

**FIFTY-EIGHTH DAY**

St. Paul, Minnesota, Saturday, May 11, 1985

The Senate met at 9:00 a.m. and was called to order by the President.

**CALL OF THE SENATE**

Mr. Wegscheid imposed a call of the Senate. The Sergeant at Arms was instructed to bring in the absent members.

Prayer was offered by the Chaplain, Senator Dean E. Johnson.

The roll was called, and the following Senators answered to their names:

Adkins	Dieterich	Kroening	Olson	Sieloff
Anderson	Frank	Kronebusch	Pehler	Solon
Belanger	Frederick	Laidig	Peterson, C.C.	Spear
Benson	Frederickson	Langseth	Peterson, D.C.	Storm
Berglin	Freeman	Lantry	Peterson, D.L.	Stumpf
Bernhagen	Gustafson	Lessard	Peterson, R.W.	Taylor
Bertram	Hughes	Luther	Peity	Vega
Brataas	Isackson	McQuaid	Pogemiller	Waldorf
Chmielewski	Johnson, D.E.	Mehrkens	Purfeerst	Wegscheid
Dahl	Johnson, D.J.	Merriam	Ramstad	Willet
Davis	Jude	Moe, D.M.	Reichgott	
DeCramer	Kamrath	Moe, R.D.	Renneke	
Dicklich	Knaak	Nelson	Samuelson	
Diessner	Knutson	Novak	Schmitz	

The President declared a quorum present.

The reading of the Journal was dispensed with and the Journal, as printed and corrected, was approved.

**EXECUTIVE AND OFFICIAL COMMUNICATIONS**

The following communications were received.

May 9, 1985

The Honorable Jerome M. Hughes  
President of the Senate

Dear Sir:

I have the honor of informing you that I have received, signed and deposited in the Office of the Secretary of State Senate File Nos. 143, 86, 1071, 921 and 994.

Sincerely,  
Rudy Perpich, Governor

May 10, 1985

The Honorable Jerome M. Hughes  
President of the Senate

Dear Sir:

I have the honor of informing you that I have received, signed and deposited in the Office of the Secretary of State, Senate File Nos. 335, 450, 1087, 1208, 1214, 1291 and 1411.

Sincerely,  
Rudy Perpich, Governor

### MOTIONS AND RESOLUTIONS

Remaining on the Order of Business of Motions and Resolutions, pursuant to Rule 10, Mr. Moe, R.D., Chairman of the Subcommittee on Bill Scheduling, designated the General Orders Calendar a Special Orders Calendar to be heard immediately.

### SPECIAL ORDER

S.F. No. 1434: A bill for an act relating to real estate; providing for service in forcible entry and unlawful detainer actions; amending Minnesota Statutes 1984, section 566.06.

Was read the third time and placed on its final passage.

The question was taken on the passage of the bill.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 51 and nays 0, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Adkins	Diessner	Knaak	Moe, R.D.	Ramstad
Anderson	Dieterich	Kroening	Novak	Samuelson
Belanger	Frank	Kronebusch	Olson	Schmitz
Benson	Frederickson	Langseth	Pehler	Taylor
Bernhagen	Gustafson	Lantry	Peterson, C.C.	Waldorf
Bertram	Hughes	Lessard	Peterson, D.C.	Wegscheid
Brataas	Isackson	Luther	Peterson, D.L.	Willet
Chmielewski	Johnson, D.E.	McQuaid	Peterson, R.W.	
Dahl	Johnson, D.J.	Mehrkens	Petty	
DeCramer	Jude	Merriam	Pogemiller	
Dicklich	Kamrath	Moe, D.M.	Purfeerst	

So the bill passed and its title was agreed to.

### SPECIAL ORDER

S.F. No. 866: A bill for an act relating to solid waste and sewage sludge management; restricting land disposal of solid waste in the metropolitan area; providing for the financing of resource recovery facilities in the metropolitan area by counties and the metropolitan council; changing provisions relating to designation plans, local disposal fees, metropolitan sludge and sludge ash facilities, and metropolitan county ordinances; granting and clarifying the powers which may be exercised by a county located outside the metropolitan

area if it enters into an agreement with a metropolitan county for solid waste or resource recovery purposes; defining terms; allocating and appropriating money from the metropolitan landfill contingency action and abatement funds; amending Minnesota Statutes 1984, sections 115A.03, subdivision 27; 115A.84, subdivisions 3 and 4; 115A.919; 400.04, subdivision 1; 473.153, subdivisions 5, 6b, and 7; 473.801, subdivision 1; 473.811, subdivisions 5 and 5a; 473.823, subdivision 6; 473.831; 473.840, subdivision 2; 473.842, by adding subdivisions; 473.843, subdivision 7; and 473.844, subdivisions 2 and 5; Laws 1984, chapter 644, section 81, subdivision 2; proposing coding for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapters 115A and 473; repealing Laws 1984, chapter 644, section 83.

Mr. Schmitz moved to amend S.F. No. 866 as follows:

Page 4, after line 32, insert:

“Sec. 7. Minnesota Statutes 1984, section 473.153, subdivision 2, is amended to read:

Subd. 2. [CANDIDATE SITE SELECTION.] The council shall select candidate sites for the disposal of the commission's ~~sewage sludge and~~ solid waste, together with appropriate surrounding buffer areas. The council shall select at least ~~four~~ three candidate sites by September 1, 1983. The council shall evaluate sites for candidacy on the basis of at least the following factors: local land use and land use controls, the protection of agriculture and natural resources, existing and future development patterns, transportation facilities, distance from the points of generation, and the intrinsic suitability of sites compared with other potential sites. Notwithstanding any plan, charter provision, law, ordinance, regulation, or other requirement of the council, counties, or local units of government, no land shall be excluded from consideration for candidacy except land determined by the agency to be intrinsically unsuitable. No site shall be selected for candidacy unless the agency certifies its intrinsic suitability for the use intended, based on preliminary environmental analysis and on-site surveys and investigations conducted by the council. The council shall provide to the agency data relating to the intrinsic suitability of the sites to be proposed as candidate sites as soon as available. The council shall propose at least six locations as candidate sites and the director of the agency shall issue a notice indicating which of those sites the director recommends be certified as intrinsically suitable. The director shall publish notice of a consolidated hearing on the recommendation. Notice shall be published in the state register and newspapers of general circulation in the metropolitan area and shall be sent by mail to local government units containing a proposed candidate site. The hearing shall be conducted by the state office of administrative hearings in a manner consistent with the completion of the proceedings and the administrative law judge's report to the agency in the time allowed by this section. The hearing shall afford all interested persons an opportunity to testify and present evidence on the subject of the hearing. The subject of the hearing shall be limited to information submitted by the council and additional information on the proposed sites which is relevant to the agency's decision on intrinsic suitability. The rulemaking and contested case procedures of chapter 14 shall not apply to this hearing. The report of the administrative law judge shall contain findings of fact, conclusions, and recommendations on the subject of the hearing. The agency shall make a final determination as to the intrinsic suitability of

each proposed site and shall certify them accordingly within 90 days of the council's proposal of a site. The agency shall not be required to promulgate rules pursuant to chapter 14 on criteria and standards to govern its certification of intrinsic suitability under this section. No action of the agency shall be held invalid by reason of the agency's failure to notify any of the entities listed in this subdivision. In selecting candidate sites, the council shall prefer land which is capable of being returned to its existing use or the use anticipated in a plan of a metropolitan agency, county, or local unit of government use after closure of a disposal facility."

Page 14, line 16, delete "21" and insert "22"

Page 14, line 21, delete "23" and insert "24"

Renumber the sections in sequence

Amend the title as follows:

Page 1, line 7, after "plans," insert "disposal sites,"

Page 1, line 19, after "subdivisions" insert "2,"

The motion prevailed. So the amendment was adopted.

Mr. DeCramer moved to amend S.F. No. 866 as follows:

Page 13, after line 25, insert:

"Sec. 24. [SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT.]

*Subdivision 1. [LONG-TERM CONTRACTS.] Murray, Nobles, Pipestone, and Rock counties may jointly negotiate and enter into contracts, for a term not to exceed 30 years, for the management of solid waste generated in the counties. This authority supplements other authority of the counties. Contracts made by joint negotiations shall be approved by resolution adopted by the county board of each county. The contract may only be dissolved, before the date specified in the contract, by resolution of the county board of all counties involved.*

*Subd. 2. [JOINT POWERS AGREEMENT.] Murray, Nobles, Pipestone, and Rock counties may enter into a joint powers agreement for the management of solid waste under subdivision 1. Other counties that enter into a joint powers agreement under section 471.59 with Murray, Nobles, Pipestone, and Rock counties may enter contracts under subdivision 1 in the same manner as the counties in subdivision 1."*

Page 14, after line 22, insert:

"Section 24 is effective the day after enactment."

Renumber the sections in sequence

Amend the title as follows:

Page 1, line 16, after "funds;" insert "permitting certain counties to make joint contracts or agreements for solid waste management;"

The motion prevailed. So the amendment was adopted.

Mr. Peterson, C.C. moved to amend S.F. No. 866 as follows:

Page 13, after line 25, insert:

“Sec. 24. [PUBLIC WELFARE.]

*Of the appropriations to the commissioner of administration for replacing the boiler emission control unit at the Fergus Falls State Hospital in Laws 1983, chapter 344, section 12, subdivision 4, and Laws 1984, chapter 597, section 18, subdivision 3, paragraph (b), up to \$500,000 may be used for solid waste incineration equipment.”*

Renumber the sections in sequence

Amend the title as follows:

Page 1, line 16, after the semicolon, insert “providing for use of an appropriation for solid waste incineration equipment at the Fergus Falls State Hospital;”

The motion prevailed. So the amendment was adopted.

S.F. No. 866 was then progressed.

**SPECIAL ORDER**

S.F. No. 1414: A bill for an act relating to the city of Plymouth; authorizing the reassessment of special assessments against certain lands in the city.

Was read the third time and placed on its final passage.

The question was taken on the passage of the bill.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 56 and nays 0, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Adkins	Frank	Langseth	Peterson, C.C.	Solón
Anderson	Frederickson	Lantry	Peterson, D.C.	Spear
Belanger	Gustafson	Lessard	Peterson, D.L.	Storm
Benson	Hughes	Luther	Peterson, R.W.	Stumpf
Berglin	Isackson	McQuaid	Petty	Taylor
Bernhagen	Johnson, D.J.	Mehrkens	Pogemiller	Waldorf
Bertram	Jude	Merriam	Purfeerst	Wegscheider
Brataas	Kamrath	Moe, D.M.	Ramstad	Willet
Chmielewski	Knaak	Moe, R.D.	Reichgott	
Dahl	Kroening	Nelson	Renneke	
DeCramer	Kronebusch	Olson	Samuelson	
Diessner	Laidig	Pehler	Sieloff	

So the bill passed and its title was agreed to.

**SPECIAL ORDER**

S.F. No. 1176: A bill for an act relating to children; requiring a new job classification in child protection; requiring continuing education; providing for a joint training program; requiring a report to the legislature; appropriating money; proposing coding for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 626.

Mr. Petty moved to amend S.F. No. 1176 as follows:

Page 2, line 8, delete “1984” and insert “1985”

The motion prevailed. So the amendment was adopted.

S.F. No. 1176 was read the third time, as amended, and placed on its final passage.

The question was taken on the passage of the bill, as amended.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 58 and nays 1, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Adkins	Frank	Laidig	Olson	Schmitz
Anderson	Frederickson	Langseth	Pehler	Sieloff
Benson	Freeman	Lantry	Peterson, C.C.	Solon
Berglin	Gustafson	Lessard	Peterson, D.C.	Storm
Bernhagen	Hughes	Luther	Peterson, D.L.	Stumpf
Bertram	Isackson	McQuaid	Peterson, R.W.	Taylor
Brataas	Johnson, D.E.	Mehrkens	Petty	Vega
Chmielewski	Johnson, D.J.	Merriam	Pogemiller	Waldorf
Dahl	Jude	Moe, D.M.	Ramstad	Wegscheid
DeCramer	Kamrath	Moe, R.D.	Reichgott	Willet
Dicklich	Knaak	Nelson	Renneke	
Diessner	Kroening	Novak	Samuelson	

Mrs. Kronebusch voted in the negative.

So the bill, as amended, passed and its title was agreed to.

### SPECIAL ORDER

H.F. No. 98: A bill for an act relating to retirement; expanding the availability of certain appropriations for actuarial services.

Mr. Moe, D.M. moved to amend H.F. No. 98, the second unofficial engrossment, as follows:

Page 6, line 18, after the period, insert "*Persons receiving a benefit from the public employees police and fire fund and from the state patrol retirement fund are considered to be receiving a basic annuity or benefit.*"

The motion prevailed. So the amendment was adopted.

Mr. Peterson, C.C. moved to amend H.F. No. 98, the second unofficial engrossment, as follows:

Page 7, delete lines 12 to 27 and insert:

"*Subd. 5. [PAYMENTS.] The amounts necessary to make the lump sum payments for persons receiving annuities from the covered retirement funds listed in subdivision 3, clauses (3), (5), and (6), are appropriated pursuant to section 8. The amounts necessary to make the lump sum payments for the covered retirement funds listed in subdivision 3, clauses (1), (2), and (4), are appropriated from the appropriate retirement funds.*"

Page 7, line 30, delete "\$11,200,000" and insert "\$11,221,960"

Page 7, after line 33, insert:

" <i>teachers retirement fund</i>	2,322,620	2,196,210
<i>state employees retirement fund</i>	1,773,570	1,684,885"

Page 7, delete lines 35 and 36

The question was taken on the adoption of the amendment.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 13 and nays 44, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Berglin	Johnson, D.J.	Lantry	Peterson, C.C.	Willet
Frederickson	Knaak	Lessard	Samuelson	
Hughes	Kroening	Pehler	Stumpf	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Adkins	Dieterich	Laidig	Peterson, D.L.	Sieloff
Anderson	Freeman	Langseth	Peterson, R.W.	Solon
Belanger	Gustafson	Luther	Petty	Spear
Benson	Isackson	McQuaid	Pogemiller	Storm
Bernhagen	Johnson, D.E.	Merriam	Purfeerst	Taylor
Bertram	Jude	Moe, D.M.	Ramstad	Vega
Brataas	Kamrath	Moe, R.D.	Reichgott	Waldorf
Dahl	Knutson	Olson	Renneke	Wegscheid
Diessner	Kronebusch	Peterson, D.C.	Schmitz	

The motion did not prevail. So the amendment was not adopted.

H.F. No. 98 was then progressed.

### RECESS

Mr. Moe, R.D. moved that the Senate do now recess until 11:30 a.m. The motion prevailed.

The hour of 11:30 a.m. having arrived, the President called the Senate to order.

### CALL OF THE SENATE

Mr. Moe, R.D. imposed a call of the Senate for the proceedings on H.F. No. 1641. The Sergeant at Arms was instructed to bring in the absent members.

Mr. Moe, R.D. moved that H.F. No. 1641 be taken from the table. The motion prevailed.

The question recurred on the divided Taylor amendment.

First Portion:

Page 99, line 14, to page 100, line 14, delete section 117

Page 132, line 8, to page 141, line 12, delete sections 167 to 181

Page 220, line 27, to page 221, line 1, delete section 302

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

Second Portion:

Page 102, line 2, to page 110, line 30, delete sections 119 to 135

Page 211, lines 1 to 30, delete section 285

Page 271, line 20, to page 272, line 31, delete section 373

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

Third Portion:

Page 110, line 31, to page 124, line 35, delete sections 136 to 156

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

Fourth Portion:

Page 141, lines 13 to 21, delete section 182

Page 141, line 32, to page 142, line 13, delete section 184

Page 215, line 1, to page 219, line 3, delete sections 291 to 299

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

Fifth Portion:

Page 72, line 27, to page 74, line 9, delete section 70

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

Sixth Portion:

Page 214, lines 30 to 36, delete section 290

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

Seventh Portion:

Page 226, lines 22 to 33, delete section 305

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

Eighth Portion:

Page 252, lines 13 to 24, delete section 341

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

Ninth Portion:

Page 262, line 24, to page 263, line 28, delete sections 360 to 362

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

Tenth Portion:

Page 263, line 35, to page 264, line 22, delete section 364

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly



Eleventh Portion:

Page 267, line 6, to page 268, line 26, delete section 370  
Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references  
Amend the title accordingly

Twelfth Portion:

Page 268, line 27, to page 271, line 9, delete section 371  
Page 31, delete lines 10 to 13  
Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references  
Amend the title accordingly

Thirteenth Portion:

Page 276, line 17, to page 277, line 5, delete section 379  
Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references  
Amend the title accordingly

Fourteenth Portion:

Page 59, lines 14 to 20, delete section 53  
Page 277, lines 21 to 33, delete section 382  
Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references  
Amend the title accordingly

Fifteenth Portion:

Page 278, line 25, to page 334, line 24, delete Article 2  
Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references  
Amend the title accordingly

Sixteenth Portion:

Page 59, lines 21 to 26, delete section 53A  
Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references  
Amend the title accordingly

Seventeenth Portion:

Page 61, lines 10 to 16, delete section 56  
Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references  
Amend the title accordingly

The question was taken on the adoption of the sixth portion of the amendment.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 26 and nays 36, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

- |              |               |            |                |           |
|--------------|---------------|------------|----------------|-----------|
| Anderson     | Gustafson     | Kronebusch | Olson          | Taylor    |
| Belanger     | Isackson      | Laidig     | Peterson, D.L. | Wegscheid |
| Benson       | Johnson, D.J. | McQuaid    | Ramstad        |           |
| Bernhagen    | Kamrath       | Mehrkens   | Renneke        |           |
| Frederick    | Knaak         | Moe, D.M.  | Sieloff        |           |
| Frederickson | Knutson       | Novak      | Storm          |           |

Those who voted in the negative were:

Adkins	Diessner	Lantry	Peterson, R.W.	Stumpf
Berglin	Dieterich	Lessard	Petty	Vega
Bertram	Frank	Luther	Pogemiller	Waldorf
Chmielewski	Freeman	Merriam	Purfeerst	Willet
Dahl	Hughes	Moe, R.D.	Reichgott	
Davis	Johnson, D.E.	Nelson	Samuelson	
DeCramer	Kroening	Peterson, C.C.	Schmitz	
Dicklich	Langseth	Peterson, D.C.	Spear	

The motion did not prevail. So the sixth portion of the amendment was not adopted.

The question was taken on the adoption of the seventh portion of the amendment.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 29 and nays 33, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson	Frederick	Knutson	Olson	Sieloff
Belanger	Frederickson	Kronebusch	Peterson, D.L.	Spear
Benson	Gustafson	Laidig	Peterson, R.W.	Taylor
Bernhagen	Isackson	Mehrkens	Petty	Waldorf
Brataas	Kamrath	Merriam	Ramstad	Wegscheid
Dieterich	Knaak	Moe, D.M.	Renneke	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Adkins	Dicklich	Kroening	Novak	Schmitz
Berglin	Diessner	Langseth	Peterson, C.C.	Solon
Bertram	Frank	Lantry	Peterson, D.C.	Stumpf
Chmielewski	Freeman	Lessard	Pogemiller	Vega
Dahl	Hughes	Luther	Purfeerst	Willet
Davis	Johnson, D.E.	Moe, R.D.	Reichgott	
DeCramer	Johnson, D.J.	Nelson	Samuelson	

The motion did not prevail. So the seventh portion of the amendment was not adopted.

The question was taken on the adoption of the eighth portion of the amendment.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 28 and nays 33, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson	Frederickson	Knutson	Moe, D.M.	Storm
Belanger	Gustafson	Kronebusch	Olson	Taylor
Benson	Isackson	Laidig	Peterson, D.L.	Waldorf
Bernhagen	Johnson, D.E.	Lessard	Ramstad	Wegscheid
Brataas	Kamrath	McQuaid	Renneke	
Frederick	Knaak	Mehrkens	Sieloff	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Adkins	Diessner	Lantry	Peterson, D.C.	Solon
Berglin	Dieterich	Luther	Peterson, R.W.	Spear
Bertram	Frank	Merriam	Petty	Stumpf
Dahl	Freeman	Moe, R.D.	Pogemiller	Vega
Davis	Hughes	Nelson	Purfeerst	Willet
DeCramer	Johnson, D.J.	Novak	Samuelson	
Dicklich	Kroening	Peterson, C.C.	Schmitz	

The motion did not prevail. So the eighth portion of the amendment was

not adopted.

The question was taken on the adoption of the ninth portion of the amendment.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 39 and nays 22, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Adkins	DeCramer	Johnson, D.E.	Mehrkens	Renneke
Anderson	Diessner	Knaak	Merriam	Samuelson
Belanger	Dieterich	Knutson	Moe, D.M.	Sieloff
Benson	Frank	Kronebusch	Olson	Storm
Bernhagen	Frederick	Laidig	Peterson, D.L.	Taylor
Bertram	Frederickson	Langseth	Peterson, R.W.	Waldorf
Brataas	Gustafson	Lessard	Petty	Wegscheid
Dahl	Isackson	McQuaid	Purfeerst	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Berglin	Hughes	Moe, R.D.	Pogemiller	Vega
Chmielewski	Johnson, D.J.	Nelson	Schmitz	Willet
Davis	Kroening	Novak	Solon	
Dicklich	Lantry	Peterson, C.C.	Spear	
Freeman	Luther	Peterson, D.C.	Stumpf	

The motion prevailed. So the ninth portion of the amendment was adopted.

Mr. Taylor withdrew the tenth portion of his amendment.

The question was taken on the adoption of the eleventh portion of the amendment.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 25 and nays 37, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson	Frederick	Knaak	Mehrkens	Renneke
Belanger	Frederickson	Knutson	Moe, D.M.	Sieloff
Benson	Gustafson	Kronebusch	Olson	Storm
Bernhagen	Isackson	Laidig	Peterson, D.L.	Taylor
Brataas	Johnson, D.E.	McQuaid	Ramstad	Waldorf

Those who voted in the negative were:

Adkins	Diessner	Lantry	Peterson, D.C.	Spear
Berglin	Dieterich	Lessard	Peterson, R.W.	Stumpf
Bertram	Frank	Luther	Petty	Vega
Chmielewski	Freeman	Merriam	Pogemiller	Wegscheid
Dahl	Hughes	Moe, R.D.	Purfeerst	Willet
Davis	Johnson, D.J.	Nelson	Samuelson	
DeCramer	Kroening	Novak	Schmitz	
Dicklich	Langseth	Peterson, C.C.	Solon	

The motion did not prevail. So the eleventh portion of the amendment was not adopted.

The question was taken on the adoption of the twelfth portion of the amendment.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 27 and nays 33, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson	DeCramer	Kamrath	Mehrkens	Stumpf
Belanger	Frederick	Knutson	Peterson, D.L.	Taylor
Benson	Frederickson	Kronebusch	Purfeerst	Willet
Bernhagen	Gustafson	Langseth	Renneke	
Bertram	Isackson	Lessard	Sieloff	
Brataas	Johnson, D.E.	McQuaid	Storm	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Adkins	Frank	Lantry	Peterson, C.C.	Schmitz
Berglin	Freeman	Luther	Peterson, D.C.	Solon
Chmielewski	Hughes	Merriam	Peterson, R.W.	Spear
Dahl	Johnson, D.J.	Moe, R.D.	Petty	Vega
Dicklich	Knaak	Nelson	Pogemiller	Waldorf
Diessner	Kroening	Novak	Ramstad	
Dieterich	Laidig	Olson	Samuelson	

The motion did not prevail. So the twelfth portion of the amendment was not adopted.

The question was taken on the adoption of the thirteenth portion of the amendment.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 22 and nays 35, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson	Frederickson	Knaak	Mehrkens	Sieloff
Belanger	Gustafson	Knutson	Olson	Taylor
Bernhagen	Isackson	Kronebusch	Peterson, D.L.	
Brataas	Johnson, D.E.	Laidig	Ramstad	
Frederick	Kamrath	McQuaid	Renneke	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Adkins	Dicklich	Kroening	Nelson	Samuelson
Berglin	Diessner	Langseth	Novak	Solon
Bertram	Dieterich	Lantry	Peterson, D.C.	Spear
Chmielewski	Frank	Lessard	Peterson, R.W.	Stumpf
Dahl	Freeman	Luther	Petty	Vega
Davis	Hughes	Merriam	Pogemiller	Waldorf
DeCramer	Johnson, D.J.	Moe, R.D.	Purfeerst	Willet

The motion did not prevail. So the thirteenth portion of the amendment was not adopted.

The question was taken on the adoption of the fifteenth portion of the amendment.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 27 and nays 37, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson	Frederick	Knaak	Moe, D.M.	Storm
Belanger	Frederickson	Knutson	Olson	Taylor
Benson	Gustafson	Kronebusch	Peterson, D.L.	Waldorf
Bernhagen	Isackson	Laidig	Ramstad	
Bertram	Johnson, D.E.	McQuaid	Renneke	
Brataas	Kamrath	Mehrkens	Sieloff	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Adkins	Dieterich	Lessard	Peterson, D.C.	Spear
Berglin	Frank	Luther	Peterson, R.W.	Stumpf
Chmielewski	Freeman	Merriam	Petty	Vega
Dahl	Hughes	Moe, R.D.	Pogemiller	Wegscheid
Davis	Johnson, D.J.	Nelson	Purfeerst	Willet
DeCramer	Kroening	Novak	Reichgott	
Dicklich	Langseth	Pehler	Schmitz	
Diessner	Lantry	Peterson, C.C.	Solon	

The motion did not prevail. So the fifteenth portion of the amendment was not adopted.

The question was taken on the adoption of the sixteenth portion of the amendment.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 29 and nays 35, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson	Frederickson	Knutson	Moe, D.M.	Sieloff
Belanger	Gustafson	Kronebusch	Olson	Storm
Benson	Isackson	Laidig	Peterson, D.L.	Taylor
Bernhagen	Johnson, D.E.	Langseth	Purfeerst	Waldorf
Brataas	Kamrath	McQuaid	Ramstad	Wegscheid
Frederick	Knaak	Mehrkens	Renneke	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Adkins	Dicklich	Kroening	Novak	Samuelson
Berglin	Diessner	Lantry	Pehler	Schmitz
Bertram	Dieterich	Lessard	Peterson, C.C.	Solon
Chmielewski	Frank	Luther	Peterson, D.C.	Spear
Dahl	Freeman	Merriam	Peterson, R.W.	Stumpf
Davis	Hughes	Moe, R.D.	Petty	Vega
DeCramer	Johnson, D.J.	Nelson	Pogemiller	Willet

The motion did not prevail. So the sixteenth portion of the amendment was not adopted.

The question was taken on the adoption of the seventeenth portion of the amendment.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 30 and nays 34, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson	Frederick	Knaak	Mehrkens	Renneke
Belanger	Frederickson	Knutson	Moe, D.M.	Sieloff
Benson	Gustafson	Kronebusch	Olson	Storm
Bernhagen	Isackson	Laidig	Peterson, D.L.	Taylor
Bertram	Johnson, D.E.	Langseth	Petty	Waldorf
Brataas	Kamrath	McQuaid	Ramstad	Wegscheid

Those who voted in the negative were:

Adkins	Diessner	Lantry	Pehler	Schmitz
Berglin	Dieterich	Lessard	Peterson, C.C.	Solon
Chmielewski	Frank	Luther	Peterson, D.C.	Spear
Dahl	Freeman	Merriam	Peterson, R.W.	Stumpf
Davis	Hughes	Moe, R.D.	Pogemiller	Vega
DeCramer	Johnson, D.J.	Nelson	Purfeerst	Willet
Dicklich	Kroening	Novak	Samuelson	

The motion did not prevail. So the seventeenth portion of the amendment was not adopted.

The question recurred on the fourteenth portion of the Taylor amendment.

Fourteenth Portion:

Page 59, lines 14 to 20, delete section 53

Page 277, lines 21 to 33, delete section 382

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

Mr. Bernhagen requested division of the fourteenth portion as follows:

First Portion:

Page 59, lines 14 to 20, delete section 53

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

Second Portion:

Page 277, lines 21 to 33, delete section 382

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

The question was taken on the adoption of the first portion of the divided fourteenth portion of the amendment.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 29 and nays 34, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Adkins	Brataas	Isackson	Laidig	Ramstad
Anderson	Davis	Johnson, D.E.	McQuaid	Renneke
Belanger	DeCramer	Kamrath	Mehrkens	Sieloff
Benson	Frederick	Knaak	Olson	Storm
Bernhagen	Frederickson	Knutson	Peterson, D.L.	Taylor
Bertram	Gustafson	Kronebusch	Purfeerst	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Berglin	Hughes	Merriam	Peterson, R.W.	Spear
Chmielewski	Johnson, D.J.	Moe, R.D.	Petty	Stumpf
Dahl	Kroening	Nelson	Pogemiller	Vega
Dicklich	Langseth	Novak	Reichgott	Waldorf
Diessner	Lantry	Pehler	Samuelson	Wegscheid
Frank	Lessard	Peterson, C.C.	Schmitz	Willet
Freeman	Luther	Peterson, D.C.	Solon	

The motion did not prevail. So the first portion of the divided fourteenth portion of the amendment was not adopted.

Mr. Taylor withdrew the second portion of the divided fourteenth portion of his amendment.

Mrs. McQuaid moved to amend H.F. No. 1641, as amended by the Senate May 10, 1985, as follows:

(The text of the amended House File is identical to S.F. No. 1530.)

Page 12, lines 32 and 33, delete "from the special revenue fund"

Correct the subdivision and section totals and the summaries by fund accordingly

Pages 252 and 253, delete section 342

Renumber the sections in sequence

Correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

The question was taken on the adoption of the amendment.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 30 and nays 33, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Adkins	Brataas	Johnson, D.E.	McQuaid	Ramstad
Anderson	Frank	Kamrath	Mehrkens	Reichgott
Belanger	Frederick	Knaak	Moe, D.M.	Renneke
Benson	Frederickson	Knutson	Novak	Sieloff
Bernhagen	Gustafson	Kronebusch	Olson	Storm
Bertram	Isackson	Laidig	Purfeerst	Taylor

Those who voted in the negative were:

Berglin	Dieterich	Lessard	Peterson, D.C.	Spear
Chmielewski	Freeman	Luther	Peterson, R.W.	Stumpf
Dahl	Hughes	Merriam	Petty	Vega
Davis	Johnson, D.J.	Moe, R.D.	Pogemiller	Waldorf
DeCramer	Kroening	Nelson	Samuelson	Willet
Dicklich	Langseth	Pehler	Schmitz	
Diessner	Lantry	Peterson, C.C.	Solon	

The motion did not prevail. So the amendment was not adopted.

Mr. Moe, D.M. moved to amend H.F. No. 1641, as amended by the Senate May 10, 1985, as follows:

(The text of the amended House File is identical to S.F. No. 1530.)

Page 9, line 34, delete "104,900" and insert "711,300" and delete "106,000" and insert "697,600"

Page 9, line 35, delete "3" and insert "20"

Page 9, delete lines 36 to 47

Page 14, line 55, delete "7,220,800" and insert "6,846,800" and delete "7,258,800" and insert "6,884,800"

Page 14, line 56, delete "131" and insert "122"

Page 14, delete line 57

Page 15, delete lines 1 to 4

Pages 74 and 75, delete section 72

Pages 84 and 85, delete section 86

Pages 88 to 90, delete section 95 and delete sections 97 to 101

Pages 90 and 91, delete sections 105 to 107

Page 271, delete section 372

Page 277, line 35, delete everything after "sections"

Page 277, delete line 36

Page 278, line 1, delete everything before "16C.01"

Page 278, line 3, delete "46.15;"

Page 278, line 5, delete "69.031, subdivision 2;"

Correct the subdivision and section totals and the summaries by fund accordingly

Renumber the sections in sequence

Correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

The question was taken on the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Moe, R.D. moved that those not voting be excused from voting. The motion prevailed.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 36 and nays 27, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Adkins	Frederick	Knutson	Pehler	Storm
Anderson	Frederickson	Kronebusch	Peterson, R.W.	Taylor
Befanger	Gustafson	Laidig	Petty	Waldorf
Benson	Isackson	McQuaid	Pogemiller	Wegscheid
Bernhagen	Johnson, D.E.	Merriam	Ramstad	
Brataas	Jude	Moe, D.M.	Reichgott	
Dieterich	Kamrath	Novak	Renneke	
Frank	Knaak	Olson	Sieloff	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Berglin	Diessner	Lantry	Peterson, D.C.	Stumpf
Bertram	Freeman	Lessard	Purfeerst	Vega
Chmielewski	Hughes	Luther	Samuelson	Willet
Dahl	Johnson, D.J.	Moe, R.D.	Schmitz	
Davis	Kroening	Nelson	Solon	
Dicklich	Langseth	Peterson, C.C.	Spear	

The motion prevailed. So the amendment was adopted.

Mrs. Brataas moved to amend H.F. No. 1641, as amended by the Senate May 10, 1985, as follows:

(The text of the amended House File is identical to S.F. No. 1530.)

Page 11, line 24, delete "Workers' Compensation" and insert "General"

Page 11, delete lines 26 to 29

Page 49, line 3, delete "94" and insert "173"

Page 49, line 6, delete "180" and insert "101"

Page 49, line 19, delete "This appropriation" and insert "\$1,596,100 the first year and \$1,662,500 the second year"

Page 49, line 33, delete "\$1,229,900" and insert "\$4,045,300" and delete "\$1,263,500" and insert "\$4,169,400"

Page 49, line 34, delete "This appropriation" and insert "\$1,229,900 the first year and \$1,263,500 the second year"

Page 49, after line 35, insert:

"\$2,815,400 the first year and \$2,905,900 the second year is to reimburse the workers' compensation special compensation fund for benefits paid to injured workers with uninsured employers."

Page 50, delete line 5 and insert:

"\$2,108,000            \$2,060,600"

Page 50, line 7, delete "\$858,500" and insert "\$2,017,700" and delete "\$818,000" and insert "\$1,984,600"

Page 50, line 8, delete "\$1,259,400" and insert "\$90,300" and delete "\$1,267,700" and insert "\$76,000"

Page 50, line 21, delete "\$970,100" and insert "\$968,700" and delete "\$963,800" and insert "\$960,300"

Page 50, line 23, delete "\$305,700" and insert "\$754,300" and delete



“\$308,200” and insert “\$764,600”

Page 50, line 24, delete “\$664,400” and insert “\$214,400” and delete “\$655,600” and insert “\$195,700”

Page 50, delete lines 28 and 29

Correct the subdivision and section totals and the summaries by fund

The question was taken on the adoption of the amendment.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 27 and nays 35, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson	Frank	Kamrath	McQuaid	Sieloff
Belanger	Frederick	Knaak	Mehrkens	Storm
Benson	Frederickson	Knutson	Olson	Taylor
Bernhagen	Gustafson	Kronebusch	Peterson, D.L.	
Bertram	Isackson	Laidig	Ramstad	
Brataas	Johnson, D.E.	Lessard	Renneke	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Adkins	Dieterich	Luther	Peterson, D.C.	Schmitz
Berglin	Freeman	Merriam	Peterson, R.W.	Solon
Chmielewski	Johnson, D.J.	Moe, R.D.	Petty	Spear
Dahl	Jude	Nelson	Pogemiller	Stumpf
Davis	Kroening	Novak	Purfeerst	Vega
Dicklich	Langseth	Pehler	Reichgott	Waldorf
Diessner	Lantry	Peterson, C.C.	Samuelson	Willet

The motion did not prevail. So the amendment was not adopted.

Mr. Sieloff moved to amend H.F. No. 1641, as amended by the Senate May 10, 1985, as follows:

(The text of the amended House File is identical to S.F. No. 1530.)

Page 209, delete section 282

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

The motion did not prevail. So the amendment was not adopted.

Mr. Renneke moved to amend H.F. No. 1641, as amended by the Senate May 10, 1985, as follows:

(The text of the amended House File is identical to S.F. No. 1530.)

Page 28, delete lines 7 to 12

Correct the section totals and the summary by fund accordingly

The question was taken on the adoption of the amendment.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 20 and nays 37, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson	Brataas	Kamrath	McQuaid	Renneke
Belanger	Frederick	Knaak	Olson	Sieloff
Benson	Frederickson	Kronebusch	Peterson, D.L.	Storm
Bernhagen	Isackson	Laidig	Ramstad	Taylor

Those who voted in the negative were:

Berglin	Frank	Luther	Peterson, R.W.	Spear
Bertram	Freeman	Merriam	Petty	Stumpf
Chmielewski	Gustafson	Moe, D.M.	Pogemiller	Vega
Dahl	Hughes	Moe, R.D.	Purfeerst	Waldorf
Davis	Johnson, D.J.	Nelson	Reichgott	Willett
Dicklich	Jude	Novak	Samuelson	
Diessner	Kroening	Pehler	Schmitz	
Dieterich	Lantry	Peterson, D.C.	Solon	

The motion did not prevail. So the amendment was not adopted.

Mr. Frederick moved to amend H.F. No. 1641, as amended by the Senate May 10, 1985, as follows:

(The text of the amended House File is identical to S.F. No. 1530.)

Pages 209 and 210, delete section 283

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

The motion prevailed. So the amendment was adopted.

Mr. Knaak moved to amend H.F. No. 1641, as amended by the Senate May 10, 1985, as follows:

(The text of the amended House File is identical to S.F. No. 1530.)

Page 31, delete lines 29 to 46

Correct the subdivision and section totals and the summaries by fund

The question was taken on the adoption of the amendment.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 28 and nays 34, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Adkins	Frank	Kamrath	Mehrkens	Sieloff
Anderson	Frederick	Knaak	Moe, D.M.	Storm
Belanger	Frederickson	Knutson	Olson	Taylor
Benson	Gustafson	Kronebusch	Peterson, D.L.	Waldorf
Bernhagen	Isackson	Laidig	Ramstad	
Brataas	Johnson, D.E.	McQuaid	Renneke	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Berglin	Dieterich	Lantry	Pehler	Reichgott
Bertram	Freeman	Lessard	Peterson, C.C.	Schmitz
Chmielewski	Hughes	Luther	Peterson, D.C.	Solon
Dahl	Johnson, D.J.	Merriam	Peterson, R.W.	Spear
Davis	Jude	Moe, R.D.	Petty	Stumpf
Dicklich	Kroening	Nelson	Pogemiller	Willett
Diessner	Langseth	Novak	Purfeerst	

The motion did not prevail. So the amendment was not adopted.

Mr. Sieloff moved to amend H.F. No. 1641, as amended by the Senate May 10, 1985, as follows:

(The text of the amended House File is identical to S.F. No. 1530.)

Page 278, lines 6 and 7, delete "296.10; 349.212, subdivision 3, as amended by Laws 1985, chapter 3, section 2;"

Amend the title accordingly

The motion did not prevail. So the amendment was not adopted.

Mr. Frederickson moved to amend H.F. No. 1641, as amended by the Senate May 10, 1985, as follows:

(The text of the amended House File is identical to S.F. No. 1530.)

Page 24, line 7, delete "\$8,035,200" and insert "\$8,535,200" and delete "\$8,049,700" and insert "\$8,549,700"

Correct the subdivision and section totals and the summaries by fund accordingly

The question was taken on the adoption of the amendment.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 27 and nays 32, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson	Frederick	Knaak	Mehrkens	Sieloff
Belanger	Frederickson	Knutson	Olson	Storm
Benson	Gustafson	Kronebusch	Peterson, D.L.	Taylor
Bernhagen	Isackson	Laidig	Purfeerst	
Brataas	Johnson, D.E.	Langseth	Ramstad	
Dahl	Kamrath	Lessard	Renneke	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Adkins	Frank	Luther	Petty	Stumpf
Berglin	Freeman	McQuaid	Pogemiller	Vega
Bertram	Hughes	Novak	Reichgott	Waldorf
Chmielewski	Johnson, D.J.	Pehler	Samuelson	Willet
Dicklich	Jude	Peterson, C.C.	Schmitz	
Diessner	Kroening	Peterson, D.C.	Solon	
Dieterich	Lantry	Peterson, R.W.	Spear	

The motion did not prevail. So the amendment was not adopted.

Mr. Sieloff moved to amend H.F. No. 1641, as amended by the Senate May 10, 1985, as follows:

(The text of the amended House File is identical to S.F. No. 1530.)

Pages 221 and 222, delete section 303

Pages 228 to 246, delete sections 308 to 328

Renumber the sections in sequence

Correct internal references

Amend the title as follows:

Page 2, line 38, delete "336.9-302;"

Page 2, line 63, delete "and 361A"

The question was taken on the adoption of the amendment.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 22 and nays 36, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson	Gustafson	Kronebusch	Peterson, R.W.	Taylor
Belanger	Isackson	Langseth	Renneke	Waldorf
Bernhagen	Kamrath	McQuaid	Samuelson	
Brataas	Knaak	Mehrkens	Sieloff	
Frederick	Knutson	Peterson, D.L.	Storm	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Adkins	Frank	Lessard	Peterson, D.C.	Spear
Berglin	Freeman	Luther	Petty	Stumpf
Bertram	Hughes	Merriam	Pogemiller	Vega
Chmielewski	Johnson, D.E.	Moe, R.D.	Purfeerst	Willet
Dahl	Johnson, D.J.	Novak	Ramstad	
Dicklich	Jude	Olson	Reichgott	
Diessner	Kroening	Pehler	Schmitz	
Dieterich	Lantry	Peterson, C.C.	Solon	

The motion did not prevail. So the amendment was not adopted.

Mr. Jude moved to amend H.F. No. 1641, as amended by the Senate May 10, 1985, as follows:

(The text of the amended House File is identical to S.F. No. 1530.)

Page 29, line 26, delete "249.0" and insert "246.0"

Page 29, line 27, delete "189.0" and insert "187.5"

Page 29, delete line 31

Page 32, delete line 37, and insert:

"\$ 768,300            \$ 770,700"

Correct the subdivision and section totals and the summaries by fund

Page 278, line 8, after "12" insert "; and chapter 654, article 2, section 151"

Amend the title accordingly

The question was taken on the adoption of the amendment.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 31 and nays 29, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Adkins	Frank	Kamrath	Mehrkens	Sieloff
Anderson	Frederick	Knaak	Merriam	Storm
Belanger	Frederickson	Knutson	Olson	Waldorf
Benson	Gustafson	Kronebusch	Pehler	
Bernhagen	Isackson	Laidig	Peterson, D.L.	
Brataas	Johnson, D.E.	Lessard	Ramstad	
Dieterich	Jude	McQuaid	Renneke	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Berglin	Freeman	Luther	Petty	Solon
Bertram	Hughes	Moe, R.D.	Pogemiller	Spear
Chmielewski	Johnson, D.J.	Novak	Purfeerst	Stumpf
Dahl	Kroening	Peterson, C.C.	Reichgott	Vega
Dicklich	Langseth	Peterson, D.C.	Samuelson	Willet
Diessner	Lantry	Peterson, R.W.	Schmitz	

The motion prevailed. So the amendment was adopted.

Mr. Frederick moved to amend H.F. No. 1641, as amended by the Senate May 10, 1985, as follows:

(The text of the amended House File is identical to S.F. No. 1530.)

Pages 91 to 93, delete section 108

Renumber the sections in sequence

Correct internal references

Amend the title accordingly

Mrs. Lantry moved to amend the Frederick amendment to H.F. No. 1641 as follows:

Delete lines 5 and 6 of the Frederick amendment and insert:

“Page 93, lines 21 to 25, delete subdivision 5 of section 108”

The motion prevailed. So the amendment to the amendment was adopted.

The question recurred on the Frederick amendment, as amended.

The motion prevailed. So the amendment, as amended, was adopted.

### RECONSIDERATION

Having voted on the prevailing side, Mr. Schmitz moved that the vote whereby the Frederick amendment, as amended, to H.F. No. 1641 was adopted on May 11, 1985, be now reconsidered. The motion prevailed.

The question recurred on the Frederick amendment, as amended.

The motion prevailed. So the Frederick amendment, as amended, was adopted.

Mr. Kamrath moved to amend H.F. No. 1641, as amended by the Senate May 10, 1985, as follows:

(The text of the amended House File is identical to S.F. No. 1530.)

Page 255, line 26, after the stricken “\$7,500” insert “\$10,000” and reinstate the stricken “, or (b)”

Page 255, line 27, reinstate the stricken “(c)” and delete the new language

Page 257, line 8, before “Grants” insert “*The amount of an accessibility grant must not exceed \$15,000, and the total of accessibility grants and loans to a person or family must not exceed \$20,000.*”

Correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

The motion did not prevail. So the amendment was not adopted.

Mr. Kamrath then moved to amend H.F. No. 1641, as amended by the Senate May 10, 1985, as follows:

(The text of the amended House File is identical to S.F. No. 1530.)

Pages 253 and 254, delete section 343

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

The question was taken on the adoption of the amendment.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 20 and nays 40, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson	Brataas	Kamrath	Laidig	Ramstad
Belanger	Frederickson	Knaak	McQuaid	Renneke
Benson	Gustafson	Knutson	Mehrkens	Sieloff
Bernhagen	Isackson	Kronebusch	Olson	Storm

Those who voted in the negative were:

Adkins	Dieterich	Langseth	Novak	Samuelson
Berglin	Frank	Lantry	Pehler	Schmitz
Bertram	Freeman	Lessard	Peterson, C.C.	Solon
Chmielewski	Hughes	Luther	Peterson, D.C.	Spear
Dahl	Johnson, D.E.	Merriam	Peterson, R.W.	Stumpf
Davis	Johnson, D.J.	Moe, D.M.	Petty	Vega
Dicklich	Jude	Moe, R.D.	Purfeerst	Waldorf
Diessner	Kroening	Nelson	Reichgott	Willet

The motion did not prevail. So the amendment was not adopted.

Mr. Sieloff moved to amend H.F. No. 1641, as amended by the Senate May 10, 1985, as follows:

(The text of the amended House File is identical to S.F. No. 1530.)

Page 334, delete section 57

Re-number the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

The question was taken on the adoption of the amendment.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 25 and nays 37, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson	Frederick	Kamrath	McQuaid	Renneke
Belanger	Frederickson	Knaak	Mehrkens	Sieloff
Benson	Gustafson	Knutson	Olson	Storm
Bernhagen	Isackson	Kronebusch	Peterson, D.L.	Taylor
Brataas	Johnson, D.E.	Laidig	Ramstad	Waldorf

Those who voted in the negative were:

Adkins	Dieterich	Lantry	Peterson, C.C.	Solon
Berglin	Frank	Lessard	Peterson, D.C.	Spear
Bertram	Freeman	Luther	Peterson, R.W.	Stumpf
Chmielewski	Hughes	Merriam	Petty	Vega
Dahl	Johnson, D.J.	Moe, R.D.	Purfeerst	Willet
Davis	Jude	Nelson	Reichgott	
Dicklich	Kroening	Novak	Samuelson	
Diessner	Langseth	Pehler	Schmitz	

The motion did not prevail. So the amendment was not adopted.

Ms. Olson moved to amend H.F. No. 1641, as amended by the Senate May 10, 1985, as follows:

(The text of the amended House File is identical to S.F. No. 1530.)

Page 20, delete lines 28 to 32 and insert:

“\$1,000,000 the first year is appropriated to the Hennepin county park reserve district to purchase Big Island veterans camp in order to operate it as a park.”

Correct the subdivision and section totals and the summaries by fund

The question was taken on the adoption of the amendment.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 17 and nays 40, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson	Gustafson	Knaak	Mehrkens	Taylor
Bernhagen	Isackson	Knutson	Olson	
Brataas	Jude	Laidig	Sieloff	
Frederickson	Kamrath	McQuaid	Storm	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Adkins	Dieterich	Lessard	Peterson, C.C.	Samuelson
Berglin	Frank	Luther	Peterson, D.C.	Schmitz
Bertram	Freeman	Merriam	Peterson, R.W.	Solon
Chmielewski	Johnson, D.E.	Moe, D.M.	Petty	Spear
Dahl	Johnson, D.J.	Moe, R.D.	Pogemiller	Stumpf
Davis	Kroening	Nelson	Purfeerst	Vega
Dicklich	Langseth	Novak	Ramstad	Waldorf
Diessner	Lantry	Pehler	Reichgott	Willet

The motion did not prevail. So the amendment was not adopted.

Mr. Ramstad moved to amend H.F. No. 1641, as amended by the Senate May 10, 1985, as follows:

(The text of the amended House File is identical to S.F. No. 1530.)

Page 20, delete lines 28 to 32

Correct the subdivision and section totals and the summaries by fund

The question was taken on the adoption of the amendment.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 18 and nays 40, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson	Brataas	Kamrath	McQuaid	Sieloff
Belanger	Frederickson	Knaak	Mehrkens	Taylor
Benson	Gustafson	Kronebusch	Ramstad	
Bernhagen	Isackson	Laidig	Renneke	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Adkins	Dieterich	Langseth	Novak	Samuelson
Berglin	Frank	Lantry	Pehler	Schmitz
Bertram	Freeman	Lessard	Peterson, C.C.	Solon
Chmielewski	Hughes	Luther	Peterson, D.C.	Spear
Dahl	Johnson, D.E.	Merriam	Peterson, R.W.	Stumpf
Davis	Johnson, D.J.	Moe, D.M.	Petty	Vega
Dicklich	Jude	Moe, R.D.	Purfeerst	Waldorf
Diessner	Kroening	Nelson	Reichgott	Willet

The motion did not prevail. So the amendment was not adopted.

Mr. Frederickson moved to amend H.F. No. 1641, as amended by the Senate May 10, 1985, as follows:

(The text of the amended House File is identical to S.F. No. 1530.)

Page 32, delete lines 1 to 10

Correct the subdivision and section totals and the summaries by fund ac-

cordingly

The question was taken on the adoption of the amendment.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 18 and nays 40, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Adkins	Bernhagen	Frederickson	Laidig	Samuelson
Anderson	Brataas	Isackson	McQuaid	Storm
Belanger	Dieterich	Johnson, D.E.	Mehrkens	
Benson	Frank	Kamrath	Olson	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Berglin	Hughes	Lantry	Peterson, C.C.	Schmitz
Bertram	Johnson, D.J.	Lessard	Peterson, D.C.	Sieloff
Chmielewski	Jude	Luther	Peterson, R.W.	Solon
Dahl	Knaak	Merriam	Petty	Spear
Davis	Knutson	Moe, R.D.	Pogemiller	Stumpf
Dicklich	Kroening	Nelson	Purfeerst	Taylor
Diessner	Kronebusch	Novak	Ramstad	Vega
Freeman	Langseth	Pehler	Reichgott	Willet

The motion did not prevail. So the amendment was not adopted.

Mr. Knaak moved to amend H.F. No. 1641, as amended by the Senate May 10, 1985, as follows:

(The text of the amended House File is identical to S.F. No. 1530.)

Page 33, line 6, delete "4,898,500" and insert "4,771,900" and delete "4,907,300" and insert "4,780,100"

Page 33, line 8, delete "123.0" and insert "121.0" and delete "122.0" and insert "120.0"

Page 33, line 9, delete "79.5" and insert "77.5" and delete "79.5" and insert "77.5"

Correct the summaries by fund

The question was taken on the adoption of the amendment.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 24 and nays 37, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson	Frederickson	Kamrath	McQuaid	Renneke
Belanger	Gustafson	Knaak	Mehrkens	Sieloff
Benson	Isackson	Knutson	Olson	Storm
Bernhagen	Johnson, D.E.	Kronebusch	Peterson, D.L.	Taylor
Brataas	Jude	Laidig	Ramstad	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Adkins	Dieterich	Lessard	Peterson, R.W.	Spear
Berglin	Frank	Luther	Petty	Stumpf
Bertram	Freeman	Merriam	Pogemiller	Vega
Chmielewski	Hughes	Moe, D.M.	Purfeerst	Waldorf
Dahl	Johnson, D.J.	Moe, R.D.	Reichgott	Willet
Davis	Kroening	Pehler	Samuelson	
Dicklich	Langseth	Peterson, C.C.	Schmitz	
Diessner	Lantry	Peterson, D.C.	Solon	

The motion did not prevail. So the amendment was not adopted.



Mr. Jude moved to amend H.F. No. 1641, as amended by the Senate May 10, 1985, as follows:

(The text of the amended House File is identical to S.F. No. 1530.)

Page 6, delete lines 17 to 21

Page 277, delete lines 6 to 8

Correct the subdivision and section totals and the summaries by fund accordingly

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

The question was taken on the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Moe, R.D., moved that those not voting be excused from voting. The motion prevailed.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 32 and nays 31, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Adkins	Chmielewski	Jude	Lessard	Schmitz
Anderson	Frank	Kamrath	McQuaid	Sieloff
Belanger	Frederick	Knaak	Mehrkens	Storm
Benson	Frederickson	Knutson	Olson	Taylor
Bernhagen	Gustafson	Kronebusch	Peterson, D.L.	
Bertram	Isackson	Laidig	Ramstad	
Brataas	Johnson, D.E.	Langseth	Renneke	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Berglin	Hughes	Nelson	Pogemiller	Vega
Dahl	Johnson, D.J.	Novak	Purfeerst	Waldorf
Davis	Kroening	Pehler	Reichgott	Willet
Dicklich	Lantry	Peterson, C.C.	Samuelson	
Diessner	Luther	Peterson, D.C.	Solon	
Dieterich	Merriam	Peterson, R.W.	Spear	
Freeman	Moe, R.D.	Petty	Stumpf	

The motion prevailed. So the amendment was adopted.

H.F. No. 1641 was read the third time, as amended, and placed on its final passage.

The question was taken on the passage of the bill, as amended.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 39 and nays 24, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Adkins	Frank	Langseth	Peterson, C.C.	Schmitz
Berglin	Frederickson	Lantry	Peterson, D.C.	Solon
Bertram	Freeman	Lessard	Peterson, R.W.	Spear
Dahl	Gustafson	Luther	Petty	Stumpf
Davis	Hughes	Merriam	Pogemiller	Vega
Dicklich	Johnson, D.J.	Moe, R.D.	Purfeerst	Waldorf
Diessner	Jude	Nelson	Reichgott	Willet
Dieterich	Kroening	Novak	Samuelson	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Anderson	Frederick	Knutson	Moe, D.M.	Renneke
Belanger	Isackson	Kronebusch	Olson	Sieloff
Benson	Johnson, D.E.	Laidig	Pehler	Storm
Bernhagen	Kamrath	McQuaid	Peterson, D.L.	Taylor
Brataas	Knaak	Mehrkens	Ramstad	

So the bill, as amended, passed and its title was agreed to.

**MOTIONS AND RESOLUTIONS - CONTINUED**

Mr. Kroening moved that S.F. No. 1530, on General Orders, be stricken and laid on the table. The motion prevailed.

**MEMBERS EXCUSED**

Mr. Berg was excused from the Session of today.

Mr. Davis was excused from the Session of today from 9:00 to 11:00 a.m. Mr. Frank was excused from the Session of today from 10:30 to 11:00 a.m. Mr. Laidig was excused from the Session of today from 9:45 to 10:00 a.m. Ms. Reichgott was excused from the Session of today from 9:00 to 9:30 a.m. and 12:30 to 1:30 p.m. Mr. Freeman was excused from the Session of today from 9:10 to 9:50 a.m. Mr. Frederick was excused from the Session of today from 11:00 a.m. to 3:45 p.m. Mr. Dicklich was excused from the Session of today from 10:30 a.m. to 12:00 noon. Mr. Mehrkens was excused from the Session of today from 3:45 to 4:20 p.m. Mr. Pehler was excused from the Session of today from 12:25 to 1:30 p.m. Mr. DeCramer was excused from the Session of today at 3:45 p.m. Mr. Wegscheid was excused from the Session of today at 5:00 p.m. Mr. Chmielewski was excused from the Session of today at 6:30 p.m.

**ADJOURNMENT**

Mr. Moe, R.D. moved that the Senate do now adjourn until 2:00 p.m., Monday, May 13, 1985. The motion prevailed.

Patrick E. Flahaven, Secretary of the Senate