec. 2. Minnesota Statutes 2004, section 256B.0911,  
24 subdivision 3, is amended to read:

25 Subd. 3. [~~LONG-TERM CARE CONSULTATION TEAM.~~] (a) A  
26 long-term care consultation team shall be established by the  
27 county board of commissioners. Each local consultation team  
28 shall consist of at least one social worker and at least one  
29 public health nurse from their respective county agencies. The  
30 board may designate public health or social services as the lead  
31 agency for long-term care consultation services. If a county  
32 does not have a public health nurse available, it may request  
33 approval from the commissioner to assign a county registered  
34 nurse with at least one year experience in home care to  
35 participate on the team. Two or more counties may collaborate  
36 to establish a joint local consultation team or teams.



1 (b) The team is responsible for providing long-term care  
2 consultation services to all persons located in the county who  
3 request the services, regardless of eligibility for Minnesota  
4 health care programs.

5 (c) For applicants for a credit under section 290.0676, the  
6 team must certify in accordance with procedures established by  
7 the commissioner that the care provided by the caregiver:

8 (1) qualifies as personal care assistant services under  
9 section 256B.0627, subdivision 4;

10 (2) is needed and provided in person on a daily basis;

11 (3) is appropriate based on the service recipient's needs  
12 and is likely to delay or avoid transferring the person to an  
13 out-of-home placement; and

14 (4) has been given a score using the caregiver burden scale  
15 under section 256B.0917, subdivision 6b.

16 Sec. 3. Minnesota Statutes 2004, section 256B.0917, is  
17 amended by adding a subdivision to read:

18 Subd. 6a. [INTERNET-BASED CAREGIVER SUPPORT PROGRAM.] The  
19 Minnesota Board on Aging shall develop and implement an  
20 Internet-based caregiver support program. The goal of the  
21 program shall be to provide family caregivers with the  
22 information and tools needed to self-manage, plan, purchase,  
23 coordinate, monitor, and evaluate the day-to-day activities and  
24 care outcomes of family members to whom they provide care. The  
25 program must complement Internet-based information services that  
26 are currently available. The program must include the following  
27 components:

28 (1) direct connectivity to statewide systems, including,  
29 but not limited to, Senior LinkAge Line, MinnesotaHelp.info,  
30 RXConnect, and long-term care consultation and to vendors and  
31 providers of goods and services, including, but not limited to,  
32 respite care, coach services, pharmaceutical vendors, medical  
33 supply vendors, grocers, personal care vendors, and electronic  
34 assistive technology vendors;

35 (2) access to on-line resources, including connectivity to  
36 daily living and clinical monitoring devices and audio and

1 visual contact between the care recipient, the caregiver,  
2 services providers, and others for tracking or conducting  
3 service visits, care meetings, and other service provision;  
4 (3) message boards related to caregiver news, information,  
5 and events;  
6 (4) data collection, including surveys, and reporting and  
7 registration functions as required by state and federal  
8 programs; and  
9 (5) an individual data profile accessible by designated  
10 parties to view, add, share, or edit information as needed to  
11 support informal caregiving.

12 Sec. 4. Minnesota Statutes 2004, section 256B.0917, is  
13 amended by adding a subdivision to read:

14 Subd. 6b. [DUTIES WITH RESPECT TO HOME CARE CREDIT;  
15 APPLICATIONS.] (a) The commissioner shall develop by December 1,  
16 2005, a caregiver burden scale to score applicants for the home  
17 care credit under section 290.0676. The score shall measure  
18 hours per week of care provided, the volume and types of  
19 assistance provided, and other criteria determined by the  
20 commissioner to be pertinent.

21 (b) Each caregiver applying for a credit under section  
22 290.0676 must apply to the commissioner. The commissioner shall  
23 rank applicants on the score developed under paragraph (a). The  
24 commissioner shall limit approvals under this paragraph in order  
25 to keep the credit payments under section 290.0676 within the  
26 limits of appropriations made specifically for this purpose.

27 (c) In each calendar year, the commissioner shall accept  
28 until February 15 applications for a caregiver burden scale  
29 score for the previous calendar year. By March 15 of each  
30 calendar year, the commissioner must issue approvals for credits  
31 under section 290.0676, based on each applicant's score on the  
32 scale and the appropriations available for credits. The  
33 commissioner may develop procedures to delegate to appropriate  
34 organizations the responsibility to assign burden scale scores  
35 to applicants.

36 (d) The commissioner shall be exempt from chapter 14 for

1 purposes of this subdivision.

2 Sec. 5. [290.0676.] [MINNESOTA HOME CARE CREDIT.]

3 Subdivision 1. [DEFINITIONS.] The terms used in this  
4 section have the following meanings unless otherwise provided  
5 for by text.

6 Subd. 2. [CAREGIVER.] "Caregiver" means an individual who  
7 provides unpaid assistance on a daily basis that qualifies as  
8 personal care assistant services under section 256B.0627,  
9 subdivision 4, to a service recipient in either the individual's  
10 home or the service recipient's home.

11 Subd. 3. [SERVICE RECIPIENT.] "Service recipient" means an  
12 individual age 65 or older who:

13 (1) is the spouse, parent, stepparent, sibling,  
14 stepsibling, child, stepchild, grandparent, or stepgrandparent  
15 of the taxpayer;

16 (2) resides other than in a setting licensed or registered  
17 by the commissioners of health or human services; and

18 (3) has been screened by a county long-term care  
19 consultation team and determined by that team to be eligible for  
20 placement in a nursing home.

21 Subd. 5. [CREDIT ALLOWED.] (a) An individual is allowed a  
22 credit against the tax imposed by this chapter equal to \$200 for  
23 each month during the tax year that the individual is a  
24 caregiver for a service recipient. The maximum credit in a tax  
25 year shall be \$2,400.

26 (b) The commissioner shall require individuals claiming the  
27 credit to certify that the individual and the service recipient  
28 satisfy all the requirements of this section.

29 (c) An individual may claim only one credit in any tax year.  
30 Only one credit may be claimed for each service recipient in any  
31 tax year.

32 (d) For a nonresident or part-year resident, the credit  
33 must be allocated based on the percentage calculated under  
34 section 290.06, subdivision 2c, paragraph (e).

35 Subd. 6. [CREDIT LIMITATIONS.] (a) Eligibility for the  
36 credit in subdivision 5 is limited to persons with total

1 household income, as defined in section 290A.03, subdivision 5,  
2 that does not exceed the maximum household income level eligible  
3 for a refund under section 290A.04, subdivision 2.

4 (b) Eligibility for the credit in subdivision 5 is limited  
5 to persons who have been approved by the commissioner of human  
6 services under section 256B.0917, subdivision 6b.

7 (c) The credit in subdivision 5 is reduced to \$100 for any  
8 month in which a service recipient receives more than four hours  
9 per day on average of federal, state, or county-funded home care  
10 services as specified in section 256B.0627, subdivision 2.

11 Subd. 7. [CREDIT REFUNDABLE.] If the amount of the credit  
12 under this section exceeds the individual's tax liability under  
13 this chapter, the commissioner shall refund the excess amount to  
14 the claimant.

15 Subd. 8. [CAREGIVER TRAINING.] For each year in which a  
16 credit is claimed under this section, the caregiver must attend  
17 at least eight hours of (1) caregiver training, education, or  
18 counseling, or (2) caregiver support group sessions.

19 [EFFECTIVE DATE.] This section is effective for taxable  
20 years beginning after December 31, 2004.

21 Sec. 6. [TELEHOME CARE STUDY.]

22 (a) The commissioner of human services, in consultation  
23 with the commissioner of health, shall convene a work group to  
24 study and make recommendations on integrating within the home  
25 health care delivery system the delivery of home health care  
26 services via an interactive telecommunications system and  
27 monitoring technologies to homebound patients with chronic  
28 illness or disabilities. The study shall examine the  
29 effectiveness of video conferencing, Internet access, and  
30 physiological monitoring within a home health care setting in  
31 terms of cost, accessibility, health outcomes, and provider and  
32 patient satisfaction. The study shall:

33 (1) identify limitations and barriers and recommend  
34 possible solutions to providing telehome care, including  
35 provider reimbursement; patient and provider recruitment and  
36 training; equipment and technology access and support; and

1 patient privacy;

2 (2) identify possible populations that may benefit from  
3 in-home monitoring and education;

4 (3) identify best-practices guidelines, policies, and  
5 standards for telehome care;

6 (4) assess the status of current projects providing  
7 telehome care in Minnesota; and

8 (5) identify partnership models and collaboration potential  
9 for delivering quality care telehome care delivery system.

10 (b) The work group shall include representatives of health  
11 care providers, hospitals, educators, researchers, home health  
12 care providers, and home health care recipients.

13 (c) The commissioner shall submit a report to the  
14 legislature by January 15, 2006, on the results of the study,  
15 including any recommendations on necessary legislative changes  
16 in order to incorporate telehome care into the health care  
17 delivery system.

18 Sec. 7. [APPROPRIATIONS.]

19 (a) \$..... is appropriated from the general fund to the  
20 commissioner of human services for the biennium beginning July  
21 1, 2005, for the purposes of section 2.

22 (b) \$750,000 in fiscal year 2006 and \$200,000 in fiscal  
23 year 2007 is appropriated from the general fund to the  
24 commissioner of human services for the purposes of section 3.

25 (c) \$4,800,000 is appropriated from the general fund to the  
26 commissioner of revenue for the biennium beginning July 1, 2005,  
27 for purposes of section 5."

28 Delete the title and insert:

29 "A bill for an act relating to human services; modifying  
30 use of personal sick leave benefits; establishing an  
31 Internet-based caregiver support program; establishing a home  
32 care tax credit; requiring a telehome care study; appropriating  
33 money; amending Minnesota Statutes 2004, sections 181.9413;  
34 256B.0911, subdivision 3; 256B.0917, by adding subdivisions;  
35 proposing coding for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 290."

## Senate Counsel & Research

G-17 STATE CAPITOL  
75 REV. DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. BLVD.  
ST. PAUL, MN 55155-1606  
(651) 296-4791  
FAX (651) 296-7747

JO ANNE ZOFF SELLNER  
DIRECTOR

# Senate

---

## State of Minnesota

### COUNSEL

PETER S. WATTSON  
JOHN C. FULLER  
BONNIE L. BEREZOVSKY  
DANIEL P. MCGOWAN  
KATHLEEN E. PONTIUS  
PATRICIA A. LIEN  
KATHERINE T. CAVANOR  
CHRISTOPHER B. STANG  
KENNETH P. BACKHUS  
CAROL E. BAKER  
JOAN E. WHITE  
THOMAS S. BOTTERN  
ANN MARIE BUTLER

### LEGISLATIVE

ANALYSTS  
DAVID GIEL  
JERRY C. KNOPFF  
MATTHEW GROSSER  
DANIEL L. MUELLER  
JACK PAULSON  
CHRIS L. TURNER  
AMY M. VENNEWITZ  
MAJA WEIDMANN

## S.F. No. 540 - Long-Term Care Partnership Program

**Author:** Senator Linda Berglin

**Prepared by:** David Giel, Senate Research (651/296-7178) 

**Date:** February 11, 2005

---

**S.F. No. 540** authorizes the establishment of a long-term care partnership program in Minnesota to finance long-term care through a combination of private insurance and Medical Assistance (MA), once federal law is modified to permit it or a federal waiver is obtained.

**Section 1 (256B.0571)** authorizes the program.

**Subdivisions 1 to 7** define terms.

**Subdivision 8** directs the Commissioner of Human Services, in cooperation with the Commissioner of Commerce, to establish the Partnership for Long-Term Care Program to finance long-term care through a combination of private insurance and MA. To be eligible, a person (1) must be a state resident; (2) must purchase and maintain continuous coverage under a qualifying long-term care insurance policy; and (3) must exhaust the minimum policy benefits. Benefits received before the effective date of the bill do not count towards exhaustion of benefits.

**Subdivision 9** outlines MA eligibility for a person who meets the qualifications in subdivision 8. After disregarding assets otherwise exempt under MA, DHS must disregard an additional amount of assets equal to the dollar amount of coverage utilized under the qualifying long-term care insurance policy. The treatment of income is unchanged from current MA law.

2360

March 10, 2004

Page 2

**Subdivision 10** establishes requirements for a Partnership Policy. They include:

- Minimum coverage must be for a dollar amount equal to at least 24 months of nursing home care. Home health benefits may be substituted at the rate of two home health care days for one nursing home day.
- Minimum daily benefits must be \$130 for nursing home care and \$65 for home health care. The minimums must be adjusted each October 1 according to the inflation protection feature described in Minnesota Statutes, section 62S.23, subdivision 1, clause (1). This clause requires an annual increase of not less than five percent.
- Special lapse protection features must be included.
- The policy must cover nursing home stays, home care services, care management, and up to 14 days of hospital care while awaiting long-term care placement (paid at the daily nursing home care benefit rate).
- Options, available for an additional premium, must include an elimination period of not more than 100 days and nonforfeiture benefits for applicants between 18 and 75.

**Subdivision 11** bars estate recovery procedures against the estate of a person or the person's spouse for the cost of the person's MA benefits if the person qualified for MA under the terms of the Partnership Program.

The Partnership Program does not become effective until full implementation is permitted by federal law. If federal law is changed to permit a waiver of any provisions prohibited by federal law, the Department of Human Services must apply for the waiver.

DG:rdr

Senators Berglin, LeClair, Solon, Higgins and Lourey introduced--  
S.F. No. 540: Referred to the Committee on Health and Family Security.

1

A bill for an act

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

relating to human services; authorizing a long-term care partnership program; modifying medical assistance eligibility requirements under certain circumstances; defining approved long-term care insurance policies; limiting medical assistance estate recovery under certain circumstances; proposing coding for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 256B.

9

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:

10

Section 1. [256B.0571] [LONG-TERM CARE PARTNERSHIP.]

11

Subdivision 1. [DEFINITIONS.] For purposes of this

12

section, the following terms have the meanings given them.

13

Subd. 2. [HOME CARE SERVICE.] "Home care service" means

14

care described in section 144A.43.

15

Subd. 3. [LONG-TERM CARE INSURANCE.] "Long-term care

16

insurance" means a policy described in section 62S.01.

17

Subd. 4. [MEDICAL ASSISTANCE.] "Medical assistance" means

18

the program of medical assistance established under section

19

256B.01.

20

Subd. 5. [NURSING HOME.] "Nursing home" means a nursing

21

home as described in section 144A.01.

22

Subd. 6. [PARTNERSHIP POLICY.] "Partnership policy" means

23

a long-term care insurance policy that meets the requirements

24

under subdivision 10.

25

Subd. 7. [PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.] "Partnership program"

26

means the Minnesota partnership for long-term care program

27

established under this section.



1       Subd. 8. [PROGRAM ESTABLISHED.] (a) The commissioner, in  
2 cooperation with the commissioner of commerce, shall establish  
3 the Minnesota partnership for long-term care program to provide  
4 for the financing of long-term care through a combination of  
5 private insurance and medical assistance.

6       (b) An individual who meets the requirements in this  
7 paragraph is eligible to participate in the partnership  
8 program. The individual must:

9       (1) be a Minnesota resident;

10       (2) purchase a partnership policy that is delivered, issued  
11 for delivery, or renewed on or after the effective date of this  
12 section, and maintain the partnership policy in effect  
13 throughout the period of participation in the partnership  
14 program; and

15       (3) exhaust the minimum benefits under the partnership  
16 policy as described in this section. Benefits received under a  
17 long-term care insurance policy before the effective date of  
18 this section do not count toward the exhaustion of benefits  
19 required in this subdivision.

20       Subd. 9. [MEDICAL ASSISTANCE ELIGIBILITY.] (a) Upon  
21 application of an individual who meets the requirements  
22 described in subdivision 8, the commissioner shall determine the  
23 individual's eligibility for medical assistance according to  
24 paragraphs (b) and (c).

25       (b) After disregarding financial assets exempted under  
26 medical assistance eligibility requirements, the commissioner  
27 shall disregard an additional amount of financial assets equal  
28 to the dollar amount of coverage utilized under the partnership  
29 policy.

30       (c) The commissioner shall consider the individual's income  
31 according to medical assistance eligibility requirements.

32       Subd. 10. [APPROVED POLICIES.] (a) A partnership policy  
33 must meet all of the requirements in paragraphs (b) to (g).

34       (b) Minimum coverage shall be for a period of not less than  
35 two years and for a dollar amount equal to 24 months of nursing  
36 home care at the minimum daily benefit rate determined and

1 adjusted under paragraph (c). The policy shall provide for home  
2 health care benefits to be substituted for nursing home care  
3 benefits on the basis of two home health care days for one  
4 nursing home care day.

5 (c) Minimum daily benefits shall be \$130 for nursing home  
6 care or \$65 for home care. These minimum daily benefit amounts  
7 shall be adjusted by the commissioner on October 1 of each year  
8 by a percentage equal to the inflation protection feature  
9 described in section 62S.23, subdivision 1, clause (1).

10 Adjusted minimum daily benefit amounts shall be rounded to the  
11 nearest whole dollar.

12 (d) A third party designated by the insured shall be  
13 entitled to receive notice if the policy is about to lapse for  
14 nonpayment of premium, and an additional 30-day grace period for  
15 payment of premium shall be granted following notification to  
16 that person.

17 (e) The policy must cover all of the following services:

18 (1) nursing home stay;

19 (2) home care service;

20 (3) care management; and

21 (4) up to 14 days of nursing care in a hospital while the  
22 individual is waiting for long-term care placement.

23 (f) Payment for service under paragraph (e), clause (4),  
24 must not exceed the daily benefit amount for nursing home care.

25 (g) A partnership policy must offer the following options  
26 for an adjusted premium:

27 (1) an elimination period of not more than 100 days; and

28 (2) nonforfeiture benefits for applicants between the ages  
29 of 18 and 75.

30 Subd. 11. [LIMITATIONS ON ESTATE RECOVERY.] For an  
31 individual determined eligible for medical assistance under  
32 subdivision 9, the state shall not seek recovery under the  
33 provisions of section 256B.15 against the estate of the  
34 individual or individual's spouse for medical assistance  
35 benefits received by that individual.

36 [EFFECTIVE DATE.] (a) If any provision of this section is

1 prohibited by federal law, no provision shall become effective  
2 until federal law is changed to permit its full implementation.  
3 The commissioner of human services shall notify the revisor of  
4 statutes when federal law is enacted or other federal approval  
5 is received and publish a notice in the State Register. The  
6 commissioner must include the notice in the first State Register  
7 published after the effective date of the federal changes.

8 (b) If federal law is changed to permit a waiver of any  
9 provisions prohibited by federal law, the commissioner of human  
10 services shall apply to the federal government for a waiver of  
11 those prohibitions or other federal authority, and that  
12 provision shall become effective upon receipt of a federal  
13 waiver or other federal approval, notification to the revisor of  
14 statutes, and publication of a notice in the State Register to  
15 that effect.

1 Senator ..... moves to amend S.F. No. 540 as follows:

2 Page 1, line 24, before the period, insert ", regardless of  
3 when the policy was first issued"

4 Page 2, line 35, delete "two years" and insert "one year"  
5 and delete "24" and insert "12"

6 Page 3, line 3, delete "on the basis of two home health  
7 care days for" and insert "with one home health care day benefit  
8 worth at least 50 percent of"

9 Page 3, line 19, after the semicolon, insert "and"

10 Page 3, line 20, delete "; and" and insert a period

11 Page 3, delete lines 21 and 22

12 Page 3, line 32, delete "not seek" and insert "limit"

13 Page 3, line 35, before the period, insert "to an amount  
14 that exceeds the dollar amount of coverage utilized under the  
15 partnership policy"

# Partnership for Long-Term Care

(Excerpts from *Public and Private Financing of Long-Term Care: Options for Minnesota* a report to the Minnesota Legislature, January 2005, prepared by the Department of Human Services, Continuing Care Administration.)

## 2. Partnership for Long-Term Care

**Summary: Option sends clear message about public and private responsibility for long-term care costs**

**Description.** The Partnership for Long-Term Care is a program (now available in only four states) that allows these states to provide Medicaid long-term care coverage to individuals who have purchased a “qualified” LTCI policy in that state, have exhausted those benefits and still need long-term care. Partnership policyholders receive a disregard of the maximum asset limit to be eligible for Medicaid long-term care coverage, and a disregard of an equivalent amount of assets in estate recovery after the individual’s death. The disregard is equal to the value of the LTCI policy, but in some states it can include all assets. One of the chief goals of the Partnership is to broaden the LTCI market so that it is attractive to those who have been hesitant to buy coverage in the past.

**National Partnership Experience.** This program is currently limited to four states by federal law, and is not available in Minnesota. There is substantial interest in establishing the program in the state, when and if the federal prohibition is eliminated. As of December 2003, a total of 180,000 policies had been purchased in the four Partnership states between 1993 and 2003. During that time, 2,000 policyholders had received payments under their LTCI policy, and 89 had exhausted these benefits and accessed Medicaid.<sup>1</sup>

The Partnership for Long-Term Care has not expanded beyond its initial demonstration states of New York, Connecticut, Indiana, and California because in 1993, as part of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (OBRA '93), Congress removed the asset protection provision, except for the states with already existing programs, thus requiring estate recovery and making the program less attractive to individuals and states. Efforts are underway to eliminate this prohibition at the federal level, and if successful, a number of states would be seriously interested in offering the program. The elimination of this prohibition on asset protection was included in the Bush administration’s Medicaid budget proposals last year, and legislation to do so was introduced in Congress last year. This provision is again included in the administration’s Medicaid budget proposal this year (2005). There is also growing interest in the possibility of making the program national in order to standardize the program and eliminate the state reciprocity issue.

---

<sup>1</sup> University of Maryland Web site at <http://www.hhp.umd.edu/AGING/PLTC/index.html>

**Advantages.** Proponents of the Partnership program cite its potential for expanding the market for LTCI products. The additional consumer protections put in place through the development of Partnership-qualified policies have set a new standard for the industry. It is estimated that the Partnership program doubles the size of the potential market for LTCI and reduces the incentive to transfer assets in order to qualify for Medicaid. The state then saves money under the Partnership program to the extent that those who purchase the LTCI products are at some real risk of spending down to Medicaid. The Partnership states of California, Connecticut and Indiana have estimated combined Medicaid savings in the range of \$8-\$10 million during the relatively short time their programs have been operational.

**Disadvantages.** If individuals purchase a Partnership-qualified product instead of a conventional LTCI product, it may cost the state more if they need large amounts of long-term care because of the state's inability to recover assets. Thus far, it is unclear whether the program has successfully targeted the individuals most likely to use Medicaid, or whether those who have purchased the policies are individuals who would not have purchased LTCI otherwise. In Minnesota, some in the LTCI industry have complained that the minimum requirements for a Partnership-qualified policy would make these policies more expensive and harder to sell, e.g., inflation protection, minimum coverage thresholds. The current lack of reciprocity among states is also cited as a drawback to the purchase of these products.

### **Report's recommendation regarding Partnership for LTC:**

#### **2. Partnership for Long-Term Care Program**

- Monitor developments at the federal level regarding authorization to expand the Partnership program to other states.
- Study the possibility of broadening the Partnership concept and allowing private dollars invested in long-term care through other products in addition to LTCI to count toward asset protection.

\*Additional fiscal analysis regarding Partnership for LTC will be included in a report from the University of Minnesota's State Health Access Data Assistance Center (SHADAC) in March 2005.

**Additional Information about the Partnership for LTC from Appendix B of the report follows. The complete report is available on the DHS Web site at:**

[http://www.dhs.state.mn.us/main/groups/aging/documents/pub/dhs\\_id\\_025734.hcsp](http://www.dhs.state.mn.us/main/groups/aging/documents/pub/dhs_id_025734.hcsp)

**Public and Private Financing of Long-Term Care**  
**Detailed Descriptions of Options**  
**January 2005**

**Table 2: Basic Plan Elements**

Tool	Partnership Program for Long-Term Care
Who is Eligible?	Residents of one of the four states “grandfathered” in to the Program before the OBRA language took effect. Those purchasing also need to be eligible to purchase long-term care insurance.
Payment, Administration, Services, Limits on Services	These elements will generally be the same as when one purchases a long-term care insurance policy in any other state. The insurer must meet certain requirements before offering the product, and though aimed at being higher quality, the product may contain certain limits on services. In terms of administration, the difference lies in asset requirements for determining Medicaid eligibility.
Portability or Flexibility of Plan	<p>In 2001 CMS approved legislation creating reciprocity between Connecticut's and Indiana's Medicaid programs for granting asset protection in the determination of Medicaid eligibility. The Connecticut and Indiana reciprocity agreement is the first of its kind in the country and represents the first step in portability of the Medicaid asset protection benefit. Under the agreement, Indiana Partnership policyholders who move to Connecticut will be able to receive dollar-for-dollar Medicaid asset protection if they apply to Connecticut's Medicaid program. The same is true for Connecticut policyholders who relocate to Indiana and apply to Indiana's Medicaid program.</p> <p>For the other states, policy holders could probably access care in states accepting their policy, but to retain asset protection, they would need to spend down in the state in which protection was purchased.</p>
Status of the option in Minnesota or other states	<p>21 states initiated legislative activity to establish a Partnership. The current OBRA language has prevented the programs from coming into effect. Ongoing efforts in other states include planning activities, statutory changes to facilitate development of Partnership programs and appeals to the US Congress to repeal restrictions enacted in 1993 that have stymied Partnership expansion.</p> <p>Minnesota has introduced legislation that would introduce the Partnership Program and to nationally repeal the federal statute. This legislation has not yet passed.</p> <p>The Partnership model continues to operate in the original four states: Connecticut, New York, Indiana and California.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Connecticut: Began in March 1992</p> <p>The Dollar for Dollar Partnership model allows consumers to purchase an amount of private coverage equal to the amount of assets that they wish to protect. Generally, the minimum policy must cover at least one year in a nursing home. If and when the private insurance benefits are utilized, the amount of private insurance benefits that was paid out for long-term care services is disregarded in determining eligibility for Medicaid. As with all Medicaid clients, policyholders who become eligible for Medicaid must contribute their income towards the cost of care under Medicaid</p>

**Public and Private Financing of Long-Term Care**  
**Detailed Descriptions of Options**  
**January 2005**

Tool	Partnership Program for Long-Term Care
	<p style="text-align: center;">New York: Began in April 1993</p> <p>The Total Assets model adopted by New York requires that consumers purchase three years of private coverage for the initial period of care, but then does not require any further contribution of the policyholder's assets once the private benefits have been exhausted. A minimum of three years of nursing home and six years of home care coverage, or a combination of the two, is required. After these private benefits are exhausted, none of the policyholder's assets will be considered in the determination of Medicaid eligibility, although the policyholder must contribute his/her income towards the cost of care.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Indiana: Began in May 1993</p> <p>Indiana initially adopted the Dollar for Dollar model, but in March 1998 changed to a combination of the Total Assets and Dollar for Dollar Models. Purchasers receive Total Asset protection if they purchase a policy having at least a state-defined amount of coverage (\$140,000 in 1998, \$147,000 in 1999, \$154,350 in 2000 and increasing annually on January 1 for new policies purchased during that year) and Dollar for Dollar protection if the policy has less than that amount of coverage. Policies purchased prior to March 1998 were grandfathered into Total Asset protection if their original maximum policy amount was at least \$140,000.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">California: Began in August 1994</p> <p>The Dollar for Dollar Partnership model allows consumers to purchase an amount of private coverage equal to the amount of assets that they wish to protect. Generally, the minimum policy must cover at least one year in a nursing home. If and when the private insurance benefits are utilized, the amount of private insurance benefits that was paid out for long term care services is disregarded in determining eligibility for Medicaid. As with all Medicaid clients, policyholders who become eligible for Medicaid must contribute their income towards the cost of care under Medicaid</p>
Potential Market or Portion of Market this Option Occupies	Currently the program operates in only four states. However, if the OBRA language were repealed, a potential market could exist in any state. The market would be similar to the current market for long-term care insurance, though may be larger due to the added incentive of protecting private assets. One statistic projects that the Partnership doubles the size of the potential market.
Research Findings	<p>Those states participating in the Partnership have found the program must be simple, agents must be viewed as partners, the policies should be comparable to non-partnership policies and effective focus is on younger purchasers.</p> <p>One reviewer noted that as a product promoting the integration of the public and private sectors, Partnership has taken hits from both sides of the ideological perspective, yet retains bipartisan support in its</p>



**Public and Private Financing of Long-Term Care**  
**Detailed Descriptions of Options**  
**January 2005**

Tool	Partnership Program for Long-Term Care
	<p>communities.</p> <p>A review entitled, "Long-Term Care Partnership Program: Issues and Options" found that the program has not had a major impact on financing LTC in states with the program. The study calls the program's results to date "modest."</p> <p>Another reviewer has noted that the Partnership's assumption that forgiveness of the Medicaid spend-down requirement would act as an incentive to buy long-term care insurance was wrong.</p>
Characteristics of Current Users	The partnership was intended to attract users who would not otherwise buy LTC insurance because of the asset protection from spend-down.

**Table 3: Pros/Cons**

Pros (advantages)	Cons (disadvantages)
The Partnerships provide an incentive for insurers to offer high quality products and for consumers to protect themselves from the high cost of long-term care.	The 1993 OBRA language effectively removes incentives for states to offer a Partnership program.
The program helps to avoid Medicaid gaming as well as impoverishment.	Still involves an insurance product, therefore many people think they will never have a need for this product.
Improves the working relationship between the states and insurance providers.	So far, there are no clear savings to Medicaid. It may be too early to determine whether there are clear savings to Medicaid.
The program mitigates means testing concerns.	It is unclear whether the partnership attracts its target audience. So far, more people with middle class incomes have purchased, as opposed to those with modest means.
Improves consumer protection. Partnership policies are more likely to include inflation protection and offer coverage of home-based care.	Weak demand: despite the developments of products with improved consumer protection, overall demand for LTCI remains low.

**Bibliography**

Ahlstrom, Alexis, et. al. "The Long-Term Care Partnership Program: Issues and Options." The Health Strategies Consultancy, LLC. The Pew Charitable Trusts, George Washington University, The Brookings Institution.

McCall, Nelda, "Where Do We Go From Here?," *Who Will Pay for Long-Term Care? Insights from the Partnership Programs*. Ed. Nelda McCall. Academy for Health Services Research and Health Policy/Health Administration Press Book, 2001.

**Public and Private Financing of Long-Term Care**  
**Detailed Descriptions of Options**  
**January 2005**

Meiners, Mark. "Partnerships for Long-Term Care Program" Presented at Minnesota DHS Videoconference Briefing: "Insurance Options," Friday March 05, 2004.

Meiners, Mark, et. al. "Partnership Insurance: An Innovation to meet Long-Term Care Financing Needs in an Era of Federal Minimalism." The Hayworth Press, 2002.

Moses, Stephen, "The Long Term Care Partnership Program: Why it Failed and How to Fix It," *Who Will Pay for Long-Term Care? Insights from the Partnership Programs*. Ed. Nelda McCall. Academy for Health Services Research and Health Policy/Health Administration Press Book, 2001.

"News Archive: Experiment in Financing Long-Term Care Insurance Yields Mixed Results" The Health Strategies Consultancy. December 21, 2004. Available at:  
<http://www.healthstrategies.net/about/archive/20041221.html>

"Partnership for Long-Term Care." University of Maryland Center on Aging. Information available at: <http://www.hhp.umd.edu/AGING/PLTC/>

*Who Will Pay for Long-Term Care? Insights from the Partnership Programs*. Ed. Nelda McCall. Academy for Health Services Research and Health Policy/Health Administration Press Book, 2001.

## Senate Counsel & Research

G-17 STATE CAPITOL  
75 REV. DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. BLVD.  
ST. PAUL, MN 55155-1606  
(651) 296-4791  
FAX (651) 296-7747  
JO ANNE ZOFF SELLNER  
DIRECTOR

# Senate

---

## State of Minnesota

### COUNSEL


PETER S. WATTSON  
JOHN C. FULLER  
BONNIE L. BEREZOVSKY  
DANIEL P. MCGOWAN  
KATHLEEN E. PONTIUS  
PATRICIA A. LIEN  
KATHERINE T. CAVANOR  
CHRISTOPHER B. STANG  
KENNETH P. BACKHUS  
CAROL E. BAKER  
JOAN E. WHITE  
THOMAS S. BOTTERN  
ANN MARIE BUTLER

### LEGISLATIVE ANALYSTS

VID GIEL  
GORY C. KNOPFF  
THEW GROSSER  
DANIEL L. MUELLER  
JACK PAULSON  
CHRIS L. TURNER  
AMY M. VENNEWITZ  
MAJA WEIDMANN

## S.F. No. 687 - Hospice Care Amendments

**Author:** Senator Linda Higgins

**Prepared by:** David Giel, Senate Research (651/296-7178) 

**Date:** February 14, 2005

---

**S.F. No. 687** makes a number of amendments to various laws governing or relating to hospice care.

**Section 1 (144A.751, subdivision 1)** modifies the hospice care bill of rights as follows:

- it limits the application of the bill of rights to the individual receiving services by excluding the current reference to the individual's family;
- it expands the right to know in advance of receiving care whether the services are covered by third-party payers by adding Medicare to the list of payers, and it deletes the right to know in advance the charges for services that will not be covered by Medicare and the charges the individual may have to pay;
- it replaces the current right to know what the charges are for services, no matter who will be paying, with the right to receive, upon request, a good-faith estimate of the third-party reimbursement the hospice provider expects to receive;
- it reorder the list of rights so that number 18 becomes number 15; and

687

February 14, 2005

Page 2

- it adds to the list of exceptions for not providing ten days' advance notice of service termination cases where the recipient is no longer certified as terminally ill.

**Section 2 (144A.755)** requires the Commissioner of Health to mandate that hospice providers complete and submit a national data set survey to Hospice Minnesota as a condition of licensure.

**Section 3 (383B.225, subdivision 5)** modifies the statute governing mandatory death reports to the Hennepin County Medical Examiner. It requires the death of a person receiving hospice care to be reported if the person was not seen by a doctor within 180 days of death, rather than the 90 days currently specified.

**Section 4** makes section 2 effective January 1, 2006.

DG:rdx

Senators Higgins, Kiscaden, Fischbach and Kelley introduced--  
S.F. No. 687: Referred to the Committee on Health and Family Security.

1 A bill for an act

2 relating to health; modifying the hospice care bill of  
3 rights; requiring hospice providers to complete a  
4 specified survey; modifying death report requirements  
5 for recipients of hospice care; amending Minnesota  
6 Statutes 2004, sections 144A.751, subdivision 1;  
7 144A.755; 383B.225, subdivision 5.

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:

9 Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2004, section 144A.751,  
10 subdivision 1, is amended to read:

11 Subdivision 1. [STATEMENT OF RIGHTS.] An individual who  
12 receives hospice care ~~and the individual's family have~~ has the  
13 right to:

14 (1) receive written information about rights in advance of  
15 receiving hospice care or during the initial evaluation visit  
16 before the initiation of hospice care, including what to do if  
17 rights are violated;

18 (2) receive care and services according to a suitable  
19 hospice plan of care and subject to accepted hospice care  
20 standards and to take an active part in creating and changing  
21 the plan and evaluating care and services;

22 (3) be told in advance of receiving care about the services  
23 that will be provided, the disciplines that will furnish care,  
24 the frequency of visits proposed to be furnished, other choices  
25 that are available, and the consequence of these choices,  
26 including the consequences of refusing these services;

1 (4) be told in advance, whenever possible, of any change in  
2 the hospice plan of care and to take an active part in any  
3 change;

4 (5) refuse services or treatment;

5 (6) know, in advance, any limits to the services available  
6 from a provider, and the provider's grounds for a termination of  
7 services;

8 (7) know in advance of receiving care whether the services  
9 are covered by health insurance, medical assistance, Medicare,  
10 or other health programs, ~~the charges for services that will not~~  
11 ~~be covered by Medicare, and the charges that the individual may~~  
12 ~~have to pay;~~

13 (8) ~~know what the charges are for services, no matter who~~  
14 ~~will be paying the bill~~ receive, upon request, a good faith  
15 estimate of the reimbursement the provider expects to receive  
16 from the health plan company in which the individual is  
17 enrolled. A good faith estimate must also be made available at  
18 the request of an individual who is not enrolled in a health  
19 plan company. This payment information does not constitute a  
20 legally binding estimate of the cost of services;

21 (9) know that there may be other services available in the  
22 community, including other end of life services and other  
23 hospice providers, and know where to go for information about  
24 these services;

25 (10) choose freely among available providers and change  
26 providers after services have begun, within the limits of health  
27 insurance, medical assistance, or other health programs;

28 (11) have personal, financial, and medical information kept  
29 private and be advised of the provider's policies and procedures  
30 regarding disclosure of such information;

31 (12) be allowed access to records and written information  
32 from records according to section 144.335;

33 (13) be served by people who are properly trained and  
34 competent to perform their duties;

35 (14) be treated with courtesy and respect and to have the  
36 patient's property treated with respect;

1       (15) voice grievances regarding treatment or care that is,  
 2 or fails to be, furnished or regarding the lack of courtesy or  
 3 respect to the patient or the patient's property;

4       (16) be free from physical and verbal abuse;

5       ~~(16)~~ (17) reasonable, advance notice of changes in services  
 6 or charges, including at least ten days' advance notice of the  
 7 termination of a service by a provider, except in cases where:

8       (i) the recipient of services engages in conduct that  
 9 alters the conditions of employment as specified in the  
 10 employment contract between the hospice provider and the  
 11 individual providing hospice services, or creates an abusive or  
 12 unsafe work environment for the individual providing home-care  
 13 hospice services; or

14       (ii) an emergency for the informal caregiver or a  
 15 significant change in the recipient's condition has resulted in  
 16 service needs that exceed the current service provider agreement  
 17 and that cannot be safely met by the hospice provider; or

18       (iii) the recipient is no longer certified as terminally  
 19 ill;

20       ~~(17)~~ (18) a coordinated transfer when there will be a  
 21 change in the provider of services;

22       ~~(18)-voice-grievances-regarding-treatment-or-care-that-is,~~  
 23 ~~or-fails-to-be,-furnished,-or-regarding-the-lack-of-courtesy-or~~  
 24 ~~respect-to-the-patient-or-the-patient's-property;~~

25       (19) know how to contact an individual associated with the  
 26 provider who is responsible for handling problems and to have  
 27 the provider investigate and attempt to resolve the grievance or  
 28 complaint;

29       (20) know the name and address of the state or county  
 30 agency to contact for additional information or assistance;

31       (21) assert these rights personally, or have them asserted  
 32 by the hospice patient's family when the patient has been judged  
 33 incompetent, without retaliation; and

34       (22) have pain and symptoms managed to the patient's  
 35 desired level of comfort.

36       Sec. 2. Minnesota Statutes 2004, section 144A.755, is

1 amended to read:

2 144A.755 [INFORMATION AND REFERRAL SERVICES.]

3 The commissioner shall ensure that information and referral  
4 services relating to hospice care are available in all regions  
5 of the state. The commissioner shall collect and make available  
6 information about available hospice care, sources of payment,  
7 providers, and the rights of patients. The commissioner may  
8 shall require hospice providers to ~~provide-information-requested~~  
9 ~~for-the-purposes-of-this-section~~ complete the National Hospice  
10 and Palliative Care Organization national data set survey and  
11 submit the survey to Hospice Minnesota as a condition of  
12 licensure. The commissioner may publish and make available:

13 (1) general information describing hospice care in the  
14 state;

15 (2) limitations on hours, availability of services, and  
16 eligibility for third-party payments, applicable to individual  
17 providers; and

18 (3) other information the commissioner determines to be  
19 appropriate.

20 Sec. 3. Minnesota Statutes 2004, section 383B.225,  
21 subdivision 5, is amended to read:

22 Subd. 5. [REPORTS OF DEATH.] All sudden or unexpected  
23 deaths and all deaths which may be due entirely, or in part, to  
24 any factor other than natural disease must be reported to the  
25 medical examiner for evaluation. These include, but are not  
26 limited to:

27 (1) unnatural deaths, including violent deaths arising from  
28 homicide, suicide, or accident;

29 (2) deaths associated with burns or chemical, electrical,  
30 or radiational injury;

31 (3) maternal deaths due to abortion;

32 (4) deaths under suspicious circumstances;

33 (5) deaths of inmates of public institutions who have not  
34 been hospitalized primarily for organic disease and deaths of  
35 persons in custody of law enforcement officers;

36 (6) deaths that occur during, in association with, or as



1 the result of diagnostic, therapeutic, or anesthetic procedures;

2 (7) deaths due to neglect;

3 (8) stillbirths of 20 weeks or longer gestation unattended  
4 by a physician;

5 (9) sudden deaths of persons not disabled by recognizable  
6 disease;

7 (10) unexpected deaths of persons notwithstanding a history  
8 of underlying disease;

9 (11) deaths of persons to be cremated if an autopsy was not  
10 performed;

11 (12) deaths in which a fracture of a major bone such as a  
12 femur, humerus, or tibia, has occurred within the past six  
13 months;

14 (13) deaths unattended by a physician occurring outside of  
15 a licensed health care facility;

16 (14) deaths of persons not seen by their physician within  
17 90 days of demise, or within 180 days for deaths of persons  
18 under the care of a licensed hospice provider;

19 (15) physician attended deaths of persons occurring in an  
20 emergency department; or

21 (16) deaths of unborn or newborn infants in which there has  
22 been maternal use of or exposure to unprescribed controlled  
23 substances.

24 No person, other than the medical examiner, shall issue a  
25 record of death in cases of accidental, suicidal, violent, or  
26 mysterious deaths, including suspected homicides, occurring in  
27 the county.

28 Sec. 4. [EFFECTIVE DATE.]

29 Section 2 is effective January 1, 2006.

1 Senator ..... moves to amend S.F. No. 687 as follows:

2 Page 2, line 8, after "the" insert "hospice"

3 Page 2, line 9, strike "are" and insert "may be"

4 Page 2, line 12, before the semicolon, insert "in which the

5 individual is enrolled"

6 Page 2, line 27, after the second comma, insert "Medicare,"

7 Page 3, lines 9 and 10, strike "as specified in the

8 employment contract"

9 Page 3, after line 35, insert:

10 "Sec. 2. Minnesota Statutes 2004, section 144A.751,

11 subdivision 3, is amended to read:

12 Subd. 3. [DISCLOSURE.] A copy of these rights must be

3 provided to an individual at the time hospice care is

14 initiated. The copy shall contain the address and telephone

15 number of the Office of Health Facility Complaints and the

16 Office of the Ombudsman for Older Minnesotans and a brief

17 statement describing how to file a complaint with these

18 offices. Information about how to contact the Office of the

19 Ombudsman for Older Minnesotans shall be included in notices of

20 change in ~~client~~ provider fees and in notices where hospice

21 providers initiate transfer or discontinuation of services."

22 Pages 3 and 4, delete section 2

3 Page 5, delete section 4

24 Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal

25 references

26 Amend the title accordingly

## Senate Counsel & Research

G-17 STATE CAPITOL  
REV. DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. BLVD.  
ST. PAUL, MN 55155-1606  
(651) 296-4791  
FAX (651) 296-7747

JO ANNE ZOFF SELLNER  
DIRECTOR

## Senate

---

### State of Minnesota

#### COUNSEL

PETER S. WATTSON  
JOHN C. FULLER  
BONNIE L. BEREZOVSKY  
DANIEL P. MCGOWAN  
KATHLEEN E. PONTIUS  
PATRICIA A. LIEN  
KATHERINE T. CAVANOR  
CHRISTOPHER B. STANG  
KENNETH P. BACKHUS  
CAROL E. BAKER  
JOAN E. WHITE  
THOMAS S. BOTTERN  
ANN MARIE BUTLER

#### LEGISLATIVE ANALYSTS

GIEL  
JRY C. KNOPFF  
THEW GROSSER  
DANIEL L. MUELLER  
JACK PAULSON  
CHRIS L. TURNER  
AMY M. VENNEWITZ  
MAJA WEIDMANN

## S.F. No. 811 - Provider Rate Increases, Nursing Facility Health Insurance Pool, Etc.

**Author:** Senator Becky Lourey

**Prepared by:** David Giel, Senate Research (651/296-7178) 

**Date:** February 11, 2005

---

**S.F. No. 811** provides three percent rate increases each year of the biennium for nursing facilities and a variety of community-based providers. It establishes a nursing facility health insurance assessment, offset by an equivalent rate increase, and creates a board to develop a self-insured purchasing pool for nursing facility employees. It reinstates the requirement that nursing facilities file an annual cost report. It increases the minimum nursing hours requirement at a nursing facility to 4.1 hours per day. It requires a review of the nursing assistant and home health aide training curriculum. It appropriates money for these purposes.

**Section 1 (256B.431, subdivision 40)** provides a three percent nursing facility operating rate increase each year of the coming biennium. The additional money must be used to increase compensation for nonmanagement employees or to add nonadministrative staff. Policies that have been applied to rate increases granted in prior years are restated: (1) facilities must apply to the Department of Human Services (DHS) for the rate increase, and (2) facilities must develop a plan to distribute the funds as permitted under this section. The facility must give each employee a copy of the plan or post it in an accessible area.

**Section 2 (256B.4351)** establishes a nursing facility health insurance assessment.

**Subdivision 1** establishes an assessment of 10 cents per staff hour worked each month. Facilities are exempt if they provide health insurance that meets specified requirements.

**Subdivision 2** requires the assessments to be deposited in a health insurance purchasing pool account.

**Subdivision 3** provides a nursing facility rate increase equal to the assessment or, for exempt facilities, to what the assessment would have been if the facility was not exempt.

**Section 3 (256B.4352)** establishes a Nursing Facility Health Insurance Board, outlines its duties, and establishes parameters for the health insurance purchasing pool.

**Subdivision 1** requires DHS to appoint a ten-member board, consisting of five nursing facility representatives and five employee representatives.

**Subdivision 2** outlines the board's duties to design a purchasing pool that meets the criteria in subdivision 3, develop an implementation plan, and, upon legislative approval, implement and administer the pool.

**Subdivision 3** establishes parameters for the design of the purchasing pool. The design must describe coverage, eligibility requirements, minimum employer contributions, specify how the facility assessment will be allocated between a reserve fund and premium subsidies, etc.

**Subdivision 4** requires the board to report to the Legislature by December 15, 2005.

**Section 4** provides a three percent rate increase each year for a variety of community-based providers, which must also be used for nonadministrative compensation increases or to add nonadministrative staff. Policies that have been applied to rate increases granted in prior years are restated. These providers are not required to apply for the rate increase but must give each employee a copy of the distribution plan or post it in an accessible area.

**Section 5** reinstates the requirement that nursing facilities file annual cost reports. The reports must satisfy the requirements of, and are subject to the penalties provided in, Minnesota Statutes, section 256B.440, which required facilities to provide information in 2004 to be used to develop a new nursing home reimbursement system.

**Section 6** increases the nursing facility minimum nursing hours requirement to 4.1 hours per resident day, including 2.8 hours of certified nursing assistant care, and 1.3 hours of licensed practical nursing (LPN) or registered nurse (RN) care, of which .75 hours must be provided by an RN. This section also requires nursing facility payment rates to be reasonable and adequate to meet the costs of satisfying these staffing requirements.

**Section 7** requires a review of the training curriculum for nursing assistants and home health aides and a report in 2006 with recommendations for updating the curriculum.

811

February 11, 2005

Page 3

**Section 8** requires DHS, in coordination with the Minnesota Department of Health, to establish a task force to develop a plan to reduce nursing facility bed capacity, with the goal of developing a smaller, well-supported system while utilizing a variety of community-based services. A report is due by December 15, 2007.

**Section 9** is a blank appropriation for the costs of sections 1 to 8.

DG:rdr



**TESTIMONY OF KARINA ALLEN, AARP MINNESOTA HEALTH AND  
LONG-TERM CARE TEAM MEMBER  
BEFORE THE  
SENATE HEALTH AND FAMILY SECURITY COMMITTEE**

**FEBRUARY 17, 2004**

Thank you for the opportunity to testify today about Senate File 811. I am Karina Allen, Co-Chair of AARP Minnesota's Health and Long-term Care Team, and I would like to specifically speak to Sections 5 and 6 of the bill, addressing the issues of cost reporting and improving the current staffing standards required in long-term care facilities.

One of AARP Minnesota's top priorities is to improve the quality of care for the vulnerable nursing home and assisted living residents in our state. For decades, we have been advocating on behalf of the frail and elderly – who often cannot speak for themselves. We believe that this bill in its entirety will improve resident care.

Section 5 requires facilities to file annual statistical and cost reports in detail to the state as a way to transition to a new reimbursement system that rewards facilities for quality care. AARP supports the provision in Governor Pawlenty's budget that helps facilities move toward this new reimbursement system, because we believe that it will provide incentives to facilities to improve the quality of care for residents. This section also provides taxpayer accountability by requiring that any new funds be spent on the goal of improving staffing needs.

Section 6 addresses AARP's concern about Minnesota's inadequate staffing standards. AARP is concerned that when it comes to staffing, we are moving in the wrong direction in Minnesota– away from quality care for all nursing home residents. Staff are overworked and often burn out quickly. Quality care suffers

as a result. We believe that the most effective way to improve the quality of care in Minnesota's nursing homes is to raise the level of staffing and increase the direct care hours spent between a resident and a member of the staff.

AARP has found in surveys of its members that the overwhelming majority of Minnesotans want increased enforcement of standards and better staffing in our nursing homes. Nearly nine in ten would support legislation to increase staffing levels to ensure the proper amount of face-to-face and hands-on care. Clearly, we have a strong tradition in Minnesota of ensuring quality care and we want that to continue.

We know that adequate, high quality staffing is essential to quality care and we believe that Minnesota is facing a staffing crisis. Minnesota currently ranks 47<sup>th</sup> out of 50 states when it comes to staffing levels for direct care in nursing homes. Facilities in Minnesota are required to spend two hours per day per resident, while the federally-identified minimum standard -- as stated by the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services -- is 4.1 hours. This bill raises our standard to that minimum of 4.1 hours per resident per day, beginning July 2005.

Clearly, Minnesota must improve this staffing standard and immediately address the current staff levels in our nursing homes

We strongly urge you to support this bill.

Thank you.

*An initiative of the Seniors and Workers for Quality Coalition....*

**“Quality Staffing, Quality Care” – SF 811**

**Senate Health and Family Security hearing  
February 17, 2005 - noon**

“Quality Staffing, Quality Care” is based on the principle that attaining quality in long-term care begins with attracting, supporting, and maintaining the long-term care workforce, particularly those who deliver “hands on” care. To that end, the bill proposes:

- cost of living adjustments (3% for each of the next two years) targeted directly to workers in residential, community and home care services
- an insurance pooling approach to provide health care coverage for nursing facility workers
- updated nursing home staffing levels to ease the pressure of “working short,” with a provision to assure that MN’s nursing facility payment rates be reasonable and adequate to cover costs incurred in facilities that are operated efficiently and economically
- continued development of a data-driven nursing home reimbursement system that rewards quality
- review of the core curriculum for nursing assistants and home health aides
- strategic reduction of nursing facility buildings with the perspective that a decentralized, consumer-driven long-term care system will require the growth and development of an expanded workforce for care. Therefore, the savings should be used to support the development of the workforce for increasingly specialized facility care and increasingly diverse community care.

**Seniors and Workers for Quality, a coalition of AARP, the Alzheimer’s Association, ElderCare Rights Alliance, MN Nurses Association, National Association of Social Workers-MN, the Union Coalition of Long-Term Care Workers, and the League of Women Voters. For further information, contact Iris C. Freeman, 612-834-4747.**



Senators Lourey, Koering, Higgins, Kiscaden and Wergin introduced--  
S.F. No. 811: Referred to the Committee on Health and Family Security.

1 A bill for an act

2 relating to human services; requiring the Department  
3 of Human Services to increase nursing facility and  
4 community services payment rates; appropriating money;  
5 amending Minnesota Statutes 2004, sections 256B.431,  
6 by adding a subdivision; proposing coding for new law  
7 in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 256B.

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:

9 Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2004, section 256B.431, is  
10 amended by adding a subdivision to read:

11 Subd. 40. [NURSING FACILITY RATE INCREASE FOR JULY 1, 2005  
12 AND JULY 1, 2006.] (a) For each of the rate years beginning July  
13 1, 2005, and July 1, 2006, the commissioner shall provide to  
14 each nursing facility reimbursed under this section or section  
15 256B.434 an adjustment equal to 3.0 percent of the total  
16 operating payment rate.

17 (b) Money resulting from the rate adjustment under  
18 paragraph (a) must be used to:

19 (1) increase wages and benefits and pay associated costs  
20 for employees except management fees, the administrator, and  
21 central office staff; or

22 (2) add staff, other than administrative personnel, above  
23 the facility's average staff complement for the previous year.

24 (c) Money received by a facility as a result of the rate  
25 adjustment provided in paragraph (a), which must be used as  
26 provided in paragraph (b), must be used only for wage, benefit,

1 and staff increases implemented on or after July 1, 2005, and  
2 must not be used for increases implemented prior to that date.

3 (d) Nursing facilities may apply for the portions of the  
4 rate adjustment under paragraph (a), which must be used as  
5 provided in paragraph (b). The application must be made to the  
6 commissioner and contain a plan by which the nursing facility  
7 will distribute the funds according to paragraph (b). For  
8 nursing facilities in which the employees are represented by an  
9 exclusive bargaining representative, an agreement negotiated and  
10 agreed to by the employer and the exclusive bargaining  
11 representative constitutes the plan. A negotiated agreement may  
12 constitute the plan only if the agreement is finalized after the  
13 date of enactment of all increases for the rate year and signed  
14 by both parties prior to submission to the commissioner. The  
15 commissioner shall review the plan to ensure that the rate  
16 adjustments are used as provided in paragraph (b). To be  
17 eligible, a facility must submit its distribution plan by  
18 December 31, 2005. If a facility's distribution plan is  
19 effective after July 1, 2005, the portion of the rate  
20 adjustments, which must be used as provided in paragraph (b),  
21 are effective the same date as the facility's plan.

22 (e) A copy of the approved distribution plan must be made  
23 available to all employees by giving each employee a copy or by  
24 posting a copy in an area of the nursing facility to which all  
25 employees have access. If an employee does not receive the wage  
26 and benefit adjustment described in the facility's approved plan  
27 and is unable to resolve the problem with the facility's  
28 management or through the employee's union representative, the  
29 employee may contact the commissioner at an address or telephone  
30 number provided by the commissioner and included in the approved  
31 plan.

32 (f) Notwithstanding section 256B.48, subdivision 1,  
33 paragraph (a), upon the request of a nursing facility, the  
34 commissioner may authorize the facility to raise per diem rates  
35 for private-pay residents on July 1 by the amount anticipated to  
36 be required upon implementation of the rate adjustments

1 allowable under paragraph (a). The commissioner shall require  
2 any amounts collected under this paragraph, which must be used  
3 as provided in paragraph (b), to be placed in an escrow account  
4 established for this purpose with a financial institution that  
5 provides deposit insurance until the medical assistance rate is  
6 finalized. The commissioner shall conduct audits as necessary  
7 to ensure that:

8 (1) the amounts collected are retained in escrow until  
9 medical assistance rates are increased to reflect the rate  
10 adjustment; and

11 (2) any amounts collected from private-pay residents in  
12 excess of the final medical assistance rate are repaid to the  
13 private-pay residents with interest at the rate used by the  
14 commissioner of revenue for the late payment of taxes and in  
15 effect on the date the distribution plan is approved by the  
16 commissioner of human services.

17 Sec. 2. [256B.4351] [ASSESSMENT FOR HEALTH INSURANCE.]

18 Subdivision 1. [NURSING FACILITY ASSESSMENT.] (a)  
19 Effective July 1, 2005, each nursing facility with rates set  
20 under sections 256B.431 and 256B.435 shall pay to the  
21 commissioner, on a monthly basis, an assessment of ten cents per  
22 staff hour worked each month, according to the payment procedure  
23 established by the commissioner.

24 (b) Nursing facilities are exempt from the assessment in  
25 paragraph (a) if they:

26 (1) provide health coverage that is actuarially equivalent  
27 to a qualified number two plan under section 62E.06;

28 (2) pay for at least 80 percent of the cost of  
29 employee-only coverage; and

30 (3) have a participation rate of at least 70 percent of  
31 employees.

32 Subd. 2. [HEALTH INSURANCE PURCHASING POOL ACCOUNT.] The  
33 commissioner shall establish a health insurance purchasing pool  
34 account in the general fund and shall deposit all payments  
35 received under this section into that account.

36 Subd. 3. [RATE INCREASE.] Effective July 1, 2005, the

1 commissioner shall increase rates of all nursing facilities  
2 reimbursed under sections 256B.431 and 256B.435 by an amount  
3 equal to the assessment paid under subdivision 1. For  
4 facilities meeting the criteria in subdivision 1, paragraph (b),  
5 the commissioner shall increase reimbursement rates by an amount  
6 equal to the assessment that would have been paid had the  
7 facilities not been exempt.

8       Sec. 3. [256B.4352] [NURSING FACILITY HEALTH INSURANCE  
9 BOARD.]

10       Subdivision 1. [ESTABLISHMENT.] The commissioner of human  
11 services shall appoint a ten-member nursing facility health  
12 insurance board, consisting of five representatives of nursing  
13 facility operators and five representatives of nursing facility  
14 employees. The commissioner shall provide technical support to  
15 the board. The board is governed by section 15.0575.

16       Subd. 2. [DUTIES.] The board shall:

17       (1) design a self-insured health insurance purchasing pool  
18 that meets the criteria in subdivision 3;

19       (2) develop a timeline and plan for implementation of the  
20 purchasing pool; and

21       (3) upon a legislative approval, implement and administer  
22 the purchasing pool.

23       Subd. 3. [DESIGN OF PURCHASING POOL.] The design for the  
24 health insurance purchasing pool must:

25       (1) describe the health insurance coverage to be provided,  
26 the estimated cost of coverage to employees, and any  
27 cost-sharing requirements;

28       (2) specify eligibility requirements for employees and  
29 nursing facilities;

30       (3) specify the minimum levels of employer contributions  
31 toward the cost of health coverage;

32       (4) require nursing facilities purchasing coverage through  
33 the pool to remain members of the pool for a specified minimum  
34 time period;

35       (5) provide subsidies for the employee share of health  
36 insurance premiums; and

1 (6) specify how the funds provided through the assessment  
2 established under section 256B.436 will be allocated between a  
3 reserve fund and premium subsidies for employees.

4 Subd. 4. [REPORT TO LEGISLATURE.] The board shall present  
5 a report to the legislature by December 15, 2005, on the design,  
6 implementation, and administration of a health insurance  
7 purchasing pool for nursing facility employees.

8 Sec. 4. [COMMUNITY SERVICES PROVIDER RATE INCREASES.]

9 (a) The commissioner of human services shall increase  
10 reimbursement rates by 3.0 percent for each of the rate years  
11 beginning July 1, 2005, and July 1, 2006, effective for services  
12 rendered on or after that date.

13 (b) The 3.0 percent annual rate increases described in this  
14 section must be provided to:

15 (1) home and community-based waived services for persons  
16 with mental retardation or related conditions under Minnesota  
17 Statutes, section 256B.501;

18 (2) home and community-based waived services for the  
19 elderly under Minnesota Statutes, section 256B.0915;

20 (3) waived services under community alternatives for  
21 disabled individuals under Minnesota Statutes, section 256B.49;

22 (4) community alternative care waived services under  
23 Minnesota Statutes, section 256B.49;

24 (5) traumatic brain injury waived services under  
25 Minnesota Statutes, section 256B.49;

26 (6) nursing services and home health services under  
27 Minnesota Statutes, section 256B.0625, subdivision 6a;

28 (7) personal care services and nursing supervision of  
29 personal care services under Minnesota Statutes, section  
30 256B.0625, subdivision 19a;

31 (8) private duty nursing services under Minnesota Statutes,  
32 section 256B.0625, subdivision 7;

33 (9) day training and habilitation services for adults with  
34 mental retardation or related conditions under Minnesota  
35 Statutes, sections 252.40 to 252.46;

36 (10) alternative care services under Minnesota Statutes,

1 section 256B.0913;

2 (11) adult residential program grants under Minnesota  
3 Rules, parts 9535.2000 to 9535.3000;

4 (12) adult and family community support grants under  
5 Minnesota Rules, parts 9535.1700 to 9535.1760;

6 (13) the group residential housing supplementary service  
7 rate under Minnesota Statutes, section 256I.05, subdivision 1a;

8 (14) adult mental health integrated fund grants under  
9 Minnesota Statutes, section 245.4661;

10 (15) semi-independent living services under Minnesota  
11 Statutes, section 252.275, including SILS funding under county  
12 social services grants formerly funded under Minnesota Statutes,  
13 chapter 256I;

14 (16) community support services for deaf and  
15 hard-of-hearing adults with mental illness who use or wish to  
16 use sign language as their primary means of communication; and

17 (17) living skills training programs for persons with  
18 intractable epilepsy who need assistance in the transition to  
19 independent living.

20 (c) Providers that receive a rate increase under this  
21 section shall use the additional revenue to:

22 (1) increase wages and benefits and pay associated costs  
23 for employees except management fees, the administrator, and  
24 central office staff; or

25 (2) add staff, other than administrative personnel, above  
26 the provider's average staff complement for the previous year.

27 (d) For public employees, the portion of this increase  
28 reserved to increase wages and benefits for certain staff is  
29 available and pay rates shall be increased only to the extent  
30 that they comply with laws governing public employees collective  
31 bargaining. Money received by a provider for pay increases  
32 under this section may be used only for increases implemented on  
33 or after the first day of the state fiscal year in which the  
34 increase is available and must not be used for increases  
35 implemented prior to that date.

36 (e) A copy of the provider's plan for complying with

1 paragraph (c) must be made available to all employees by giving  
2 each employee a copy or by posting a copy in an area of the  
3 provider's operation to which all employees have access. If an  
4 employee does not receive the adjustment described in the plan  
5 and is unable to resolve the problem with the provider, the  
6 employee may contact the employee's union representative. If  
7 the employee is not covered by a collective bargaining  
8 agreement, the employee may contact the commissioner at a  
9 telephone number provided by the commissioner and included in  
10 the provider's plan.

11 Sec. 5. [REPORTING OF STATISTICAL AND COST INFORMATION.]

12 (a) Nursing facilities shall annually file a statistical  
13 and cost report on or before December 15 for the reporting  
14 period ending September 30.

15 (b) Nursing facilities shall provide the information to the  
16 commissioner in no lesser detail than the information required  
17 on the form established by Minnesota Statutes, section 256B.440.

18 (c) The commissioner may reject a report filed by the  
19 nursing facility under this section if the commissioner  
20 determines that the report has been filed in a form that is  
21 incomplete or inaccurate. If a report is rejected or is not  
22 submitted in a timely manner, the commissioner shall reduce  
23 payments to a nursing facility to 85 percent of amounts due  
24 until the information is completely and accurately filed. The  
25 reinstatement of withheld payments shall be retroactive for no  
26 more than 90 days. A nursing facility whose report is rejected  
27 shall be given a notice of the rejection, the reasons for the  
28 rejection, and an opportunity to correct the report prior to any  
29 payment reduction. A nursing facility that does not submit a  
30 report shall be given a prior written notice of the payment  
31 reduction.

32 (d) The commissioner may determine, in consultation with  
33 stakeholders, additional items to be reported.

34 Sec. 6. [NURSING HOURS AND RATES.]

35 (a) On July 1, 2005, the required minimum nursing hours in  
36 nursing facilities licensed in Minnesota shall be increased to

1 4.1 per resident per day.

2 (b) The minimum staffing ratios are 2.8 hours per resident  
3 day of certified nursing assistant (CNA) care and 1.3 hours per  
4 resident day of care by an LPN or RN, of which 0.75 is provided  
5 by an RN.

6 (c) Staffing must be adjusted upward to meet residents'  
7 higher care needs.

8 (d) Nursing facility payment rates must be reasonable and  
9 adequate to meet the costs that must be incurred by efficiently  
10 and economically operated facilities to conform with the  
11 requirements established in paragraphs (a), (b), and (c).

12 Sec. 7. [NURSING ASSISTANT; HOME HEALTH AIDE CURRICULUM.]

13 (a) The commissioner of health, in consultation with  
14 long-term care consumers, advocates, unions, and trade  
15 associations, shall review the content of the current curriculum  
16 for the training of nursing assistants and home health aides.

17 (b) This review will identify how changes in the current  
18 training can improve students' caregiving skills, job  
19 satisfaction, and motivation to work in long-term care settings.  
20 These topics shall include, but not be limited to, working with  
21 challenging behaviors and terminal illnesses; improving  
22 communications with residents, families, and other employees;  
23 and understanding techniques for stress management and how  
24 stress in personal life can impact performance in the work  
25 setting. The review will also assess how the curriculum can be  
26 improved to address more effectively the issues of cultural  
27 diversity among employees and cultural issues in employees'  
28 relationships with residents and clients.

29 (c) By January 1, 2006, the commissioner shall submit the  
30 recommendations for updating the curriculum to the chairs of the  
31 legislative committees having jurisdiction over health care  
32 policy. The recommendations shall include implementation  
33 timelines and cost estimates for curriculum development and  
34 implementation.

35 Sec. 8. [LONG-TERM CARE SYSTEM PLANNING AND REDESIGN.]

36 (a) The commissioner of human services in consultation and



1 coordination with the commissioner of health shall create a  
2 long-term care task force to develop a plan to reduce nursing  
3 facility bed capacity. The goal is to develop a smaller,  
4 well-supported nursing home system while utilizing a variety of  
5 diverse services in the community. The task force shall address  
6 the following areas:

7 (1) develop explicit policy decisions for the future use of  
8 nursing homes for short-term and chronic care;

9 (2) define specific steps to protect consumers from  
10 problems accessing nursing homes, community services, and  
11 extended hospitalization; and

12 (3) develop a plan to utilize savings to fund facility and  
13 community care workforce development.

14 (b) The commissioner shall issue a report to the chairs of  
15 the legislative committees having jurisdiction over health care  
16 policy by December 15, 2007.

17 Sec. 9. [APPROPRIATIONS.]

18 \$..... is appropriated in fiscal years 2006 and 2007 from  
19 the general fund to the commissioner of human services for the  
20 purposes of sections 1 to 8.

1 Senator ..... moves to amend S.F. No. 811 as follows:

2 Pages 3 to 5, delete sections 2 and 3

3 Page 7, after line 33, insert:

4 "Sec. 4. [NURSING FACILITY HEALTH INSURANCE STUDY.]

5 (a) The commissioner of human services shall appoint a  
6 ten-member nursing facility health insurance task force,  
7 consisting of five representatives of nursing facility operators  
8 and five representatives of nursing facility employees. The  
9 commissioner shall provide technical support to the task force.

10 (b) The board shall:

11 (1) design a self-insured health insurance purchasing pool  
12 that meets the criteria in paragraph (c);

13 (2) develop a timeline and plan for implementation of the  
14 purchasing pool; and

15 (3) present a report to the legislature by December 15,  
16 2005, on the design, implementation, and administration of a  
17 health insurance purchasing pool for nursing facility employees.

18 (c) The design for the health insurance purchasing pool  
19 must:

20 (1) describe the health insurance coverage to be provided,  
21 the estimated cost of coverage to employees, and any  
22 cost-sharing requirements;

23 (2) specify eligibility requirements for employees and  
24 nursing facilities;

25 (3) specify the minimum levels of employer contributions  
26 toward the cost of health coverage;

27 (4) require nursing facilities purchasing coverage through  
28 the pool to remain members of the pool for a specified minimum  
29 time period;

30 (5) estimate subsidies for the employee share of health  
31 insurance premiums; and

32 (6) make recommendations to finance the purchasing pool."

33 Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal  
34 references

35 Amend the title accordingly

1 Senator ..... moves to amend S.F. No. 811 as follows:

2 Page 1, line 21, delete "or"

3 Page 1, line 23, after "year" insert "; or

4 (3) any combination of clauses (1) and (2)"

5 Page 1, line 25, delete "must" and insert "is to"

6 Page 1, line 26, after "(b)" insert ", clause (1)"

7 Page 2, line 1, after the second comma, insert "and July 1,

8 2006, respectively,"

9 Page 2, line 3, delete "portions of the"

10 Page 2, lines 4 and 5, delete ", which must be used as

11 provided in paragraph (b)"

12 Page 2, line 18, before the period, insert ", and December

13 31, 2006, respectively"

14 Page 2, line 19, delete "July 1, 2005, the portion of" and

15 insert "the first day of the applicable rate year that the funds

16 are available,"

17 Page 2, line 20, delete ", which must be used as provided

18 in paragraph (b),"

19 Page 2, line 26, after "adjustment" insert ", if any,"

20 Page 3, lines 2 and 3, delete ", which must be used as

21 provided in paragraph (b),"

22 Page 3, line 20, delete "256B.435" and insert "256B.434"

23 Page 4, line 2, delete "256B.435" and insert "256B.434"

24 Page 4, line 21, delete "a"

25 Page 5, line 2, delete "256B.436" and insert "256B.4351"

26 Page 5, after line 7, insert:

27 "Sec. 4. Minnesota Statutes 2004, section 256B.5012, is

28 amended by adding a subdivision to read:

29 Subd. 6. [ICF/MR RATE INCREASES BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2006,

30 AND JANUARY 1, 2007.] (a) For the rate years beginning January

31 1, 2006, and January 1, 2007, the commissioner shall make

32 available to each facility reimbursed under this section an

33 adjustment to the total operating payment rate of three percent.

34 (b) Money resulting from the rate adjustment under

35 paragraph (a) must be used to:

36 (1) increase wages and benefits and pay associated costs

1 for employees except administrative and central office  
2 employees;

3 (2) add staff, other than administrative personnel, above  
4 the facility's average staff complement for the previous year;  
5 or

6 (3) any combination of clauses (1) and (2).

7 (c) Money received by a facility as a result of the rate  
8 adjustment provided in paragraph (a), which is to be used as  
9 provided in paragraph (b), clause (1), must be used only for  
10 wage, benefit, and staff increases implemented on or after  
11 January 1, 2006, and January 1, 2007, respectively, and must not  
12 be used for increases implemented prior to that date.

13 (d) For each facility, the commissioner shall make  
14 available an adjustment using the percentage specified in  
15 paragraph (a) multiplied by the total payment rate, excluding  
16 the property-related payment rate, in effect on the preceding  
17 December 31. The total payment rate shall include the  
18 adjustment provided in section 256B.501, subdivision 12.

19 (e) A facility whose payment rates are governed by closure  
20 agreements, receivership agreements, or Minnesota Rules, part  
21 9553.0075, is not eligible for an adjustment otherwise granted  
22 under this subdivision.

23 (f) A facility may apply for the payment rate adjustment  
24 provided under paragraph (a). The application must be made to  
25 the commissioner and contain a plan by which the facility will  
26 distribute the funds according to paragraph (b). For facilities  
27 in which the employees are represented by an exclusive  
28 bargaining representative, an agreement negotiated and agreed to  
29 by the employer and the exclusive bargaining representative  
30 constitutes the plan. A negotiated agreement may constitute the  
31 plan only if the agreement is finalized after the date of  
32 enactment of all rate increases for the rate year. The  
33 commissioner shall review the plan to ensure that the payment  
34 rate adjustment per diem is used as provided in this  
35 subdivision. To be eligible, a facility must submit its plan by  
36 September 30, 2006, and September 30, 2007, respectively. If a

1 facility's plan is effective for its employees after the first  
2 day of the applicable rate year that the funds are available,  
3 the payment rate adjustment per diem is effective the same date  
4 as its plan.

5 (g) A copy of the approved distribution plan must be made  
6 available to all employees by giving each employee a copy or by  
7 posting it in an area of the facility to which all employees  
8 have access. If an employee does not receive the wage and  
9 benefit adjustment described in the facility's approved plan and  
10 is unable to resolve the problem with the facility's management  
11 or through the employee's union representative, the employee may  
12 contact the commissioner at an address or telephone number  
13 provided by the commissioner and included in the approved plan."

14 Page 6, line 24, delete "or"

15 Page 6, line 26, after "year" insert "; or

16 (3) any combination of clauses (1) and (2)"

17 Page 7, line 4, after "adjustment" insert ", if any,"

18 Page 8, line 4, delete "is" and insert "hours are"

19 Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal  
20 references

21 Amend the title accordingly