

Mr. Davies, J., moved that Mr. Harrison be excused from voting on the adoption of the report of the Committee on Election Contests.

Which motion was lost.

Mr. Bendixen moved that Mr. Knutson be excused from voting on the adoption of the report of the Committee on Election Contests.

Which motion was lost.

Mr. Teigen, L. O. from the Committee on Election Contests, made the following report:

STATE OF MINNESOTA,
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

Henry Wuerzinger, Contestant, vs. Frank E. Reed, Contestee.

The Committee on Election Contests, to which was referred the contest proceedings above entitled, and which are herewith returned to the House, submits the following:

Your committee met at different times, prepared to hear arguments in the case, and finally notified the contestant that a meeting of the committee would be held on February 9th, and his presence was requested.

On the said date your committee met, and the contestant failing to appear either in person or by counsel, it was decided to go into the proceedings as being at hand.

After due consideration, your committee finds that no notice of contest has at any time been served upon the contestee, Frank E. Reed, and further finds that no proceedings have been instituted either before two justices of the peace or before a district court, as provided by law in the case of such proceedings.

Therefore, the members of your committee unanimously recommend that this contest be dismissed.

Dated February 10, 1917.

L. O. TEIGEN,
Chairman.

Mr. Teigen, L. O., moved the adoption of the report.

The question being taken on the adoption of the report,

And the roll being called, there were yeas 90 and nays none, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson,	Bjorge,	Christanson, A.,	Davies, J.,	Frye,
Baldwin,	Boock, J. W.,	Christianson, T.,	Dealand,	Gill,
Bendixen,	Borgen,	Corning,	Donovan,	Girling,
Bernard,	Briggs,	Crane,	Erickson,	Gleason,
Besette,	Carmichael,	Cumming,	Flikkie,	Grant,
Birkhofer,	Child,	Danielson,	Frisch,	Green, H. M.,

Greene, T. J.,	Lennon,	Nett,	Pikop,	Solem,
Hale,	Leonard,	Neuman,	Pittenger,	Southwick,
Hammer,	Levin,	Nimocks,	Pratt,	Stevens,
Harrison, H. H.,	McNiven,	Nolan,	Praxel,	Stone,
Hinds,	Madigan,	Nordgren,	Putnam,	Sutherland,
Holmes,	Marschalk,	Nordlin,	Rodenberg,	Swanson, H. A.,
Howard,	Miner,	Norton,	Ross,	Swanson, S. J.,
Hulbert,	Mueller, A. W.,	Norwood,	Ryberg,	Swenson,
Indrehus,	Moen,	Orr,	Searls,	Teigen, L. O.,
Johnson,	Mossman,	Papke,	Seebach,	Tollefson,
Knutson,	Murphy,	Pattison,	Siegel,	Warner,
Larson.	Neitzel,	Peterson, O. M.,	Sliter,	Welch,

So the report was adopted.

Mr. Davies, from the Committee on Engrossment, to which was referred H. F. No. 67 and S. F. No. 23 for comparison, reports that the same have been compared and found to be identical.

Which was agreed to.

Mr. Christianson, T., moved that S. F. No. 23 be substituted for H. F. No. 67, and that H. F. No. 67 be indefinitely postponed.

Which motion prevailed.

Mr. Hicken moved that S. F. No. 27 be recalled from the Committee on Towns and Counties, and together with H. F. No. 49, now No. 56 on General Orders, sent to the Committee on Engrossment for comparison.

Which motion prevailed.

REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES—CONTINUED.

Mr. Norton, from the Committee on Elections, to which was referred—

S. F. No. 293, A bill for an act to amend Section 307, General Statutes 1913, relating to nomination of candidates for special election, and providing for the nomination at a primary election of the candidates to be voted for at special elections in cities of the first class not operating under a home rule charter.

Reports the same back with the recommendation that the bill do pass.

Which was adopted.

SUSPENSION OF RULES.

Mr. Nolan moved that the rules be suspended and that

S. F. No. 293, A bill for an act to amend Section 307, General Statutes, 1913, relating to nomination of candidates for special election, and providing for the nomination at a primary election of the candidates to be voted for at special elections in cities of the first class not operating under a home rule charter.

Be read the second and third times and placed upon its final passage.

Which motion prevailed.