Mr. Conley moved that the rules be suspended and that—
S. F. No. 475, a joint resolution relating to polygamy,
Be read the second and third times and placed upon its final
passage.
Which motion prevailed.
S. F. No. 475 was read the second time.
S. F. No. 475, A joint resolution relating to polygamy.
Was read the third time and placed upon its final passage.
The question being taken on the adoption of the resolution,
And the roll being called, there were yeas 62 and nays none, as
follows:
Those who voted in the affirmative were:
Austin, Baldwin, Bendixen, Bicknell, Brown, Buck, Burnquist, Campbell,
Carley, Carlson, Conley, Dalzell, Davies, Davis, Denzer, Dower,
Dorsey, Duea, Emmel, Fitzpatrick, Friedman, Gartside, Gates,
Goodspeed, Graham, Haugland, Horton, Johnson, C. E., Johnson, J. T.,
Johnson, L. H., Lee, Lende, Lennon, Loback, Mackenzie, Mattson,
McNeil, Nelson, H., Nelson, O. B., Nimocks, Noble, Nye,
O'Brien, Perry, Pfender, Putnam, H. A., Putnam, W. H., Rines,
Rosenwald, Rustad, Sampson, Selb, Spence, Sulerud, Thayer,
Welter, White, Wohlbuter, Mr. Speaker.

So the resolution was adopted.

REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES—CONTINUED.

Mr. Bicknell, from the Committee on Judiciary, to whom was
referred—
H. F. No. 763, A bill for an act defining the force and effect
of certain decrees of distribution entered by the Probate Court
without due notice and to limit the time within which the same may
be questioned.
Reports the same back with the recommendation that the bill
be amended as follows:
Amend section one (1) by striking out from the third (3rd)
and fourth (4th) lines thereof the words “whether proper notice of
the application to enter the same has previously been given or not,”
and insert in lieu thereof the words “where proper notice of the
application has not been given, or no notice of such application has
been given.